ability to provide continuity of care for patients or to nurture its future generations if it colludes with the proliferation of transient posts at the expense of traditional partnerships, an experiment that has clearly failed. If this trend is to be reversed, selection into medical school and postgraduate training schemes must ensure a critical mass of entrants likely to be wholly committed to their careers. In addition, rather than accept the demise of the GP partnership as inevitable, we should revive it before it is too late.

Edin Lakasing

REFERENCES

- Coupland D. *Generation X*. London: Abacus Books, 1992.
- Walden G. *The new elites — making a career in the masses*. London: Penguin Books Ltd, 2000.
- O'Reilly M. Generation X arrives at medical school to find changing expectations, growing pressures. *Can Med Assoc J* 1995; **152**: 239–241.
- Vaughan C. Career choices for generation X. *BMJ* 1995; **311**: 525–526.
- Craft C. Non-principal or partner? *BMJ* 1997; **314**(7073): <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/314/7073/S2a-7073> (accessed 14 Apr 2009).
- McKinstry B. Are there too many female medical graduates? Yes. *BMJ* 2008; **336**: 748.
- BMA Board of Medical Education. *The demography of medical schools: a discussion document*. London: BMA, 2004.
- Kilminster S, Downes J, Gough B *et al*. Women in medicine — is there a problem? A literature review of the changing gender, structures and occupational cultures in medicine. *Med Educ* 2007; **41**: 39–49.
- Roter DL, Hall JA, Aoki Y. Physician gender effects in medical communication: a meta-analytical review. *JAMA* 2002; **288**: 756–764.
- Davidson JM, Lambert TW, Goldacre MJ. Career pathways and destinations 18 years on among doctors who qualified from the United Kingdom in 1977: postal questionnaire survey. *BMJ* 1998; **317**: 1425–1428.
- Lambert TW, Goldacre MJ, Turner G. Career choices of United Kingdom medical graduates of 1999 and 2000: questionnaire surveys. *BMJ* 2003; **326**: 194–195.
- Royal College of General Practitioners. Key demographic statistics from UK general practice 2006. http://www.rcgp.org.uk/pdf/ISS_FACT_06_KeyStats.pdf (accessed 3 Apr 2009).
- Lakasing E, Francis H. The crisis in student mentorship. *Primary Health Care* 2005; **15**: 40–41.
- Yach D, Hawkes C, Gould CL, Hofman K. The global burden of chronic diseases — overcoming impediments to prevention and control. *JAMA* 2004; **291**: 2616–2622.
- Shaw M, Dorling D, Gordon D, Davey Smith G. *The widening gap*. Bristol: The Policy Press, 1999.
- Tudor Hart J. The inverse care law. *Lancet* 1971; **i**: 405–412.
- Smeeth H, Heath I. Why inequalities in health matter to primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2001; **51**: 436–437.
- Lakasing E. Deprivation and primary care: a time to revisit. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; **56**: 636–637.
- Asthana S, Gibson A. Deprivation, demography, and the distribution of general practice: challenging the conventional wisdom of inverse care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2008; **58**: 720–728.
- Hamilton C. *Growth fetish*. Crow's Nest: Allen and Unwin, 2003.
- Richards SH, Pound P, Dickens A, *et al*. Exploring users' experiences of accessing out-of-hours primary medical care services. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2007; **16**(6): 469–477.
- Davies M, Eccles S, Braunold G, *et al*. Giving control to patients. *Br J Gen Pract* 2008; **58**: 148–149.
- Billington M. Salaried GPs (letter). *Br J Gen Pract* 2008; **58**: 204.
- Tait R. Recipe for success? *Br J Gen Pract* 2008; **58**: 736.
- GPs earning £250,000 as NHS slips into red. Mail Online, 18th April 2006. <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-383361/GPs-earning-250-000-NHS-slips-red.html> (accessed 3 Apr 2009).
- Department of Health. *Investing in general practice: the new general medical services contract*. London: Department of Health, 2003.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp09X420789

COMMENTARY

When I received this piece from the editor of *Back Pages* I expected to read the usual 'politically correct' opinions one has come to expect from College activists of a certain age.¹ As an older GP I have become used to accusations of exploitation of younger colleagues with many commentators forgetting the experience of a decade ago when a cohort of doctors raised the 'generation X' question as to why they should take on the responsibility of partnership when they could earn just as much in sessional employment or as jobbing locums.

How refreshing, then, to see the honest and penetrating analysis that Dr Lakasing presents as a challenge to current orthodoxy; general practice has a chance of survival if the professional leaders of his generation take heed of his warnings.

I could take issue with his analysis of medical resistance to the NHS in the 1940s, as my suspicions are that the terms of engagement offered by the then Government were initially as doctor-friendly as any offered by their modern counterparts, and that the 'sweeteners' he describes were totally necessary (at the time). Given the command and control environment that most primary care organisations now try to impose upon us, I certainly believe that the independent contractor status works best for us but, more importantly, also for our patients in their survival while floundering within the Byzantine complexity of the 21st century NHS.

Dr Lakasing also has the courage to challenge a relaxed attitude over the 'feminisation' of our discipline by pointing out the dangers that go hand in hand with the obvious advantages of having female partners. Already challenged by the out-of-hours opt out, continuity of care is our strongest feature, especially in dealing with chronic disease, and he is right to point out that such continuity is compromised by part-time working often associated with female doctors and family life.

There is, however, one feature missing from his analysis. There may be a tiny minority of principals who actively exploit younger colleagues by offering only sessional or salaried employment, but the biggest causal factor is financial instability within practices. We still run small businesses and, at a time when we have seen years of rising costs and falling personal incomes, who should be surprised that permanent partnerships as are rare as hens' teeth?

I shall take part-time retirement later this year and will take comfort from this essay that our decision to appoint a partner to replace me will reduce the number of 'McJobs' by at least one.

Brian Keighley

REFERENCE

- Lakasing E. The rise of the medical McJob: why we *should* turn the clock back. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009; **59**: 380–382.

DOI: 10.3399/bjgp09X420789