Published in final edited form as:

J Med Chem. 2009 February 26; 52(4): 1198–1203. doi:10.1021/jm801484v.

Malaria-Infected Mice Are Cured by a Single Oral Dose of New Dimeric Trioxane Sulfones Which Are Also Selectively and Powerfully Cytotoxic to Cancer Cells

Andrew S. Rosenthal^a, Xiaochun Chen^b, Jun O. Liu^b, Diana C. West^c, Paul J. Hergenrother^c, Theresa A. Shapiro^{d,e}, and Gary H. Posner^{a,e,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, School of Arts and Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218-2685

^b Division of Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

^d Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

^e The Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

Abstract

A new series of 6 dimeric trioxane sulfones has been prepared from the natural trioxane artemisinin in 5 or 6 chemical steps. One of these thermally and hydrolytically stable new chemical entities (**4c**) completely cured malaria-infected mice via a single oral dose of 144 mg/kg. At a much lower single oral dose of only 54 mg/kg combined with 13 mg/kg of mefloquine hydrochloride, this trioxane dimer **4c** as well as its parent trioxane dimer **4b** also completely cured malaria-infected mice. Both dimers **4c** and **4b** were potently and selectively cytotoxic toward five cancer cell lines.

Introduction

Even in 2009, one child dies of malaria approximately every 30 seconds.^{1–3} No vaccine is yet available to prevent malaria infection in humans.⁴ Chemotherapy of malaria-infected patients has been used broadly with great success. Now, unfortunately, there is widespread malaria parasite resistance to many of the standard amine antimalarial drugs like chloroquine.⁵ During the past few decades,^{6–13} a new, non-amine class of antimalarial 1,2,4-trioxanes has emerged from traditional Chinese herbal remedies. Natural 1,2,4-trioxane artemisinin (**1**) and its semi-synthetic daughter trioxanes dihydroartemisinin (**1a**), artemether (**1b**), and water-soluble sodium artesunate (**1c**) are the fastest-acting antimalarial drugs known.^{6–13} They are now often combined, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), with standard antimalarial amines like lumefantrine or amodiaquine; such artemisinin combination therapy (ACT), the current chemotherapeutic method of choice where malaria is endemic, requires a

Corresponding Author: Gary H. Posner, Scowe Professor of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218-2685, 410-516-4670 (phone), 410-516-8420 (fax), E-mail: ghp@jhu.edu (email).

¹Abbreviations: ACT, artemisinin combination therapy; mCPBA, meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; MTS, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium; SRB, sulforhodamine B; DMF, dimethyl formamide; NaH, sodium hydride; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; LAH, lithium aluminum hydride; DMDO, dimethyldioxirane.

repeated dosing regimen.^{14–17} For example, Coartem® combines the trioxane artemether and lumefantrine in a curative 3-day 6-dose regimen.¹⁸ A single dose cure would involve better patient compliance and lower cost. Toward this challenging and urgent goal, we have reported a series of trioxane dimers able to cure malaria-infected mice after only a single subcutaneous dose¹⁹ as well as a related series of trioxane dimers curative after three oral doses.^{20–22} Such trioxane dimers are significantly more efficacious in curing malaria-infected mice than twice the dose of the corresponding trioxane monomers, as well as being significantly more cytotoxic than the corresponding monomeric trioxanes toward cancer cells.^{20–22} Here, as proof of principle, we describe a new series of dimeric trioxane sulfones **4** (Scheme 1), two of which are curative after only a single oral dose to malaria-infected mice.

Some of these new dimeric trioxane sulfones **4** have also selective and potent anticancer activity.²³ Increasingly widespread evidence indicates that human cancer cells, richer than normal cells in iron-transport transferrin receptors,^{24,25} selectively activate trioxanes to produce various cytotoxic intermediates; this process is similar to that in the triggering of trioxanes by heme iron in malaria-infected human erythrocytes.⁸ The anticancer properties of trioxanes have been reviewed.^{26–29} Here we disclose that some of the new dimeric trioxane sulfones **4** powerfully inhibit the growth (sub-micromolar IC₅₀ values) of various cancer cells in vitro without strongly affecting noncancerous fibroblasts.

Results and Discussion

Chemistry

As outlined in Scheme I, trioxane dimer primary alcohol **2** was prepared in 3 steps from natural artemisinin, as described previously.³⁰ Primary bromide **3** was easily prepared in good yield by treating alcohol **2** with CBr₄ and Ph₃P.³¹ Displacement of the bromide anion by a mercaptide anion, followed by sulfide→sulfone oxidation with meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA) proceeded also in good yields without disruption of the crucial peroxide pharmacophore. This is an especially noteworthy result because most peroxides are cleaved by mercaptide anions. Jung and coworkers reported the first example of mercaptide displacement of a trioxane primary bromide leading to a dimeric trioxane sulfone.²² We prepared dimeric trioxane sulfones **4a–f** from natural artemisinin in 5–6 chemical steps and good overall yields. The calculated octanol/water partition coefficient (log P) of these sulfone dimers ranged from 7.0 – 9.5. Scale up to multigram synthesis and even to kilogram manufacture of sulfones **4** is not expected to be a problem. Some endoperoxide sulfones like synthetic bicyclic sulfone **5**³² and semi-synthetic sulfone **6**³³ among others^{34–37} have excellent antimalarial activities. The extraordinary antimalarial efficacy of dimeric trioxane sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b** as well as the chemical versatility of its primary hydroxyl group prompted us to prepare the corresponding carbamates **4c** and **4d** and phosphate **4e** as potential prodrugs. It was expected that, in vivo, esterase enzymes would convert carbamates **4c** and **4d** and phosphate **4e** back into their parent alcohol **4b**. Benzylic alcohol **4b** and dimethyl carbamate **4c** are stable in the solid state at 60 °C for ≥24 hours; carbamate **4c** is stable in the solid state at 60 °C even for one week. Both dimers **4b** and **4c** are stable for at least 12 hours at room temperature in 80/20 DMSO/water at pH 7.4.

Biology

Each trioxane dimer **4a–4f** (7.2 mg) was dissolved in 0.11 mL of 7:3 Tween 80:ethanol and then diluted with 1.10 mL of water for oral administration to 5-week old C57BL/6J male mice (from the Jackson Laboratory) weighing about 22 grams that were infected intraperitoneally on day 0 with the *Plasmodium berghei*, ANKA strain (2×10^7 parasitized erythrocytes).³⁸ Each of 5 mice in a group was treated orally with a single dose of 0.20 mL (0.20 mL/1.21 mL $\times$ 7.2 mg = 1.2 mg) of diluted compound solution, corresponding to a dose of 54 mg/kg, 24 hours post-infection. In separate experiments, a single oral dose of 72 mg/kg and a single oral dose of 144 mg/kg were also used. Blood parasitemia levels as well as monitoring the duration of animal survival compared to survival time of animals receiving no drug are both widely accepted as a measure of a drug's efficacy in antimalarial drug development. Three days after infection, an average of 16.2% blood parasitemia was observed in the control (no drug) group. Animals receiving no drug die typically 6–8 days post-infection. A widely accepted yardstick of cure (*i.e.* 100% efficacy) is survival of animals to day 30 post-infection, with no detectable malaria parasites in the animal's blood at that time. Average survival results are summarized in Table 1. The clinically used monomeric trioxane drugs **1b** and **1c** and the synthetic trioxolane peroxide drug development candidate OZ277 maleate (**7**) are included as standards.

It is clear from the data in Table 1 that all of the dimeric trioxane sulfones **4a–4f** prolonged average survival time at least as effectively as trioxolane **7**, which is in phase II clinical trials.³⁹ It is also apparent from the data in Table 1 that the dimeric trioxane sulfones **4b** and **4c**, at a single oral dose of 54 mg/kg, were the most efficacious among sulfones **4a–4f** at prolonging survival. Therefore, sulfones **4b** and **4c** were tested further using a higher single oral dose of 72 mg/kg and separately using an even higher single oral dose of 144 mg/kg. As shown in Table 1, dimeric sulfones **4b** and **4c** at a single oral dose of 72 mg/kg prolonged average survival to days 23–25. At a higher oral dose of 144 mg/kg, the benzyl alcohol sulfone **4b** prolonged average survival to day 30, but 2 of the 5 mice had 7–9% parasitemia at day 30 and appeared sick. In contrast, carbamate sulfone **4c** at a single oral dose of 144 mg/kg completely cured all of the malaria-infected mice with no adverse effects. Using the same experimental protocol but combining benzylic alcohol dimer **4b** (40 mg/kg) with mefloquine hydrochloride (13 mg/kg) in a single oral dose caused a 99.9% suppression of parasitemia on day 3 post infection and raised average survival to 28 days; a slightly higher single oral dose of dimer **4b** (54 mg/kg) along with mefloquine hydrochloride (13 mg/kg) caused >99.9% parasitemia suppression on day 3 post infection and completely cured the mice. Similar curative results were obtained by combining sulfone carbamate dimer **4c** (54 mg/kg) with mefloquine (13 mg/kg). In a control experiment, a single oral dose of mefloquine hydrochloride (13 mg/kg) alone prolonged average survival to only day 11. Neither overt toxicity nor behavioral change attributable to trioxane drug administration was observed in any of the malaria-infected animals cured by

carbamate **4c** or cured by the dimer plus mefloquine hydrochloride combination. The standard monomeric trioxane antimalarial drugs **1b** and **1c** and the trioxolane drug candidate **7**, although able to lower parasitemia levels considerably by day 3 post infection, were not efficacious in prolonging the average survival time beyond day 11.

Table 2 summarizes the in vitro cytotoxic activity of dimeric trioxane sulfones **4b** and **4c** in five different cancer cell lines. The IC₅₀ values are shown as an average of at least three experiments with standard error of the mean using a standard MTS assay or sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay. Clearly, both dimers **4b** and **4c** are strongly cytotoxic, often comparing well with the anticancer potency of doxorubicin, a clinically used anticancer drug.⁴⁰ Doxorubicin, however, has serious side effects in humans.⁴¹ In this study, we have found that doxorubicin is toxic toward the WT-MEF and Hs888Lu noncancerous immortalized fibroblast cell lines (with IC₅₀ values of 3.4 ± 1.3 μ M and 1.4 ± 0.7 μ M, respectively). Neither dimer **4b** or **4c** significantly affected these noncancerous fibroblast cell lines (see Table 2). This selective cytotoxicity of both dimers **4b** and **4c** is of special importance for potential anticancer drug development of this class of dimeric trioxane sulfones.

In conclusion, at only a single oral dose of 144 mg/kg, dimeric trioxane sulfone carbamate **4c** completely cured malaria-infected mice. Combining a much lower amount (54 mg/kg) of dimer alcohol **4b** or of dimer carbamate **4c** with a small amount of mefloquine hydrochloride (13 mg/kg) in a single oral dose also completely cured the malaria-infected mice. Both dimers **4b** and **4c** have powerful and selective anticancer activities. This desirable combination⁴² of high antimalarial activity and high anticancer activity encourages further lead optimization and preclinical drug development of trioxane dimer sulfones like **4b** and **4c**.

Experimental

Synthesis of Dimer primary bromide **3**

Dimeric trioxane primary alcohol³⁰ **2** (76 mg, 0.13 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) under argon. Triphenylphosphine (49 mg, 0.19 mmol) and carbon tetrabromide (62 mg, 0.19 mmol) were added to the solution at room temperature and allowed to stir overnight. After 18 h, the reaction was quenched with distilled water (2 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 $\times$ 3 mL) and washed with brine (3 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (0 $\rightarrow$ 20% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric trioxane bromide **3** as an amorphous white solid (72 mg, 0.11 mmol, 86%). [α]_D^{21.9} +70.8° (c = 0.56, CHCl₃); IR (thin film) 2951, 2875, 1451, 1377, 1252, 1206, 1119, 1055, 1007, 942, 879, 735 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 4.41-4.37 (m, 1H), 4.24-4.20 (m, 1H), 3.91-3.88 (dd, J₁ = 10 Hz, J₂ = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.82-3.78 (dd, J₁ = 10 Hz, J₂ = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.73-2.68 (q, 1H), 2.62-2.57 (q, 1H), 2.36-2.27 (m, 2H), 2.19-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.04 (m, 1H), 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.23 (m, 27H including singlets for 3H each at 1.41 and 1.39), 0.99-0.95 (m, 7H), 0.88-0.86 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 103.3, 102.9, 89.6, 89.0, 81.2, 81.2, 74.7, 70.6, 52.5, 52.1, 44.6, 44.1, 40.7, 37.5, 37.4, 36.7, 36.6, 36.2, 34.5, 34.4, 32.1, 31.9, 30.6, 30.5, 26.1, 26.1, 24.9, 24.9, 24.8, 24.7, 20.3, 20.1, 13.3, 12.7; HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calcd for C₃₄H₅₃BrO₈Na (M+Na)⁺ 691.2816, found 691.2797.

Synthesis of dimeric fluorobenzyl sulfone **4a**

Bis-trioxane bromide **3** (10 mg, 0.015 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (0.5 mL) under argon. To the solution was added 4-fluorobenzyl mercaptan (2 μ L, 0.018 mmol) and NaH (0.5 mg, 0.018 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was heated at 90 °C for 1 h. (Note: The reaction went from colorless to pink almost instantly upon heating. The pink color faded to a very light yellow over the course of the hour) The reaction was allowed to cool and was diluted with EtOAc (1

mL). The organic layer was then washed with ice cold distilled water (1 mL) and brine (1 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) and *m*CPBA (4 mg, 0.020 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then washed with saturated sodium bisulfite solution (1 mL) and saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (1 mL) sequentially. The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (0→20% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield ASR-isobu-SO₂-CH₂Ph-4-F **9** (6.5 mg, 0.010 mmol, 66%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_D^{21.3} +67.7^\circ$ ($c = 0.35$, CHCl_3); IR (thin film) 2938, 2876, 1509, 1456, 1377, 1309, 1226, 1118, 1099, 1056, 1007, 941, 878, 843 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.48-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 2H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.40-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.35 (s, 2H), 4.15-4.13 (m, 1H), 3.54-3.50 (dd, $J_1 = 14.3$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.04-2.98 (dd, $J_1 = 14.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.78-2.72 (m, 1H), 2.65-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.28 (m, 3H), 2.06-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.88 (m, 3H), 1.81-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.19 (m, 21H including singlets for 3H each at 1.42 and 1.37), 0.96-0.93 (dd, $J_1 = 6.1$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.0$ Hz, 7H), 0.86 (s, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 133.0, 132.9, 123.7, 123.7, 115.9, 115.7, 103.4, 103.0, 89.5, 88.7, 81.3, 81.2, 77.2, 74.1, 70.5, 58.6, 54.6, 52.6, 52.1, 44.6, 44.2, 37.5, 37.2, 36.6, 34.6, 34.4, 31.5, 31.4, 30.4, 30.3, 30.1, 26.3, 26.1, 24.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.7, 20.3, 20.1, 13.4, 12.8. HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{41}\text{H}_{60}\text{FO}_{10}\text{S}$ ($\text{M}+\text{H}$)⁺ 763.3891, found 763.3850.

Synthesis of dimeric sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b**

Bis-trioxane bromide **3** (39 mg, 0.058 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL) under argon at room temperature. To the solution was added 4-hydroxymethyl thiophenol (16 mg, 0.116 mmol), easily prepared by LAH reduction of the commercially available parent carboxylic acid, and NaH (3.0 mg, 0.116 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (2 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2 mL). The organic layer was then washed with ice cold distilled water (2×2 mL) and brine (2 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in dichloromethane (3 mL). *m*CPBA (10 mg, 0.057 mmol) was added at room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous sodium bisulfite (2 mL) and the organic layer was extracted with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (2 mL). The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (20→30% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b** (25 mg, 0.033 mmol, 57%) as an amorphous white solid. mp = 109–112 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{21.3} +55^\circ$ ($c = 0.55$, CHCl_3); IR (thin film) 3511, 2948, 2848, 1454, 1408, 1306, 1197, 1142, 1094, 1051, 1008, 936, 880, 837, 763 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.97-7.95 (d, 2H), 7.49-7.47 (d, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 4.11-4.06 (m, 1H), 3.63-3.58 (dd, $J_1 = 14$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.34-3.29 (dd, $J_1 = 14$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.71-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.57-2.49 (m, 1H), 2.51-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.37-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.20-1.16 (m, 29H, including singlets at 1.42 and 1.34 for 3H each), 0.95-0.91 (m, 8H), 0.83-0.80 (m, 7H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 146.8, 139.2, 128.3, 127.0, 103.4, 102.9, 89.5, 88.8, 81.3, 81.2, 73.9, 71.0, 62.2, 60.4, 58.9, 52.5, 52.1, 44.6, 44.1, 37.4, 36.6, 36.6, 34.5, 34.4, 31.2, 31.1, 30.7, 30.4, 26.2, 26.1, 24.8, 24.8, 21.1, 20.3, 20.1, 14.2, 13.3, 12.7; HRMS (FAB) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{41}\text{H}_{60}\text{O}_{11}\text{SNa}$ ($\text{M}+\text{Na}$)⁺ 783.3749, found 783.3707.

Synthesis of dimeric sulfone benzylic dimethyl carbamate **4c**

Dimeric sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b** (20 mg, 0.026 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (1 mL) under argon at room temperature. To the solution was added dimethylcarbamyl chloride (5 μL , 0.052 mmol) and NaH (1.2 mg, 0.052 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (1 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×2 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column

chromatography (40% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric sulfone benzylic dimethyl carbamate **4c** (16 mg, 0.019 mmol, 73%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_D^{23.4} +73.7^\circ$ ($c = 0.12$, CHCl_3); IR (thin film) 2939, 2876, 1711, 1495, 1454, 1394, 1377, 1306, 1181, 1145, 1089, 1054, 1007, 878, 830, 767, 669 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.02-8.00 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.50-7.48 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.45-4.43 (m, 1H), 4.14-4.10 (m, 1H), 3.63-3.58 (dd, $J_1 = 14.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.38-3.32 (dd, $J_1 = 14.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.95 (s, 6H), 2.71-2.65 (m, 1H), 2.58-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.39-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.09-1.97 (m, 2H), 1.95-1.84 (m, 3H), 1.82-1.16 (m, 22H including singlets for 3H each at 1.43 and 1.35), 0.95-0.03 (m, 8H), 0.84-0.82 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 174.7, 142.7, 139.9, 128.4, 127.9, 103.3, 102.9, 89.5, 88.8, 81.3, 81.2, 77.2, 74.0, 71.0, 66.0, 58.9, 56.6, 52.5, 52.1, 44.6, 44.1, 37.4, 37.3, 36.7, 36.6, 34.6, 34.4, 31.2, 31.1, 30.7, 30.4, 26.2, 26.1, 24.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.7, 20.3, 20.1, 13.3, 12.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{44}\text{H}_{65}\text{NO}_{12}\text{SNa}$ ($\text{M}+\text{Na}$) $^+$ 854.4120, found 854.4100.

Synthesis of dimeric sulfone benzylic diethyl carbamate **4d**

Dimeric sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b** (18 mg, 0.024 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (1 mL) under argon at room temperature. To the solution was added diethylcarbamyl chloride (4 μL , 0.026 mmol) and NaH (0.62 mg, 0.026 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (2 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×2 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric sulfone benzylic diethyl carbamate **4d** (15.5 mg, 0.018 mmol, 76%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_D^{23.6} +41.6^\circ$ ($c = 0.12$, CHCl_3); IR (thin film) 2924, 2853, 1703, 1457, 1377, 1313, 1274, 1168, 1146, 1089, 1053, 1007, 878, 825, 766 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.03-8.01 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H), 7.49-7.47 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H), 5.48 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 4.47-4.44 (m, 1H), 4.16-4.12 (dd, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.60 (dd, $J_1 = 9.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.38-3.33 (dd, $J_1 = 9.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.35-3.31 (m, 4H), 2.71-2.67 (m, 1H), 2.58-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.27 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.05-1.99 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.73 (m, 4H), 1.65-1.18 (m, 19H including singlets for 3H each at 1.43 and 1.34), 1.15-1.12 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 6H), 0.96-0.94 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 8H), 0.84-0.82 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 156.9, 142.9, 140.0, 128.4, 127.8, 103.3, 102.9, 89.5, 88.8, 81.3, 81.2, 73.9, 71.0, 65.7, 58.8, 52.6, 52.2, 44.6, 44.1, 37.4, 37.3, 36.7, 36.6, 34.6, 34.4, 31.3, 31.2, 31.2, 30.7, 30.4, 29.7, 26.2, 26.1, 24.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.7, 20.2, 20.1, 13.2, 12.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for $\text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{69}\text{NO}_{12}\text{SNa}$ ($\text{M}+\text{Na}$) $^+$ 882.4433, found 882.4419.

Synthesis of dimeric sulfone benzylic diethyl phosphate **4e**

Dimeric sulfone benzylic alcohol **4b** (20 mg, 0.026 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (2 mL) under argon at room temperature. To the solution was added diethyl chlorophosphate (19 μL , 0.13 mmol) and pyridine (11 μL , 0.13 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (2 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×2 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (50% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric sulfone benzylic diethyl phosphate **4e** (8.2 mg, 0.009 mmol, 37%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_D^{24.1} +27.1^\circ$ ($c = 0.06$, CHCl_3); IR (thin film) 2925, 2854, 1699, 1653, 1558, 1541, 1521, 1507, 1457, 1375, 1270, 1036 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.06-8.03 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.54-7.52 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 1H), 5.14-5.12 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.48-4.43 (m, 1H), 4.16-4.08 (m, 5H), 3.66-3.61 (dd, $J_1 = 14$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.39-3.34 (dd, $J_1 = 14$ Hz, $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.70-2.66 (m, 1H), 2.58-2.53 (m, 2H), 2.38-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.23-2.16 (m, 1H), 2.06-2.00 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.21 (m, 29H including singlets for 3H each at 1.44 and 1.35), 0.96-0.95 (m, 8H), 0.85-0.82 (m, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz,

CDCl₃) δ 140.5, 138.0, 128.6, 127.7, 103.3, 102.9, 89.6, 88.9, 81.3, 81.2, 74.0, 70.9, 67.8, 67.7, 64.1, 64.1, 58.8, 57.2, 57.1, 52.6, 52.2, 44.6, 44.1, 37.5, 37.4, 36.7, 36.7, 34.4, 31.3, 30.7, 30.4, 29.7, 26.2, 26.1, 24.8, 24.8, 20.2, 20.1, 16.2, 16.1, 14.2, 13.2, 12.6; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₄₅H₆₉O₁₄PSNa (M+Na)⁺ 919.4038, found 919.3999.

Synthesis of dimeric sulfone hexanol 4f

Dimeric trioxane bromide **3** (20 mg, 0.030 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (2 mL) under argon at room temperature. To the solution was added 6-mercapto-1-hexanol (9 μ L, 0.066 mmol) and sodium hydride (NaH, 2.0 mg, 0.066 mmol) consecutively and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (2 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2 $\times$ 2 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in freshly made dimethyldioxirane solution in acetone (DMDO, 2 mL) at 0 °C and stirred for 1 h. The reaction was concentrated the crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (50% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield dimeric sulfone hexanol **4f** (12 mg, 0.015 mmol, 51%) as an amorphous white solid. $[\alpha]_D^{22.5} +58.1^\circ$ (c = 0.15, CHCl₃); IR (thin film) 3520 (br), 2925, 2854, 1456, 1377, 1296, 1121, 1055, 1007, 878, 845 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.41 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 4.46-4.42 (m, 1H), 4.20-4.16 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.62 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.49-3.42 (dd, J₁ = 14.2 Hz, J₂ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.10-3.05 (m, 3H), 2.74-2.69 (m, 1H), 2.60-2.54 (m, 2H), 2.35-2.27 (m, 3H), 2.03-1.21 (m, 34H including singlets for 3H each at 1.40 and 1.25), 0.96-0.94 (m, 8H), 0.87-0.85 (m, 8H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 103.3, 102.9, 89.7, 88.8, 81.3, 81.2, 73.7, 70.3, 62.7, 55.7, 53.0, 52.6, 52.1, 44.5, 44.1, 37.5, 37.3, 36.7, 36.6, 34.6, 34.4, 32.3, 31.9, 31.6, 30.6, 30.3, 29.8, 29.7, 28.2, 26.2, 26.1, 25.1, 24.8, 24.8, 24.7, 24.7, 21.6, 20.3, 20.1, 13.2, 12.7; HRMS (ESI) m/z calcd for C₄₀H₆₆O₁₁SNa (M+Na)⁺ 777.4218, found 777.4211.

Cell culture conditions and determination of IC-50 values

HL-60, U-937, SK-MEL-5, UACC-62, and HeLa cell lines were cultured in RPMI 1640 media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. WT-MEF and Hs888Lu cell lines were cultured in DMEM media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. All cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA), with the exception of the HL-60 line, which was a generous gift from Professor Huimin Zhao (University of Illinois), and were grown at 37°C with CO₂/air (5:95). 99 μ L of cells in media were plated in a 96-well plate. Adherent cell lines were incubated at least five hours to allow cells to attach to the plate. A volume of 1 μ L of a range of compound concentrations was added in to the cells, and the plates were incubated for 72 hr. Cell viability in HL-60 and U-937 suspension cell lines were evaluated using the CellTiter 96[®] AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Inc.). The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS)/phenazine methosulfate (PMS) solution was added to the cells, and absorbance at 490nm was measured in a Spectra Max Plus 384 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) following dye development. Biomass was quantitated in SK-MEL-5, UACC-62, HeLa, WT-MEF, and Hs888Lu cells using the sulforhodamine B assay (SRB).⁴³ Briefly, the cells were fixed with 10% trichloroacetic acid overnight at 4°C, washed with water, and 100 μ L of 0.057% w/v sulforhodamine in 1% acetic acid was added to each well for 30 minutes. After rinsing the plates in 1% acetic acid, 200 μ L of 10 mM tris base (pH>10) was added to each well for 30 minutes and absorbance was read at 510nm. Logistical dose response curves and IC₅₀ values were generated from the MTS and SRB data using TableCurve 2D 5.01 (SYSTAT Software, Inc., Richmond, CA).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Nirbhay Kumar (JHU) for a gift of the *P. berghei* malaria parasites, the NIH (AI 34885 to GHP), the Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute and the Bloomberg Family Foundation for financial support (to GHP and to JOL).

References

1. Ridley RG. Medical need, scientific opportunity, and the drive for antimalarial drugs. *Nature* 2002;415:686–693. [PubMed: 11832957]
2. Breman JG, Alilio MS, Mills A. Conquering the intolerable burden of malaria: what's new, what's needed: a summary. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2004;71:1–15. [PubMed: 15331814]
3. Snow RW, Guerra CA, Noor AM, Myint HY, Hay SI. The global distribution of clinical episodes of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Nature* 2005;434:214–217. [PubMed: 15759000]
4. Troye-Blomberg M, Berzins K. Rational vaccine development against malaria. *Microbes and Infection* 2007;9:749–750.
5. Olliaro, PL.; Boland, PB. Clinical public health implications of antimalarial drug resistance. In: Rosenthal, PJ., editor. *Antimalarial Chemotherapy: Mechanisms of Action, Resistance, and New Directions in Drug Discovery*. Humana Press; Totowa, NJ: 2001. p. 65–83.
6. Shizhen, L. *Compendium of Materia Medica* (Bencao Gangmu). Foreign Languages Press; Beijing, China: first published in Chinese in 1593, translation published 2003
7. Klayman DL. Qinghaosu (artemisinin): an antimalarial drug from China. *Science* 1985;228:1049–1055. [PubMed: 3887571]
8. O'Neill PM, Posner GH. A medicinal chemistry perspective on artemisinin and related endoperoxides. *J Med Chem* 2004;47:2945–2964. [PubMed: 15163175]
9. Tang Y, Dong Y, Vennerstrom JL. Synthetic peroxides as antimalarials. *Med Res Rev* 2004;24:425–448. [PubMed: 15170591]
10. Jefford CW. Synthetic peroxides as antimalarials. *Curr Opin In Vest Drugs* (Thomson Sci) 2004;5:866–872.
11. Haynes RK. From artemisinin to new artemisinin antimalarials: Biosynthesis, extraction, old and new derivatives, stereochemistry and medicinal chemistry requirements. *Curr Top Med Chem* 2006;6:509–537. [PubMed: 16719805]
12. Bégué J-P, Bonnet-Delpon D. Fluoroartemisinins: metabolically more stable antimalarial artemisinin derivatives. *ChemMedChem* 2007;2:608–624. [PubMed: 17252616]
13. Gelb MH. Drug discovery for malaria: A very challenging endeavor. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2007;11:440–445. [PubMed: 17761335]
14. Ashley EA, White NJ. Artemisinin-based combinations. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2005;18:531–536. [PubMed: 16258328]
15. (a) Adjuik M, Babiker A, Garner P, Olliaro P, Taylor W, White N. Artesunate combinations for treatment of malaria: meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2004;363:9–17. [PubMed: 14723987] (b) Guthmann J-P, Cohuet S, Rigutto C, Fortes F, Saraiva N, Kiguli J, Kyomuhendo J, Francis M, Noel F, Mulemba M, Balkan S. High efficacy of two artemisinin-based combinations (artesunate + amodiaquine and artemether + lumefantrine) in Caala, Central Angola. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2006;75:143–145. [PubMed: 16837721]
16. Guidelines for the Treatment of Malaria. World Health Organization; Switzerland: 2006.
17. Myint HY, Ashley EA, Day NPJ, Nosten F, White NJ. Efficacy and safety of dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2007;101:858–866. [PubMed: 17659311]
18. Sagara I, Diallo AD, Kone M, Coulibaly M, Diawara SI, Guindo O, Maiga H, Niambele MB, Sissoko M, Dicko A, Djimde A, Doumbo OK. A randomized trial of artesunate-mefloquine versus artemether-lumefantrine for treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Mali. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2008;79:655–661. [PubMed: 18981499]

19. Posner GH, Paik I-H, Chang W, Borstnik K, Sinishtaj S, Rosenthal AS, Shapiro TA. Malaria-infected mice are cured by a single dose of novel artemisinin derivatives. *J Med Chem* 2007;50:2516–2519. [PubMed: 17439113]
20. Posner GH, Chang W, Hess L, Woodard L, Sinishtaj S, Usera AR, Maio W, Rosenthal AS, Kalinda AS, D'Angelo JG, Petersen KS, Stohler R, Chollet J, Santo-Tomas J, Snyder C, Rottmann M, Wittlin S, Brun R, Shapiro TA. Malaria-infected mice are cured by oral administration of new artemisinin derivatives. *J Med Chem* 2008;51:1035–1042. [PubMed: 18232653]
21. For other trioxane dimers see Ekthawatchai S, Kamchonwongpaisan S, Kongsaree P, Tarnchompoo B, Thebtaranonth Y, Yuthavong Y. C-16 artemisinin derivatives and their antimalarial and cytotoxic activities: Syntheses of artemisinin monomers, dimers, trimers, and tetramers by nucleophilic additions to artemisitene. *J Med Chem* 2001;44:4688–4695.4695 [PubMed: 11741486]
22. For additional trioxane dimers see: Jung M, Lee S, Ham J, Lee K, Kim H, Kim SK. Antitumor activity of novel deoxoartemisinin monomers, dimers, and trimer. *J Med Chem* 2003;46:987–994.994 [PubMed: 12620075]
23. Alagbala AA, McRiner AJ, Borstnik K, Labonte T, Chang W, D-Angelo JG, Posner GH, Foster BA. Biological mechanism of action of Novel C-10 Non-acetal trioxane dimers in prostate cancer cell lines. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:7836–7842. [PubMed: 17181166]
24. Gatter KC, Brown G, Townbridge IS, Woolston RE, Mason DY. Transferrin receptors in human tissues; their distribution and possible clinical relevance. *J Clin Pathol* 1983;36:539–545. [PubMed: 6302135]
25. Zhou H-J, Wang Z, Li A. Dihydroartemisinin induces apoptosis in human leukemia cells HL60 via downregulation of transferrin receptor expression. *Anti-cancer Drugs* 2008;19:247–255. [PubMed: 18510170]
26. Lai H, Sasaki T, Singh NP. Targeted treatment of cancer with artemisinin and artemisinin-tagged iron-carrying compounds. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2005;9:995–1007. [PubMed: 16185154]
27. Posner GH, D'Angelo J, O'Neill PM, Mercer A. Anticancer activity of artemisinin-derived trioxanes. *Expert Opin Ther Patents* 2006;16:1665–1672.
28. Jung M, Lee K, Kim H, Park M. Recent advances in artemisinin and its derivatives as antimalarial and antitumor agents. *Curr Med Chem* 2004;11:1265–1284. [PubMed: 15134519]
29. Efferth T. Mechanistic perspectives for 1,2,4-trioxanes in anti-cancer therapy. *Drug Res Update* 2005;8:85–97.
30. Posner GH, Paik I-H, Sur S, McRiner AJ, Borstnik K, Xie S, Shapiro TA. Orally active, antimalarial, anticancer, artemisinin-derived trioxane dimers with high stability and efficacy. *J Med Chem* 2003;46:1060–1065. [PubMed: 12620083]
31. Kocienski PJ, Cernigliaro G, Feldstein G. A synthesis of methyl *n*-tetradeca-trans-2,4,5-trienoate, an allenic ester produced by the male dried bean beetle *acanthoscelides obtectus* (say). *J Org Chem* 1977;42:353–355.
32. Bachi MD, Korshin EE, Hoos R, Szpilman AM, Ploypradith P, Xie S, Shapiro TA, Posner GH. A short synthesis and biological evaluation of potent and nontoxic antimalarial bridged bicyclic sulfonyl-endoperoxides.
33. Haynes RK, Fugmann B, Stetter J, Rieckmann K, Heilmann H-D, Chan H-W, Cheung M-K, Lam W-L, Wong H-N, Croft SL, Vivas L, Rattray L, Stewart L, Peters W, Robinson BL, Edstein MD, Kotecka B, Kyle DE, Beckermann B, Gerisch M, Radtke M, Schmuck G, Steinke W, Wollborn U, Schmeer K, Römer A. Artemisone—A highly active antimalarial drug of the artemisinin class. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2006;45:2082–2088.
34. Posner GH, O'Dowd H, Ploypradith P, Cumming JN, Xie S, Shapiro TA. Antimalarial cyclic peroxy ketals. *J Med Chem* 1998;41:2164–2167. [PubMed: 9622557]
35. Posner GH, O'Dowd H, Caferro T, Cumming JN, Ploypradith P, Xie S, Shapiro TA. Antimalarial sulfone trioxanes. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1998;39:2273–2276.
36. Posner GH, Maxwell JP, O'Dowd H, Krasavin M, Xie S, Shapiro TA. Antimalarial sulfide, sulfone, and sulfonamide trioxanes. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2000;8:1361–1370. [PubMed: 10896113]
37. Posner GH, Paik I-H, Sur S, McRiner AJ, Borstnik K, Xie S, Shapiro TA. Orally activeOrally active, antimalarial, anticancer, artemisinin-derived trioxane dimers with high stability and efficacy. *J Med Chem* 2003;46:1060–1065. [PubMed: 12620083]

38. Chen X, Chong CR, Shi I, Yoshimoto T, Sullivan DJ Jr, Lin JO. Inhibitors of *Plasmodium falciparum* methionine aminopeptidase 1b possess antimalarial activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:14548–14553. [PubMed: 16983082]
39. Vennerstrom JL, Arbe-Barnes S, Brun R, Charman SA, Chiu FCK, Chollet J, Dong Y, Dorn A, Hunziker D, Matile H, McIntosh K, Padmanilayam M, Santo TJ, Scheurer C, Scorneaux B, Tang Y, Urwyler H, Wittlin S, Charman WN. Identification of an antimalarial synthetic trioxolane drug development candidate. *Nature* 2004;430:900–904. [PubMed: 15318224]
40. Harris KA, Harney e, Small EJ. Liposomal doxorubicin for the treatment of hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Clin Prostate Cancer* 2002;1:37–41. [PubMed: 15046711]
41. Takara H, Sakaeda T, Yagami T, Kobayashi H, Ohmoto N, Horinouchi M, Nishiguchi K, Okumura K. Cytotoxic Effects of 27 Anticancer Drugs in HeLa and MDR1-Overexpressing Derivative Cell Lines. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2002;25:771–778. [PubMed: 12081145]
42. Paik I-H, Xie S, Shapiro TA, Labonte T, Narducci Sargeant AA, Baeye AC, Posner GH. Second generation, orally active, antimalarial, artemisinin-derived trioxane dimers with high stability, efficacy and anticancer activity. *J Med Chem* 2006;49:2731–2734. [PubMed: 16640333]
43. Vichai V, Kirtikara K. Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxic screening. *Nature Protocols* 2006;3:1112–1116.

Scheme I.

Table 1
Antimalarial Efficacy Using a Single Oral Dose of Dimeric Trioxane Sulfones 4 in *P. berghei*-Infected Mice

Dimeric Trioxane Sulfone	Average Survival (days) After Infection; Trioxane Dose (mg/kg)		% Suppression of Parasitemia (on day 3 post infection)			
	1 × 54	1 × 72	1 × 144	1 × 54	1 × 72	1 × 144
4a	14.6	—	—	97.1	-	-
4b	20.4 (11,12,19,30,30) ^a	25.0 (12,25,27,30,30) ^a	30.0 (2 sick, 3 cured)	99.8	99.6	>99.9
4c	22.8 (11,24,26,26,27) ^a	23.0 (11,14,30,30,30) ^a	30.0 (all cured)	99.5	98.6	99.3
4d	16.4	-	-	99.2	-	-
4e	14.8	-	-	92.4	-	-
4f	11.0	-	-	2.0	-	-
Vehicle (no drug)	-	6.4 (6,6,6,7,7) ^a	-	-	-	-
1b	11 (8,10,11,13,13) ^a	9.8 (9,9,10,10,11) ^a	12.4 (12,12,12,13,13) ^a	99.4	98.7	99.8
1c	-	7.6 ^b	-	-	-	-
7	-	10.7 ^b	-	-	99.95 ^b	-

^a Actual mouse survival until day.

^b Data from Supporting Information in ref. 39 using a single oral dose of 30 mg/kg. A dash in the table means not tested.

Table 2
Anticancer Activity of Dimeric Trioxane Sulfones **4b** and **4c** in Human Cancer Cell Lines

Cancer cell line	IC ₅₀ Values (μM) ^a	
	Dimer 4b	Dimer 4c
U-937-Lymphoma	0.28 ± 0.15	0.28 ± 0.13
HL-60-Leukemia	0.13 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.02
SK-MEL-5-Melanoma	0.14 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.03
UACC-62-Melanoma	0.03 ± 0.01	0.04 ± 0.02
HeLa-Cervical	1.1 ± 0.95	0.11 ± 0.06
Control: WT-MEF Noncancerous mouse embryonic fibroblasts	>50	>50
Hs888Lu Noncancerous human lung fibroblasts	>50	>50

^a Average of at least three independent experiments with standard error of the mean. Compound toxicity was determined by the MTS assay (for U-937 and HL-60) or the SRB assay (for all other cell lines) after 72 h of cell treatment with the trioxane dimer as described in the experimental section. The IC₅₀ value is the concentration at which 50% of the cells are no longer viable (MTS assay), or where 50% of the cell biomass has been reduced (for the SRB assay)