Published in final edited form as:

Nat Neurosci. 2008 August ; 11(8): 865–867. doi:10.1038/nn.2131.

Action Potentials Contribute to Neuronal Signaling in *C. elegans*

Jerry E. Mellem, Penelope J. Brockie, David M. Madsen, and Andres V. Maricq*

Department of Biology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0840

Abstract

Small, high impedance neurons with short processes, like those found in the soil nematode *C. elegans*, are predicted to transmit electrical signals by passive propagation. We now show that certain neurons in *C. elegans* fire regenerative action potentials. These neurons resemble Schmitt triggers because their potential state appears to be bistable. Transitions between up and down states can be triggered by application of the neurotransmitter glutamate or brief current pulses.

The nematode *C. elegans* is widely used for genetic studies of nervous system development and function. One attractive feature of *C. elegans*, a self-fertilizing hermaphrodite organism with 302 neurons, is the prospect of gaining a molecular understanding of how neural circuits control behavior¹. In most of the vertebrate nervous system, information is coded by the frequency of action potentials—regenerative all-or-none changes in the membrane potential that allow the transmission of information over long distances without the decrease in information content that would occur with passive propagation. Although many molecules are conserved between *C. elegans* and vertebrate nervous systems, predicted channel proteins that would contribute to Na⁺-dependent action potentials have not been identified², suggesting that *C. elegans* neurons may transmit information by simple passive propagation. This notion is supported by an *in vivo* electrophysiological study that found select neurons to be isopotential, with no evidence of classical action potentials, suggesting passive signal propagation along high impedance neurons³. In addition, electrical recordings have not revealed action potentials in the parasitic nematode *Ascaris suum*⁴. On the other hand, there is indirect evidence that *C. elegans* neurons may have intrinsic membrane properties that allow generation of action potentials⁵. Moreover, action potentials in neurons and muscles can be generated by the activation of voltage-gated calcium channels and several studies have suggested the possibility of active signaling in *C. elegans* muscles^{5,6} and graded active responses in *Ascaris*⁷.

We now find that at least one class of neurons in *C. elegans* fires regenerative action potentials that can lead to long-lived changes in membrane potential. *C. elegans* RMD class interneurons, which synapse with interneurons and muscle cells, and AVA command interneurons, which synapse with motor neurons, primarily contribute to the control of head movements and locomotion⁸. These neurons express ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs), including the AMPA receptor subunit GLR-1⁹. Using previously described patch-clamp recording techniques¹⁰, we recorded from a neuron immediately adjacent to AVA in transgenic worms that expressed a GFP transgene under control of the *glr-1* promoter. Based on position and GFP expression we believe this neuron was RMD. In 14 out of 16 RMD neurons, we found that the voltage response to depolarizing current ramps was linear from approximately –80

*Corresponding Author (E-mail: maricq@biology.utah.edu).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.E.M. performed the electrophysiological experiments and participated in data analysis; P.J.B. generated strains, participated in data analysis and helped prepare the manuscript; D.M.M. provided molecular biology expertise; and A.V.M. supervised the experiments, participated in data analysis and wrote the manuscript.

mV to -60 mV, but the voltage response then became regenerative, leading to a solitary action potential, with no bursting (Fig. 1a). In contrast, we never observed action potentials in AVA ($n=10$; Fig. 1b). We also found that small depolarizing current steps were sufficient to generate long-lived action potentials in RMD (Fig. 1c). Upon cessation of the current step, the voltage relaxed to a new steady-state value of approximately -10 mV; in marked contrast to the initial resting potential of approximately -73 mV (Fig. 1c). We found bistable potentials associated with 54 of 98 RMD action potentials. In contrast, the resting potential of AVA was typically between -20 and -30 mV and we did not observe action potentials (Fig. 1d), even when we changed the resting potential to more hyperpolarized levels (Supplementary Fig. 1a). In RMD we observed long-lived spontaneous fluctuations in membrane potential between the two states even under conditions where we injected no current (Fig. 1e). Under these same conditions we did not observe fluctuations between two long-lived states in AVA (Fig. 1f), although we did observe fluctuations when the resting potential was changed to more hyperpolarized levels (Supplementary Fig. 1b). We assume that these fluctuations result from synaptic inputs.

Typically, regenerative changes in potential result from negative slope-conductance regions in the membrane current-voltage relation. Voltage clamp experiments revealed a substantial, long-lived depolarization-activated inward current in RMD (Supplementary Fig. 2a). In contrast, depolarization-activated inward currents were considerably less prominent in AVA, either due to intrinsic differences between the neurons or secondary to differential washout of critical intracellular molecules. Analysis of the current-voltage relations from the voltage-clamp experiments revealed a negative-slope region for RMD, but not for AVA (Supplementary Fig. 2b). These results raised the possibility that voltage-dependent Ca^{2+} or Na^{+} currents underlie the regenerative changes in potential.

Bath application of the Na^{+} -channel blocker TTX ($2\text{ }\mu\text{M}$), failed to block action potentials (data not shown), which is in agreement with genome analysis that has failed to identify conserved voltage-dependent Na^{+} channels². In addition, when external Na^{+} was replaced by the large cation NMDG⁺ we still observed action potentials in response to steps of depolarizing current (Fig. 2a); however, two changes were apparent. First, for equivalent depolarizing current steps, the onset of action potentials was slightly delayed and their peak amplitude reduced. Second, the plateau potential following cessation of the current step was eliminated in Na^{+} -free external solution. Partial recovery from both changes was observed following return to normal extracellular solution. In contrast, when both external Na^{+} and Ca^{2+} were replaced by NMDG⁺, and the solution buffered with EGTA, depolarizing current steps no longer elicited action potentials (Fig. 2b). These results indicate that Ca^{2+} has a critical role in action potential generation in RMD.

Vertebrate studies have identified three classes of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) that differ on the basis of voltage-activation, pharmacology and kinetics. Genetic studies and genome analysis have identified three genes in *C. elegans* (*unc-2*, *egl-19* and *cca-1*) that encode pore-forming α subunits of VGCCs with similarity to members of the three classes of vertebrate VGCCs. Thus, *egl-19* encodes an L-type, high-voltage-gated channel; *unc-2* encodes a R,N,P/Q-type high-voltage-gated channel; and *cca-1* encodes a T-type, low-voltage-gated channel¹¹. In addition, two genes, *nca-1* and *nca-2* that contribute to sensitivity to anesthetics¹², encode channels homologous to vertebrate NALCN, a voltage-insensitive non-selective cation channel. To date, no genes have been identified in *C. elegans* that are predicted to encode voltage-gated Na^{+} channels².

To determine whether these gene products contribute to action potential generation, we recorded voltage responses from wild-type and mutant worms. Compared to wild-type (Fig. 3a), we observed smaller amplitude and slower onset action potentials in *unc-2(e55)* mutants (Fig. 3b). However, even in this mutant, we could observe spontaneous long-lived fluctuations

between hyperpolarized and depolarized states (Fig. 3c). We found no major changes in action potentials in *egl-19(n2368)* and *cca-1(ad1650)* single mutants, nor in *nca-2(gk5); nca-1(gk9)* double mutants (Fig. 3d–f). These results suggest that multiple classes of Ca^{2+} channels contribute to action potentials, including perhaps yet to be identified channels.

RMD and AVA both contribute to the control of locomotion, express iGluRs and receive glutamatergic inputs⁹. We have previously described glutamate-gated currents in AVA¹³, and we also find glutamate-gated currents in RMD (data not shown). To test the possibility that sensory input contributes to the apparent bistability of the RMD neurons, we briefly applied 1 mM glutamate to RMD and showed that this was sufficient to trigger an action potential and entry into a long-lived depolarized state ($n=2$; Fig. 3g). When in this depolarized state, additional glutamate applications did not appreciably change the potential. RMD could be returned to its original resting potential by a small hyperpolarizing current injection. Presumably, inhibitory synaptic inputs would switch the neuron back to a more hyperpolarized state. We simulated synaptic currents by injecting current pulses of 10 ms duration into RMD (Fig. 3h). We found that a -30 pA current pulse caused the membrane potential to switch back to a hyperpolarized state (range: -5 pA to -30 pA). Thus, RMD's response to current steps is reminiscent of a Schmitt trigger – the voltage is either low or high depending on whether the input is above or below two separate threshold values. In contrast to what we observed in RMD, glutamate application caused short-lived, modest changes in AVA membrane potential with no switch to a new steady-state potential ($n = 5$; Fig. 3i).

Neurons with processes that extend only short distances, for example in *C. elegans* or in the outer vertebrate retina, do not necessarily need to communicate via action potentials as signal degradation may not be significant over short distances. However, action potentials might also be used to amplify synaptic signals or contribute to information processing. Rhythmic movements of the worm's nose and the control of forward and backward locomotion are regulated in part by RMDs¹⁴ and their Schmitt-trigger-like properties could contribute to the switching of these movements and reduce the effects of noise. Neurons that exhibit bistable potentials are also found in vertebrates. For example, cerebellar Purkinje cells, but not granule cells or interneurons, exist in either an up or down state that can be switched by sensory inputs¹⁵, suggesting that bistability is evolutionarily conserved and may contribute to information processing by neural networks.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Vetter and members of the Maricq laboratory for comments on the manuscript and the *Caenorhabditis* Genetic Center (CGC), funded by the National Institutes of Health: National Center for Resources, for *C. elegans* strains. This research was made possible by support from NIH Grant NS35812.

References

1. de Bono M, Maricq AV. Neuronal substrates of complex behaviors in *C. elegans*. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2005;28:451–501. [PubMed: 16022603]
2. Yu FH, Yarov-Yarovoy V, Gutman GA, Catterall WA. Overview of molecular relationships in the voltage-gated ion channel superfamily. *Pharmacol Rev* 2005;57:387–395. [PubMed: 16382097]
3. Goodman MB, Hall DH, Avery L, Lockery SR. Active currents regulate sensitivity and dynamic range in *C. elegans* neurons. *Neuron* 1998;20:763–772. [PubMed: 9581767]

4. Davis RE, Stretton AO. The motornervous system of *Ascaris*: electrophysiology and anatomy of the neurons and their control by neuromodulators. *Parasitology* 1996;(113 Suppl):S97–S117. [PubMed: 9051930]
5. Raizen DM, Avery L. Electrical activity and behavior in the pharynx of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Neuron* 1994;12:483–495. [PubMed: 8155316]
6. Shtonda B, Avery L. CCA-1, EGL-19 and EXP-2 currents shape action potentials in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* pharynx. *J Exp Biol* 2005;208:2177–2190. [PubMed: 15914661]
7. Davis RE, Stretton AO. Signaling properties of *Ascaris* motoneurons: graded active responses, graded synaptic transmission, and tonic transmitter release. *J Neurosci* 1989;9:415–425. [PubMed: 2563763]
8. Zheng Y, Brockie PJ, Mellem JE, Madsen DM, Maricq AV. Neuronal Control of Locomotion in *C. elegans* is Modified by a Dominant Mutation in the GLR-1 Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor. *Neuron* 1999;24:347–361. [PubMed: 10571229]
9. Brockie PJ, Madsen DM, Zheng Y, Mellem J, Maricq AV. Differential expression of glutamate receptor subunits in the nervous system of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and their regulation by the homeodomain protein UNC-42. *J Neurosci* 2001;21:1510–1522. [PubMed: 11222641]
10. Francis MM, Maricq AV. Electrophysiological analysis of neuronal and muscle function in *C. elegans*. *Methods Mol Biol* 2006;351:175–192. [PubMed: 16988434]
11. Frokjaer-Jensen C, et al. Effects of voltage-gated calcium channel subunit genes on calcium influx in cultured *C. elegans* mechanosensory neurons. *J Neurobiol* 2006;66:1125–1139. [PubMed: 16838374]
12. Humphrey JA, et al. A putative cation channel and its novel regulator: cross-species conservation of effects on general anesthesia. *Curr Biol* 2007;17:624–629. [PubMed: 17350263]
13. Zheng Y, Mellem JE, Brockie PJ, Madsen DM, Maricq AV. SOL-1 is a CUB-domain protein required for GLR-1 glutamate receptor function in *C. elegans*. *Nature* 2004;427:451–457. [PubMed: 14749834]
14. Gray JM, Hill JJ, Bargmann CI. A circuit for navigation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005
15. Loewenstein Y, et al. Bistability of cerebellar Purkinje cells modulated by sensory stimulation. *Nat Neurosci* 2005;8:202–211. [PubMed: 15665875]

Figure 1.

The RMD neuron fires action potentials and exhibits bistability. (a, b) Current-clamp records from the RMD (a) and AVA (b) neurons. Voltage (top) in response to a ramp of injected current (bottom). (c, d) Voltage responses to small hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current-steps in RMD (c) and AVA (d). (e, f) Voltage when zero holding current in RMD (e) and AVA (f). Transgenic worms that expressed GFP under the regulation of the *glr-1* promoter (pDM1286) were used for *in vivo* electrophysiological experiments. Electrophysiological recordings from the RMD and AVA neurons *in vivo* were made as previously described¹⁰.

Figure 2.

Action potentials in RMD depend on external Ca²⁺. **(a, b)** Action potentials measured in RMD in response to steps of depolarizing current in either normal ECF (top), Na⁺-free ECF **(a)** or Na⁺/Ca²⁺-free ECF **(b)** (middle), followed by a return to normal ECF (bottom).

Figure 3.

Mutations in either voltage-gated or voltage-insensitive cation channels do not eliminate action potentials in RMD. (a, b, d–f) Action potentials measured in RMD in response to steps of depolarizing current in either wild-type (a) or mutant worms (b, d–f). (c) Bistable hyperpolarized and depolarized states in RMD of *unc-2(e55)* mutants held at 0 pA current. (g) RMD sustained voltage response to brief applications of 1 mM glutamate. Membrane potential switched back to approximately -75 mV (vertical arrow) following a 4 second step of hyperpolarizing current. (h) RMD current injections (10 ms), simulating inhibitory synaptic currents, switch the membrane potential to a hyperpolarized state. (i) AVA transient voltage

response to brief applications of 1 mM glutamate. In **(g)** and **(i)** the short, horizontal bars indicate pressure application of glutamate in a continuous flowing bath.