Published in final edited form as:

Am J Med Genet A. 2004 October 15; 130A(3): 325–326. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.30184.

Donepezil Effects on Language in Children With Down Syndrome:

Results of the First 22-Week Pilot Clinical Trial†

James H. Heller*,

Departments of Pediatrics and Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Gail A. Spiridigliozzi,

Departments of Pediatrics and Psychiatry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

P. Murali Doraiswamy,

Department of Psychiatry, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Jennifer A. Sullivan,

Department of Pediatrics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Bythe G. Crissman, and

Department of Pediatrics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

Priya S. Kishnani

Department of Pediatrics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

To the Editor:

In earlier pilot studies, we reported improvements in global function [Kishnani et al., 1999] and expressive language function [Heller et al., 2003] in adults with Down syndrome (DS) following cholinergic therapy (donepezil hydrochloride). In this study, we report results of a small open-label clinical trial of donepezil in children with DS. To our knowledge, this is the first study evaluating the effects of donepezil on language in children with DS.

After obtaining IRB approval and written informed consent, we enrolled seven children (2M: 5F) between the ages of 8 and 13 years (mean age = 10.5 years) with DS (trisomy 21 by blood chromosome analysis) and their primary caregivers in a prospective open trial of donepezil. All subjects were verbal, able to hear speech at a conversational level, and able to ingest oral medication. None of the subjects had active thyroid disease, vitamin B12 deficiency, clinically-confirmed pregnancy, or clinically-significant systemic disorders, (e.g., bradycardia (HR < 50), insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, active peptic ulcer, celiac disease, significant reactive airways, or seizure disorder) at the time of entry into the trial. They had not previously ingested cholinesterase inhibitors or any other investigational or alternative therapies used to treat the symptoms of DS in the 30 days prior to or during the trial. IQs of six subjects (obtained from school reports) ranged from 46 to 66 (mean IQ = 50). The IQ of one subject could not be determined from records.

This 22-week trial (16 week open treatment study followed by a 6-week washout) was completed at the General Clinical Outpatient Research Unit at Duke University Medical

†The authors have received research grants and/or honoraria from various companies including: Pfizer, Novartis, Eisai, Novartis, Janssen, Merck, Forest, Lilly, GlaxoSmithKline, and Wyeth. The authors do not own stock in these companies. The research team has a patent pending on the use of cholinesterase inhibitors for another disorder.

*Correspondence to: James H. Heller, Down syndrome Clinic, Box 3528, Department of Pediatrics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710. E-mail: helle001@mc.duke.edu

Center. Subjects attended four sessions, week 0 (baseline), week 8 (low dose), week 16 (high dose), and week 22 (washout). At the completion of the baseline visit, donepezil was dosed orally at 2.5 mg once daily for 8 weeks. Based on tolerability, the dose was increased to 5 mg daily for the remaining 8 weeks. Subjects were monitored closely for safety by regular phone calls in between scheduled visits. Two language measures were used, the Test of Problem Solving [TOPS, Zachman et al., 1984] and the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals-3 [CELF-3, Semel et al., 1995]. The CELF-3 was administered at baseline, week 16, and week 22. The TOPS was completed at all four visits. Because the CELF-3 and TOPS have not been standardized for individuals with DS and the subjects' language performance was significantly below standard age norms, performance-based comparisons were made with raw scores and age equivalencies.

The effect of the medication on language performance was measured by change from baseline performance at the week 8 and week 16 assessments. One subject was excluded from all analyses because of a protocol deviation in administered dosage. No patient experienced serious adverse effects or withdrew from the study. Performance comparisons (completed by repeated measure *t*-tests) were based on a total *n* of 6 (five subjects for the baseline vs. week 8 TOPS comparison). Due to the preliminary and exploratory nature of the study, we did not correct for multiple comparisons. *P* values at or below 0.05 (two-tailed) were viewed as significant.

Overall, language performance levels were low. The average TOPS age score was below the 3 years 5 months test basal for all sessions, and the average CELF-3 age score was 4 years 3 months at baseline, and 4 years 7 months at week 16. Essentially no change in language performance with treatment was noted on the TOPS at week 8 (the difference between mean baseline and week 8 scores was <1) and at week 16 (Table I). However, a significant improvement ($t = 3.11$; $P = 0.0265$) in overall CELF-3 performance from baseline to week 16 was found (Table I).

Additional analyses of the expressive and receptive components of the CELF-3 Total Language Score showed a statistically significant gain of 5 points in expressive language performance ($t = 3.14$; $P = 0.0256$) and a similar gain of 4.5 points (approaching statistical significance) in receptive language performance ($t = 2.55$; $P = 0.0513$). A review of subject performance on individual expressive and receptive language subtests of the CELF-3 revealed that the largest improvements were made on the word structure and sentence structure subtests.

As we noted in our adult study [Heller et al., 2003], these findings should be viewed as preliminary and interpreted with caution because of methodological limitations such as an extremely small sample size, lack of power for formal statistical control, repeated comparisons across a relatively short time span (16 weeks), and the lack of an untreated control group. Our primary finding, which is consistent with the results of our two adult studies, was an improvement in selective aspects of language performance (particularly aspects of expressive language) in children with DS following donepezil therapy. This result is noteworthy in the context of similar findings of language improvement in autistic children treated with two different cholinesterase inhibitors, donepezil hydrochloride [Chez et al., 2000, 2001] and rivastigmine [Chez et al., 2004]. Taken together the results of our work with individuals with DS and Chez's studies of individuals with autism suggest a relationship between language performance and the cholinergic system that extends across syndromes and that cholinergic therapy is a potential treatment for language impairment in children and adults with significant developmental delays.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research was completed at the Duke General Clinical Research Center. We thank the entire staff of the Duke GCRC for their support and to Karen M. St. Clair, M.S., CCC-SLP for her assistance in the language analysis.

Grant sponsor: PSK a recipient of 5K23 RR016060-03 and GCRC grant M01 RR00030.

REFERENCES

- Chez MG, Nowinski CV, Buchanan CP. Donepezil hydrochloride use in children with autistic spectrum disorders. *Ann Neurol* 2000;48:541.Abstract
- Chez M, Tremb R, Nowinski C, Field-Chez M. Double-blinded placebo-controlled aricept study in children with autistic spectrum disorder. *Ann Neurol* 2001;49(S9):S95–S96.Abstract
- Chez M, Aimonovitch M, Buchanan T, Mrazek S, Tremb R. Treating autistic spectrum disorders in children: Utility of the cholinesterase inhibitor rivastigmine tartrate. *J Child Neurol* 2004;19(3):165–169. [PubMed: 15119476]
- Heller JH, Spiridigliozzi GA, Sullivan JA, Doraiswamy PM, Krishnan RR, Kishnani PS. Donepezil for the treatment of language deficits in adults with Down syndrome: A preliminary 24-week open trial. *Am J Med Genet* 2003;116A:111–116.
- Kishnani PS, Sullivan JA, Walter BK, Spiridigliozzi GA, Doraiswamy PM, Krishnan RR. Cholinergic therapy for Down's syndrome. *Lancet* 1999;353:1064–1065. [PubMed: 10199357]
- Semel, E.; Wiig, E.; Secord, W. Clinical evaluation of language fundamentals-3. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio: 1995.
- Zachman, L.; Jorgensen, C.; Huisingh, R.; Barrett, M. Test of problem solving. *Linguistics*; Moline: 1984.

TABLE 1
Changes in Raw Score Language Performance From Baseline to 16 Weeks as Measured by the Test of Problem Solving (TOPS) and the Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals (CELF)-3

Measure	Baseline (n = 6)		16 weeks (n = 6)		P value
	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	
TOPS					
CELF-3 Total Language Score	13.5	(11.3)	14.8	(11.5)	0.3276
CELF-3 Expressive Language Score	38.7	(28.9)	48.2	(31.8)	0.0265
CELF-3 Receptive Language Score	21.2	(18.3)	26.2	(20.5)	0.0256
CELF-3 expressive subtests	17.5	(11.1)	22.0	(12.1)	0.0513
Word structure	8.7	(7.5)	12.5	(8.7)	0.0028
Formulating sentences	6.5	(7.0)	7.5	(8.8)	0.3481
Recalling sentences	6.0	(5.0)	6.2	(3.8)	0.8863
CELF-3 receptive subtests					
Sentence structure	9.2	(3.3)	12.7	(5.0)	0.0362
Concepts and directions	4.3	(4.2)	3.7	(2.8)	0.3632
Word classes	4.0	(4.3)	5.7	(5.7)	0.2332

The CELF-3 is analyzed in three forms Total Language Score, Expressive and Receptive Language Scores, and by individual subtest score.