Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2009 May 21; 11(10): 2205–2208. doi:10.1021/ol900586f.

Catalytic, Asymmetric Michael Reactions of Cyclic Diketones with β,γ -Unsaturated- α -ketoesters

Michael A. Calter and Jun Wang

Department of Chemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut 06459

Abstract

Newly synthesized cinchona alkaloid-derived pyrimidines function as effective asymmetric catalysts for the Michael reaction between cyclic diketones and β,γ -unsaturated- α -ketoesters. The reactions of electrophiles with either aryl or alkyl γ -substituents give 64–99% yields and 94–99% ee.

The Michael reaction stands as one of the fundamental methods for creating carbon-carbon bonds. As this reaction also often results in the creation of stereocenters, much effort has focused on the discovery of catalytic, asymmetric versions of the Michael reaction.¹ Several excellent methods using metal complexes² or organic molecules as catalysts have emerged;³ however, these methods all apply only to certain substrate classes. We were particularly interested in the addition of cyclic diketones to enones, as the product of such a Michael reaction could potentially provide an advanced intermediate in the synthesis of the hexahydroquinoline class of calcium channel blockers (Scheme 1).⁴ Although Itoh et al. have reported high enantioselectivities for the related addition of diketones to unsaturated pyrazole amides,^{2d} the highest enantioselectivity reported for the addition of diketones to enones is 45%.^{3d} Therefore, we set out to maximize the asymmetric induction of this type of reaction.

Our results with the catalytic, asymmetric, interrupted Feist-Bénary (IFB) reaction strongly influenced our exploration of the Michael reaction.⁵ The IFB reaction employs a diketone nucleophile, and an α -ketoester electrophile. In order to maximize the similarity between the IFB and Michael reactions, we chose β,γ -unsaturated- α -ketoesters as the electrophiles for the

E-mail: mcalter@wesleyan.edu.

Supporting Information **Available**. Complete experimental details and characterization data for all new compounds, along with the details of the X-ray structure of **3d**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Michael reaction. As we had found that pyrimidine-bis-cinchona alkaloid derivatives provide the highest enantioselectivity in the IFB reaction, we first tested these compounds as catalysts for the Michael reaction.

We used the reaction between dimedone (**1a**) and β,γ -unsaturated- α -ketoester **2a** to screen for the optimal catalyst (Table 1). The product of this reaction cyclizes to form lactol **3a** as an equilibrating mixture of anomers. These anomers equilibrate slowly enough that they show up as separate compounds by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR, but quickly enough that they do not resolve by chromatography. The trace of racemic **3a** on a Chiralpak AD HPLC column shows only two peaks for the two enantiomers. By screening catalysts previously synthesized in our group,⁵ we immediately found a promising catalyst, **4d**, which gives 84% ee. We also observed that increasing the bulk of the pyrimidine C_5 -substituent led to more dramatic increases in enantioselectivity than increasing the size of the C_2 -substituent (**5** to **4c** vs. **5** to **4b**). We therefore postulated that converting the C_5 -*t*Bu substituent into a triethylmethyl group would further improve the enantioselectivity.

Accordingly, we prepared four catalysts built on the C_2 -*t*Bu- C_5 -CEt₃-pyrimidine core. These new catalysts, **6a**, **6b**, **7a** and **7b**, were synthesized by the reaction of dichloropyrimidine **10** with quinidine (QDH), dihydroquinidine (DHQDH), quinine (QNH) and dihydroquinine (DHQNH), respectively (Scheme 2). We prepared **10** from known diester **8**⁶ by pyrimidine formation and chlorination.⁷ To our surprise, all the substitution reactions of **10** with cinchona alkaloids afforded only the mono-substituted compounds.⁸ The very bulky triethylmethyl group most likely blocks the 4-position of the pyrimidine from further attack by the alkaloid.

Gratifyingly, catalyst **6a** afforded over 90% ee in the test reaction (Table 2, entry 1). The corresponding quinine-based catalysts gave lower enantioselectivity for the opposite enantiomer, but the dihydroquinine-based catalyst **7b** slightly outperformed quinine-based **7a** (entry 4 vs. entry 3). We then studied the effects of temperature and solvent on the enantioselectivity with optimal catalysts **6a** and **7b**. Running the reaction at 0 °C does not lower the asymmetric induction, but did improve the reaction rate and yields. The enantioselectivity did begin to suffer at room temperature, however, so we carried out the solvent screening at 0 °C. We found this reaction functions in several commonly used solvents besides methylene chloride, such as benzene, toluene, ether and tetrahydrofuran. However, toluene clearly provided the best enantioselectivities, and therefore allowed dihydroquinine-based catalyst **7b** to provide synthetically useful levels of selectivity for the (*S*)-enantiomer.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then tested the substrate scope of the reaction by varying the γ -substituent of the electrophile (Table 3). Changing the electronic nature of the aryl substituent in this position had no effect on the rate, yield or enantioselectivity of the reaction (entries 1–3). We assigned the absolute stereochemistry of **3d**, and, by analogy, the remaining Michael products, as *R* by anomalous dispersion analysis of single crystal X-ray data. Alkyl-substituted enones also produced excellent results. We also found that catalyst recovered from the reaction mixture using silica gel flash chromatography gives the same results as the original one (entry 6, Table 3 vs. entry 7, Table 2). Finally, we found that cyclohexane dione (**1b**) itself functions as a satisfactory nucleophile for the reaction.

The assays for the optical activity of compounds **3b**–**3d** and **3g** paralleled that for **3a**, but we needed to develop a new assay for compounds **3e** and **3f**, as these compounds did not possess a chromophore adequate for accurate HPLC analysis. We subjected these compounds to dehydrating conditions to afford dihydropyrans **11a** and **11b** (Scheme 3). The dihydropyrans gave excellent enantiomeric separations on the HPLC.

Finally, we have shown that the Michael adducts can be transformed into hexahydroquinolines with negligible loss of optical activity. Reaction of **3b** (96% ee) with ammonium acetate yields hexahydroquinoline **12** (94% ee) (Scheme 4).⁹

In summary, cinchona alkaloid-derived pyrimidine organocatalysts were synthesized and afford excellent enantioselectivity in the asymmetric Michael reaction of β,γ -unsaturated- α -ketoesters with cyclic diketones. The reaction runs under mild conditions in a variety of solvents under the influence of a readily available and recoverable catalyst, while tolerating functional group variation on the γ -carbon of the electrophile. We are currently exploring an expansion of the nucleophile and electrophile scope of this Michael reaction.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

We thank the NIH for financial support of this work. We acknowledge Dr. Ryan Phillips (IRIX Pharmaceuticals) for preliminary experiments. We also acknowledge Dr. Christopher Incarvito at the Yale University X-ray Crystallographic Facility for completing the X-ray structure of **3d**.

References

1. Yamaguchi, M. Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis. Jacobsen, EN.; Pfalz, A.; Yamamoto, H., editors. Springer; Berlin: 1999. Chapter 31.2
2. a Jautze S, Peters R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2008;47:9284–9288. [PubMed: 18956390] b Agostinho M, Kobayashi S. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:2430–4231. [PubMed: 18247613] c Desimoni G, Faita G, Jørgensen KA. Chem. Rev 2006;106:3561–3651. [PubMed: 16967916] d Itoh K, Hasegawa M, Tanaka J, Kanemasa S. Org. Lett 2005;7:979–981. [PubMed: 15760118]
3. a Chen Y-C. Synlett 2008:1919–1930. b Mukherjee S, Yang JW, Hoffman S, List B. Chem. Rev 2007;107:5471–5569. [PubMed: 18072803] c Pellissier H. Tetrahedron 2007;63:9267–9331. d Halland N, Velgaard T, Jørgensen KA. J. Org. Chem 2003;68:5067–5074. [PubMed: 12816459]
4. Rodrigo GC, Standen NB. Curr. Pharm. Design 2005;11:1915–1940.
5. Calter MA, Phillips RM, Flaschenriem C. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:14566–14567. [PubMed: 16231897]
6. Holmberg C. Liebigs Ann. Chem 1981:748–60.
7. Crispino GA, Jeong KS, Kolb HC, Wang ZM, Xe D, Sharpless KB. J. Org. Chem 1993;58:3785–3786.
8. We adapted the conditions for this step from reference 7. For another example of mono-substitution of a dichloropyrimidine with a cinchona alkaloid, and use of the resulting compound as a catalyst for a different type of Michael reaction, see Wu F, Hong R, Khan J, Liu X, Deng L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2006;45:4301–4305.4305 [PubMed: 16739143].
9. Nenajdenko VG, Druzhinin SV, Balenkova ES. J. Fluorine Chem 2006;127:865–873.

Scheme 1.
Target Michael Reaction

quinidyl (QD): R = vinyl
 dihydroquinidyl (DHQD): R = Et

quinylyl (QN): R = vinyl
 dihydroquinylyl (DHQN): R = Et

4a-4d: R₄ = QD

4a: R₂ = H, R₅ = Ph

4b: R₂ = *t*Bu, R₅ = Ph

4c: R₂ = Ph, R₅ = *t*Bu

4d: R₂ = *t*Bu, R₅ = *t*Bu

5: R₂ = R₅ = Ph, R₄ = DHQD

Figure 1.
 Cinchona alkaloid-derived pyrimidine organocatalysts

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of New Catalysts

Scheme 3.
Dehydration of **3e** and **3f**

Scheme 4.
Conversion of **3b** into hexahydroquinoline **12**

Table 1

Catalyzed Michael Reaction of **1a** and **2a**

entry	catalyst	yield (%)	ee (%) ^a
1	quinuclidine	85	0
2	4a	58	59
3	4b	69	65
4	4c	55	74
5	4d	95	84
6	5	47	63

^aDetermined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

Table 2
Optimization of Reaction Conditions for the Michael Reaction of **1a** with **2a** using catalysts **6a**, **6b**, **7a** and **7b**.

entry	catalyst	solvent	temp (°C)	time (h)	% yield	% ee ^d
1	6a	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-20	72	60	92
2	6b	"	"	"	97	90
3	7a	"	"	"	65	84 ^b
4	7b	"	"	"	97	88 ^b
5	6a	"	0	24	78	92
6	"	"	25	"	81	88
7	"	PhMe	0	"	97	96
8	"	"	25	"	81	88
9	"	PhH	0	"	92	94
10	"	Et ₂ O	"	"	91	94
11	"	THF	"	"	71	92
12	"	MeOH	"	"	89	73
13	"	CH ₃ CN	"	"	90	77
14	"	DMF	"	"	59	46
15	7b	CH ₂ Cl ₂	"	"	97	88 ^b
16	"	PhMe	"	"	89	92 ^b

^d Determined by HPLC analysis of the purified product.

^b (S)-Enantiomer is major product.

Table 3

Substrate Scope of the Michael Reaction.

entry	nucleophile	electrophile	product	% yield	% ee ^a
1	1a	2b	3b	95	96
2	1a	2c	3c	96	99
3	1a	2d	3d	99	99
4	1a	2e	3e	74	95 ^b
5	1a	2f	3f	64	94 ^b
6 ^c	1a	2a	3a	97	96
7	1b	2a	3g	89	97

^a Determined by HPLC analysis of purified product.

^b Determined by HPLC analysis of the derived dihydropyran.

^c Reaction performed with recovered **6a**.