Published in final edited form as:

Annu Rev Biomed Eng. 2008 ; 10: 169–194. doi:10.1146/annurev.bioeng.10.061807.160514.

Molecular Engineering of Viral Gene Delivery Vehicles

David V. Schaffer, James T. Koerber, and Kwang-il Lim

The Department of Chemical Engineering, the Department of Bioengineering, and The Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720-3220; email: schaffer@berkeley.edu

Abstract

Viruses can be engineered to efficiently deliver exogenous genes, but their natural gene delivery properties often fail to meet human therapeutic needs. Therefore, engineering viral vectors with new properties, including enhanced targeting abilities and resistance to immune responses, is a growing area of research. This review discusses protein engineering approaches to generate viral vectors with novel gene delivery capabilities. Rational design of viral vectors has yielded successful advances in vitro, and to an extent in vivo. However, there is often insufficient knowledge of viral structure-function relationships to reengineer existing functions or create new capabilities, such as virus-cell interactions, whose molecular basis is distributed throughout the primary sequence of the viral proteins. Therefore, high-throughput library and directed evolution methods offer alternative approaches to engineer viral vectors with desired properties. Parallel and integrated efforts in rational and library-based design promise to aid the translation of engineered viral vectors toward the clinic.

Keywords

adeno-associated virus; retrovirus; lentivirus; adenovirus; directed evolution; synthetic biology; gene therapy

INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, gene therapy has demonstrated strong potential to treat various diseases, such as cystic fibrosis, hemophilia, Alzheimer's disease, and some cancers (1–3). The two primary approaches currently employed to deliver the therapeutic genetic material are synthetic and viral delivery vehicles. Whereas synthetic vectors, usually a polymer-DNA or lipid-DNA complex, offer safety and modularity, they remain too inefficient for most clinical applications. However, viruses have evolved in nature to efficiently deliver their own genetic payload to specific cells, and standard molecular biology methods can be employed to swap therapeutic transgenes in place of some or all of the viral genes. Promising results from numerous in vitro studies and animal disease models have fueled a large number of human clinical trials that utilize viral vectors. Greater than half of all clinical trials to date have employed adenoviral (Ad), retroviral/lentiviral, or adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors for gene delivery (1). However, both basic research studies and these trials have identified several gene delivery barriers that reduce the therapeutic efficacy of the viral vectors (2,4,5).

These biological gene delivery barriers occur in two forms: systemic (e.g., pre-existing immunity) (4,6–8) or cellular (e.g., binding to the cell surface) (9,10). Over evolutionary

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any biases that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

timescales, viruses have evolved ways to circumvent many of these gene delivery barriers; however, most vectors still suffer numerous shortcomings along their delivery pathway. Within a given class or family of viruses, swapping the viral attachment proteins (VAPs) of different members on a common vector scaffold, a process known as pseudotyping, offers the potential to create vectors with new specificities and efficiencies. However, in many cases, there exists no natural variant with the desired gene delivery properties, as many properties of viruses are not optimal for human therapeutic use, not surprisingly because natural evolution did not require some therapeutically desirable properties for viral “survival.”

Therefore, engineering novel viral vectors with customized, user-defined gene delivery properties is an exciting and promising area of research. However, viruses are highly complex biological entities, as exemplified by their intricate multimeric protein shells, or capsids, which encompass complex genomes that utilize overlapping reading frames and alternative splicing for highly economical use of coding space. This complexity presents many challenges to engineering novel functions without disrupting key properties crucial for efficient viral function. Recently, synthetic biology approaches have successfully assembled smaller functional parts into a variety of complex systems (11,12), and by analogy, engineering single viral proteins with defined functions offers the potential to create complex viral vector systems with user-defined gene delivery properties. This review outlines the major protein engineering techniques that have been utilized in viral vector engineering, highlights the key features of each method, and discusses the future applications of designed viral vectors. We focus on Ad, retroviral/lentiviral, and AAV vectors, and we refer readers to reviews of other viral vector systems that have also demonstrated some success in gene delivery and vector engineering (2).

BACKGROUND

Adenovirus

Ad, a member of the family *Adenoviridae* and genus *Mastadenovirus*, is a nonenveloped virus with a 36-kilobase (kb) double-stranded DNA genome. Several features of Ad make it an attractive candidate as a gene delivery vehicle, including its ability to grow as high-titer recombinant virus, large transgene capacity, and efficient transduction of both dividing and nondividing cells (13–15). Through the use of alternative splicing and bidirectional transcription, the viral genome encodes more than 50 viral proteins, flanked by ~100 base pair (bp) inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) (Figure 1) (16). The 70–100 nm diameter icosahedral viral capsid consists of an exterior composed mostly of three proteins, the hexon (240 trimers), fiber (12 monomers), and penton (5 pentamers), as well as other structural proteins involved in capsid stabilization and genome association. The fiber and penton mediate binding to cell surface receptors such as coxsackievirus B and adenovirus receptor (CAR) (17), CD46 (18), and α_v integrins (19). A complete review of Ad biology has recently been presented elsewhere (16).

To date, more than 51 human and many nonhuman serotypes of Ad, which are classified as A–F, have been found to mediate gene delivery to a wide range of tissues, such as the respiratory tract, eye, liver, and urinary tract (16). The majority of studies have utilized Ad5; however, many other variants are now being explored (20,21). Several generations of replication-deficient Ad vectors have been produced, where each stage involves the deletion of several additional viral genes (22), culminating in a helper-dependent vector that lacks the full viral genome (23). However, several significant limitations of Ad vectors exist, such as lengthy production (22), difficult production for helper-dependent virus, preexisting immunity (6,7), infection of off-target cells (9), and in particular vector immunogenicity (8).

Adeno-Associated Virus

AAV, a member of the family *Parvoviridae* and genus *Dependovirus*, is a nonpathogenic, nonenveloped virus with a 4.7 kb single-stranded DNA genome. As its name implies, AAV requires the presence of certain proteins from a helper virus, usually a member of the adenovirus or herpesvirus family, to complete its lifecycle. It has not been associated with any human disease, and AAV vectors mediate long-term gene expression in a wide array of dividing and nondividing cells in vivo (4,24,25), making AAV an ideal candidate for a gene delivery vector.

The viral genome contains two 145-bp ITRs that flank two viral genes, *rep* and *cap*, which, through use of alternative splicing and start codons, generate seven proteins with partially overlapping sequences (Figure 1) (26). The *rep* gene serves as a template for four nonstructural proteins (Rep78, Rep68, Rep52, and Rep40) that possess a broad range of functions in ITR-dependent viral replication, transcriptional regulation, site-specific integration (27), and virion assembly, as reviewed elsewhere (28). The *cap* gene mediates the production of three structural proteins, VP1–3, that assemble at a ratio of ~1:1:18 to form the 60-mer viral capsid of ~25 nm in diameter (28). The capsid determines the gene delivery properties of the virus, including its binding to a variety of cell surface receptors such as heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) (29), sialic acid (30), fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR) (31), and platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) (32). The complete AAV biological infection pathway has recently been reviewed in detail (33).

To date, more than 100 different serotypes of AAV have been isolated from both human and nonhuman tissues (34,35). Most studies to date have focused on AAV serotype 2 (AAV2), but recently several other serotypes, whose sequence variation in the viral capsid confers a broad range of gene delivery properties and options, have shown promising results. Traditionally, the transfection method for AAV production has been time consuming; however, recent advances in the development of AAV packaging cell lines and purification methods such as ion exchange have substantially improved the process and expanded the application of AAV vectors to clinical therapy (36). Finally, several additional limitations to AAV vectors exist, including genome packaging size (37), preexisting immunity (4,6), poor transduction of some cells (10), and infection of off-target cells (38).

Retrovirus and Lentivirus

Retroviruses are a family of enveloped viruses with a diploid, 7–12 kb single-stranded, positive sense RNA genome (39). Retroviruses are subdivided into seven groups, including five groups of oncogenic retroviruses, lentiviruses, and spumaviruses (39). Their genomes contain four primary genes: *gag*, which encodes structural proteins such as matrix protein (MA), capsid protein (CA), and nucleocapsid protein (NC); *pro* and *pol*, which encode enzymatic proteins such as protease (PR), reverse transcriptase (RT), and integrase (IN); and *env*, which encodes the surface and transmembrane units of envelope protein (SU and TM, respectively) (Figure 1). Retroviruses initiate infection through interaction between their SU and specific cellular receptors, and this interaction is the critical determinant of viral tropism. This binding results in conformational changes of SU and TM, allowing exposure of a hydrophobic fusion peptide in TM. Furthermore, ecotropic Moloney murine leukemia virus (MLV) and avian leucosis virus (ALV) require additional exposure to low pH after endosomal acidification to aid fusion (40, 41). Insertion of the hydrophobic peptide into the cellular membrane subsequently mediates fusion between viral membrane and the cellular plasma membrane or endosome, thereby releasing the core nucleoprotein complex into the cytoplasm. The viral RNA genome is then reverse transcribed into double-stranded DNA, and the resulting duplex is finally integrated into the host chromosome after transport into the host nucleus. This transport can be active, such as lentiviruses that can be imported through nuclear pore complexes in nonmitotic cells,

or passive, such as MLV vectors that require cell division to gain access to the nucleus. A complete review of the retrovirus life cycle has recently been presented (39).

Genome integration, which permits stable and prolonged expression of delivered therapeutic genes, makes retroviruses attractive platforms as gene delivery vehicles. Two variants of the well-characterized MLV are frequently used for gene therapy applications: ecotropic MLV, which utilizes the cationic amino acid transporter Rec-1 expressed strictly by murine cells as its receptor, and amphotropic MLV, which uses the phosphate transporter RAM-1 present on various mammalian cells for its receptor (42). In addition, vectors based on HIV-1 and other retroviruses, pseudotyped with various envelope proteins, have been used to mediate gene delivery to both dividing and nondividing cells. Despite advantages such as low immunogenicity and relatively large packaging capacity, retroviral vectors suffer several shortcomings, including low production yields, instability of envelope proteins, and semirandom integration patterns that pose a risk of insertional mutagenesis (5).

RATIONAL DESIGN OF VIRAL VECTORS

Chimeric, Mosaic, and Pseudotyped Particles

While natural viral variants can offer some desirable properties, they can possess several limitations, such as neutralization by host immune responses (4,6–8), inefficiencies in production and purification (22,37), poor specificities (9,38), and poor transduction of therapeutically relevant cells (10,16). Therefore, protein engineering approaches to generate viral vectors with novel and improved gene delivery properties offer attractive means to address these gene delivery problems. For example, combining functions from two or more viral variants into a single viral vector has the potential to build complex viral vectors from individual viral protein constituents. These methods can entail substitution of a VAP from one serotype with that of another (pseudotyping), assembly of entire viral particles using mixtures of VAPs from different variants (mosaic particles), or swapping smaller VAP domains between serotypes via rational methods or high-throughput recombination techniques (chimeric particles) (Figure 2).

Chimeric Ad particles generated by swapping fibers or hexons between Ad5 and other serotypes have exhibited impressive potential to alter viral tropism and enhance vector resistance to preexisting Ad5 antibodies. For example, a recent system was developed to analyze the properties of fibers or hexons from any Ad serotype in the context of the Ad5 capsid and has identified alternate serotype fibers that considerably improve transduction of some cancer and primary cell lines (20). Likewise, swapping of only the hypervariable regions (HVR) of the Ad5 hexon with those of the rare Ad48 serotype was sufficient to evade preexisting neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) (43). Interestingly, this work also revealed that not all fiber or hexon combinations produce functional Ad chimeras. In studies that tested the modularity of “parts” from distant viral families, chimeric Ad vectors were also constructed with modified fiber-like proteins from T4 bacteriophage (44) and reovirus (45). Furthermore, a modified T4 fibrin fused to single-chain antibodies has been used to retarget Ad vectors to alternate receptors (44), and reovirus σ_1 protein facilitated sialic acid binding and enhanced dendritic cell transduction (45).

Novel AAV vectors have also been generated in multiple ways. The most straightforward approach for AAV, pseudotyping, involves swapping the *cap* gene from one serotype for another. This approach allows for the rapid and modular generation of vectors with the gene delivery properties matching a parent serotype, but methods that combine properties from multiple different serotypes can generate viral vectors with novel functions not found in the natural variants. Because the AAV capsid is composed of 60 copies of VP1, VP2, and VP3, VP monomers from two different AAV serotypes or mutants can be mixed during viral

packaging to yield a mosaic AAV capsid that contains a heterogeneous mixture of VP monomers and can thus combine properties from the constituent parents. Two studies have demonstrated the potential of this approach by co-transfecting *cap* genes from different AAV serotypes (AAV1–5) at various stoichiometric ratios (46,47). The resulting mosaic virions exhibited a range of HSPG and sialic acid-binding properties, in some cases substantially higher than either parent. Furthermore, at some stoichiometric ratios, the mosaic virions displayed novel cell tropisms that differed substantially from either constituent serotype, suggesting the potential for synergistically combining properties of the two parents. This mosaic approach has recently been extended to combine functions of rationally designed AAV mutants (48). As another example, the properties of two AAV1 mutants, containing either a biotin acceptor peptide (BAP) ligand for purification or an arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) ligand for targeting, were combined to generate mosaics that exhibited enhanced vasculature targeting and could readily be purified by column chromatography (49). In general, however, cotransfection of two *cap* genes likely results in a heterogeneous mixture of mosaic virions, some of which may not possess the desired phenotype. Furthermore, the exact copy number of monomer required to confer desirable properties such as enhanced targeting has yet to be determined. Therefore, future efforts to more precisely control the ratios of monomers within such mosaics and more extensive monomer titration studies will enhance the utility of the mosaic capsid approach.

An alternate strategy to combine properties of different AAV serotypes involves the generation of a single chimeric *cap* gene containing domains from multiple serotypes. For example, through cotransfection of a noninfectious AAV2 mutant genome and an AAV3 *cap* gene, Bowles et al. (50) isolated several chimeras after three rounds of infection and rescue on HeLa cells. This work demonstrated the strong potential of chimeric virus, although a small fraction of full wild-type (wt) AAV3 sequences were recovered, and the number of recombination events was relatively small. In a separate study, domains from the AAV1 *cap* gene were substituted into the analogous regions of the AAV2 *cap* gene to generate a range of chimeras. Most of the resulting variants were functional, and an AAV1 domain that conferred enhanced muscle tropism to AAV2 was identified (51). Recently, we have demonstrated the potential of generating random chimeras with novel gene delivery properties from multiple AAV serotypes using high-efficiency in vitro recombination (discussed in Directed Evolution, below) (J.T. Koerber & D.V. Schaffer, unpublished data).

In the case of retroviruses, pseudotyping is again a straightforward method to alter or improve the properties of vectors (52,53). As an important example, to extend the tropism of retroviral vectors and to enhance vector stability, envelope proteins from vesicular stomatitis virus (VSVG) have often been used in retroviral and lentiviral vectors (54,55). VSVG apparently uses ubiquitous lipid-type receptors such as phosphatidylserine (PS), resulting in broad vector tropism to most cells. Alternatively, the lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) envelope protein, which is less cytotoxic than VSVG, increases retroviral vector stability and also enables the development of stable retrovirus packaging cell lines (56).

Systemic injection of retroviral vectors pseudotyped with various envelope proteins results in predominant transduction of the liver and spleen (55). However, upon local injection, the various envelope proteins used for pseudotyping confer drastically different tropism. For example, feline immunodeficiency virus (FIV)-based lentiviral vectors injected into the mouse brain showed preferential transduction of astrocytes and oligodendrocytes when pseudotyped with Ross River virus (RRV) envelope proteins (57), whereas pseudotyping with LCMV envelope proteins led to transduction of neural stem cells or progenitor cells (58). These transduction patterns are different from those of VSVG-pseudotyped lentiviral vectors, which exhibit a strong preference for mature neurons (58).

Envelope proteins from viruses with unique tropism can enable gene delivery to cell types or tissue regions normally resistant to transduction. For example, VSVG-pseudotyped lentiviral vectors can infect polarized airway epithelial cells via the basolateral but not the apical side. However, because tight junctions block virus access to the basolateral membrane of cells in vivo, transduction efficiency is very low (59). However, lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with envelope proteins from viruses that infect respiratory tissues or cells, including Ebola viruses, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), fowl plaque viruses, and influenza viruses, can successfully transduce airway epithelial cells from the apical side (60). Likewise, VSVG-pseudotyped lentivirus efficiently transduces muscle locally (61), but lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with rabies envelope proteins undergo retrograde transport to the spinal cord upon intramuscular injection (62).

Interestingly, surface proteins overexpressed by producer cells can be also efficiently incorporated into virion particles during vector production, facilitating novel targeted gene delivery opportunities. For example, retroviral and lentiviral vectors can be pseudotyped with the HIV-1 primary receptors and coreceptors, CD4 and CCR5, CD4 and CXCR4, or hybrid receptors composed of the four extracellular loops of CD4 and CXCR4. The resulting vector could infect cells expressing HIV-1 envelope proteins and cells infected by HIV-1 (63,64), enabling novel antiviral therapy approaches. Likewise, incorporation of receptors for Rous sarcoma virus and ecotropic MLV into vectors allowed targeted infection of cells expressing the corresponding envelope proteins, although the infectious titers were 10- to 100-fold lower than those of common envelope-pseudotyped retroviral vectors (65).

Finally, as discussed below (Directed Evolution) chimeric VAP libraries coupled with high-throughput selection offers the potential to generate novel solutions to the various gene delivery barriers (66).

Adaptors and Chemical Modification

Bifunctional adaptors, which combine a vector-binding region with a novel functional domain, can endow vector systems with new capabilities (Figure 2). The primary advantage of this approach is the ability to change viral properties in a highly modular manner, often without genetic modification of the viral vector.

Direct modification methods of Ad vectors can be grouped into four different areas: chemical conjugation, soluble CAR (sCAR) adaptors, bispecific antibody adaptors, and monoclonal antibody (mAb) linkers. Initial efforts at chemical modification of Ad vectors via PEGylation (polyethylene glycol) of the capsid conferred modest resistance to neutralizing antibodies, but with dramatic losses in viral infectivities due partially to viral aggregation and a strong dependence on precise reaction conditions (67,68). Further progress has resulted in PEGylated vectors with similar gene delivery properties to unmodified vectors in vivo and reduced innate immune responses to the vector (69). Recently, two studies have expanded the applicability of these PEGylated vectors by conjugating a targeting ligand to the distal termini of PEG moieties on the virus. PEG vectors linked to fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) exhibited tenfold higher transduction of one cancer cell line and enhanced gene expression in an in vivo tumor model (70). In other work, conjugation of an RGD ligand or an anti-E-selection antibody to the PEGylated Ad vectors yielded moderately targeted gene delivery (71). Recently, a platform for site-specific chemical modification of Ad vectors has been developed based on conjugation to a cysteine motif in the surface exposed HI loop of the Ad fiber (72). The potential of this platform for robust, reproducible modification of Ad vectors was demonstrated through detargeting of the Ad vector via PEGylation and subsequent retargeting via site-specific conjugation of transferrin.

Several different bispecific adaptor platforms have been developed for targeting Ad vectors. One such platform involves the fusion of sCAR, which binds the Ad fiber, with a targeting ligand. The initial study, performed with a sCAR-epidermal growth factor (EGF) ligand, reduced binding to CAR⁺ cells, and at the optimal adaptor:virus ratio enhanced transduction levels by up to 40-fold on EGF receptor (EGFR)-expressing cells (73). However, too much adaptor actually inhibited binding to the cells, potentially via competition with the virus for EGFR binding, highlighting a potential limitation of this approach. A related platform employed a bispecific adaptor with a mAb recognizing the wt Ad capsid linked to a targeting ligand (74) or mAb (75). Finally, genetic insertion of the Fc binding region of protein A (the ZZ domain) into a truncated fiber (76), the C terminus of the fiber (77), and the HI loop of the Ad fiber (78) has permitted the creation of Ad vectors that bind mAbs and can thereby be targeted to a variety of cell types. For example, a mAb against CD40 enabled this Ad vector variant to transduce primary human dendritic cells at levels 30-fold higher than wtAd5 (77), and use of an anti-EGFR mAb enhanced transduction by up to 77-fold on some primary cell lines (78).

Chemical modification of AAV vectors has relied on conjugation of moieties to free surface-exposed lysines on the viral capsid, similar to methods used for Ad vectors. We have recently shown that PEGylation of AAV2 vectors confers a modest twofold resistance to NABs, while retaining wtAAV2 transduction levels (79). Furthermore, careful optimization of the PEG molecular weight and PEG:lysine ratio was critical for the enhanced resistance and retention of high infectivity. Targeting methods for AAV vectors have also been developed through biotinylation of the AAV capsid (80). At levels of 4–5 biotins per viral capsid, streptavidin-EGF fusion proteins mediated 100-fold increases in transduction levels of these biotinylated AAV vectors on cells expressing high levels of EGFR.

In an important initial study employing bispecific adaptors for AAV vectors, Bartlett et al. used a bispecific antibody that recognized both the intact AAV2 capsid and the $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ integrin receptor to retarget AAV2 (81). These vectors demonstrated enhanced binding to the nonpermissive cells and increased transduction by up to 70-fold on these cell lines. While this promising result demonstrated the potential of this approach, the overall transduction levels were 10- to 100-fold below those of wtAAV2 on permissive cells, suggesting that additional gene delivery barriers subsequent to receptor binding may reduce the efficiency of the vectors. An alternate strategy proposed by Ponnazhagan et al. involves the insertion of the protein A ZZ domain after amino acid 587 of the AAV2 capsid to efficiently couple mAbs to the vector, analogous to work with Ad vectors (80). Although several targeting Abs increased targeted transduction on nonpermissive cells, relative to the modified vector without antibody, gene delivery efficiencies were tenfold less than wtAAV2 on these cells and 10,000-fold less than wtAAV2 on permissive cells. Additional refinements in this approach have recently yielded further improvements to the system (48).

For retroviruses and lentiviruses, one critical hurdle for systemic delivery using VSVG-pseudotyped vectors is their susceptibility to neutralization by complement (82). A recent attempt to address this problem showed that PEGylation of VSVG could protect VSVG-pseudotyped HIV vectors from serum-mediated inactivation by 1000-fold (83). Such chemical modifications of the viral surface can also allow targeted gene delivery. For example, tagging of ecotropic MLV vectors with galactose led to specific transduction of a human hepatoma cell line expressing asialo-glycoprotein receptors, which bind oligosaccharides with terminal galactose residues (84).

Bispecific linker molecules have commonly been applied to retroviral vector systems. Typically, the linker molecules are fusion proteins composed of a viral receptor, which interacts with the vector particle via the envelope protein, and a targeting ligand, which interacts with

its cognate receptor on the target cells (85). Two fusion linkers composed of EGF and either avian leucosis virus A (ALV-A) receptor (TVA) or ALV-B receptor (TVB) mediated efficient ALV-A or ALV-B vector transduction of cells expressing EGFR (85). Alternatively, a n earlier and more complex system involved interactions among three linker molecules. Two biotinylated antibodies, with specificity for the virus envelope and a surface molecule on the target cells, were bridged with streptavidin. The resulting conjugate mediated specific MLV vector transduction of cells expressing major histocompatibility complex class I and class II proteins (86). Such linker-based approaches can be readily extended to other target cell surface molecules.

In another linker approach analogous to Ad and AAV systems, insertion of the ZZ domain into the receptor binding domain of Sindbis virus E2 envelope protein allowed incorporation of targeting antibodies against CD4 or human leukocyte antigen (HLA) into virus particles, ultimately leading to preferential transduction of retroviral vectors into CD4⁺ and HLA⁺ cells, respectively (87). In the Sindbis envelope protein system, where the fusogenic protein E1 functions independently of the receptor-binding protein E2 (87), such insertional mutagenesis for targeting is feasible. Furthermore, insertion of ZZ domain into the E2 protein, in combination with mutations within certain domains of E2 responsible for nonspecific infection, allowed highly specific in vivo transduction of metastatic melanoma in the lung by E2 protein-pseudotyped lentiviral vectors (88).

Although they are highly promising and have enjoyed considerable success, several challenges remain in the development of these adaptor platforms for Ad, AAV, and retroviral vectors. The success of chemical modifications is typically highly dependent upon the reaction conditions, and more controlled site-specific methods will thus greatly enhance the potential of this approach while reducing unwanted side effects, such as reduced transduction levels. Furthermore, the affinity of adaptor:virus complexes in vivo may not be sufficient to prevent dissociation of the adaptor-virus linkage, and endogenous antibodies within a patient's blood may also compete with mAb-based adaptors. Finally, for clinical approval, both the virus and the adaptor must be produced, purified, and fully characterized, adding considerable complexity to vector system production. For these collective reasons, the greatest potential for adaptor systems likely lies in ex vivo therapies, such as for the transduction of a target cell within a heterogeneous cell population prior to reimplantation.

Functional Polypeptide Incorporation into Structural Proteins

Viral capsids or VAPs can be genetically engineered to introduce peptides and in some cases entire protein domains with defined functions, such as cell targeting or affinity purification tags, into well-defined locations on the viral particles (Figure 2). Most peptide modification approaches for Ad5 have focused on the fiber, with recent studies exploring the hexon and the structural support protein IX as potential insertion sites. In the initial study, Wickham et al. (89) inserted an RGD ligand, a 7-amino acid polylysine (7K) and other peptides targeting α_v integrins, the laminin receptor, and E-selectins at the C terminus of the Ad fiber. Interestingly, only the RGD and 7K ligand insertions yielded infectious virus that bound to the specified target, highlighting one potential challenge in peptide insertion, that the proper function of the peptide is highly dependent on the local structural environment of the viral protein. Insertion of the 7K peptide, however, enhanced transduction up to 500-fold on some cell types and increased transduction of cardiac smooth muscle cells in vivo. Another study investigated the insertion of peptide epitopes into the HI loop of the Ad fiber. Insertion of an RGD peptide conferred targeted gene delivery to cells expressing high levels of integrins (90) and elevated gene expression in the kidney and lung (91). Further developments in these systems have demonstrated that insertion of both RGD and 7K peptides into the fiber provides an additive effect from both functionalities (92) and that insertion of cell targeting peptides can offset and

in some cases restore losses in transduction due to the ablation of native tropism (93). As further demonstration of the potential of the peptide insertion approach, a novel Ad vector containing an RGD ligand completed animal safety tests and will soon be used clinically to treat ovarian carcinoma (94).

In another approach, Parrott et al. (95) developed a peptide-based platform for general Ad vector engineering. Insertion of a 70-amino acid BAP at the C terminus of the fiber protein resulted in the biotinylation of the Ad vector during production, enabling the attachment of any biotinylated targeting ligand via tetrameric avidin at affinities (10^{-15} M) greatly exceeding those of bispecific antibodies. Peptide modification of Ad vectors within the hypervariable surface loop regions (HVR) of the hexon resulted in variable efficiencies of surface exposure and abilities to mediate targeted gene delivery. Protein IX can also tolerate functional peptide insertions such as single-chain antibodies, although with slight reductions in production levels and thermostability of the vectors (96). Interestingly, several studies have recently demonstrated that imaging ligands, such as fluorescent proteins, inserted into protein IX permit tracking of Ad vectors both in vitro and in vivo (97,98).

For AAV, recent studies primarily involving AAV2 have identified several sites on the AAV capsid that tolerate the general insertion of peptides. The first study that attempted genetic re-targeting of AAV2 involved the fusion of a single-chain antibody against CD34 antigen to the N terminus of VP2 (99). The resulting vector exhibited enhanced transduction of a CD34⁺ cell line, but the overall transduction levels remained low. It is possible that the incorporation of large polypeptides disrupts the intricate assembly process of the VP1-3 monomers, whereas smaller peptide modifications and different insertion sites may enhance targeting efficiency while retaining the production levels of the wt capsid. To this end, Girod et al. (100) inserted an RGD motif into six new locations, chosen based on the superposition of the AAV2 sequence on the known structure of a related parvovirus. Very importantly, insertion of the RGD motif into one of the six sites, located after amino acid 587 within the surface-exposed loop 4 of the AAV2 capsid, significantly elevated transduction levels relative to wtAAV2 on a nonpermissive cell type, but overall transduction levels were still several orders of magnitude below wtAAV2 expression levels on a permissive cell line. Another study tested two insertion sites identified from sequence comparison of AAV2 and a related parvovirus. Insertion of an NGRAHA peptide, shown to bind the angiogenic vasculature, into loop 4 enhanced AAV transduction levels by up to 20-fold on several tumor cell lines (101). In subsequent work, other ligands were successfully inserted at this site, such as additional RGD peptides (102), a His₆ tag for robust purification (103), and a dynein-binding peptide to facilitate intracellular transport (104). Successful insertion into the analogous region of other AAV serotypes has been reported for a BAP, similar to that used in Ad vectors (105), and a His₆ tag (103). Furthermore, parallel efforts have found that entire proteins can be fused to the N terminus of VP2, including large targeting peptides (>30 amino acids) (106) and a fluorescent protein for viral tracking (107).

Two insertional mutagenesis studies have elucidated insertion locations that disrupt viral assembly and infectivity, as well as highlighted the fact that different peptides experience highly variable success at distinct insertion sites. In one study, sites within VP1 and VP2 were found to tolerate the insertion of a serpin ligand for targeted gene delivery. Interestingly, however, the loop 4 site that has been successful for numerous other peptides (100,101,103, 104) did not functionally display the serpin peptide (108). In a separate approach, the combination of several insertion sites along with several sets of possible flanking sequences, which can influence the local environment of the peptide and thus aid in efficient surface presentation, resulted in variable functionality of the inserted peptides (102). The results of these two studies highlight how the optimal insertion site for a given peptide depends not only on the peptide sequence but also on the flanking amino acid context (102,108).

Various targeting molecules, including short peptides, ligands, and even single-chain antibody fragments (scFv) have been incorporated into retroviral structural proteins. Short targeting peptides inserted into envelope proteins have been shown to mediate targeted gene delivery without severely disrupting the envelope's function. Upon insertion of a single or multiple 13- or 21-amino acid RGD-containing motifs, ecotropic MLV retroviral vectors could transduce A375 human melanoma cell lines. More interestingly, depending on the insertion sites, the natural tropism of MLV vectors was significantly attenuated (109). However, one challenge is that peptide insertion can hinder the intracellular trafficking of envelope proteins during viral production. For example, insertion of a collagen-binding motif at the 24th amino acid of VSVG blocked trafficking to the cell surface at 37°C, thereby severely inhibiting retroviral vector assembly and production (110). Although, at a lower permissive temperature, 30°C, VSVG trafficking to the cell surface was recovered (110).

Because an initial study showed that human low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR)-specific single-chain antibodies (scFv) inserted into ecotropic envelope proteins could mediate a specific retroviral transduction of cells expressing LDLR (111), there have been numerous efforts to harness scFvs for targeting. To reduce nonspecific transduction of human cells, ecotropic MLV envelope proteins have been often used as the scaffold for insertion of the scFv-targeting domains (112). These engineered antibody fragments have been primarily inserted into the N-terminal region of envelope proteins through a spacer peptide, thereby likely permitting proper conformations for both the scFv domain and envelope protein. Due to their strong binding affinity and in principle unlimited specificities, scFv molecules have the potential to offer higher specificity than short peptides. However, because of their large size, scFv molecules can disrupt the conformational changes required for envelope proteins to mediate proper membrane fusion. This limitation can be circumvented by coexpressing engineered and wt envelope proteins on virus particles, but with a loss of targeting specificity (111). Alternatively, transduction specificity may be improved by inserting additional cell-specific peptides, such as protease cleavable peptides, between scFv and envelope proteins (113–115).

In addition to peptides and single-chain antibodies, various ligands such as insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), EGF, erythropoietin (EPO), and stromal-derived factor-1 α (SDF-1 α) have been inserted mostly into the N-terminal region or the receptor-binding domain of envelope proteins (116–119). However, in many cases, this ligand insertion strategy can result in low transduction efficiency (116). In particular, virus particles complexed with the targeted receptors were often sequestered on the cell surface or routed to degradative pathways after endocytosis (118,119). The resulting lack of transduction was due in part to the fact that viral binding to target receptors was not sufficient to trigger conformational changes in the envelope proteins and thereby initiate viral fusion (120). Such ligand-mediated transduction inhibition has led to another targeting strategy, where some ligand domains, such as EGF and CD40, are inserted into amphotropic MLV envelope proteins via a matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-cleavable linker to block viral entry. Retroviral vectors pseudotyped with these chimeric MLV envelope proteins can be activated by MMP expressed by target cells, which cleave off the blocking domain, thereby resulting in successful transduction (121). Recent improvements in the introduction of targeting ligands into envelope proteins have further enhanced this method (117,122,123).

Loss in vector efficiency upon introduction of targeting ligands into envelope proteins can be often reversed by coinorporation of wt envelope proteins into viral vectors (117). An analogous approach incorporated fusogenic HA mutants, which lack the ability to bind receptors, into the vector. MLV packaged with both an engineered MLV envelope protein, containing an N-terminal Flt-3 ligand fusion, as well as a HA mutant, retaining its fusogenic function but unable to bind sialic acid, could transduce cells in a Flt-3 receptor-dependent

fashion (122). This strategy can minimize the undesirable off-target cell binding that accompanies the coexpression of wt envelope proteins to enhance viral fusion. Interestingly, a n inserted ligand can enhance retroviral efficiency by altering the target cell's physiological state. For example, when interleukin-2 (IL-2) was fused to the N terminus of a chimeric amphotropic MLV envelope, MLV vectors pseudotyped with both the chimeric and wt envelope proteins could transduce quiescent cells expressing IL-2 receptors 34-fold more efficiently, via activation of the cell cycle, than vectors with only the wt envelope (123).

Although peptide and entire protein domain insertions hold tremendous potential for engineering defined functions into viral vectors, several challenges do remain. Peptide insertion can disrupt multimerization of the capsid monomers, reduce the thermostability of the assembled viral vector, or hinder proper intracellular trafficking (89,96,108,110,124). Furthermore, the proper function of the inserted peptide is highly dependent on the neighboring amino acids, and thus two peptides inserted in the same location may function with different efficiencies (89,108,124). Therefore, high-throughput screening or selection for proper peptide function may enhance the utility of this technique, as well as help explore peptides that help pass gene delivery barriers other than receptor binding.

Rational Point and Domain Mutagenesis

For many gene delivery applications, the native tropism of the virus must be altered or eliminated to reduce infection of off-target cells or modulate biodistribution. Mutagenesis of key amino acids involved in receptor binding can drastically reduce off-target infection, and the addition of a targeting ligand can enable transduction of target cells. Numerous studies have successfully mapped key receptor-binding locations on the capsid or VAP, and initial in vivo experiments emphasize the potential of point mutagenesis for viral vector engineering.

There have been several attempts to alter the biodistribution of the Ad vectors (e.g., reduce liver uptake) by modulating the CAR-binding properties of the fiber protein (125,126). Mutation of some residues led to 10- to 100-fold reduction in transduction levels in vitro; however, biodistribution studies showed no significant differences compared with wt vectors, except for a slight reduction in gene expression in the heart (126). Recent efforts to engineer an Ad vector with reduced liver transduction have focused on combining the effects of decreasing CAR binding (KO1), integrin binding (PD1), and HSPG binding (S*) (127,128). These studies confirm that ablation of CAR binding has minimal effects on in vivo gene delivery, but that integrin binding aids in efficient lung, heart, kidney, and liver transduction. The S* phenotype results in a larger than tenfold decrease in transduction in most tissues, including liver, as well as a reduction in the innate immune response to the Ad vector (128). Combining all three mutations generated an Ad vector with a more than 10,000-fold decrease in gene transduction in the liver, and substantial reductions in other tissues (~10–100-fold), thus facilitating the engineering of Ad vectors without a primary liver tropism (127). Further work to restore or exceed wt transduction levels in other tissues using targeting peptides or beneficial compensatory mutations will advance the use of such vectors for in vivo gene delivery.

The solution of the AAV2 crystal structure by Xie et al. (129) has helped to guide several efforts to enhance the knowledge of structure-function relationships for AAV2, as well as aid in viral vector design. Studies by Opie et al. and Kern et al. (130,131) mutagenized basic residues (i.e., lysine and arginine) in the VP3 region of the capsid and identified five mutations that significantly decreased HSPG binding, in most cases decreased viral infectivity more than tenfold, and altered biodistribution in vivo. In addition, swapping the loop 4 region of AAV2, which contains the key HSPG binding motif, with the analogous region of AAV5, which does not bind HSPG, conferred HSPG binding to AAV5 but also reduced viral infectivity by approximately tenfold. Two additional recent efforts have greatly improved fundamental

structure-function knowledge of multiple AAV serotypes. Lochrie et al. (132) analyzed the effects of 127 site-directed mutations on the AAV2 capsid surface to map receptor binding sites and antigenic regions. These results further confirmed the HSPG binding regions and identified a novel dead zone on the viral capsid, which was putatively described as a FGFR-binding region through docking studies. This wealth of information should facilitate the location of analogous receptor-binding regions on other AAV serotypes and aid the design of novel receptor binding sites on AAV capsids through docking studies and mutagenesis. In fact, one study has shown that even single-amino acid changes can alter viral packaging and confer heparin-binding properties to multiple AAV serotypes (133). The database of knowledge amassed from these studies, coupled with the recent crystal structures of AAV2, AAV4, and AAV8 (129,134,135), will greatly enhance the rational forward engineering of novel AAV vectors, and future work will hopefully conduct in vivo studies to confirm the altered functionalities.

The protein constituents of retroviral vectors have also been rationally mutagenized to improve existing properties or introduce new functions. For example, substitution of the V3-loop region of the simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) SU with the corresponding region of a T cell tropic HIV-1 allowed T cell-specific transduction by pseudotyped MLV vectors, even in the presence of anti-HIV-1 sera (136). Another application of rational mutagenesis has addressed the problem that, although heterologous envelope proteins can be robustly incorporated into retroviral and lentiviral vectors, some are selectively excluded from virions. For example, the long C-terminal region of HIV-1 envelope proteins hinders their packaging with MLV core particles during budding, potentially due to steric hindrance (137,138). To counteract this problem, the cytoplasmic tail of the HIV-1 envelope protein was truncated, leading to efficient packaging of retroviral vectors pseudotyped with HIV-1 envelope proteins (137,138). As an additional application of site-directed mutagenesis, to minimize random insertional mutagenesis for lentiviral vectors, as well as convert them into transiently expressing vectors, HIV-1 integrase has been altered to reduce its integration ability. Mutations such as RRR to AAH at position 262 (139) and D64V (140) led to transient transgene expression in dividing cells by the nonintegrating HIV vectors carrying the engineered integrases (139), but allowed longer-term gene expression in nondividing cells (139,140). However, despite the 500-fold drop in integration compared with wt integrase, the residual integration ability of such mutants cannot be ignored (139).

Initial mutagenesis studies have identified key amino acids involved in receptor binding, facilitated the engineering of viral vectors with reduced native tropism, enabled the engineering of vectors with novel tropism, and enhanced vector safety. Future studies involving additional serotypes should enhance basic knowledge of other viral structure-function relationships and aid in the forward engineering of viral vectors through combinations of beneficial point mutations or larger functional domain swappings.

HIGH-THROUGHPUT LIBRARY APPROACHES FOR VECTOR ENGINEERING

Although rational modification techniques have generated viral vectors with novel gene delivery properties, the successful application of these approaches often requires detailed mechanistic knowledge of the viral proteins. However, in the absence of extensive knowledge of the structure-function relationships for the majority of viral proteins, high-throughput library generation and selection schemes can still rapidly generate novel solutions to various gene delivery problems (Figure 3). Furthermore, the iterative application of library generation and selection, known as directed evolution, permits the accumulation of beneficial mutations distributed throughout the primary sequence of the protein to engineer viral vectors with novel gene delivery properties in a process that mimics natural evolution.

Viral Peptide Display

As highlighted in Functional Polypeptide Incorporation, above, many peptides that function in one context fail to function properly when inserted into viral capsids or envelope proteins. The insertion may reduce viral stability, disrupt key structural contacts required for viral assembly, alter processing and intracellular trafficking of envelope proteins, compromise membrane fusion, or require proper context to enable functional peptide display. Therefore, methods to select for peptides that function properly in the context of the viral proteins may greatly enhance viral vector engineering.

As mentioned above, the Ad fiber and surface loops of the AAV capsid present attractive locations for the insertion of functional peptides. Pereboev et al. (141) developed a system in which the Ad5 fiber is displayed on the surface of a bacteriophage and showed that peptides incorporated into the fiber are functionally displayed. Therefore, diverse libraries of peptides could be selected for cell surface binding or other properties and likely retain these functions once incorporated into the full Ad vector. This platform has recently been further developed to permit rapid selection of semirandom 14-amino acid peptides within the context of the HI loop of the Ad fiber. Several peptides selected in this fashion enhanced Ad gene delivery by 100-fold relative to wtAd5 on murine fibroblasts (142) or tenfold on mouse myoblasts (143). Similarly inspired by phage display techniques, high-throughput display and selection platforms of peptide-modified AAV2 vectors have also been developed (144,145). Insertion of a random 7-amino acid peptide into loop 4 of the AAV2 capsid coupled with selection on nonpermissive cell lines, such as endothelial cells, generated AAV vectors with 4- to 40-fold higher transduction levels relative to wtAAV2 and enhanced heart transduction in vivo (144).

Similar high-throughput selection platforms have been developed for retroviral vector envelope proteins. In one study, a random 10-amino acid peptide was substituted into the receptor binding domain of the subgroup A feline leukemia virus (FeLV-A) envelope protein (146,147). When retroviral libraries displaying the envelope protein mutants were selected on AH927 feline fibroblasts expressing receptors for FeLV-A, -B, and -C, one selected clone exhibited a receptor usage pattern different from that of the parent envelope protein, ultimately conferring novel tropism to canine osteosarcoma cells (146). Selection of a similar library against a *ras*-transformed 143B human cell line yielded one variant with preferential tropism to 143B cells and 293T human embryonic kidney cells, via unknown receptors that were distinct from the FeLV receptor (147).

Random peptide display approaches have been also applied to identify peptides that function as cleavable substrates for proteases specifically expressed on target cells. An early study involved insertion of a random 7-amino acid peptide between a targeting EGF domain and the N terminus of the MLV envelope protein, and the resulting MLV vector library displaying the mutant envelope proteins was selected for the human fibrosarcoma cell line HT1080 that expressed EGFR and Rec-1 receptors. Selected peptides were mostly enriched in basic amino acids, such as arginine, and likely served as substrates for furin, a ubiquitously expressed protease (148). The use of degenerate codons to reduce the generation of furin-sensitive substrates in the library led to isolation of peptides that allowed MMP-2-mediated cleavage and selective retroviral transduction of HT1080 cells. The selected envelope protein variants exhibited not only increased MMP-2 sensitivity but also a high efficiency of incorporation into virus particles (149).

In each of these three vector platforms, peptides were selected for the ability to enhance transduction of a cell line. Although most of the peptides likely mediate receptor binding, it is possible that some may aid in later stages of gene delivery. Further development of these platforms may identify peptides that overcome gene delivery barriers other than cell surface

binding, as well as peptide-displaying viral vectors capable of enhanced transduction of additional therapeutically relevant cell types, such as hematopoietic stem cells.

Random Insertional Mutagenesis

Another approach for engineering viral vectors involves random insertional mutagenesis. Transposases, enzymes that catalyze the efficient random insertion of a small DNA sequence into acceptor DNA ranging from an organismal genome to a plasmid, have aided in insertional mutagenesis studies to efficiently map permissive insertion sites within viral genomes and proteins (150). Recently, we have extended this approach to incorporate a short peptide tag, a His₆, randomly throughout VSVG, thereby permitting efficient viral purification via column chromatography. Three novel insertion sites that did not significantly compromise viral production or infectivity were identified, and analysis of one clone demonstrated that the improved purity resulted in a weaker immune response upon injection into the rat brain (151). Furthermore, the three novel insertion sites have further applications in engineering targeted VSVG variants. Adaptation of this high-throughput method for other novel properties such as enhanced intracellular trafficking has generated retroviral vectors capable of infecting nondividing cells both in vitro and in vivo and thus extended the potential of retroviral vectors (152). Random insertional mutagenesis thus offers the potential to rapidly select for peptides that confer novel functions and/or enhance multiple steps of the gene delivery process.

Directed Evolution

Directed evolution is a promising approach for rapid and efficient viral vector engineering. Random mutagenesis or in vitro recombination techniques can generate large, diverse protein libraries with novel functions, and subsequent high-throughput selections or screens can yield variants with unique and enhanced functions, including enzymes, antibodies, and cytokines (153–155). Importantly, by allowing the iterative accumulation of key, synergistic mutations distributed throughout the primary protein sequence, often outside of previously identified functional regions, evolution can in some cases dramatically alter protein function. Furthermore, in contrast to rational design, this approach does not require substantial mechanistic knowledge of the substrate protein. Therefore, particularly because extensive knowledge of viral structure-function relationships is often lacking, directed evolution is a promising method to engineer viral vectors with novel gene delivery properties whose basis is distributed throughout the primary sequence of the capsid or VAP, such as virus-cell or virus-immune system interactions.

We have recently developed a novel platform for directed evolution of AAV vectors based on PCR-mediated mutagenesis and recombination of the AAV2 *cap* gene (156). Subsequent viral packaging resulted in a large and diverse viral library, in which each AAV capsid contained the *cap* gene encoding that capsid. Selection for altered heparin binding generated AAV2 variants with higher and lower affinities for heparin, and multiple rounds of mutagenesis and selection in the presence of increasing concentrations of anti-AAV2 serum generated a novel AAV2 variant with larger than 100-fold enhanced resistance to preexisting AAV2 antibodies in vivo. A library selection approach involving a single round of mutagenesis and selection against individual human serum samples resulted in variants with 3.3- to 5.5-fold enhanced resistance to the human serum sample (157). Furthermore, we have recently shown that high-efficiency in vitro recombination of numerous AAV serotypes generates viable and highly chimeric AAV vectors with an array of cellular tropisms distinct from the parental serotypes, as well as enhanced resistance to preexisting antibodies (J.T. Koerber & D.V. Schaffer, unpublished data). When integrated with efficient iterative selections, these new library-generation protocols present a powerful tool for the generation of novel AAV vectors with customized gene delivery properties.

Library generation and selection methods utilizing in vitro recombination have also generated novel retroviral vectors with novel cell tropism and enhanced stabilities. In an important work, Soong et al. (66) employed DNA shuffling of six parental ecotropic MLV envelope genes to construct a diverse chimeric retroviral library. Following five rounds of selection on the nonpermissive Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line, a novel variant capable of transducing CHO cells at high efficiency was isolated. Selection of a similar library for enhanced stability also identified several novel chimeras capable of withstanding ultracentrifugation (158). Analysis of the resulting chimeras in each case demonstrated the cooperative effect of several recombination events or mutations to generate the final viral phenotype, highlighting the potential of this approach to develop novel solutions to gene delivery challenges without a priori knowledge of viral structure-function relationships.

FUTURE OUTLOOK

Numerous advances in viral vector engineering have resulted in vehicles with novel functionality, and future endeavors will extend these approaches in new directions. For example, most studies to date have successfully engineered a single function into the viral vector, focusing on receptor binding on a target cell, the major barrier to efficient delivery. However, other barriers, such as preexisting immunity, intracellular trafficking, and proteasome degradation, also restrict the gene delivery efficiency of these engineered viral vectors and will likely be the focus of future work.

In addition, recent advances in knowledge of viral structure (129,134,135,159,160) provide a platform for structure-based design of novel receptor-binding regions and other functions into the viral templates. However, to simultaneously contend with multiple challenges and delivery barriers, future work will likely require more high-throughput methods for vector engineering. For example, regardless of viral structure knowledge, library selection and directed evolution methods promise to create vectors with novel gene delivery properties, often resulting in solutions that could not be predicted a priori, as well as yield additional information on basic virology to further aid rational design. Furthermore, techniques such as the generation of chimeric vectors or directed evolution, which combine multiple functions from different parental vectors and in some cases from different viral families, will advance the engineering of more complex viral vectors with multiple designed functionalities, analogous to current synthetic vectors that are generated from blends of polymers or lipids with user-defined chemical properties.

In general, numerous vector engineering methods have shown great promise in vitro, and future in vivo analysis, particularly for targeting approaches and to address concerns over vector immunogenicity, promises to further extend the utility of engineered vehicles. Collectively, a combination of advanced approaches for optimizing the vector and engineering the cargo, such as through transcriptional targeting (161) or regulated expression systems (162), will result in safe and effective viral vectors that will move forward in the clinic.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by UC Discovery bio05-10559, NIH R01-HL081527, and NSF BES-0629202.

Glossary

Ad	Adenovirus
AAV	Adeno-associated virus

VAP	viral attachment protein
Transduction	the entire system of gene delivery and expression
CAR	coxsackievirus B and adenovirus receptor
Serotype	a group of related biological entities, such as viruses, that share a common set of antigens
HSPG	heparan sulfate proteoglycan
Tropism	cell or tissue delivery range of a virus or vector
MLV	murine leukemia virus
HVR	hypervariable region
Chimera	a biological entity, such as a virus, composed of two or more genetic fragments of distinct origin or composition
Targeting	the delivery of genetic material to a specific cell type
wt	wild type
VSVG	vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein
mAb	monoclonal antibody
Library	a large, diverse pool of related objects, such as proteins or viruses

LITERATURE CITED

1. Edelstein ML, Abedi MR, Wixon J. Gene therapy clinical trials worldwide to 2007—an update. *J Gene Med* 2007;9:833–42. [PubMed: 17721874]
2. Verma IM, Weitzman MD. Gene therapy: twenty-first century medicine. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2005;74:711–38. [PubMed: 15952901]
3. Cavazzana-Calvo M, Hacein-Bey S, de Saint Basile G, Gross F, Yvon E, et al. Gene therapy of human severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)-X1 disease. *Science* 2000;288:669–72. [PubMed: 10784449]
4. Manno CS, Pierce GF, Arruda VR, Glader B, Ragni M, et al. Successful transduction of liver in hemophilia by AAV-Factor IX and limitations imposed by the host immune response. *Nat Med* 2006;12:342–47. [PubMed: 16474400]

5. Hacein-Bey-Abina S, Von Kalle C, Schmidt M, McCormack MP, Wulffraat N, et al. LMO2-associated clonal T cell proliferation in two patients after gene therapy for SCID-X1. *Science* 2003;302:415–19. [PubMed: 14564000]
6. Chirmule N, Probert K, Magosin S, Qian Y, Qian R, Wilson J. Immune responses to adenovirus and adeno-associated virus in humans. *Gene Ther* 1999;6:1574–83. [PubMed: 10490767]
7. Kostense S, Koudstaal W, Sprangers M, Weverling GJ, Penders G, et al. Adenovirus types 5 and 35 seroprevalence in AIDS risk groups supports type 35 as a vaccine vector. *AIDS* 2004;18:1213–16. [PubMed: 15166541]
8. Muruve DA. The innate immune response to adenovirus vectors. *Hum Gene Ther* 2004;15:1157–66. [PubMed: 15684693]
9. Howitt J, Anderson CW, Freimuth P. Adenovirus interaction with its cellular receptor CAR. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2003;272:331–64. [PubMed: 12747555]
10. Smith-Arica JR, Thomson AJ, Ansell R, Chiorini J, Davidson B, McWhir J. Infection efficiency of human and mouse embryonic stem cells using adenoviral and adeno-associated viral vectors. *Cloning Stem Cells* 2003;5:51–62. [PubMed: 12713701]
11. Baker D, Church G, Collins J, Endy D, et al. Bio FAB Group. Engineering life: building a FAB for biology. *Sci Am* 2006;294:44–51. [PubMed: 16711359]
12. Ro DK, Paradise EM, Ouellet M, Fisher KJ, Newman KL, et al. Production of the antimalarial drug precursor artemisinic acid in engineered yeast. *Nature* 2006;440:940–43. [PubMed: 16612385]
13. Benihoud K, Yeh P, Perricaudet M. Adenovirus vectors for gene delivery. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 1999;10:440–47. [PubMed: 10508634]
14. Brody SL, Crystal RG. Adenovirus-mediated in vivo gene transfer. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1994;716:90–101. [PubMed: 7517653]discussion 103
15. Kovesdi I, Brough DE, Bruder JT, Wickham TJ. Adenoviral vectors for gene transfer. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 1997;8:583–89. [PubMed: 9353227]
16. Shenk T. Adenoviridae: the viruses and their replication. 2006:211–48. See Ref. 163
17. Bergelson JM, Cunningham JA, Droguett G, Kurt-Jones EA, Krithivas A, et al. Isolation of a common receptor for coxsackie B viruses and adenoviruses 2 and 5. *Science* 1997;275:1320–23. [PubMed: 9036860]
18. Gaggar A, Shayakhmetov DM, Lieber A. CD46 is a cellular receptor for group B adenoviruses. *Nat Med* 2003;9:1408–12. [PubMed: 14566335]
19. Stewart PL, Chiu CY, Huang S, Muir T, Zhao Y, et al. Cryo-EM visualization of an exposed RGD epitope on adenovirus that escapes antibody neutralization. *EMBO J* 1997;16:1189–98. [PubMed: 9135136]
20. Havenga MJ, Lemckert AA, Ophorst OJ, van Meijer M, Germeraad WT, et al. Exploiting the natural diversity in adenovirus tropism for therapy and prevention of disease. *J Virol* 2002;76:4612–20. [PubMed: 11932426]
21. Shayakhmetov DM, Papayannopoulou T, Stamatoyannopoulos G, Lieber A. Efficient gene transfer into human CD34(+) cells by a retargeted adenovirus vector. *J Virol* 2000;74:2567–83. [PubMed: 10684271]
22. Danthinne X, Imperiale MJ. Production of first generation adenovirus vectors: a review. *Gene Ther* 2000;7:1707–14. [PubMed: 11083491]
23. Clemens PR, Kochanek S, Sunada Y, Chan S, Chen HH, et al. In vivo muscle gene transfer of full-length dystrophin with an adenoviral vector that lacks all viral genes. *Gene Ther* 1996;3:965–72. [PubMed: 8940636]
24. Flotte TR, Afione SA, Conrad C, McGrath SA, Solow R, et al. Stable in vivo expression of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator with an adeno-associated virus vector. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993;90:10613–17. [PubMed: 7504271]
25. Kaspar BK, Erickson D, Schaffer D, Hinh L, Gage FH, Peterson DA. Targeted retrograde gene delivery for neuronal protection. *Mol Ther* 2002;5:50–56. [PubMed: 11786045]
26. Srivastava A, Lusby EW, Berns KI. Nucleotide sequence and organization of the adeno-associated virus 2 genome. *J Virol* 1983;45:555–64. [PubMed: 6300419]

27. Samulski RJ, Zhu X, Xiao X, Brook JD, Housman DE, et al. Targeted integration of adeno-associated virus (AAV) into human chromosome 19. *EMBO J* 1991;10:3941–50. [PubMed: 1657596]
28. Berns K. Parvoviridae: the viruses and their replication. 2006;2173–97. See Ref. 163
29. Summerford C, Samulski RJ. Membrane-associated heparan sulfate proteoglycan is a receptor for adeno-associated virus type 2 virions. *J Virol* 1998;72:1438–45. [PubMed: 9445046]
30. Kaludov N, Brown KE, Walters RW, Zabner J, Chiorini JA. Adeno-associated virus serotype 4 (AAV4) and AAV5 both require sialic acid binding for hemagglutination and efficient transduction but differ in sialic acid linkage specificity. *J Virol* 2001;75:6884–93. [PubMed: 11435568]
31. Qing K, Mah C, Hansen J, Zhou S, Dwarki V, Srivastava A. Human fibroblast growth factor receptor 1 is a coreceptor for infection by adeno-associated virus 2. *Nat Med* 1999;5:71–77. [PubMed: 9883842]
32. Di Pasquale G, Davidson BL, Stein CS, Martins I, Scudiero D, et al. Identification of PDGFR as a receptor for AAV-5 transduction. *Nat Med* 2003;9:1306–12. [PubMed: 14502277]
33. Ding W, Zhang L, Yan Z, Engelhardt JF. Intracellular trafficking of adeno-associated viral vectors. *Gene Ther* 2005;12:873–80. [PubMed: 15829993]
34. Chiorini JA, Kim F, Yang L, Kotin RM. Cloning and characterization of adeno-associated virus type 5. *J Virol* 1999;73:1309–19. [PubMed: 9882336]
35. Gao GP, Alvira MR, Wang L, Calcedo R, Johnston J, Wilson JM. Novel adeno-associated viruses from rhesus monkeys as vectors for human gene therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:11854–59. [PubMed: 12192090]
36. Kaludov N, Handelman B, Chiorini JA. Scalable purification of adeno-associated virus type 2, 4, or 5 using ion-exchange chromatography. *Hum Gene Ther* 2002;13:1235–43. [PubMed: 12133276]
37. Dong JY, Fan PD, Frizzell RA. Quantitative analysis of the packaging capacity of recombinant adeno-associated virus. *Hum Gene Ther* 1996;7:2101–12. [PubMed: 8934224]
38. Muzyczka N, Warrington KH Jr. Custom adeno-associated virus capsids: the next generation of recombinant vectors with novel tropism. *Hum Gene Ther* 2005;16:408–16. [PubMed: 15871672]
39. Coffin, JM.; Hughes, SH.; Varmus, HE. Retroviruses. Woodbury, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press; 1997.
40. McClure MO, Sommerfelt MA, Marsh M, Weiss RA. The pH independence of mammalian retrovirus infection. *J Gen Virol* 1990;71(Pt 4):767–73. [PubMed: 2157795]
41. Mothes W, Boerger AL, Narayan S, Cunningham JM, Young JA. Retroviral entry mediated by receptor priming and low pH triggering of an envelope glycoprotein. *Cell* 2000;103:679–89. [PubMed: 11106737]
42. Sommerfelt MA. Retrovirus receptors. *J Gen Virol* 1999;80(Pt 12):3049–64. [PubMed: 10567635]
43. Roberts DM, Nanda A, Havenga MJ, Abbink P, Lynch DM, et al. Hexon-chimaeric adenovirus serotype 5 vectors circumvent pre-existing antivector immunity. *Nature* 2006;441:239–43. [PubMed: 16625206]
44. Krasnykh V, Belousova N, Korokhov N, Mikheeva G, Curiel DT. Genetic targeting of an adenovirus vector via replacement of the fiber protein with the phage T4 fibrin. *J Virol* 2001;75:4176–83. [PubMed: 11287567]
45. Mercier GT, Campbell JA, Chappell JD, Stehle T, Dermody TS, Barry MA. A chimeric adenovirus vector encoding reovirus attachment protein sigma1 targets cells expressing junctional adhesion molecule 1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:6188–93. [PubMed: 15079060]
46. Hauck B, Chen L, Xiao W. Generation and characterization of chimeric recombinant AAV vectors. *Mol Ther* 2003;7:419–25. [PubMed: 12668138]
47. Rabinowitz JE, Bowles DE, Faust SM, Ledford JG, Cunningham SE, Samulski RJ. Cross-dressing the virion: the transcapsidation of adeno-associated virus serotypes functionally defines subgroups. *J Virol* 2004;78:4421–32. [PubMed: 15078923]
48. Gigout L, Rebollo P, Clement N, Warrington KH Jr, Muzyczka N, et al. Altering AAV tropism with mosaic viral capsids. *Mol Ther* 2005;11:856–65. [PubMed: 15922956]
49. Stachler MD, Bartlett JS. Mosaic vectors comprised of modified AAV1 capsid proteins for efficient vector purification and targeting to vascular endothelial cells. *Gene Ther* 2006;13:926–31. [PubMed: 16482202]

50. Bowles DE, Rabinowitz JE, Samulski RJ. Marker rescue of adeno-associated virus (AAV) capsid mutants: a novel approach for chimeric AAV production. *J Virol* 2003;77:423–32. [PubMed: 12477847]
51. Hauck B, Xiao W. Characterization of tissue tropism determinants of adeno-associated virus type 1. *J Virol* 2003;77:2768–74. [PubMed: 12552020]
52. Cronin J, Zhang XY, Reiser J. Altering the tropism of lentiviral vectors through pseudotyping. *Curr Gene Ther* 2005;5:387–98. [PubMed: 16101513]
53. Cone RD, Mulligan RC. High-efficiency gene transfer into mammalian cells: generation of helper-free recombinant retrovirus with broad mammalian host range. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1984;81:6349–53. [PubMed: 6093098]
54. Burns JC, Friedmann T, Driever W, Burrascano M, Yee JK. Vesicular stomatitis virus G glyco-protein pseudotyped retroviral vectors: concentration to very high titer and efficient gene transfer into mammalian and nonmammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993;90:8033–37. [PubMed: 8396259]
55. Pan D, Gunther R, Duan W, Wendell S, Kaemmerer W, et al. Biodistribution and toxicity studies of VSVG-pseudotyped lentiviral vector after intravenous administration in mice with the observation of in vivo transduction of bone marrow. *Mol Ther* 2002;6:19–29. [PubMed: 12095299]
56. Beyer WR, Westphal M, Ostertag W, von Laer D. Oncoretrovirus and lentivirus vectors pseudo-typed with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus glycoprotein: generation, concentration, and broad host range. *J Virol* 2002;76:1488–95. [PubMed: 11773421]
57. Kang Y, Stein CS, Heth JA, Sinn PL, Penisten AK, et al. In vivo gene transfer using a nonprimate lentiviral vector pseudotyped with Ross River Virus glycoproteins. *J Virol* 2002;76:9378–88. [PubMed: 12186920]
58. Stein CS, Martins I, Davidson BL. The lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus envelope glycoprotein targets lentiviral gene transfer vector to neural progenitors in the murine brain. *Mol Ther* 2005;11:382–89. [PubMed: 15727934]
59. Johnson LG, Olsen JC, Naldini L, Boucher RC. Pseudotyped human lentiviral vector-mediated gene transfer to airway epithelia in vivo. *Gene Ther* 2000;7:568–74. [PubMed: 10819571]
60. Kobinger GP, Weiner DJ, Yu QC, Wilson JM. Filovirus-pseudotyped lentiviral vector can efficiently and stably transduce airway epithelia in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* 2001;19:225–30. [PubMed: 11231554]
61. Kaspar BK, Llado J, Sherkat N, Rothstein JD, Gage FH. Retrograde viral delivery of IGF-1 prolongs survival in a mouse ALS model. *Science* 2003;301:839–42. [PubMed: 12907804]
62. Mazarakis ND, Azzouz M, Rohll JB, Ellard FM, Wilkes FJ, et al. Rabies virus glycoprotein pseudotyping of lentiviral vectors enables retrograde axonal transport and access to the nervous system after peripheral delivery. *Hum Mol Genet* 2001;10:2109–21. [PubMed: 11590128]
63. Somia NV, Miyoshi H, Schmitt MJ, Verma IM. Retroviral vector targeting to human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected cells by receptor pseudotyping. *J Virol* 2000;74:4420–24. [PubMed: 10756057]
64. Endres MJ, Jaffer S, Haggarty B, Turner JD, Doranz BJ, et al. Targeting of HIV- and SIV-infected cells by CD4-chemokine receptor pseudotypes. *Science* 1997;278:1462–64. [PubMed: 9367958]
65. Balliet JW, Bates P. Efficient infection mediated by viral receptors incorporated into retroviral particles. *J Virol* 1998;72:671–76. [PubMed: 9420272]
66. Soong NW, Nomura L, Pekrun K, Reed M, Sheppard L, et al. Molecular breeding of viruses. *Nat Genet* 2000;25:436–39. [PubMed: 10932190] First demonstration of high-throughput recombination to generate functionally diverse retroviral vectors.
67. O’Riordan CR, Lachapelle A, Delgado C, Parkes V, Wadsworth SC, et al. PEGylation of adenovirus with retention of infectivity and protection from neutralizing antibody in vitro and in vivo. *Hum Gene Ther* 1999;10:1349–58. [PubMed: 10365665]
68. Croyle MA, Yu QC, Wilson JM. Development of a rapid method for the PEGylation of adenoviruses with enhanced transduction and improved stability under harsh storage conditions. *Hum Gene Ther* 2000;11:1713–22. [PubMed: 10954905]
69. Mok H, Palmer DJ, Ng P, Barry MA. Evaluation of polyethylene glycol modification of first-generation and helper-dependent adenoviral vectors to reduce innate immune responses. *Mol Ther* 2005;11:66–79. [PubMed: 15585407]

70. Lanciotti J, Song A, Doukas J, Sosnowski B, Pierce G, et al. Targeting adenoviral vectors using heterofunctional polyethylene glycol FGF2 conjugates. *Mol Ther* 2003;8:99–107. [PubMed: 12842433]
71. Ogawara K, Rots MG, Kok RJ, Moorlag HE, Van Loenen AM, et al. A novel strategy to modify adenovirus tropism and enhance transgene delivery to activated vascular endothelial cells in vitro and in vivo. *Hum Gene Ther* 2004;15:433–43. [PubMed: 15144574]
72. Kreppel F, Gackowski J, Schmidt E, Kochanek S. Combined genetic and chemical capsid modifications enable flexible and efficient de- and retargeting of adenovirus vectors. *Mol Ther* 2005;12:107–17. [PubMed: 15963926]
73. Dmitriev I, Kashentseva E, Rogers BE, Krasnykh V, Curiel DT. Ectodomain of coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor genetically fused to epidermal growth factor mediates adenovirus targeting to epidermal growth factor receptor-positive cells. *J Virol* 2000;74:6875–84. [PubMed: 10888627]
74. Douglas JT, Rogers BE, Rosenfeld ME, Michael SI, Feng M, Curiel DT. Targeted gene delivery by tropism-modified adenoviral vectors. *Nat Biotechnol* 1996;14:1574–78. [PubMed: 9634824]
75. Wickham TJ, Lee GM, Titus JA, Sconocchia G, Bakacs T, et al. Targeted adenovirus-mediated gene delivery to T cells via CD3. *J Virol* 1997;71:7663–69. [PubMed: 9311849]
76. Henning P, Magnusson MK, Gunneriusson E, Hong SS, Boulanger P, et al. Genetic modification of adenovirus 5 tropism by a novel class of ligands based on a three-helix bundle scaffold derived from staphylococcal protein A. *Hum Gene Ther* 2002;13:1427–39. [PubMed: 12215264]
77. Korokhov N, Mikheeva G, Krendelshchikov A, Belousova N, Simonenko V, et al. Targeting of adenovirus via genetic modification of the viral capsid combined with a protein bridge. *J Virol* 2003;77:12931–40. [PubMed: 14645549]
78. Volpers C, Thirion C, Biermann V, Hussmann S, Kewes H, et al. Antibody-mediated targeting of an adenovirus vector modified to contain a synthetic immunoglobulin g-binding domain in the capsid. *J Virol* 2003;77:2093–104. [PubMed: 12525644]
79. Lee GK, Maheshri N, Kaspar B, Schaffer DV. PEG conjugation moderately protects adeno-associated viral vectors against antibody neutralization. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2005;92:24–34. [PubMed: 15937953]
80. Ponnazhagan S, Mahendra G, Kumar S, Thompson JA, Castillas M Jr. Conjugate-based targeting of recombinant adeno-associated virus type 2 vectors by using avidin-linked ligands. *J Virol* 2002;76:12900–7. [PubMed: 12438615]
81. Bartlett JS, Kleinschmidt J, Boucher RC, Samulski RJ. Targeted adeno-associated virus vector transduction of nonpermissive cells mediated by a bispecific F(ab'gamma)2 antibody. *Nat Biotechnol* 1999;17:181–86. [PubMed: 10052356]
82. DePollo NJ, Reed JD, Sheridan PL, Townsend K, Sauter SL, et al. VSV-G pseudotyped lentiviral vector particles produced in human cells are inactivated by human serum. *Mol Ther* 2000;2:218–22. [PubMed: 10985952]
83. Croyle MA, Callahan SM, Auricchio A, Schumer G, Linse KD, et al. PEGylation of a vesicular stomatitis virus G pseudotyped lentivirus vector prevents inactivation in serum. *J Virol* 2004;78:912–21. [PubMed: 14694122]
84. Neda H, Wu CH, Wu GY. Chemical modification of an ecotropic murine leukemia virus results in redirection of its target cell specificity. *J Biol Chem* 1991;266:14143–46. [PubMed: 1907269]
85. Snitkovsky S, Young JA. Cell-specific viral targeting mediated by a soluble retroviral receptor-ligand fusion protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998;95:7063–68. [PubMed: 9618539] Novel targeting strategy utilizing a ligand-viral receptor conjugate to target retroviral vectors.
86. Roux P, Jeanteur P, Piechaczyk M. A versatile and potentially general approach to the targeting of specific cell types by retroviruses: application to the infection of human cells by means of major histocompatibility complex class I and class II antigens by mouse ecotropic murine leukemia virus-derived viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1989;86:9079–83. [PubMed: 2556698]
87. Morizono K, Bristol G, Xie YM, Kung SK, Chen IS. Antibody-directed targeting of retroviral vectors via cell surface antigens. *J Virol* 2001;75:8016–20. [PubMed: 11483746]
88. Morizono K, Xie Y, Ringpis GE, Johnson M, Nassanian H, et al. Lentiviral vector retargeting to P-glycoprotein on metastatic melanoma through intravenous injection. *Nat Med* 2005;11:346–52. [PubMed: 15711560]

89. Wickham TJ, Tzeng E, Shears LL 2nd, Roelvink PW, Li Y, et al. Increased in vitro and in vivo gene transfer by adenovirus vectors containing chimeric fiber proteins. *J Virol* 1997;71:8221–29. [PubMed: 9343173]
90. Dmitriev I, Krasnykh V, Miller CR, Wang M, Kashentseva E, et al. An adenovirus vector with genetically modified fibers demonstrates expanded tropism via utilization of a coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor-independent cell entry mechanism. *J Virol* 1998;72:9706–13. [PubMed: 9811704]
91. Reynolds P, Dmitriev I, Curiel D. Insertion of an RGD motif into the HI loop of adenovirus fiber protein alters the distribution of transgene expression of the systemically administered vector. *Gene Ther* 1999;6:1336–39. [PubMed: 10455445]
92. Koizumi N, Mizuguchi H, Utoguchi N, Watanabe Y, Hayakawa T. Generation of fiber-modified adenovirus vectors containing heterologous peptides in both the HI loop and C terminus of the fiber knob. *J Gene Med* 2003;5:267–76. [PubMed: 12692861]
93. Nicklin SA, Von Seggern DJ, Work LM, Pek DC, Dominiczak AF, et al. Ablating adenovirus type 5 fiber-CAR binding and HI loop insertion of the SIGYPLP peptide generate an endothelial cell-selective adenovirus. *Mol Ther* 2001;4:534–42. [PubMed: 11735337]
94. Page JG, Tian B, Schweikart K, Tomaszewski J, Harris R, et al. Identifying the safety profile of a novel infectivity-enhanced conditionally replicative adenovirus, Ad5-delta24-RGD, in anticipation of a phase I trial for recurrent ovarian cancer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007;196:389.e1–10. [PubMed: 17403430]
95. Parrott MB, Adams KE, Mercier GT, Mok H, Campos SK, Barry MA. Metabolically biotinylated adenovirus for cell targeting, ligand screening, and vector purification. *Mol Ther* 2003;8:688–700. [PubMed: 14529842] Robust, general Ad vector modification system through high-affinity biotin-avidin interactions.
96. Vellinga J, de Vrij J, Myhre S, Uil T, Martineau P, et al. Efficient incorporation of a functional hyperstable single-chain antibody fragment protein-IX fusion in the adenovirus capsid. *Gene Ther* 2007;14:664–70. [PubMed: 17268536]
97. Matthews QL, Sibley DA, Wu H, Li J, Stoff-Khalili MA, et al. Genetic incorporation of a herpes simplex virus type 1 thymidine kinase and firefly luciferase fusion into the adenovirus protein IX for functional display on the virion. *Mol Imaging* 2006;5:510–19. [PubMed: 17150163]
98. Le LP, Le HN, Dmitriev IP, Davydova JG, Gavrikova T, et al. Dynamic monitoring of oncolytic adenovirus in vivo by genetic capsid labeling. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2006;98:203–14. [PubMed: 16449680] Novel peptide-modification approach that allows real-time tracking of Ad vectors in vivo.
99. Yang Q, Mamounas M, Yu G, Kennedy S, Leaker B, et al. Development of novel cell surface CD34-targeted recombinant adenoassociated virus vectors for gene therapy. *Hum Gene Ther* 1998;9:1929–37. [PubMed: 9741431]
100. Girod A, Ried M, Wobus C, Lahm H, Leike K, et al. Genetic capsid modifications allow efficient retargeting of adeno-associated virus type 2. *Nat Med* 1999;5:1052–56. [PubMed: 10470084] First high-efficiency peptide targeting approach for AAV vectors.
101. Grifman M, Trepel M, Speece P, Gilbert LB, Arap W, et al. Incorporation of tumor-targeting peptides into recombinant adeno-associated virus capsids. *Mol Ther* 2001;3:964–75. [PubMed: 11407911]
102. Shi W, Bartlett JS. RGD inclusion in VP3 provides adeno-associated virus type 2 (AAV2)-based vectors with a heparan sulfate-independent cell entry mechanism. *Mol Ther* 2003;7:515–25. [PubMed: 12727115]
103. Koerber JT, Jang JH, Yu JH, Kane RS, Schaffer DV. Engineering adeno-associated virus for one-step purification via immobilized metal affinity chromatography. *Hum Gene Ther* 2007;18:367–78. [PubMed: 17437357]
104. Xu J, Ma C, Bass C, Terwilliger EF. A combination of mutations enhances the neurotropism of AAV-2. *Virology* 2005;341:203–14. [PubMed: 16102794]
105. Arnold GS, Sasser AK, Stachler MD, Bartlett JS. Metabolic biotinylation provides a unique platform for the purification and targeting of multiple AAV vector serotypes. *Mol Ther* 2006;14:97–106. [PubMed: 16624620]

106. Warrington KH Jr, Gorbatyuk OS, Harrison JK, Opie SR, Zolotukhin S, Muzyczka N. Adeno-associated virus type 2 VP2 capsid protein is nonessential and can tolerate large peptide insertions at its N terminus. *J Virol* 2004;78:6595–609. [PubMed: 15163751]
107. Lux K, Goerlitz N, Schlemminger S, Perabo L, Goldnau D, et al. Green fluorescent protein-tagged adeno-associated virus particles allow the study of cytosolic and nuclear trafficking. *J Virol* 2005;79:11776–87. [PubMed: 16140755]
108. Wu P, Xiao W, Conlon T, Hughes J, Agbandje-McKenna M, et al. Mutational analysis of the adeno-associated virus type 2 (AAV2) capsid gene and construction of AAV2 vectors with altered tropism. *J Virol* 2000;74:8635–47. [PubMed: 10954565]
109. Gollan TJ, Green MR. Redirecting retroviral tropism by insertion of short, nondisruptive peptide ligands into envelope. *J Virol* 2002;76:3558–63. [PubMed: 11884580]
110. Guibinga GH, Hall FL, Gordon EM, Ruoslahti E, Friedmann T. Ligand-modified vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein displays a temperature-sensitive intracellular trafficking and virus assembly phenotype. *Mol Ther* 2004;9:76–84. [PubMed: 14741780]
111. Somia NV, Zoppe M, Verma IM. Generation of targeted retroviral vectors by using single-chain variable fragment: an approach to in vivo gene delivery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995;92:7570–74. [PubMed: 7638232]
112. Marin M, Noel D, Valsesia-Wittman S, Brockly F, Etienne-Julan M, et al. Targeted infection of human cells via major histocompatibility complex class I molecules by Moloney murine leukemia virus-derived viruses displaying single-chain antibody fragment-envelope fusion proteins. *J Virol* 1996;70:2957–62. [PubMed: 8627771]
113. Martin F, Neil S, Kupsch J, Maurice M, Cosset F, Collins M. Retrovirus targeting by tropism restriction to melanoma cells. *J Virol* 1999;73:6923–29. [PubMed: 10400790]
114. Chowdhury S, Chester KA, Bridgewater J, Collins MK, Martin F. Efficient retroviral vector targeting of carcinoembryonic antigen-positive tumors. *Mol Ther* 2004;9:85–92. [PubMed: 14741781]
115. Aires da Silva F, Costa MJ, Corte-Real S, Goncalves J. Cell type-specific targeting with sindbis pseudotyped lentiviral vectors displaying anti-CCR5 single-chain antibodies. *Hum Gene Ther* 2005;16:223–34. [PubMed: 15761262]
116. Katane M, Takao E, Kubo Y, Fujita R, Amanuma H. Factors affecting the direct targeting of murine leukemia virus vectors containing peptide ligands in the envelope protein. *EMBO Rep* 2002;3:899–904. [PubMed: 12189179]
117. Kasahara N, Dozy AM, Kan YW. Tissue-specific targeting of retroviral vectors through ligand-receptor interactions. *Science* 1994;266:1373–76. [PubMed: 7973726]
118. Fielding AK, Maurice M, Morling FJ, Cosset FL, Russell SJ. Inverse targeting of retroviral vectors: selective gene transfer in a mixed population of hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic cells. *Blood* 1998;91:1802–9. [PubMed: 9473249]
119. Chadwick MP, Morling FJ, Cosset FL, Russell SJ. Modification of retroviral tropism by display of IGF-I. *J Mol Biol* 1999;285:485–94. [PubMed: 9878423]
120. Lavillette D, Russell SJ, Cosset FL. Retargeting gene delivery using surface-engineered retroviral vector particles. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2001;12:461–66. [PubMed: 11604321]
121. Peng KW, Vile R, Cosset FL, Russell S. Selective transduction of protease-rich tumors by matrix-metalloproteinase-targeted retroviral vectors. *Gene Ther* 1999;6:1552–57. [PubMed: 10490764]
122. Lin AH, Kasahara N, Wu W, Stripecke R, Empig CL, et al. Receptor-specific targeting mediated by the coexpression of a targeted murine leukemia virus envelope protein and a binding-defective influenza hemagglutinin protein. *Hum Gene Ther* 2001;12:323–32. [PubMed: 11242525]
123. Maurice M, Mazur S, Bullough FJ, Salvetti A, Collins MK, et al. Efficient gene delivery to quiescent interleukin-2 (IL-2)-dependent cells by murine leukemia virus-derived vectors harboring IL-2 chimeric envelope glycoproteins. *Blood* 1999;94:401–10. [PubMed: 10397706]
124. Shi W, Arnold GS, Bartlett JS. Insertional mutagenesis of the adenoassociated virus type 2 (AAV2) capsid gene and generation of AAV2 vectors targeted to alternative cell-surface receptors. *Hum Gene Ther* 2001;12:1697–711. [PubMed: 11560765]
125. Jakubczak JL, Rollence ML, Stewart DA, Jafari JD, Von Seggern DJ, et al. Adenovirus type 5 viral particles pseudotyped with mutagenized fiber proteins show diminished infectivity of coxsackie B-adenovirus receptor-bearing cells. *J Virol* 2001;75:2972–81. [PubMed: 11222722]

126. Alemany R, Curiel DT. CAR-binding ablation does not change biodistribution and toxicity of adenoviral vectors. *Gene Ther* 2001;8:1347–53. [PubMed: 11571572]
127. Koizumi N, Mizuguchi H, Sakurai F, Yamaguchi T, Watanabe Y, Hayakawa T. Reduction of natural adenovirus tropism to mouse liver by fiber-shaft exchange in combination with both CAR- and alphav integrin-binding ablation. *J Virol* 2003;77:13062–72. [PubMed: 14645563]
128. Nicol CG, Graham D, Miller WH, White SJ, Smith TA, et al. Effect of adenovirus serotype 5 fiber and penton modifications on in vivo tropism in rats. *Mol Ther* 2004;10:344–54. [PubMed: 15294181]
129. Xie Q, Bu W, Bhatia S, Hare J, Somasundaram T, et al. The atomic structure of adeno-associated virus (AAV-2), a vector for human gene therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:10405–10. [PubMed: 12136130]
130. Opie SR, Warrington KH Jr, Agbandje-McKenna M, Zolotukhin S, Muzyczka N. Identification of amino acid residues in the capsid proteins of adeno-associated virus type 2 that contribute to heparan sulfate proteoglycan binding. *J Virol* 2003;77:6995–7006. [PubMed: 12768018]
131. Kern A, Schmidt K, Leder C, Muller OJ, Wobus CE, et al. Identification of a heparin-binding motif on adeno-associated virus type 2 capsids. *J Virol* 2003;77:11072–81. [PubMed: 14512555]
132. Lochrie MA, Tatsuno GP, Christie B, McDonnell JW, Zhou S, et al. Mutations on the external surfaces of adeno-associated virus type 2 capsids that affect transduction and neutralization. *J Virol* 2006;80:821–34. [PubMed: 16378984]
133. Wu Z, Asokan A, Grieger JC, Govindasamy L, Agbandje-McKenna M, Samulski RJ. Single amino acid changes can influence titer, heparin binding, and tissue tropism in different adeno-associated virus serotypes. *J Virol* 2006;80:11393–97. [PubMed: 16943302]
134. Govindasamy L, Padron E, McKenna R, Muzyczka N, Kaludov N, et al. Structurally mapping the diverse phenotype of adeno-associated virus serotype 4. *J Virol* 2006;80:11556–70. [PubMed: 16971437]
135. Nam HJ, Lane MD, Padron E, Gurda B, McKenna R, et al. Structure of adeno-associated virus serotype 8, a gene therapy vector. *J Virol* 2007;81:12260–71. [PubMed: 17728238]
136. Steidl S, Stitz J, Schmitt I, Konig R, Flory E, et al. Coreceptor switch of [MLV(SIVagm)] pseudotype vectors by V3-loop exchange. *Virology* 2002;300:205–16. [PubMed: 12350351]
137. Schnierle BS, Stitz J, Bosch V, Nocken F, Merget-Millitzer H, et al. Pseudotyping of murine leukemia virus with the envelope glycoproteins of HIV generates a retroviral vector with specificity of infection for CD4-expressing cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94:8640–45. [PubMed: 9238030]
138. Mammano F, Salvatori F, Indraccolo S, De Rossi A, Chieco-Bianchi L, Gottlinger HG. Truncation of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 envelope glycoprotein allows efficient pseudotyping of Moloney murine leukemia virus particles and gene transfer into CD4+ cells. *J Virol* 1997;71:3341–45. [PubMed: 9060707]
139. Philippe S, Sarkis C, Barkats M, Mammeri H, Ladroue C, et al. Lentiviral vectors with a defective integrase allow efficient and sustained transgene expression in vitro and in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:17684–89. [PubMed: 17095605]
140. Yanez-Munoz RJ, Balaggan KS, MacNeil A, Howe SJ, Schmidt M, et al. Effective gene therapy with nonintegrating lentiviral vectors. *Nat Med* 2006;12:348–53. [PubMed: 16491086]
141. Pereboev A, Pereboeva L, Curiel DT. Phage display of adenovirus type 5 fiber knob as a tool for specific ligand selection and validation. *J Virol* 2001;75:7107–13. [PubMed: 11435591]
142. Fontana L, Nuzzo M, Urbanelli L, Monaci P. General strategy for broadening adenovirus tropism. *J Virol* 2003;77:11094–104. [PubMed: 14512557]
143. Ghosh D, Barry MA. Selection of muscle-binding peptides from context-specific peptide-presenting phage libraries for adenoviral vector targeting. *J Virol* 2005;79:13667–72. [PubMed: 16227286]
144. Muller OJ, Kaul F, Weitzman MD, Pasqualini R, Arap W, et al. Random peptide libraries displayed on adeno-associated virus to select for targeted gene therapy vectors. *Nat Biotechnol* 2003;21:1040–46. [PubMed: 12897791]
145. Perabo L, Buning H, Kofler DM, Ried MU, Girod A, et al. In vitro selection of viral vectors with modified tropism: the adeno-associated virus display. *Mol Ther* 2003;8:151–57. [PubMed: 12842438]

146. Bupp K, Roth MJ. Altering retroviral tropism using a random-display envelope library. *Mol Ther* 2002;5:329–35. [PubMed: 11863424]
147. Bupp K, Roth MJ. Targeting a retroviral vector in the absence of a known cell-targeting ligand. *Hum Gene Ther* 2003;14:1557–64. [PubMed: 14577917]
148. Buchholz CJ, Peng KW, Morling FJ, Zhang J, Cosset FL, Russell SJ. In vivo selection of protease cleavage sites from retrovirus display libraries. *Nat Biotechnol* 1998;16:951–54. [PubMed: 9788352] First demonstration of high throughput random peptide display within a retroviral vector.
149. Schneider RM, Medvedovska Y, Hartl I, Voelker B, Chadwick MP, et al. Directed evolution of retroviruses activatable by tumour-associated matrix metalloproteases. *Gene Ther* 2003;10:1370–80. [PubMed: 12883534]
150. Brune W, Menard C, Hobom U, Odenbreit S, Messerle M, Koszinowski UH. Rapid identification of essential and nonessential herpesvirus genes by direct transposon mutagenesis. *Nat Biotechnol* 1999;17:360–64. [PubMed: 10207884]
151. Yu JH, Schaffer DV. Selection of novel vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein variants from a peptide insertion library for enhanced purification of retroviral and lentiviral vectors. *J Virol* 2006;80:3285–92. [PubMed: 16537595]
152. Yu JH, Schaffer DV. High-throughput, library-based selection of a murine leukemia virus variant to infect nondividing cells. *J Virol* 2006;80:8981–88. [PubMed: 16940510]
153. Stemmer WP. Rapid evolution of a protein in vitro by DNA shuffling. *Nature* 1994;370:389–91. [PubMed: 8047147]
154. Zhao H, Giver L, Shao Z, Affholter JA, Arnold FH. Molecular evolution by staggered extension process (StEP) in vitro recombination. *Nat Biotechnol* 1998;16:258–61. [PubMed: 9528005]
155. Boder ET, Midelfort KS, Wittrup KD. Directed evolution of antibody fragments with monovalent femtomolar antigen-binding affinity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000;97:10701–5. [PubMed: 10984501]
156. Maheshri N, Koerber JT, Kaspar BK, Schaffer DV. Directed evolution of adeno-associated virus yields enhanced gene delivery vectors. *Nat Biotechnol* 2006;24:198–204. [PubMed: 16429148] First application of directed evolution to engineer AAV vectors with novel gene delivery properties.
157. Perabo L, Endell J, King S, Lux K, Goldnau D, et al. Combinatorial engineering of a gene therapy vector: directed evolution of adeno-associated virus. *J Gene Med* 2006;8:155–62. [PubMed: 16285001]
158. Powell SK, Kaloss MA, Pinkstaff A, McKee R, Burimski I, et al. Breeding of retroviruses by DNA shuffling for improved stability and processing yields. *Nat Biotechnol* 2000;18:1279–82. [PubMed: 11101807]
159. Roche S, Rey FA, Gaudin Y, Bressanelli S. Structure of the prefusion form of the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein G. *Science* 2007;315:843–48. [PubMed: 17289996]
160. Roche S, Bressanelli S, Rey FA, Gaudin Y. Crystal structure of the low-pH form of the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein G. *Science* 2006;313:187–91. [PubMed: 16840692]
161. Romano G. Systems for regulated or tissue-specific gene expression. *Drug News Perspect* 2004;17:85–90. [PubMed: 15098061]
162. Agha-Mohammadi S, Lotze MT. Regulatable systems: applications in gene therapy and replicating viruses. *J Clin Invest* 2000;105:1177–83. [PubMed: 10791990]
163. Knipe, DM.; Howley, PM., editors. *Fields Virology*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006.

Figure 1. Schematic of the structure of viral particles and organization of the viral genomes. (a) Three-dimensional representation of the AAV capsid from VIPER database (<http://viperdbs.scripps.edu/>) and schematic of AAV genome. (b) Representation of key components of the adenoviral capsid and genome organization. (c) Representation of key components of retroviral and lentiviral particles along with the genome organization of each virus.

Figure 2.

Overview of rational protein engineering strategies for viral vectors. Rational design methods include (a) the use of a bispecific adaptor, (b) pseudotyping with an alternate capsid or VAP, (c,d) the generation of mosaic or chimeric particles, and (e) genetic engineering of VAP to insert peptide or point mutations.

Figure 3.

Overview of library protein engineering strategies for viral vectors. Library generation and selection methods include (a) display of random peptide in defined location, (b) random insertional mutagenesis, (c) random point mutagenesis, and (d) in vitro recombination. Upon DNA library generation, a highly diverse viral library is produced (e). Finally, (f) high-throughput selection followed by (g) recovery of successful variants and iteration with steps (a)–(d) and (e) employs directed evolution to enhance desired gene delivery properties.