Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Biol. 2008 February 1; 375(5): 1282–1292. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2007.11.054.

MECHANISM AND HYDROPHOBIC FORCES DRIVING MEMBRANE PROTEIN INSERTION OF SUBUNIT II OF CYTOCHROME BO OXIDASE

Nil Celebi¹, Ross E. Dalbey^{1,*}, and Jijun Yuan¹

¹Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

Abstract

Subunit II (CyoA) of cytochrome bo oxidase, which spans the inner membrane twice in bacteria, has several unusual features in membrane biogenesis. It is synthesized with an amino-terminal signal peptide. In addition, distinct pathways are used to insert the two ends of the protein. The amino-terminal domain is inserted by the YidC pathway whereas the large carboxyl-terminal domain is translocated by the SecYEG pathway. Insertion of the protein is also pmf-independent. In this study we examined the topogenic requirements and mechanism of insertion of CyoA in bacteria. We find that both the signal peptide and the first membrane spanning region are required for insertion of the amino-terminal periplasmic loop. The pmf-independence of insertion of the first periplasmic loop is due to the loop's neutral net charge. We observe also that the introduction of negatively charged residues into the periplasmic loop makes insertion pmf dependent, whereas the addition of positively charged residues prevents insertion unless the pmf is abolished. Insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain in the full-length CyoA occurs by a sequential mechanism even when the CyoA amino and carboxyl-terminal domains are swapped with other domains. However, when a long spacer peptide is added to increase the distance between the amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains, insertion no longer occurs by a sequential mechanism.

Keywords

YidC; Oxa1; membrane protein insertion; CyoA; membrane insertase

Introduction

Membrane proteins comprise 30% of the total cellular proteins and have essential cell functions such as in bioenergetics, membrane transport, chemotaxis, cell division, and many other processes. The insertion and assembly of proteins into the membrane rarely occur spontaneously. Rather, there are translocation and insertion devices that facilitate this process. In bacteria, the SecYEG translocase catalyzes the insertion of the majority of proteins into the inner membrane (for review see ^{1,2,3}). The other proteins are inserted and assembled into the membrane by the YidC membrane insertase (for review see ^{4,5,6}).

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, 100 W. 18th Ave., Columbus, OH 43210, USA. Email: E-mail: dalbey@chemistry.ohio-state.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Like exported proteins, integral membrane proteins have hydrophilic domains that must be translocated across membrane bilayer. In addition, membrane proteins contain hydrophobic regions that reside in the membrane after the insertion process. To establish the membrane topology, membrane proteins are synthesized with topogenic sequences that specify for the translocation and membrane integration of the protein⁷. These topogenic elements function as cleavable signal peptides⁸, uncleaved signals⁹, reverse signal-anchors¹⁰, stop transfers¹¹, and helical hairpins¹². Cleavable signal peptides and uncleaved signals translocate C-terminal domains of proteins. Reverse signals, which have the opposite orientation of uncleaved signals, initiate translocation of N-terminal domains of membrane proteins. In contrast, stop-transfer domains do not play a role in translocation of hydrophilic domains but act as membrane anchors¹¹. Some membrane proteins have domains that insert as helical hairpins comprised of a signal peptide and a membrane anchor¹².

Recently, the membrane biogenesis of subunit II (CyoA) of cytochrome bo oxidase has been studied. CyoA is synthesized in a precursor form with an amino-terminal signal peptide (Fig. 1). After processing by lipoprotein signal peptidase, CyoA spans the membrane two times with a short N-terminal tail and a large C-terminal domain¹³. Strikingly, the amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains have different requirements for insertion^{14, 15, 16}. The membrane insertion of the amino-terminal domain is carried out by the “YidC only” pathway while the carboxyl-terminal domain goes by the SecYEG pathway. Translocation of the amino and carboxyl-terminal domains of CyoA occurs in a pmf-independent manner¹⁴.

In this paper, we investigated the mechanism by which CyoA inserts into the membrane. We show that both the signal peptide and the membrane anchor domain of CyoA is necessary for translocation of the short amino-terminal domain of CyoA. We find that translocation of the amino-terminal domain is pmf-independent due to the overall neutral charge of the periplasmic loop. When negatively charged residues are introduced into the loop, translocation becomes dependent on the pmf. Conversely, translocation of the loop is hindered by the pmf when positively charged residues are introduced. Additional evidence is presented to show that CyoA inserts by a strict sequential mechanism requiring the insertion of the amino-terminal domain prior to the insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain.

Results

Both the signal peptide and the first transmembrane segment of CyoA drive membrane insertion of the amino-terminal domain of the protein

PreCyoA is synthesized with three hydrophobic regions¹⁷ (Fig. 1). The first, residues –16 to –1 is within the signal peptide¹³ and is followed by a hydrophilic region (residues 1–26) that faces the periplasmic space. The second hydrophobic segment (residues 27–45) spans the membrane. The third hydrophobic segment (residues 69–87) spans the membrane with a large carboxyl-terminal domain (residues 88–291) exposed to the periplasmic space. Although the precise beginning and ending of the membrane-spanning regions is not known, the structure of the cytochrome bo oxidase¹⁸ suggests that membrane anchor domain 1 is much larger, beginning to span the membrane around residue 12. To examine the mechanism by which the amino-terminal domain of CyoA inserts into the membrane, we first used the Pre-CyoA-N-P2 construct which has the leader peptidase P2 (lep P2) domain attached after the first transmembrane segment of CyoA. Previously we showed using this construct that the amino-terminal region of CyoA inserts by the YidC pathway¹⁴.

To assess the importance of the signal peptide and first hydrophobic domain of preCyoA for membrane protein insertion, we introduced positively charged arginine residues at various positions in these domains. It is well-established that the introduction of positively charged residues can perturb the function of topogenic sequences by disrupting the hydrophobic

character of these sequences 8, 9, 10, 11, 12. A single arginine was introduced at positions -14 and -9 of the signal peptide or at positions 32, 36 and 39 of the membrane anchor domain 1. We performed protease-accessibility studies to evaluate the effects of these mutations on translocation of the short periplasmic domain. Cells expressing Pre-CyoA-N-P2 L(-14)R were pulse labeled with [³⁵S]-methionine for 2 min, then converted to spheroplasts, and subjected to protease mapping. As can be seen, the mature CyoA-N-P2 L(-14)R is observed in the pulse and it is digested to a shortened protected band by externally added proteinase K, indicating that the protein is inserted.

Similar results were observed with the L(-9)R, I36R, and the V39R mutants (Fig. 2a). It should be noted that there is a background lower molecular weight band that is observed in the “no protease” odd lanes in Fig. 2a. In Pre-CyoA-N-P2 I32R with an arginine introduced at position 32 we see the precursor form accumulate. The precursor form runs at a similar position as the G(-1)F CyoA mutant which accumulates in the precursor form as processing by signal peptidase II is inhibited. As expected, the precursor form of CyoA-N-P2 I32R was resistant to proteinase K digestion while the mature form was mostly digested. In these studies, outer membrane protein A (OmpA) served as a positive control. Degradation of OmpA shows the efficiency of spheroplast formation. The cytoplasmic protein GroEL serves as a negative control. We use GroEL to show that the spheroplasts are intact.

Next, we introduced two arginines either into the signal peptide or membrane anchor domain I (Fig. 2b). As can be seen, the precursor form of the L(-14)R/L(-9)R mutant with two arginines introduced into the signal peptide accumulates and is resistant to PK proteolysis. A complete block in translocation is seen with the L21R/I32R mutant where two arginines are introduced into the membrane anchor domain 1. Note that L21 is predicted to be within the membrane-spanning region according to the structure of cytochrome bo oxidase¹⁸. Finally, the introduction of three arginines completely blocks translocation for the L(-14)R/L(-9)R/I32R mutant. These results indicate that both the signal peptide and the membrane anchor domain are important for translocation of the central loop, consistent with this region of CyoA inserting as a helical hairpin.

Pmf-independence of membrane insertion of CyoA is due to the neutral charge of the periplasmic tail

Previously, the insertion of the amino-terminal domain of CyoA was shown to be pmf-independent¹⁴ unlike the insertion of the amino-terminal domain of the mitochondrial subunit II of cytochrome c oxidase which was absolutely dependent on the pmf^{19, 20}. We hypothesized that this difference is due to the negative charge of the N-terminal domain of the mitochondrial subunit II in contrast to the overall neutral charge of the same domain in CyoA with two acidic and two basic residues¹⁴. We tested this hypothesis by mutating the two positively charged residues at positions 9 and 17 to negatively charged residues and studying the insertion of this mutant by proteinase K mapping. Cells expressing Pre-CyoA-N-P2 K9D/R17D were treated for 45 sec with or without CCCP, prior to labeling cells with [³⁵S]-methionine. CCCP is a protonophore that dissipates the proton motive force²¹. Figure 3a shows that the radiolabeled CyoA-N-P2 K9D/R17D mutant was strictly dependent on the pmf for signal peptidase processing and insertion. In the presence of a pmf, the mature form of CyoA-N-P2 K9D/R17D is observed and this is completely digested to a shifted fragment by proteinase K treatment. On the other hand, in the absence of a pmf, the precursor form of Pre-CyoA-N-P2 K9D/R17D is detected which is resistant to proteinase K digestion. The bottom band in the “no protease” lanes is a background band recognized by the batch of leader peptidase antibody used in this study. We show that the pmf-dependent OmpA accumulates in a precursor form that is also protease-resistant. As a control, we confirmed that the wild-type Pre-CyoA-N-P2 inserts largely in a pmf-independent manner with only a trace of precursor detected when CCCP is

added to abolish the pmf (Fig. 3b). The periplasmic loop of Pre-CyoA-N-P2 is neutral in charge with Asp, Lys, Glu and Arg residues at positions 7, 9, 15 and 17, respectively. Also, the position of the precursor form of CyoA-N-P2 WT and K9D/R17D was determined by accumulation of the precursor proteins by growth of the YidC-depletion strain JS7131 expressing these proteins in glucose (Glc)(see Fig. 3a, left lane, top panel).

The pmf-dependent insertion of the mutant preCyoA-N-P2 with four negatively charged residues in the periplasmic tail is consistent with the notion that pmf drives the translocation of the acidic tail segment. The positively charged periplasmic side of the membrane created by the pmf would promote the movement of a negatively charged region across the bilayer. Conversely, if an electrophoresis mechanism is operational here, then it is expected that the pmf would inhibit the membrane translocation of a positively charged domain. Figure 2c shows that the precursor form is detected for CyoA-N-P2 A4R/L5R where two positively charged residues were introduced into the periplasmic domain. However, when the pmf is abolished by the addition of CCCP, equal amounts of the mature and precursor form are observed. The addition of proteinase K digests the mature form of the protein while the precursor form is largely resistant to proteolysis.

CyoA inserts by a sequential mechanism

Recently, the amino-terminal domain of CyoA was shown to insert by the YidC pathway, while the carboxyl-terminal domain inserted by the SecYEG pathway^{14, 15, 16}. Surprisingly, the insertion of the large carboxyl-terminal domain of CyoA in the intact protein was dependent on YidC, but was not dependent on YidC when the carboxyl-terminal portion of CyoA was studied separately¹⁴. This is consistent with a sequential insertion mechanism where the amino-terminal domain must insert first in order for the carboxyl-terminal domain of the full-length CyoA to insert. To study the insertion mechanism, we introduced two positively charged residues into the signal peptide or membrane anchor domain 1 of the full-length CyoA and tested whether conditions that prevent insertion of the amino-terminal domain block carboxyl-terminal translocation of the CyoA protein. First, to identify the plasmid-encoded Pre-CyoA-10His-L21R/I32R protein on the SDS-PAGE gel, we performed a $-/+$ IPTG induction experiment followed by pull downs using metal affinity resins. In this experiment, we used the JS7131 strain to be able to analyze membrane insertion in the presence or absence of YidC. It is known that the precursor form of CyoA accumulates when YidC is depleted by growth of JS7131 in glucose media allowing us to determine which band is the precursor (see Fig. 4a, right two lanes). The addition of IPTG results in two induced bands (compare $-/+$ induction lanes), one of which runs at the same position as when YidC is depleted (see Glc lanes). Therefore, we assign the higher molecular band as Pre-CyoA-10His-L21R/I32R. The lower induced band is assigned as the mature CyoA-10His-L21R/I32R. The proteinase K data shows that the mature form of the 10His-L21R/I32R is completely digested by proteinase K while the precursor form is resistant to digestion by externally added proteinase K (Fig. 4a). Therefore, when the amino-terminal domain of CyoA does not insert across the membrane, the carboxyl-terminal domain does not insert.

The effects of the positively charged residues introduced into membrane anchor domain 1 on insertion may have to do with targeting since CyoA requires signal recognition particle (SRP) for insertion^{14, 15, 16}, and SRP was shown to interact specifically with membrane anchor domain 1¹⁵. To avoid this potential problem, we altered the signal peptide which has been shown not to interact with SRP¹⁵. The hydrophobic character of the signal peptide was modified by introducing either two positively charged residues into the hydrophobic core or by deleting its hydrophobic domain. We then tested whether the translocation of the carboxyl-terminal domains of the accumulated precursor forms of CyoA is blocked. These mutations in the signal peptide are unlikely to perturb SRP targeting since SRP only binds the membrane

anchor domain of CyoA¹⁵. As can be seen in Figure 4b, membrane insertion of [³⁵S]-labeled CyoA L(-14)R/L(-9)R is inhibited and the precursor form can be detected (see the Pre-CyoA-10His-L14R/I-9R band) upon IPTG induction (compare - + IPTG lanes) and pulled down with the nickel affinity beads. The precursor form that accumulates is not digested by proteinase K, indicating that the C-terminus of the protein is not inserted into the membrane. Similar results are obtained with the CyoA Δ (-15-(-7)) mutant that is seen by the IPTG induction. We confirmed that the positive control OmpA is digested.

A second way to show that the membrane insertion of CyoA occurs in an obligatory sequential mechanism is to make insertion of the amino-terminal domain pmf-dependent by introducing negatively charged residues into the periplasmic tail. A strict sequential mechanism would therefore require the insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain to occur in a pmf-dependent manner. Previously, we showed for the wild-type protein that insertion of the amino-terminal domain is pmf-independent while the translocation of the C-terminal domain is only slightly pmf-dependent¹⁴. Figure 4e shows almost no precursor form of Pre-CyoA (WT) is detected when CCCP is added to collapse the pmf while the export of proOmpA is strongly inhibited and accumulates in a precursor form. Under these conditions, translocation of the carboxyl-terminal domain of the mature CyoA is inhibited to some extent as indicated by the shifted band upon adding protease (see *). Pre-CyoA(-5) with L5E, K9D and R17D mutations in the periplasmic loop, inserts efficiently in the presence of a pmf (Fig. 4d). However, abolishing the pmf by the addition of CCCP, prior to [³⁵S]-methionine labeling, results in the accumulation of the precursor form of the pre-CyoA (-5). This indicates that the amino-terminal domain of CyoA did not translocate across the membrane when the pmf was dissipated. However, the precursor form is largely protease resistant, indicating the carboxyl-terminal domain has not translocated across the membrane. Only about 30% of Pre-CyoA (-5) is digested by externally added proteinase K (Fig. 4d), compared to 70% of the CyoA in the wild-type situation when CCCP is added (Fig. 4e). Taken together, these results reinforce a co-translational mechanism where the export of the amino-terminal domain must precede the export of the carboxyl-terminal domain.

Addition of a long linker after membrane anchor 1 of Pre-CyoA allows insertion to proceed by a non-sequential mechanism, but a sequential mechanism still occurs with different amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains

Exactly how the failure of the amino-terminal domain to insert perturbs the translocation of the carboxyl-terminal hydrophilic domain via the SecYEG pathway is not known. However, it may have to do with the proximity of the domains since the CyoA carboxyl-terminal domain can insert when expressed separately¹⁴ or it may have to do with the specific nature of the amino-terminal or carboxyl-terminal domain or both. To test whether distance that separates the two domains is the critical factor for a sequential mechanism, we separated the amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains by the addition of 10 prolines. 10 prolines would form an extended β strand with a predicted distance of approximately 36Å separating the two ends^{22, 23}. Figure 5a shows that most of preCyoA-P10 is processed to the mature protein by signal peptidase and both termini of the protein are inserted (see Ara lanes). As can be seen, the mature form of [³⁵S]-methionine Pre-CyoA-P₁₀ is observed in the pulse and this protein is digested by externally added proteinase K. Interestingly, if insertion of the amino-terminal domain is prevented by YidC depletion in the JS7131 strain by growth in glucose (Glc), the PreCyoA-P10 mutant is digested by proteinase K, showing that there is efficient translocation of the carboxyl-terminal domain (Fig. 5a). This is very different than what happens with the wild-type CyoA. The precursor form of CyoA (WT) that accumulates under YidC depletion condition is resistant to proteinase K digestion, as the carboxyl-terminal domain cannot translocate across the membrane if the preceding amino-terminal domain is not inserted (Fig. 5b).

We next tested whether the sequential nature of the membrane insertion mechanism also had to do with the characteristics of the CyoA amino-terminal domain or the carboxyl-terminal domain. To test this, we replaced the amino-terminal domain of CyoA with the procoat region to make PC-C-CyoA or the carboxyl-terminal domain of leader peptidase to make N-CyoA-Lep. Figure 5c shows that the preCyoA derivative still largely inserts by a sequential mechanism even with procoat replacing the amino-terminal domain. Procoat, like the Pre-CyoA amino-terminal domain, contains a cleavable signal peptide and has one transmembrane segment. Radiolabeled PC-C-CyoA is processed efficiently by signal peptidase and the mature protein is digested by added proteinase K, indicating that the carboxyl-terminal domain translocated across the membrane (Fig. 5c). However, when insertion of the amino-terminal domain of PC-C-CyoA is blocked by YidC depletion in JS7131, then the precursor form of PC-C-CyoA accumulates and approximately 45% of the protein is resistant to proteolysis. Similar results were found with the N-CyoA-Lep construct. The [³⁵S]-labeled N-CyoA-Lep is rapidly processed and inserted across the membrane when YidC is present at a normal level with the large C-terminal Lep domain translocated across the membrane (Fig. 5d). However, when the amino-terminal domain is blocked by YidC depletion, the carboxyl-terminal domain of N-CyoA-Lep is inhibited in membrane translocation and about 65% of the protein is resistant to proteolysis by PK digestion.

Discussion

CyoA, a two membrane-spanning protein, has sparked excitement recently because it uses two distinct pathways for the insertion of the two ends of the protein. The YidC pathway catalyzes the insertion of the amino terminal domain and the SecYEG pathway facilitates the export of the carboxyl-terminal domains of the protein. In mitochondria, subunit II of cytochrome oxidase requires Oxa1, the YidC homolog, for insertion of the amino-terminal domain^{24, 25}. Interestingly, both the mitochondrial and bacterial subunit II homologs are synthesized with a cleavable signal peptide that gets processed during export.

In this paper, we found that the amino-terminal domain of CyoA requires both the signal peptide and membrane anchor domain I for insertion (Fig. 2). The simplest interpretation of the data is that this region inserts as a helical hairpin with both hydrophobic regions driving insertion, although other mechanisms are possible. This helical hairpin insertion mechanism is reminiscent of the single-membrane spanning M13 procoat protein, which is also synthesized with a signal peptide¹². Helical hairpins are involved in the pairwise insertion of hydrophobic segments in several polytopic membrane proteins. This includes the tetracycline resistance protein²⁶, the anion-exchanger domain of erythrocyte band 3 protein²⁷, lac permease²⁸, and yeast Sec61p²⁹. It has been shown that hydrogen bonding between certain polar residues on different hydrophobic segments can promote the formation of helical hairpins during Sec-assisted translocation^{30, 31}.

A difference between the insertion of subunit II of the mitochondrial and bacterial cytochrome oxidase is that the mitochondrial subunit II absolutely requires the pmf for insertion^{19, 20} whereas the bacterial subunit II inserts independent of the pmf¹⁴. We show here that this different pmf requirement is due to the fact that the mitochondrial subunit II has a highly negatively charged N-terminal luminal domain whereas the periplasmic domain of subunit II in bacteria has a neutral charge. In both bacteria and mitochondria, the pmf is such that it is negatively charged on the inside surface of the inner membrane and positively charged on the outside surface of the inner membrane. Therefore the pmf could promote the export of negatively charged residues by an electrophoretic mechanism. Indeed, when we introduce negatively charged residues into the periplasmic loop, then insertion of this domain becomes pmf dependent (Fig. 3). In contrast, when we add basic residues into the periplasmic loop then insertion is inhibited by the pmf. The hindrance of the transport of positively charged residues

is expected because the positively charged residues are being moved to the positively charged side of the membrane³². However, in our study we did not determine whether it is the electrical component of the pmf that has its effects on the membrane translocation of charged residues. Nor can we distinguish whether the pmf has its translocation effects on the substrate directly or on the YidC insertase.

In bacteria, there is abundant data that shows a correlation between the requirement of pmf and the translocation of negatively charged residues within the Pf3 coat and the M13 procoat proteins^{33, 34}. In addition, the introduction of additional positively charged residues into the translocated domain of the M13 procoat protein has been shown to impede the translocation across the membrane when a pmf is present³⁵. The reason for this inhibition is partly due to the pmf. We have shown with the M13 procoat protein that there is increased translocation of positively charged residues when the pmf is abolished³². Clearly, this negative effect of the pmf on the translocation of positively charged residues is a contributor to the positive inside rule which predicts positively charged residues flanking the hydrophobic segments of membrane proteins face the cytoplasmic side of the membrane³⁶. However, it is not the sole determinant of this topological rule^{37, 38}.

In mitochondria, subunit II of cytochrome c oxidase inserts by a sequential mechanism, requiring export of the amino-terminal domain for the export of the carboxyl-terminal domain to proceed. Three pieces of evidence suggest that subunit II of cytochrome bo oxidase in bacteria inserts also by a strict sequential mechanism. First, YidC depletion which blocks insertion of the amino-terminal domain also prevents insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain¹⁴. Second, the introduction of positively charged residues either in the signal peptide or the membrane anchor domain 1, which block translocation of the amino-terminal-tail, also block the export of the carboxyl-terminal domain (Fig. 4). Third, blocking the export of the pmf-dependent amino-terminal domain by the dissipation of the pmf, also blocks the translocation of the pmf-independent carboxyl-terminal domain. Sequential insertion mechanisms for polytopic membrane proteins have been reported^{39, 40}. However, it is quite uncommon for proteins to insert sequentially.

A strict sequential mechanism as seen here with CyoA is believed to be unusual for membrane insertion of proteins in bacteria. There are a number of examples where membrane proteins insert in a non-sequential manner and blocking of insertion of an upstream domain does not disturb the insertion of a downstream region^{41, 42}. Moreover, in some cases, membrane insertion of downstream regions of a protein can control the insertion of upstream domains^{43, 44, 45}. These later studies suggest that there are topological determinants that are localized throughout the membrane protein and that long-range cooperation between hydrophobic domains can dictate the insertion and topology of a membrane protein.

While we do not know what determines the requirement for a strict co-translational mechanism of CyoA it is determined at least partially by the proximity of the amino and carboxyl-terminal domains. We found that moving the amino and carboxyl-terminal domains of CyoA further apart by inserting a rigid 10 proline spacer (predicted to be 36Å from the beginning and ending of the linker^{22, 23}) did change the insertion mechanism. Translocation of the carboxyl-terminal domain of the CyoA proline construct can occur even without the membrane insertion of the amino-terminal domain when YidC was depleted (see Fig. 5a). However, the nature of the domains that insert by the YidC only pathway or the Sec pathway, does not change this sequential mechanism radically. Replacement of either the amino-terminal CyoA domain with the M13 procoat, known to insert by the YidC only pathway, did not alter the insertion mechanism significantly and neither did swapping the carboxyl-terminal domain of CyoA with the Sec-dependent leader peptidase domain. Efficient insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain still required the amino-terminal domain to insert into the membrane first. However,

carboxyl-terminal translocation of the PreCyoA constructs with the swapped domains was not completely blocked as it was for the wild-type Pre-CyoA when the insertion of the amino-terminal domain was blocked. Future studies will investigate the molecular mechanism by which CyoA is recognized and inserted by the YidC and Sec machineries. These studies may provide insight into why CyoA inserts in an obligatory amino- to carboxy-terminal directionality.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Amino acids, carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP), and lysozyme were obtained from Sigma. Proteinase K was purchased from Qiagen. Trans-[³⁵S]-label, a mixture of 85% [³⁵S]methionine and 15% [³⁵S]cysteine, 1000 Ci/mmol, was from ICN. Anti-GroEL antiserum was purchased from Sigma. Anti-Leader peptidase (anti-Lep) and anti-OmpA antiserum were from our laboratory collection. BD TALON™ metal affinity resin was purchased from BD Bioscience.

Strains, plasmids and growth conditions

The *E. coli* strain JS7131, YidC depletion strain ⁴⁶was from our laboratory collection. The constructs pMS119-PC-Lep and pMS119-pf3-Lep were from our laboratory collection. The constructs pMS119-CyoA, pMS119-CyoA-His10, and pMS119-CyoA-NP2 were from our laboratory collection ¹⁴. Their positively and negatively charged derivatives and a signal peptide deletion mutant, CyoA-His₁₀Δ((-15)-(-7)), were made by PCR mutagenesis using the appropriate construct as a template. The construct pMS119-CyoA-P₁₀ was made by adding 10 proline residues after the 50th residue of CyoA by mutagenic PCR. The construct pMS119-PC-C-CyoA was made by ligating the DNA sequence encoding amino acids 50–291 of CyoA between EcoR I sites after the gene sequence encoding the last amino acid of procoat in the construct pMS119-PC-Lep. Specifically, the gene encoding CyoA residues 50 through 291 (with EcoR I sites at the beginning and end of the CyoA region) was isolated after EcoR I digestion and ligated into the opened EcoR I site at the end of the procoat gene generated by EcoR I digestion of the pMS119-PC-Lep. The orientation of the ligated *cyoA* portion was confirmed by DNA sequencing, giving rise to pMS119-PC-C-CyoA. The construct pMS119-N-CyoA-Lep was made by isolating the BamH I fragment (pf3-Lep) from pMS119-pf3-Lep and ligating it to the opened BamH I site after amino acid 50 of pMS119-CyoA. After confirming the orientation of the insert, all residues between amino acid 50 of CyoA and amino acid 46 of Lep were deleted by mutagenic PCR giving rise to pMS119-N-CyoA-Lep. For YidC depletion, JS7131 cells were grown to mid-log phase in LB supplemented with glucose (0.2% final concentration) for 2 h and 30 min. The cells were switched to M9 medium containing glucose and grown for 30 min before labeling. The growth conditions used in expressing YidC were exactly the same except for the addition of arabinose (0.2% final concentration) instead of glucose. The membrane insertion studies were done using the *E. coli* strain DH5α and JS7131.

Protease-accessibility studies

Cells were grown to mid-log phase as described above under various growth conditions. Expression of CyoA constructs was induced for 5 min by the addition of IPTG at a final concentration of 1 mM. For the pmf depletion studies, the cells were treated with a final concentration of 50 mM CCCP for 45 s prior to labeling. Cells were labeled with [³⁵S] methionine (50 mCi/ml) for 2 min and then converted to spheroplasts. The labeled cells were chilled on ice, pelleted in a microcentrifuge and subjected to lysozyme treatment in sucrose, Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) and EDTA buffer, as described ¹⁰. Unless indicated, aliquots of the spheroplasts were treated either with or without proteinase K (1 mg/ml) for 1 h on ice. After

inactivating the protease by the addition of ice-cold 10% TCA, the samples were immunoprecipitated with antibody against leader peptidase (Lep) (which precipitates P2), GroEL (cytoplasmic protein marker), and outer membrane protein A (outer membrane marker). Samples were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE using a 15% polyacrylamide gel and phosphorimaging⁴⁷. Where indicated, the His₁₀-tagged construct CyoA-C-His₁₀ mutants were isolated following the proteinase K accessibility analysis using a BD TALON™ metal affinity resin from BD Bioscience. After inhibiting the protease, samples were trichloroacetic acid-precipitated and acetone-washed, and the pellet was dissolved in 600 ml of 8 M urea buffer (8 M urea, 10 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 8.0). The samples were then incubated and shaken with resin (30 µl) at 4 °C overnight. The resin was washed twice with urea buffer, and then the His₁₀-tagged constructs were eluted from the resin with urea buffer (40 ml) containing 0.5 M imidazole.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Institute of Health Grant (GM63862-05) to R. E. D.

References

1. Dalbey RE, Chen M. Sec-translocase mediated membrane protein biogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004;1694:37–53. [PubMed: 15546656]
2. Veenendaal AK, van der Does C, Driessen AJ. The protein-conducting channel SecYEG. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2004;1694:81–95. [PubMed: 15546659]
3. Lührink J, von Heijne G, Houben E, de Gier JW. Biogenesis of inner membrane proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2005;59:329–355. [PubMed: 16153172]
4. Dalbey RE, Kuhn A. YidC family members are involved in the membrane insertion, lateral integration, folding, and assembly of membrane proteins. *J Cell Biol* 2004;166:769–774. [PubMed: 15364957]
5. de Gier JW, Lührink J. The ribosome and YidC. New insights into the biogenesis of *Escherichia coli* inner membrane proteins. *EMBO Rep* 2003;4:939–943. [PubMed: 14528263]
6. van der Laan M, Nouwen NP, Driessen AJ. YidC--an evolutionary conserved device for the assembly of energy-transducing membrane protein complexes. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2005;8:182–187. [PubMed: 15802250]
7. Blobel G. Intracellular protein topogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1980;77:1496–1500. [PubMed: 6929499]
8. Michaelis S, Beckwith J. Mechanism of incorporation of cell envelope proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol* 1982;36:435–465. [PubMed: 6756294]
9. Zhu HY, Dalbey RE. Both a short hydrophobic domain and a carboxyl-terminal hydrophilic region are important for signal function in the *Escherichia coli* leader peptidase. *J. Biol. Chem* 1989;264:11833–11838. [PubMed: 2663841]
10. Lee JI, Kuhn A, Dalbey RE. Distinct domains of an oligotopic membrane protein are Sec-dependent and Sec-independent for membrane insertion. *J. Biol. Chem* 1992;267:938–943. [PubMed: 1530939]
11. Davis NG, Model P. An artificial anchor domain: hydrophobicity suffices to stop transfer. *Cell* 1985;41:607–614. [PubMed: 3886166]
12. Kuhn A, Kreil G, Wickner W. Both hydrophobic domains of M13 procoat are required to initiate membrane insertion. *Embo J* 1986;5:3681–3685. [PubMed: 3549284]
13. Ma J, Katsonouri A, Gennis RB. Subunit II of the cytochrome bo₃ ubiquinol oxidase from *Escherichia coli* is a lipoprotein. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:11298–11303. [PubMed: 9298948]
14. Celebi N, Yi L, Facey SJ, Kuhn A, Dalbey RE. Membrane biogenesis of subunit II of cytochrome bo oxidase: contrasting requirements for insertion of N-terminal and C-terminal domains. *J Mol Biol* 2006;357:1428–1436. [PubMed: 16488430]
15. van Bloois E, Haan GJ, de Gier JW, Oudega B, Lührink J. Distinct requirements for translocation of the N-tail and C-tail of the *Escherichia coli* inner membrane protein CyoA. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:10002–10009. [PubMed: 16481320]

16. du Plessis DJ, Nouwen N, Driessen AJ. Subunit a of cytochrome o oxidase requires both YidC and SecYEG for membrane insertion. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:12248–12252. [PubMed: 16513637]
17. Chepuri V, Gennis RB. The use of gene fusions to determine the topology of all of the subunits of the cytochrome o terminal oxidase complex of *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* 1990;265:12978–12986. [PubMed: 2165491]
18. Abramson J, Riistama S, Larsson G, Jasaitis A, Svensson-Ek M, Laakkonen L, Puustinen A, Iwata S, Wikstrom M. The structure of the ubiquinol oxidase from *Escherichia coli* and its ubiquinone binding site. *Nat Struct Biol* 2000;7:910–917. [PubMed: 11017202]
19. Herrmann JM, Koll H, Cook RA, Neupert W, Stuart RA. Topogenesis of cytochrome oxidase subunit II. Mechanisms of protein export from the mitochondrial matrix. *J Biol Chem* 1995;270:27079–27086. [PubMed: 7592959]
20. Hell K, Neupert W, Stuart RA. Oxa1p acts as a general membrane insertion machinery for proteins encoded by mitochondrial DNA. *EMBO J* 2001;20:1281–1288. [PubMed: 11250894]
21. Date T, Goodman JM, Wickner WT. Procoat, the precursor of M13 coat protein, requires an electrochemical potential for membrane insertion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 1980;77:4669–4673. [PubMed: 7001463]
22. Stryer L, Haugland RP. Energy transfer: a spectroscopic ruler. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1967;58:719–726. [PubMed: 5233469]
23. Schimmel PR, Flory PJ. Conformational energy and configurational statistics of poly-L-proline. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1967;58:52–59. [PubMed: 5231619]
24. He S, Fox TD. Membrane translocation of mitochondrially coded Cox2p: distinct requirements for export of N and C termini and dependence on the conserved protein Oxa1p. *Mol Biol Cell* 1997;8:1449–1460. [PubMed: 9285818]
25. Hell K, Herrmann J, Pratje E, Neupert W, Stuart RA. Oxa1p mediates the export of the N- and C-termini of pCoxII from the mitochondrial matrix to the intermembrane space. *FEBS Lett* 1997;418:367–370. [PubMed: 9428747]
26. Guo D, Liu J, Motlagh A, Jewell J, Miller KW. Efficient insertion of odd-numbered transmembrane segments of the tetracycline resistance protein requires even-numbered segments. *J Biol Chem* 1996;271:30829–30834. [PubMed: 8940065]
27. Ota K, Sakaguchi M, Hamasaki N, Mihara K. Assessment of topogenic functions of anticipated transmembrane segments of human band 3. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:28286–28291. [PubMed: 9774451]
28. Calamia J, Manoil C. Membrane protein spanning segments as export signals. *J Mol Biol* 1992;224:539–543. [PubMed: 1569545]
29. Wilkinson BM, Critchley AJ, Stirling CJ. Determination of the transmembrane topology of yeast Sec61p, an essential component of the endoplasmic reticulum translocation complex. *J Biol Chem* 1996;271:25590–25597. [PubMed: 8810333]
30. Hermansson M, von Heijne G. Inter-helical hydrogen bond formation during membrane protein integration into the ER membrane. *J Mol Biol* 2003;334:803–809. [PubMed: 14636604]
31. Meindl-Beinker NM, Lundin C, Nilsson I, White SH, von Heijne G. Asn- and Asp-mediated interactions between transmembrane helices during translocon-mediated membrane protein assembly. *EMBO Rep* 2006;7:1111–1116. [PubMed: 17008929]
32. Schuenemann TA, Delgado-Nixon VM, Dalbey RE. Direct evidence that the proton motive force inhibits membrane translocation of positively charged residues within membrane proteins. *J. Biol. Chem* 1999;274:6855–6864. [PubMed: 10066738]
33. Andersson H, von Heijne G. Membrane protein topology: effects of $\Delta\mu H^+$ on the translocation of charged residues explain the 'positive inside' rule. *Embo J* 1994;13:2267–2272. [PubMed: 8194517]
34. Cao G, Kuhn A, Dalbey RE. The translocation of negatively charged residues across the membrane is driven by the electrochemical potential: evidence for an electrophoresis-like membrane transfer mechanism. *Embo J* 1995;14:866–875. [PubMed: 7889937]
35. Kuhn A, Zhu HY, Dalbey RE. Efficient translocation of positively charged residues of M13 procoat protein across the membrane excludes electrophoresis as the primary force for membrane insertion

- [published erratum appears in EMBO J 1990 Oct;9(10):3413]. EMBO J 1990;9:2385–2388. 2429. [PubMed: 2196172]
36. Heijne GV. The distribution of positively charged residues in bacterial inner membrane proteins correlates with the trans-membrane topology. *Embo J* 1986;5:3021–3027. [PubMed: 16453726]
 37. van Klompenburg W, Nilsson I, von Heijne G, de Kruijff B. Anionic phospholipids are determinants of membrane protein topology. *Embo J* 1997;16:4261–4266. [PubMed: 9250669]
 38. van de Vossenberg JL, Albers SV, van der Does C, Driessen AJ, van Klompenburg W. The positive inside rule is not determined by the polarity of the delta psi (transmembrane electrical potential). *Mol Microbiol* 1998;29:1125–1127. [PubMed: 9767579]
 39. Wessels HP, Spiess M. Insertion of a multispanning membrane protein occurs sequentially and requires only one signal sequence. *Cell* 1988;55:61–70. [PubMed: 2844410]
 40. Dale H, Angevine CM, Krebs MP. Ordered membrane insertion of an archaeal opsin in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:7847–7852. [PubMed: 10869439]
 41. Gafvelin G, von Heijne G. Topological "frustration" in multispanning E. coli inner membrane proteins. *Cell* 1994;77:401–412. [PubMed: 8181060]
 42. Sato M, Hresko R, Mueckler M. Testing the charge difference hypothesis for the assembly of a eucaryotic multispanning membrane protein. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:25203–25208. [PubMed: 9737982]
 43. Nilsson I, Witt S, Kiefer H, Mingarro I, von Heijne G. Distant downstream sequence determinants can control N-tail translocation during protein insertion into the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *J Biol Chem* 2000;275:6207–6213. [PubMed: 10692414]
 44. Lu Y, Xiong X, Helm A, Kimani K, Bragin A, Skach WR. Co- and posttranslational translocation mechanisms direct cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator N terminus transmembrane assembly. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:568–576. [PubMed: 9417117]
 45. Tu L, Wang J, Helm A, Skach WR, Deutsch C. Transmembrane biogenesis of Kv1.3. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:824–836. [PubMed: 10651649]
 46. Samuelson JC, Chen M, Jiang F, Moller I, Wiedmann M, Kuhn A, Phillips GJ, Dalbey RE. YidC mediates membrane protein insertion in bacteria. *Nature* 2000;406:637–641. [PubMed: 10949305]
 47. Yi L, Celebi N, Chen M, Dalbey RE. Sec/SRP requirements and energetics of membrane insertion of subunits a, b, and c of the Escherichia coli F1F0 ATP synthase. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:39260–39267. [PubMed: 15263011]

Figure 1.

Membrane topology of full length Pre-CyoA and the amino-terminal Pre-CyoA domain. The full-length Pre-CyoA is made with a cleavable signal peptide that is proteolytically removed by signal peptidase II processing. Pre-CyoA-N-P2 contains the Lep P2 domain added after transmembrane segment 1, allowing the construct to be immunoprecipitated using leader peptidase antiserum. SP represents the signal peptidase 2 cleavage site. The residues within transmembrane domain 1 and 2 were predicted from hydropathy plot and alkaline phosphatase fusions methods¹⁷ although the structure of the *E. coli* cytochrome bo oxidase¹⁸ suggests the transmembrane segment 1 is much longer beginning around residue 12.

Figure 2.

Insertion of the amino-terminal domain of CyoA requires both the signal peptide and the membrane anchor domain 1. (a) Protease accessibility assay of the CyoA-N-P2 wild-type and single positive charge mutants. (b) Protease accessibility assay of CyoA-N-P2 double and triple positive charge mutants. DH5α cells expressing the indicated positive charge mutant of CyoA-N-P2 from plasmid pMS119 were grown to the mid-log phase and induced by the addition of 1 mM (final concentration) IPTG for 5 min. Samples were pulse-labeled with 50 mCi/ml [³⁵S]methionine for 2 min, and analyzed by the protease accessibility assay as described in Materials and Methods. The protein samples were immunoprecipitated using leader peptidase antiserum (which recognizes the P2 domain) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and

phosphorimaging. PK denotes proteinase K. GroEL, a cytoplasmic marker, and outer membrane protein A (OmpA), an outer membrane marker, are used as controls. G(-1)F preCyoA mutant was used as a precursor control. The lower band in the –PK lanes in Fig. 2a is a background band picked up with this batch leader peptidase antiserum. A different batch of antiserum was used in Fig. 2b.

Figure 3.

The pmf-dependence of membrane insertion of the periplasmic domain is determined by the net charge of the amino-terminal domain. (a) The pmf stimulates membrane insertion of a negatively charged CyoA-N-P2 (K9D/R17D) mutant. (b) Pmf-independent translocation of periplasmic loop in the Pre-CyoA-N-P2 (WT). (c) The pmf impedes the membrane insertion of a positively charged CyoA-N-P2 (A4R/L5R) mutant. DH5 α cells expressing the indicated mutant of CyoA-N-P2 from plasmid pMS119 were grown to mid-log phase and induced with 1 mM (final concentration) IPTG for 5 min. Cultures were then labeled with 50 mCi/ml [35 S] methionine for 2 min and analyzed by the protease accessibility assay. Where indicated, the pmf was abolished by treatment with CCCP (50 μ M final concentration) for 45 s after IPTG

induction. The protein samples were immunoprecipitated and analyzed as in Figure 2. PK denotes proteinase K. The lower band in the –PK lanes (Fig. 2a and b) is a background band picked up with the batch of leader peptidase antiserum. The position of the precursor form of CyoA was determined by accumulation of the precursor by growth of YidC-depletion strain, JS7131, bearing either the CyoA-N-P2 (K9D/R17D) or CyoA-N-P2 (WT) in glucose.

D **E** **Figure 4.**

Translocation of the large carboxyl-terminal periplasmic domain of CyoA requires insertion of the amino-terminal domain. (a) Introduction of two positively charged residues into membrane anchor domain I inhibits membrane insertion. (b) Introduction of two positively charged residues into the signal peptide inhibits membrane insertion. (c) A deletion within the signal peptide blocks membrane insertion of CyoA. (d) Pmf-dependent insertion of the carboxyl-terminal domain of CyoA containing acidic residues in the amino-terminal domain. (e). Slight pmf-dependent insertion of the CyoA carboxyl-terminal domain and pmf-independent insertion of the amino-terminal domain of the wild-type CyoA. JS7131 cells bearing the plasmid pMS119 containing the indicated positively charged CyoA-His₁₀ construct

were grown under YidC expression (Ara) or depletion (Glc) conditions, the protein was induced with 1 mM IPTG for 5 min, pulse-labeled with 50 μ Ci/ml [35 S] methionine for 2 min and analyzed for membrane insertion by the protease accessibility assay, as described in Materials and Methods. One JS7131 culture was treated without IPTG induction. DH5 α cells expressing the indicated signal peptide deletion mutant of CyoA, Pre-CyoA (-5), or Pre-CyoA (WT) from plasmid pMS119 were grown to the mid-log phase and induced by the addition of 1 mM (final concentration) IPTG for 5 min. Samples were pulse-labeled with 50 mCi/ml [35 S]methionine for 2 min, and analyzed by the protease accessibility assay as described in Materials and Methods. Where indicated, the pmf was abolished in DH5 α cells by treatment with CCCP (50 μ M) for 45 s after IPTG induction. The protein samples were then either acid-precipitated or pulled down using BD TALONTM metal affinity resin when a His-tag was present, as described in Materials and Methods and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging. PK denotes proteinase K.

D **Figure 5.**

Addition of a long linker after membrane anchor 1 of Pre-CyoA allows insertion to proceed by a non-sequential mechanism, but a sequential mechanism still occurs with different amino-terminal and carboxyl-terminal domains. YidC depletion studies with constructs CyoA-P₁₀ (a), CyoA (WT) (b), PC-C-CyoA (c) and N-CyoA-Lep (d). JS7131 cells bearing the plasmid pMS119 with the indicated construct were grown under YidC expression (Ara) or depletion (Glc) conditions, the protein was induced with 1 mM IPTG for 5 min, pulse-labeled with 50 μ Ci/ml [³⁵S]-methionine for 2 min and analyzed for membrane insertion by the protease accessibility assay, as described in Materials and Methods. The protein samples were then either acid-precipitated (CyoA, top panel) or immunoprecipitated using GroEL and OmpA antisera (bottom panel) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and phosphorimaging.