Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 December 31; 130(52): 17638–17639. doi:10.1021/ja806585m.

Copper Catalyzed Enantioselective Intramolecular Aminooxygenation of Alkenes

Peter H. Fuller, Jin-Woo Kim, and Sherry R. Chemler*

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260

The catalytic asymmetric aminooxygenation of olefins is a very important process due to the significance of the products as building blocks in the synthesis of drugs and natural products.¹ The enantioselective *intermolecular* osmium-catalyzed aminohydroxylation developed by Sharpless and co-workers has proven to be an extremely useful method, as exemplified by its use in numerous syntheses of biologically active compounds.² Surprisingly, an enantioselective *intramolecular* olefin aminooxygenation, which would result in the direct synthesis of chiral nitrogen heterocycles, has not previously been reported. While regio- and diastereoselective intramolecular osmium-³ and palladium-catalyzed⁴ olefin aminooxygenation reactions have been reported, no successful ligand-based asymmetric variants have been disclosed.⁵ Herein we report a novel and mechanistically distinct copper-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular aminooxygenation of olefins.^{5f} This method allows for the synthesis of chiral indolines and pyrrolidines, common components in biologically active molecules.

We recently reported an enantioselective copper-catalyzed intramolecular carboamination of olefins which results in the synthesis of chiral sultams.⁶ Mechanistic analysis of the racemic, copper-promoted version of this reaction indicated the presence of a primary carbon radical species that was trapped with tetramethylaminopyridyl radical (TEMPO) in excellent yield (*cf* 1 → 2).⁷ This process, signifying a net aminooxygenation reaction, inspired us to ascertain whether a catalytic enantioselective variant could be achieved.

Our study commenced by adding TEMPO (3 equiv) to the optimal catalytic enantioselective carboamination reaction conditions, which uses MnO₂ as stoichiometric oxidant (Table 1, entry 1). Upon further examination we found that TEMPO alone could be used for copper turnover [Cu(I) to Cu(II)]. As shown in Table 1, the yield and enantioselectivity both increased upon removal of additional oxidants (entry 3). A variety of other nitrogen protecting groups were also surveyed (Table 1, entries 4–6). The tosyl group proved superior to the unreactive methyl sulfonamide, benzamide and carbamate.

Our next objective was to identify an optimal ligand for asymmetric induction (Table 2). An early screen of commercially available bisoxazoline ligands revealed that the 5-phenyl substitution pattern is essential. Other aryl substituents such as 2-naphthalene,⁸ as well as *cis* and *trans* 4,5-disubstituted phenyl bisoxazoline derivatives⁹ were also examined. When used in slight excess, both antipodes of the 4,5-*cis*-diphenyl bisoxazoline ligand were optimal (entries 5 and 6).

The generality of the reaction was examined as shown in Table 3. *N*-Sulfonyl-2-allyl aniline substrates **1** cyclized in 57 – 97% yield providing indolines **2** in 50 – 91% ee. A variety of

*E-mail: E-mail: schemler@buffalo.edu.

functional groups on the aniline were tolerated with the exception being a slight decrease in *ee* for the electron withdrawing nitrile **1i**.

The nature of the sulfonamide significantly effects the enantioselectivity where the tosyl was superior to the mesyl substrate **1b** (Table 1, entry 4) and the nosyl substrate **1k** (Table 3, compare entries 1,8, and 9). Worth noting, *ortho* substituted aniline derivatives (R = OMe, Cl) were unreactive.

The 4-pentenylamine substrates **3** cyclized in 74–97% yield providing pyrrolidines **4** in 75–92% *ee* (entries 10–16).¹⁰ O₂ (1 atm) as a co-oxidant was necessary in order to drive these reactions to completion. The unsubstituted aliphatic substrate **3e**, nosylate **3f**, and the 1,1-disubstituted olefin substrate **5** all required higher catalyst loading in order to obtain an appreciable yield and *ee* (Table 3, entries 14–16). In the latter case, chiral tertiary amine **6** is formed in good enantioselectivity. The reaction of the nosylate **3f** (86% yield, 89% *ee*) is notable since this sulfonyl group is easier to remove than the corresponding tosylate.¹¹

The absolute configuration was assigned by conversion of **2a** and **4e** to their corresponding known chiral *N*-tosyl amino alcohols (Scheme 1 and supporting information). The observed stereochemistry is consistent with a proposed transition state model where the substrate's *N*-substituent is *trans* to the nearest oxazoline's phenyl groups (Scheme 1).^{6,12} The TEMPO adduct **4a** was converted to the aminoalcohol¹³ **7** and oxidized to aldehyde¹⁴ **8** without diminished enantioselectivity (Scheme 1). Furthermore, removal of the tosyl group followed by TEMPO reduction provided the known chiral amino alcohol **9**.¹⁵

This aminooxygenation method provides efficient access to a variety of chiral pyrrolidines and indolines of interest to synthetic organic and medicinal chemists. Its further optimization and application towards the syntheses of such compounds is underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

Financial Support from the National Institutes of Health/NIGMS RO1 GM078383 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Bergmeier SC. Tetrahedron 2000;26:2561.
2. Reviews of aminohydroxylation processes: (a)O'Brien P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1999;38:326. (b)Bolm C, Hildebrand JP, Muniz K, Ojima I. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis (2nd ed.) 20002nd ed. Wiley-VCH:412–424.424 (c)Schlingloff G, Sharpless BK, Katsuki T. Asymmetric Oxidation Reactions 2001Oxford University Press:104–114.114 (d)Nilov D, Reiser O. Adv. Synth. Catal 2002;344:1169. (e)Bodkin JK, McLeod MD. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2002:2733.
3. (a) Donohoe TJ, Churchill GH, Wheelhouse KMP, Glossop PA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2006;45:8025. [PubMed: 17083141] (b) Donohoe TJ, Chughtai MJ, Klauber DJ, Griffin D, Campbell AD. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:2514. [PubMed: 16492017] (c) Donohoe TJ, Bataille CJR, Gattrell W, Kloeges J, Rossignol E. Org. Lett 2007;9:1725. [PubMed: 17388605]
4. (a) Alexanian EJ, Lee C, Sorensen EJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:7690. [PubMed: 15913354] (b) Szolcsanyi P, Gracza T. Chem. Commun 2005:3948. (c) Desai LV, Sanford MS. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2007;46:5737.
5. For other intramolecular aminooxygenation reactions, see: (a)Noack M, Gottlich R. Chem. Commun 2002:536. (b)Chikkanna D, Han H. Synlett 2004:2311. (c)Correa A, Tellitu I, Dominguez E, SanMartin R. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:8316. [PubMed: 17025336] (d)Cochran BM, Michael FE. Org.

Lett 2008;10:5093. (e)Mahoney JM, Smith CR, Johnston JN. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:1354. [PubMed: 15686350] (f) for recent copper and palladium-catalyzed *intermolecular* aminooxygenation reactions, see supporting information.

6. Zeng W, Chemler SR. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:12948. [PubMed: 17918850]
7. Sherman ES, Fuller PH, Kasi D, Chemler SR. J. Org. Chem 2007;72:3896. [PubMed: 17428100]
8. vanLingen HL, vanDelft LF, Storcken RPM, Hekking KFW, Klaasen A, Smits JJM, Ruskowska P, Frelek J, Rutjes FPJT. Eur. J. Org. Chem 2005:2975.
9. Desimoni G, Faita G, Mella M. Tetrahedron 1996:13649.
10. *N*-Tosyl-2-allyl-benzylamine did not provide the corresponding 6- membered ring aminooxygenation product.
11. Kan T, Fukuyama T. Chem. Commun 2004:353.
12. A discussion of the C-O bond forming mechanism is provided in the supporting information.
13. Sheldrake HM, Wallace TM. Tet. Lett 2007;48:4407.
14. Inokuchi T, Kawafuchi H. Tetrahedron 2004;60:11969.
15. Compound **9**, an intermediate en route to a chiral ligand, was previously synthesized in a more lengthy sequence from L-glutamic acid: Nakagawa Y, Kanai M, Nagaoka Y, Tomioka K. Tetrahedron 1998;54:10295.

Scheme 1.
Transition state model and TEMPO functionalization

Table 1Oxidant and *N*-substituent screen^a

entry	R	oxidant	yield ^b (%)	%ee ^c
1	1a , R = Ts	MnO ₂ (3 eq)	71	72
2	1a	O ₂ (1 atm)	96	81
3	1a	-	97	83
4	1b , R = SO ₂ Me	-	NR	-
5	1c , R = Bz	-	NR	-
6	1d , R = Cbz	-	NR	-

^a Conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (0.2 equiv) and ligand (0.2 equiv) were combined, dissolved in PhCF₃ (0.07 M w/r to **1**) and heated at 50 °C for 2 h. Substrate **1** (1 equiv), oxidant, TEMPO (3 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (1 equiv) were added. The reaction was heated at 110 °C for 24 h.

^b Yield refers to amount of isolated **2** after purification by flash chromatography on SiO₂.

^c Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral HPLC analysis [Chiralcel (S,S) Whelk]. NR = No reaction.

Table 2

Chiral ligand screen^a

entry	ligand(equiv)	yield ^b (%)	%ee(config) ^c
1	R = (S,S)-Phbox (0.2)	97%	83% (R)
2	R = (S,S)-2-Naphbox (0.2)	47%	43% (R)
3	R = (4R,5R)-Bis-Phbox (0.2)	97%	77% (S)
4	R = (4S,5R)-Bis-Phbox (0.2)	97%	88% (S)
5	R = (4R,5S)-Bis-Phbox (0.25)	97%	84% (R)
6	R = (4S,5R)-Bis-Phbox (0.25)	97%	90% (S)

^a Conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (0.2 equiv) and ligand were combined, dissolved in PhCF₃ (0.07 M w/r to **1a**) and heated at 50 °C for 2 h. Substrate **1a** (1 equiv), TEMPO (3 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (1 equiv) were added. The reaction was heated at 110 °C for 24 h.

^{b,c} Same as Table 1.

Table 3Scope of Enantioselective Aminooxygenation with (1*S*,2*R*)-Bis-Phbox ligand^a

entry	substrate

1	1a , R ¹ = H, R ² = Ts
2	1e , R ¹ = <i>p</i> -F, R ² = Ts
3	1f , R ¹ = <i>p</i> -Cl, R ² = Ts
4	1g , R ¹ = <i>p</i> -OMe, R ² = Ts
5	1h , R ¹ = <i>p</i> -Me, R ² = Ts
6	1i , R ¹ = <i>p</i> -CN, R ² = Ts
7	1j , R ¹ = <i>m</i> -OMe, R ² = Ts
8	1k , R ¹ = H, R ² = Ns
9	1b , R ¹ = H, R ² = Ms

entry	substrate
-------	-----------

- 10^b **3a**, R¹ = Me, R² = Ts
 11^b **3b**, R¹ = Ph, R² = Ts
 12^b **3c**, R¹ = -CH₂O(Si(*t*Bu)₂)OCH₂-, R² = Ts
 13^b **3d**, R¹ = -CH₂O(CH₃)₂OCH₂-, R² = Ts
 14^c **3e**, R¹ = H, R² = Ts
 15^c **3f**, R¹ = Me, R² = Ns

- 16^c **5**

^a Conditions: Cu(OTf)₂ (0.2 equiv) and ligand (0.25 equiv) were combined, dissolved in PhCF₃ (0.07 M w/r to substrate) and heated at 50 °C for 2 h. Substrate (1 equiv), TEMPO (3 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (1 equiv) were added. The reaction was heated at 110 °C for 24 h.

^b Reaction was run at 120 °C under O₂ (1 atm).

^c 0.4 equiv of Cu(OTf)₂ and 0.5 equiv of ligand were used.

^d Yield refers to amount of isolated product after purification by flash chromatography on SiO₂.

^eEnantiomeric excess were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. Each reaction was run at least 2 times.

^fA range of 86–90% *ee* was obtained.