Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 December 3; 130(48): 16140–16141. doi:10.1021/ja806113v.

Diastereoselective Construction of Functionalized Homoallylic Alcohols by Ni-Catalyzed Diboron-Promoted Coupling of Dienes and Aldehydes

Hee Yeon Cho and James P. Morken*

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467

Abstract

The nickel-catalyzed reaction of carbonyls and dienes was accomplished in a regio- and stereo-selective fashion employing a stoichiometric amount of bis(pinacolato)diboron. This reductive coupling furnishes an allyl boronic esters as the reaction product, a compound which was readily converted to the derived allylic alcohol by oxidative work-up.

The dimetallation of unsaturated substrates is an effective tool for enriching the functional and stereochemical complexity of simple hydrocarbon substrates.¹ In the particular case of diboration, reaction of alkenes,² dienes,^{2b,3} allenes,⁴ and enones⁵ provides reactive intermediates that may participate in stereoselective cascade reaction sequences. In general, these reactions are energetically highly favored with the addition of bis(ethyleneglycolato) diboron to ethylene calculated to be exothermic by 41.7 kcal/mol.⁶ A useful extension of this methodology would arise if the reactivity inherent in diboration reactions could be used to promote multi-component coupling processes. Considering the oxophilic nature of boron, an attractive starting point for these studies is the reductive coupling of unsaturated hydrocarbons and carbonyls, a transition metal catalyzed process that is enabled by the use of metal hydrides, molecular hydrogen, or their equivalents, to facilitate C-C bond formation.⁷ In particular, the coupling of simple dienes and carbonyls, a Ni-promoted process first discovered by Wilke⁸ with subsequent pioneering studies in catalysis by Mori⁹ and Tamaru,¹⁰ was targeted.¹¹ In the context of diboron-promoted coupling, we considered that this transformation might be a very effective tool for the preparation of synthetically-versatile allylboronates.¹²

Mechanistic studies by Ogoshi have provided the first structural evidence that the Ni-promoted reductive (and alkylative) coupling of dienes and aldehydes is initiated by cyclometallation of the substrates.¹³ In the presence of a metal hydride, subsequent σ -bond metathesis and by reductive elimination generate the unsaturated reaction product (eq. 1). Here, we demonstrate that diboron reagents may participate in a similar σ -bond metathesis reaction, thereby providing access to organoboronic esters as the reaction product (eq. 2). A notable aspect of this reaction is that the products are obtained with excellent levels of stereoselectivity and with regioselectivity that complements the stepwise diene diboration/carbonyl allylation reaction.^{12b} A unique and particularly useful feature of this process is that oxidative work-up directly

provides a functionally- and stereochemically-enriched product whose synthesis would otherwise require multiple steps.

Initial experiments surveyed the Ni-catalyzed, room temperature reaction of bis(pinacolato) diboron, *trans*-1,3-pentadiene, and benzaldehyde. After extensive optimization of reaction parameters (ligand, solvent, temperature, concentration), the optimal set of reaction conditions was found to include PCy₃ as the ligand, THF as the solvent, at room temperature, and a substrate concentration of 0.2 M. With these conditions, benzaldehyde, *trans*-1,3-pentadiene, and B₂(pin)₂ were effectively coupled and, after oxidative work-up, the allylic alcohol product was obtained in good yield and stereoselectivity (Table 1, entry 1).

A number of other aldehydes and dienes participate in the stereoselective diboron-promoted diene-aldehyde coupling. As depicted in Table 1, aromatic aldehydes tend to be effective substrates with B₂(pin)₂ and *trans*-piperylene (Table 1, entries 1-5). With the more encumbered 3-methylpentadiene (entries 6, 7) a versatile trisubstituted alkene was furnished; within the limits of detection, this product was obtained as a single stereoisomer with respect to the stereocenters and the alkene. With butadiene (entry 8) reaction yields suffered, even with further optimization of reaction conditions. In contrast to butadiene, isoprene (entry 9) reacted with comparable efficiency as compared to 1,3-pentadiene and furnished a single alkene stereoisomer as determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the oxidation product. With this substrate, the use of P(OEt)₃ as the ligand was critical - with PCy₃, significant amounts of a double allylation product, incorporating two equivalents of the aldehyde, were obtained. Entries 10 and 11 document that the reaction is not necessarily limited to aromatic aldehydes; both saturated and α,β-unsaturated aldehydes engaged in the reaction, although yields were diminished with these substrates (entries 10, 11). Lastly, entry 12 shows that with *cis*-1,3-pentadiene the same stereoisomer was favored as when the *trans* diene was employed (cf. entry 1). Here, it merits mention that when the *cis* diene was subjected to the catalyst, in the absence of the other reactants, rapid *cis/trans* isomerization resulted (data not shown). Thus, it is plausible that diene isomerization occurred during the course of the reaction, and the *trans* alkene was more rapidly incorporated into the product.

To probe features of the mechanism that might be important for further reaction design, exploratory experiments were carried out. When *trans*-1,3-pentadiene and B₂(pin)₂ were allowed to react with the catalyst for 48 hours, prior to the addition of benzaldehyde, addition product **1** was obtained (eq. 3; with 6 h reaction time for the first step, a mixture of **1** and **2** resulted). This addition product is regioisomeric with respect to the products in Table 1 and appears most likely to result from sequential Ni-catalyzed 1,4 diene diboration to give **3**,

followed by selective aldehyde allylation (see eq. 3). This conjecture was supported by the outcome in equation 4.¹⁴ The fact that the three-component reaction in Table 1 takes a different course than the process in equation 3, suggests that a sequential diene diboration followed by aldehyde allylation does not operate in Table 1; a more likely mechanism is related to that in Scheme 1.

(3)

(4)

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that diboron reagents can be used to facilitate stereoselective intermolecular coupling of dienes and aldehydes. The reaction products are particularly well suited for the construction of polyketide natural products and other useful chiral materials. Studies in asymmetric catalysis and in alternate transformations of the allyl boron product are underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Support by the NIGMS (GM-59417) and Merck is gratefully acknowledged, as is the NSF for support of the BC Mass Spectrometry Center (grant # DBI-0619576).

References

1. a Marder TB, Norman NC. Topics in Catalysis 1998;5:63. b Ishiyama T, Miyaura N. J Organomet Chem 2000;611:392. c Ishiyama T, Miyaura N. Chem Record 2004;3:271. [PubMed: 14762827] d Beletskaya I, Moberg C. Chem Rev 2006;106:2320. [PubMed: 16771452] e Burks HE, Morken JP. Chem Commun 2007:4717. f Ramirez J, Lillo V, Segarra AM, Fernandez E. Comp Rend Chim 2007;10:138.
2. a Baker RT, Nguyen P, Marder TB, Westcott SA. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1995;34:1336. b Ishiyama T, Yamamoto M, Miyaura N. Chem Commun 1997:689. c Iverson CN, Smith MR III. Organometallics 1997;16:2757. d Dai C, Robins EG, Scott AJ, Clegg W, Yufit DS, Howard JAK, Marder TB. Chem Commun 1998:1983. e Nguyen P, Coapes RB, Woodward AD, Taylor NJ, Burke JM, Howard JAK, Marder TB. J Organomet Chem 2002;652:77. f Morgan JB, Miller SP, Morken JP. J Am Chem Soc 2003;125:8702. [PubMed: 12862446] g Trudeau S, Morgan JB, Shrestha M, Morken JP. J Org Chem 2005;70:9538. [PubMed: 16268630] h Ramirez J, Segarra AM, Ferandez E. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry

- 2005;16:1289. i Ramírez J, Corberán R, Sanaú M, Peris E, Fernandez E. Chem Commun 2005:3056. j Lillo V, Mata J, Ramirez J, Peris E, Fernandez E. Organometallics 2006;25:5829. k Lillo V, Mas-Marzá E, Segarra AM, Carbó JJ, Bo C, Peris E, Fernandez E. Chem Commun 2007:3380.
3. a Ishiyama T, Yamamoto M, Miyaura N. Chem Commun 1996:2073. b Clegg W, Thorsten J, Marder TB, Norman NC, Orpen AG, Peakman TM, Quayle MJ, Rice CR, Scott AJ. J Chem Soc Dalton Trans 1998:1431. c Morgan JB, Morken JP. Org Lett 2003;5:2573. [PubMed: 12841784]
4. a Ishiyama T, Kitano T, Miyaura N. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:2357. b Yang FY, Cheng CH. J Am Chem Soc 2001;123:761. [PubMed: 11456601] c Pelz NF, Woodward AR, Burks HE, Sieber JD, Morken JP. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:16328. [PubMed: 15600327] d Burks HE, Liu S, Morken JP. J Am Chem Soc 2007;129:8766. [PubMed: 17589992]
5. a Lawson YG, Lesley MJG, Norman NC, Rice CR, Marder TB. Chem Commun 1997:2051. b Takahashi K, Ishiyama T, Miyaura N. Chem Lett 2000:982. c Ito H, Yamanaka H, Tateiwa J, Hosomi A. Tetrahedron Lett 2000;47:6821. d Takahashi K, Ishiyama T, Miyaura N. J Organomet Chem 2001;625:47. e Kabalka GW, Das BC, Das S. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:2323. f Bell NJ, Cox AJ, Cameron NR, Evans JSO, Marder TB, Duin MA, Elsevier CJ, Baucherel X, Tulloch AAD, Tooze RP. Chem Commun 2004:1854. g Ito H, Kawakami C, Sawamura M. J Am Chem Soc 2005;127:16034. [PubMed: 16287284] h Mun S, Lee JE, Yun J. Org Lett 2006;8:4887. [PubMed: 17020328] i Hirano K, Yorimitsu H, Oshima K. Org Lett 2007;9:5031. [PubMed: 17973483]
6. Cui Q, Musaev DG, Morokuma K. Organometallics 1997;16:1355.
7. Reviews: aMontgomery J. Angew Chem Int Ed 2004;43:3890. bKimura M, Tamaru Y. Top Curr Chem 2007;279:173. cNgai MY, Kong JR, Krische MJ. J Org Chem 2007;72:1063. [PubMed: 17288361] dMoslin RM, Miller-Moslin K, Jamison TF. Chem Commun 2007:4441.
8. Wilke G. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1988;27:185.
9. a Sato Y, Takimoto M, Hayashi K, Katsuhara T, Takagi K, Mori M. J Am Chem Soc 1994;116:9771. b Sato Y, Takimoto M, Mori M. Tetrahedron Lett 1996;37:887. c Takimoto M, Hiraga Y, Sato Y, Mori M. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:4543. d Sato Y, Takanashi T, Hoshiba M, Mori M. Tetrahedron Lett 1998;39:5579. e Sato Y, Saito N, Mori M. Tetrahedron 1998;54:1153. f Sato Y, Takimoto M, Mori M. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:1624. g Sato Y, Saito N, Mori M. J Am Chem Soc 2000;122:2371. h Sato Y, Sawaki R, Mori M. Organometallics 2001;27:5510. i Sato Y, Saito N, Mori M. J Org Chem 2002;67:9310. [PubMed: 12492333] j Sato Y, Sawaki R, Saito N, Mori M. J Org Chem 2002;73:656. [PubMed: 11856003]
10. a Kimura M, Ezoe A, Shibata K, Tamaru Y. J Am Chem Soc 1998;120:4033. b Kimura M, Fujimatsu H, Ezoe A, Shibata K, Shimizu M, Matsumoto S, Tamaru Y. Angew Chem Int Ed 1999;38:397. c Shibata K, Kimura M, Shimizu M, Tamaru Y. Org Lett 2001;3:2181. [PubMed: 11440574] d Kimura M, Ezoe A, Mori M, Iwata K, Tamaru Y. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:8559. [PubMed: 16802822]
11. For aligned work involving the coupling of dienes and carbonyls under Ir and Ru catalysis, see: Bower JF, Patman RL, Krische MJ. Org Lett 2008;10:1033. [PubMed: 18254642] bShibahara F, Bower JF, Krische MJ. J Am Chem Soc 2008;130:6338. [PubMed: 18444617]
12. For intermolecular coupling of dienes, aldehydes, and silylstananes, see: aSato Y, Saito N, Mori M. Chem Lett 2002:18. For a related process with dienes, aldehydes, and diborons: bMorgan JB, Morken JP. Org Lett 2003;5:2573. [PubMed: 12841784] For intramolecular couplings, see: cYu CM, Youn J, Yoon SK, Hong YT. Org Lett 2005;7:4507. [PubMed: 16178570] dBallard CE, Morken JP. Synthesis 2004;9:1321.
13. Ogoshi S, Tonomori K, Oka M, Kurosawa H. J Am Chem Soc 2006;128:7077. [PubMed: 16719489]
14. For Ni-catalyzed silylboration of dienes, see: aSuginome M, Matsuda T, Yoshimoto T, Ito T. Org Lett 1999;1:1567. bGerdin M, Moberg C. Adv Synth Catal 2005;347:749.749

J Am Chem Soc Author manuscript; available in PMC 2009 December 3.

d.r.^a

product

yield^b

>20:1

66

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	63

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	63
	>20:1	62
	>20:1	53

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	70 ^c

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	65 ^c

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	N/A	34 ^c

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	N/A	68 ^d

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	47 ^c

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	24 ^c

product	d.r. ^a	yield ^b
	>20:1	57

^a Determined by analysis of unpurified reaction.

^b Isolated yield of purified material.

^c 10 mol% Ni(cod)₂, 10 mol% PCy₃, and a reaction time of 14 h.

^d 10 mol% Ni(cod)₂, 10 mol% P(OEt)₃. With PCy₃ this reaction provides 10% yield. Purification was aided by acetylation.