dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) after the age of 4 years (1/189 children) was 0.5% (95% confidence interval (CI) 0 to 2.9) for both sexes combined. It is not clear, however, if their results represent antenatal renal dilatation diagnosed at 20 or 28 weeks gestation; as more than half of such findings subside between 20 and 28 weeks, the resulting calculation of prevalence of abnormalities could vary by a factor of at least 2 if the cases they reported were diagnosed at 20 weeks gestation.

Their results contrast with our findings, here described. Over a five year period, out of 355 children with antenatal pelvic dilatation at 20 weeks gestation, 137 children were found to have an antenatal renal pelvic diameter of 5–15 mm at 28 weeks gestation and were investigated postnatally while receiving antibiotic prophylaxis. Those with a defined multicystic kidney, pelvic dilatation over 15 mm, suggestive of posterior urethral valve, or with multiple congenital anomalies were not included in the study. None of the 137 children developed a urinary tract infection throughout the study period. Postnatal investigations within a few months of birth, including ultrasonographic evidence of an increase in renal pelvic diameter in 50 infants, confirmed the presence of obstructive uropathy in four children, with a prevalence of 2.9% (95% CI 0.7 to 7.4), including one child with posterior urethral valve and three with unilateral pelvi-ureteral junction obstruction. A high prevalence of obstructive uropathies has already been well documented in infants with pelvic dilatation >8 mm at 28 weeks,² well below the 15 mm upper limit suggested by Plant *et al.*¹ Renal scarring by DMSA (in the first few months of life) was found in two children with a prevalence of 1.4% (95% CI 0.1 to 5.3), and vesicoureteric reflux was diagnosed in five children with a prevalence of 3.6% (95% CI 1.1 to 8.5). No DMSA scans were carried out after the 4 years of age in our study. Without dwelling on the controversy surrounding the clinical significance (or lack of it) of vesicoureteric reflux, the relative risk of renal scarring in our series was therefore 2.75 times that in the report of Plant *et al.* (if their infants were scanned at 28 weeks gestation), but this was not statistically significant (95% CI 0.3 to 10.1; $p = 0.2$). However, and more importantly, without postnatal imaging of these children with moderate antenatal renal pelvic dilatation, four cases of obstructive uropathy (2.9% of these children) would not have been detected early nor benefited from antibiotic prophylaxis and prompt evaluation by a paediatric urologist. They may still have probably been diagnosed later, with the occurrence of obstructive symptoms or urinary tract infections, but after some possible damage to the affected kidney(s), which would have been, to some extent, preventable if the diagnosis had been made earlier. If, as in the report of Plant *et al.*, postnatal imaging had not been carried out in this cohort and the DMSA scans were carried out at 4 years of age, a higher number of infants with scarred kidneys on DMSA would be expected, in addition to the two we reported, because of potential renal damage resulting from diagnostic and therapeutic delays in the four infants with obstructive uropathies who would not have been identified in the newborn period.

Although we strongly agree that most children with moderate antenatal pelvic dilatation would not benefit from antibiotic

prophylaxis and postnatal imaging, and with the absence of other published evidence to support such a change in practice at this stage, we cannot yet agree with the recommendations of Plant *et al.* of not offering postnatal evaluation unless the antenatal pelvic dilatation is more than 15 mm, as this would miss about 3% who have an underlying obstructive uropathy. Although we recognise that invasive imaging studies with exposure to radiation, such as cystogram, DMSA, or MAG scintigraphy, may not be required in the majority, we strongly feel that a postnatal ultrasound still remains indicated in all these children, with antibiotic prophylaxis and further imaging reserved for those with persistent or worsening ultrasound findings until a significant uropathy has been ruled out. Such a strategy based on postnatal ultrasound for antenatal pelvis dilatation up to 10 mm (below the limit of 15 mm suggested by Plant *et al.*) is recommended to exclude an underlying uropathy.³

Until better criteria and/or diagnostic tools have been developed, we cannot at this stage endorse the recommendation of Plant *et al.* of avoiding any postnatal investigation in many such infants (at risk) because only a few (with significant problems) may benefit.

H Narchi

Sandwell General Hospital, Lyndon, West Bromwich
B71 4HJ, UK; hassibnarchi@hotmail.com

doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.082768

Competing interests: none declared

References

- 1 Plant ND, Horning RJ, Coulthard MG, *et al.* Does antenatal pelvic dilatation predict renal scarring? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2005;**90**:F339–40.
- 2 Adra AM, Mejides AA, Dennaoui MS, *et al.* Fetal pyelectasis: is it always “physiologic”? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1995;**173**:1263–6.
- 3 Harding LJ, Malone PS, Wellesley DG. Antenatal minimal hydronephrosis: is its follow-up an unnecessary cause of concern? *Prenat Diagn* 1999;**19**:701–5.

Association of hydrogen peroxide in exhaled breath condensates from infants with respiratory distress syndrome with the development of chronic lung disease

We read with interest the review on exhaled breath measures of inflammation by Harrison *et al.*¹ We agree that there is a need to develop and standardise methods for the collection of exhaled breath markers as part of clinical monitoring of neonates on respiratory support. A major limitation we encountered with collecting exhaled breath condensates using a method we published earlier was that hydrogen peroxide concentrations were not significantly different between condensates from babies and “dummy” controls.² This could be a result of signal dilution of small expired gas volumes from infants by relatively large bias flow, mixture of gases, and airway humidification in the ventilator/continuous positive airway pressure circuits.²

Nevertheless, their article prompted us to analyse whether there were any trends in exhaled hydrogen peroxide concentrations from 44 ventilated infants with respiratory

distress syndrome (median gestation and birth weight of 28 weeks and 1025 g respectively) in relation to the development of chronic lung disease (CLD; oxygen requirement at 36 weeks postmenstrual age). The median hydrogen peroxide concentration in infants who developed CLD was 0.30 μM (interquartile range 0.21–0.46) compared with 0.21 μM (interquartile range 0.16–0.37) in those with resolved respiratory distress syndrome ($p = 0.042$). There was no significant correlation between fractional inspired oxygen and hydrogen peroxide concentrations.

We emphasise that these results are still inconclusive because of deficiencies in our collecting system. Further evaluation is required using more refined methods of collection. In this respect, Hitka *et al.*³ attempted to capture only expired gases from ventilated preterm infants using a double valve outlet system. This looks promising, although validation of the method with various modes of ventilation and settings, and with a larger and more diverse patient group, is necessary before exhaled hydrogen peroxide can be considered for monitoring of ventilated neonates at risk of CLD.

F-C Cheah

Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia Bandar Tun Razak,
56000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

B A Darlow

Department of Paediatrics, Christchurch School of
Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Otago,
New Zealand

C C Winterbourn

Department of Pathology, Christchurch School of
Medicine and Health Sciences

Correspondence to: Dr Cheah;
cheahfc@mail.hukm.ukm.my

doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.083089

Competing interests: none declared

References

- 1 Harrison CM, Andersen CC. Exhaled breath measures of inflammation: are they useful in neonatal chronic lung disease? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2005;**90**:F6–10.
- 2 Cheah FC, Darlow BA, Winterbourn CC. Problems associated with collecting breath condensate for the measurement of exhaled hydrogen peroxide from neonates on respiratory support. *Biol Neonate* 2003;**84**:338–41.
- 3 Hitka P, Cerny M, Vizek M, *et al.* Assessment of exhaled gases in ventilated preterm infants. *Physiol Res* 2004;**53**:561–4.

Reducing the dosing frequency of aminoglycosides can increase errors

We were interested to read the meta-analysis by Nestaas *et al.*[1] which states in its title that less frequent aminoglycoside dosing is safe and effective. About two years ago at this hospital, we reviewed the literature on gentamicin dosing and made the change to a larger but once daily gentamicin dose for term infants who received antibiotics after delivery. In our hospital, we routinely give benzyl penicillin and gentamicin in combination to any newborn term infant with suspected infection. Over the succeeding months, we had a significant rise in the