Published in final edited form as:

ACS Nano. 2008 November 25; 2(11): 2401–2409. doi:10.1021/nn800592q.

Oxidation-triggered release of fluorescent molecules or drugs from mesoporous Si microparticles

Elizabeth C. Wu¹, Ji-Ho Park², Jennifer Park¹, Ester Segal³, Frédérique Cunin⁴, and Michael J. Sailor^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093

²Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093

⁴Materiaux Avancés pour la Catalyse et la Santé, Institute Charles Gerhardt Montpellier, UMR 5253 CNRS-ENSCM-UM2-UMI, Montpellier, France

Abstract

The fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor 488 or the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin is attached to the surface and inner pore walls of mesoporous Si particles by covalent attachment, and the oxidation-induced release of each molecule is studied. The molecules are bound to the Si matrix using a 10-undecenoic acid linker, which is attached by thermal hydrosilylation. Loading capacity of the microparticles using this method is ~ 0.5 and 45 mg/g of porous Si microparticle for Alexa Fluor 488 and doxorubicin, respectively. The Si-C-bound assembly is initially stable in aqueous solution, although oxidation of the underlying Si matrix results in conversion to silicon oxide and slow release of the linker-molecule complex by hydrolysis of the Si-O attachment points. When the attached molecule is a fluorophore (Alexa Fluor 488 or doxorubicin), its fluorescence is effectively quenched by the semiconducting silicon matrix. As the particle oxidizes in water, the fluorescence intensity of the attached dye increases due to growth of the insulating silicon oxide layer and, ultimately, dye release from the surface. The recovery of fluorescence in the microparticle and the release of the molecule into solution are monitored in real-time by fluorescence microscopy. Both processes are accelerated by introduction of the oxidizing species peroxynitrite to the aqueous solution. The oxidation-triggered release of the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin to HeLa cells is demonstrated.

Keywords

microparticles; drug loading; controlled drug release; cancer therapy; fluorescence resonant energy transfer; nanotechnology

INTRODUCTION

Traditional methods of drug administration such as oral delivery or injection usually result in rapid release and clearance of the drug.¹ A high initial drug dose is therefore necessary in order to maintain a therapeutic concentration over a period of time, which may produce toxic side-effects.^{1, 2} Controlled drug release technologies can improve the efficacy of traditional drug therapies by reducing the systemic concentration of free drug.³ Various drug delivery vehicles have been employed, such as liposomes,⁴ micelles,⁵ gelatin nanoparticles,⁶ solid lipid nanoparticles,⁷ silica-based nanoparticles,⁸ and porous Si.⁹⁻¹⁵ Porous Si possesses several properties that make it advantageous as a drug delivery system, including low toxicity,^{11, 16-19} a high surface area²⁰ and tunable pore sizes and volumes.²⁰ Chemical modification of the porous Si surface can also be achieved,²¹ providing many means to adjust the chemical stability of the material as well as to load a particular drug of interest. As with all biodegradable drug delivery carriers, the toxicity of the degradation products is an important factor. Si is an essential trace element that is required for proper bone and collagen growth.²² The bioavailable form of silicon consists of various oxo anions of orthosilicic acid ($\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$), which are rapidly removed by the kidneys in the human body.²³ This is also the primary degradation product of porous Si in aqueous media, and the rate of production of orthosilicic acid is dependent on the porosity of the porous Si sample.²²

A variety of drugs such as ibuprofen,²⁴ dexamethasone,²⁵ and doxorubicin¹⁵ have been placed in porous Si matrices. In most reports, drug loading is accomplished by adsorption of the drug to the inner pore walls of a suitably modified porous Si sample. These preparations typically release the drug rapidly. For long-term drug delivery applications it is desirable to minimize rates of desorption and leaching of drug from the fixture; one means to accomplish this is to attach the drug to the porous Si matrix by covalent attachment. This approach offers the added possibility of triggering release by incorporating a physiologically responsive linker; for example, an enzyme cleavable peptide sequence.²⁶ The method described here uses covalent attachment to load Alexa Fluor 488 or doxorubicin into porous Si microparticles. Alexa Fluor 488 is a stable fluorescent molecule that is used in this study to model drug release. Doxorubicin is an anti-cancer agent that also possesses intrinsic fluorescence properties. The model drugs are attached to a linker and grafted to the porous Si surface by means of Si-C bonds. The Si-C surface bond is stable in aqueous media, however the Si-Si back bonds of the assembly can be oxidized,²¹ resulting in insertion of oxygen to form Si-O-Si linkages. Unlike the Si-C bond, the Si-O bond is susceptible to hydrolysis in aqueous media.²¹ Thus, oxidation of the underlying Si matrix can result in oxidation-triggered release of the linker-molecule complex.

A second unique aspect of the porous Si system is the ability of the material to efficiently quench photoluminescence from a fluorophore by energy transfer. We find that oxidation of porous Si eliminates the quenching pathway, providing a means to monitor the progress of oxidatively triggered drug or molecule delivery. We show that the process is accelerated in the presence of biologically relevant reactive oxygen species (ROS).

In this study, doxorubicin was used as a test drug. Doxorubicin is a highly toxic drug that is used for chemotherapy and has a narrow therapeutic concentration range due to undesirable side-effects such as cardiotoxicity and myelosuppression.²⁷ The covalent method described

here allows for drug release only when the covalent bonds are broken or when the porous Si matrix is oxidized and degraded. We observe a significant, extended release of doxorubicin that is covalently attached to the porous Si matrix compared to doxorubicin that is physically adsorbed onto the porous Si matrix. We conclude that porous Si microparticles loaded with a drug through covalent attachment is a viable candidate for long-term drug release applications.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Porous Si films were synthesized by electrochemical etching of highly doped p-type Si wafers in an aqueous electrolyte containing HF and ethanol. The resulting porous film was lifted off and fractured into microparticles using ultrasound.²⁸ Freshly etched porous Si is unstable in aqueous media due to oxidation of the reactive surface hydrides and therefore surface modification is necessary in order to improve stability.^{29, 30} Step 1 in Scheme 1 illustrates functionalization of the freshly etched microparticles using microwave-assisted thermal hydrosilylation with undecylenic acid, in which a Si-C linkage is created.³¹ The molecules Alexa Fluor 488 or doxorubicin were then grafted to the carboxy terminus of the modified surface. 1-Ethyl-3-[3-dimethylaminopropyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) was used to link the hydrazide group of Alexa Fluor 488 to the carboxylic acid group on the porous Si surface. Doxorubicin was attached to the porous Si microparticles in a similar manner, via the primary amine of doxorubicin. In both attachment chemistries an amide bond is formed (Scheme 1).

Scanning electron microscope images reveal that after ultrasonic fracture and chemical modification, the porous Si sample consists of microparticles with sizes in the range of 30-50 μm (Figure 1a). Each individual microparticle is permeated with mesopores of 20-30 nm diameter (Figure 1b). The specific surface area, the porous volume, and the pore size of the microparticles were determined using nitrogen adsorption measurements with the application of the BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) and the BdB (Broekhof-de Boer) methods.³²⁻³⁴ The hysteresis loop observed is associated with cylindrically shaped pores of approximately constant cross section. The average pore diameter was calculated to be 20 ± 3 nm for the chemically modified microparticles, consistent with the SEM images. The surface area (S_{BET}) was ~ 260 m^2 (STP)/g and the porous volume was ~ 780 cm^3 (STP)/g.

Covalent attachment of the dye and drug were confirmed by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. The FTIR spectrum of freshly etched porous Si microparticles displays bands characteristic of surface hydride species (Figure 2a). A band at 2110 cm^{-1} associated with the $\psi_{\text{Si-H}}$ stretching vibrations, a band corresponding to the $\delta_{\text{Si-H}_2}$ scissors mode at 910 cm^{-1} , and a band corresponding to a $\psi_{\text{Si-H}}$ deformation mode (663 cm^{-1}) are apparent in the spectrum. After hydrosilylation with undecylenic acid, bands at 2928 cm^{-1} and 2857 cm^{-1} associated with $\psi_{\text{C-H}}$ stretching modes and a band at 1715 cm^{-1} associated with the $\psi_{\text{C=O}}$ stretching mode are observed (Figure 2b). Conjugation of the drug or dye molecules generate bands in the FTIR spectrum characteristic of amide I (1655 cm^{-1}) and amide II (1588 cm^{-1}), indicative of the formation of the linking amide bond (Figure 2c).³⁵ Bands associated with the attached dye (or drug) are also observed in the corresponding FTIR spectra.

The photophysical interaction of the fluorophore Alexa Fluor 488 with the porous Si matrix was measured by fluorescence microscopy. The degree of fluorescence quenching relates to the extent of oxidation of the porous Si microparticles. In the as-prepared material, very low levels of fluorescence are observed from the dye grafted onto porous Si (Figure 3a). The weak fluorescence intensity is attributed to the high density of electronic states in the semiconductor, which efficiently quenches the excited state of the attached fluorophore. The system can be thought of as a solid-state analog of Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET), where the attached dye is the energy donor and the porous Si matrix is the acceptor. The exchange of

energy between quantum dot-molecular dye conjugates has been observed previously,³⁶ and it appears to be a general feature of systems where the donor-acceptor separation distance is small.³⁷ In the specific case of porous Si, the quenching of fluorescence from dye molecules has been well-studied by Vial³⁸⁻⁴⁰ and others.^{41, 42}

As the porous Si microparticles oxidize in aqueous solution (pH 10), the growing oxide layer increases the separation between the dye donor and the semiconductor acceptor, resulting in a steady increase in photoluminescence intensity associated with the particles. A ten-fold increase in the intensity of dye fluorescence is measured (MetaMorph image analysis software, average of 10-20 particles) after 24 h and a thirty-fold increase is observed after a few days (Figure 3). Oxidation of the porous Si matrix was verified *ex situ* by the appearance of a broad band centered at 1000 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum, associated with silicon-oxide stretching modes. Independent measurements verified that the intensity of fluorescence of the Alexa Fluor 488 dye itself is independent of pH in the range 7-10. The increase in fluorescence intensity measured from the dye-modified porous Si microparticles provides a convenient means to monitor the extent of oxidation of the porous Si constituent, the first step in the aqueous dissolution of the fixture.

Covalent attachment allows the grafted molecule to be released only after the silicon host matrix dissolves. Degradation of porous silicon in aqueous solutions in the physiological pH range involves the oxidation of silicon into silicon dioxide (eq. 1), followed by hydrolysis with water (eq. 2) to release orthosilicic acid.²²

The first step in this process, the oxidation of Si, occurs in aqueous solutions such as phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or in cell media, although the reaction is slow. Oxidation can be accelerated by the addition of an oxidizing agent such as reactive oxygen species (ROS), in particular peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻).

Peroxynitrite, a biologically significant ROS, is a strong oxidant that is synthesized *in vivo* when superoxide and nitric oxide (NO) are generated in close proximity.⁴³ Peroxynitrite is thought to produce oxidative damage in tissues by oxidizing or nitrating biological molecules such as tyrosine.⁴⁴ In this study, 1-2 mM of 3-morpholinocarbonyl-L-tyrosine (SIN-1) was used to generate physiologically relevant micromolar levels of ONOO⁻ *in situ*, in a pH 7 buffer solution (PBS).^{45, 46} As observed with the base-induced oxidation, oxidation by ONOO⁻ increases the fluorescence intensity of the microparticles (Figure 4), but only by a factor of ~2. However, the reaction is faster than base-induced oxidation, and fluorescence intensity increases significantly within the first 15 min of exposure. Control experiments with PBS buffer show a much smaller rate of fluorescence increase. A control experiment involving incubation of free Alexa Fluor 488 with ONOO⁻ does not produce a measurable change in fluorescence intensity on this timescale. As with base-induced oxidation, the recovery of fluorescence intensity is attributed to a reduction in the efficiency of excited state energy transfer between the attached dye and the porous Si matrix. The present interpretation is consistent with the previous work in the porous Si and porous SiO₂ systems.^{38-40, 47, 48}

The dye molecules tethered to the porous Si matrix release into the solution as a result of the Si surface oxidation. It is well-established that the silicon-carbon bonds produced by hydrosilylation of porous Si are quite stable in aqueous media.^{21, 30} Oxidation of the porous

Si matrix produces Si-O back bonds (eq. 3) that are more susceptible to hydrolysis. In this work, the hydrolysis-induced release of the attached fluorophore was studied in pH 10 solution, PBS, cell media, and cell media containing added ONOO^- . Appearance of fluorophore in solution was quantified by absorbance and fluorescence spectrometry.

Addition of an oxidant can be used to trigger the release of molecules covalently attached to porous Si microparticles (Figure 5). In a 4 h period, the amount of fluorophore released from porous Si microparticles immersed in cell media is 2 times the amount released from microparticles immersed in aqueous PBS buffer. When ONOO^- is added to microparticles immersed in cell media, the amount of Alexa Fluor 488 released is 4 times the amount released when in PBS. The increased amount of fluorophore released in cell media compared to PBS is attributed to a greater rate of oxidation due to the presence of amines and surfactants in the cell media.⁴⁹⁻⁵² The presence of ONOO^- in the cell media further accelerates the rate of oxidation. After 24 h, there is > 2-fold increase in the concentration of fluorophore in a solution medium containing ONOO^- compared to media alone, and > 10-fold increase compared to PBS.

R = Alexa Fluor 488 or doxorubicin

(3)

The oxidation-triggered hydrolysis reaction that releases the dye into solution is potentially useful for drug delivery applications. Previous work using porous Si as a drug delivery material have focused on using physical adsorption as a means to load drug, often resulting in rapid, burst-type release profiles.^{9, 10} Covalent attachment offers a means to prolong the period of release and to harness physiological stimuli to modify the rate of release.

In order to test the relevance of the present approach to realistic therapeutic conditions, the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin was loaded into porous Si microparticles by covalent attachment and release into PBS solutions held at 37 °C was quantified. Two particle preparations were used. The first preparation was rinsed with methanol after covalent attachment of the drug, and the second was rinsed with water. It was found that doxorubicin is not sufficiently soluble in water to allow efficient removal of the free drug with a water rinse. Thus particles with covalently attached doxorubicin that were rinsed with water contained both covalently bound and physically adsorbed doxorubicin. The temporal drug release profiles of the two covalent preparations were quantified and compared with profiles from samples in which doxorubicin was loaded solely by physical adsorption (Figure 6). Approximately 75 μg of doxorubicin was loaded per mg of particles in the covalent attachment protocols, with 45 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ remaining after

the methanol rinse; the physical adsorption protocol resulted in a doxorubicin loading of approximately 55 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$.

For particles loaded with doxorubicin through physical adsorption, a burst of drug release is observed within the first 2 h and all detectable drug is released within 24 h. Particles containing a combination of covalently attached and physisorbed doxorubicin (the water-rinsed samples) display a smaller initial burst followed by a gradual release over a period of ~ 24 h. Particles loaded with doxorubicin through covalent attachment and rinsed with methanol to remove all physisorbed drug display a continuous, slower release of doxorubicin that lasts for > 5 d. For all three sample types, the measured quantity of doxorubicin released into solution is less than the amount that is initially loaded into the microparticles. The discrepancy is attributed to chemical degradation of doxorubicin during the course of the experiments, as doxorubicin is known to be moderately unstable in aqueous media at pH 7.⁵³

Cellular assays were performed to determine if the released doxorubicin retains its functional toxicity. Doxorubicin is somewhat unstable in aqueous solutions at pH 7, and in addition the chemical modification used to attach the molecule to the porous Si host microparticles may interfere with doxorubicin's mode of action. An MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrasodium bromide) toxicity assay was used to test cell viability. Cells were exposed to free doxorubicin (dox), empty porous Si microparticles (PSiMPs), and porous Si microparticles in which doxorubicin was loaded by covalent attachment (dox-pSiMPs). The samples of doxorubicin and porous Si microparticles were added to HeLa cells and assessed for cytotoxicity 48 h after exposure (Figure 7). The viability of cells exposed to doxorubicin-loaded porous Si microparticles was significantly lower than cells exposed to empty porous Si microparticles, and somewhat higher than cells exposed to the same quantity of free doxorubicin. Porous Si microparticle samples in which doxorubicin is loaded by physisorption display toxicity similar to the covalently attached material. It should be noted that porous Si is able to reduce MTT in the absence of cells, giving rise to an apparent lack of toxicity with this particular mitochondrial activity assay.^{54, 55} Thus the significant toxicity observed for doxorubicin-loaded porous Si microparticles is likely to be an underestimate of the actual cellular toxicity. Similar results are observed using the SRB (sulforhodamine B) assay (Supplemental Figure 1), which quantifies protein production as a measure of cellular viability. Both assays indicate that doxorubicin released from porous Si microparticles retains significant functional toxicity.

As mentioned above, doxorubicin is unstable in aqueous media, and it is possible that the drug may react chemically with porous Si microparticles either before or after release. In addition, the chemical attachment method employed modifies the drug from its normal form. Indeed, HPLC-MS analysis of the supernatant from doxorubicin-loaded porous Si microparticles indicates the presence of degradation products as well as compounds that can be ascribed to doxorubicin with the chemical tether attached (Supplemental Figure S1). Compared with physical adsorption, the covalent attachment method allows prolonged release of drug in a controllable fashion, although the attachment chemistry requires modification of the molecular structure of the drug. Thus the type of drugs that can be used with this approach may be somewhat limited.

In conclusion, loading of small molecules into mesoporous Si microparticles can be accomplished by covalent attachment via Si-C bonding. The molecules are released into aqueous solutions in a two-step mechanism involving oxidation and subsequent dissolution of the porous Si matrix. When a fluorescent dye is used, energy transfer quenching provides a sensitive probe of the oxidation process; fluorescence intensity of attached dye increases as the porous nanostructure oxidizes from semiconducting Si to insulating SiO_2 , and the intensity of fluorescence from the solution increases as the porous matrix dissolves and dye is released.

The covalent attachment method was tested with the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin, and release of the active drug was verified by the MTT cellular viability assay. Exposure of molecule-loaded porous Si particles to a reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as peroxynitrite results in triggered release of the attached molecule. This method allows the grafted molecule to be released only when the covalent bonds are broken or when the matrix is degraded. Because elevated levels of ROS are often generated in the vicinity of diseased or tumor tissues, the triggered release mechanism presented in this paper is relevant to medical therapeutic applications.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

Synthesis of Porous Si microparticles

Porous Si microparticles were prepared from the electrochemical etching of highly doped, (100)-oriented, p-type Si wafers (Boron-doped, $\sim 1 \text{ m}\Omega$ resistivity) in a 3:1 solution of 48% aqueous hydrofluoric acid (HF):ethanol (HF from Fisher, Inc. CAUTION: HF is highly toxic and contact with skin should be avoided). A Si wafer with an exposed area of 3.2 cm^2 was contacted on the back side with a strip of aluminum foil and mounted in a Teflon etching cell with a platinum counter-electrode. The wafer was etched at a constant current density of 248 mA/cm^2 for 2 min. The resulting porous layer was then lifted off by electropolishing in a 3.33% HF in ethanol solution for 2 min at a current density of 6.2 mA/cm^2 . The etching and electropolishing procedure was repeated 4 times per wafer and the resulting porous layers were ultrasonicated in ethanol for 20 min.

Hydrosilylation of Porous Si microparticles

Approximately 25 mg of the porous Si microparticles prepared in the manner described above were placed in a 10 mL Pyrex beaker and immersed in 1 mL of undecylenic acid (95%, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich). The microparticles in undecylenic acid were then heated in a commercial consumer microwave oven (Sears Kenmore 700 W) for 4 min at 280 Watts. The particles were then rinsed with hexane and ethanol to remove excess undecylenic acid.

Loading of Alexa Fluor 488 and Doxorubicin into Porous Si microparticles

For loading of Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen), approximately 4 mg of porous Si microparticles were suspended in 500 μL of ethanol. Afterwards, 5 μL of a 2 mg/mL solution of Alexa Fluor 488 in water and 25 μL of a 10 mg/mL solution of *N*-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*2-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride, or EDAC (commercial grade, Sigma Aldrich Chemicals) were added. The microparticles were then agitated for 2 h at room temperature and rinsed thoroughly with PBS. Doxorubicin hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals) was loaded into porous Si microparticles in a similar manner. Approximately 4 mg of porous Si microparticles were suspended in 500 μL of 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution. Afterwards, 200 μL of a 1 mg/mL solution of doxorubicin in water and 150 μL of a 10 mg/mL solution of EDAC were added. The particles were then agitated for 2 hours at room temperature and rinsed with methanol 5 times.

Physical Characterization of Porous Si microparticles

SEM images were obtained using a Phillips XL30 ESEM Field Emission Gun (FEG) electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Diffuse reflectance mode Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained with a Nicolet-Magna 550 spectrometer. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of porous Si microparticles were recorded at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 volumetric apparatus. Prior to the adsorption experiment, approximately 20 mg of porous Si samples were outgassed overnight in-situ at 313 K. The surface area of the sample was measured by the BET (Brunnauer-Emmett-Teller) method,

which yields the amount of adsorbate corresponding to a molecular monolayer. The pore dimensions were determined using the BdB (Broekhof-de Boer) method from the nitrogen adsorption curve.³²⁻³⁴

Microscopy

Fluorescent images were obtained using the Nikon Eclipse LV150 microscope with a Photometrics HQ² camera. For all acquired images, a 10x objective with a numerical aperture of 30 was used. Fluorescence images were acquired with either 50 ms or 100 ms exposure times. Measurement of fluorescence intensity of Alexa Fluor 488 was achieved using an excitation filter wavelength of 540-580 nm and an emission filter wavelength of 600-660 nm. The computer program MetaMorph from Molecular Devices Operation was used for image acquisition, processing, and analysis.

Determination of Drug Loading

Porous Si microparticles were completely dissolved in a solution of 1M KOH for 10 min. The solution was then neutralized with an equal volume of 1M HCl to recover the fluorescence spectrum of free dye or drug. A Perkin Elmer LS50B fluorescence spectrometer was used for fluorescence measurements. For measurement of the amount of dye loaded, the solution was excited at 495 nm and the emission from 500-600 nm was measured. The peak was found to be at 520 nm and the concentration was calculated based on a calibration curve (Supplemental Fig. 3). For doxorubicin, the solution was excited at 470 nm and the intensity of the emission spectrum was measured between 500-650 nm. A calibration curve for the emission at 580 nm was used to calculate the amount of doxorubicin loaded (Supplemental Fig. 3).

In Vitro Release Studies

Porous Si microparticles were rinsed thoroughly with PBS prior to drug release studies. 3-morpholinodisodium (SIN-1) purchased from Invitrogen, which generates superoxide and NO, was used to produce a flux of peroxynitrite. Approximately 600 µg of porous Si microparticles loaded with Alexa Fluor 488 were placed in a 35 mm Petri dish and suspended with either PBS, cell media (DMEM), or cell media with 1mM concentration of SIN-1. They were incubated at 37°C and agitated at 50 rpm and 1 mL of the release medium was removed at various time intervals to determine the amount of dye released. Fresh PBS, cell media or cell media containing SIN-1 (depending on the relevant experiment) were added back to the release solution to maintain a constant volume. Concentrations of the samples were determined by measuring the fluorescence intensity of the released dye with an excitation of 495 nm. The peak intensity of Alexa Fluor 488 was found to be at 516 nm and a calibration curve for the dye in PBS or in cell media was used to determine the amount of dye released in their respective medium. For doxorubicin release studies, approximately 2 mg of porous Si microparticles were placed in 15 mL of PBS solution at 37°C and agitated at 100 rpm. At predetermined time intervals, 2 mL of the release medium was removed and was replaced with fresh medium. Drug concentration in the release samples was determined as in the drug loading determinations.

Cell Toxicity Assay

The HeLa cell line was utilized for cell toxicity experiments. Cells were routinely maintained at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM culture medium. In preparation for the MTT assay, the cells were plated in a 96-well plate. 50 µL of porous Si particle dispersions or free doxorubicin solution of the requisite concentrations were introduced to each well with 100 µL of media. To perform the MTT assay, the media was removed after a 48 hour incubation period and the wells were rinsed with 100 µL of PBS 3 times. MTT solution was added to each well and allowed to react for 4 hours at 37°C. MTT formazan crystals were then dissolved in the MTT solvent. Values were determined by subtracting the absorbance value measured at 690 nm from

the value measured at 570 nm. A larger MTT-absorbance-minus-background value indicates a larger relative viability.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

This material is based upon work supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institute of Health (NIH) under grant U54 CA 119335, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-0806859. The CNRS/DREI program (call for proposal CNRS/United States 2005 #3312) is acknowledged for financial support of scientist exchanges. MJS is a member of the Moores UCSD Cancer Center and the UCSD NanoTUMOR Center. The authors thank Joseph Kinsella for help with sample preparation and discussions, Ryan Anderson of the UCSD Integrated Technology Laboratory for assistance in scanning electron microscopy, Corine Tourne-Petieilh and Francesco Di Renzo of Institut Charles Gerhardt Montpellier for helpful discussions and for assistance with generating nitrogen adsorption isotherms, and Yongxuan Su for assistance with HPLC-MS analysis.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. Tao SL, Desai TA. Microfabricated Drug Delivery Systems: from Particles to Pores. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev* 2003;55:315–328. [PubMed: 12628319]
2. Grayson ACR, Choi IS, Tyler BM, Wang PP, Brem H, Cima MJ, Langer R. Multi-Pulse Drug Delivery from a Resorbable Polymeric Microchip Device. *Nature Mater* 2003;2:767–772. [PubMed: 14619935]
3. Brayden DJ. Controlled Release Technologies for Drug Delivery. *Drug Discov. Today* 2003;8:976–978. [PubMed: 14643159]
4. Torchilin VP. Recent Advances with Liposomes as Pharmaceutical Carriers. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov* 2005;4:145–160. [PubMed: 15688077]
5. Torchilin VP. Micellar Nanocarriers: Pharmaceutical Perspectives. *Pharm. Res* 2007;24:1–16. [PubMed: 17109211]
6. Van Vlerken LE, Duan ZF, Seiden MV, Amiji MM. Modulation of Intracellular Ceramide Using Polymeric Nanoparticles to Overcome Multidrug Resistance in Cancer. *Cancer Research* 2007;67:4843–4850. [PubMed: 17510414]
7. Devalapally H, Chakilam A, Amiji MM. Role of Nanotechnology in Pharmaceutical Product Development. *J. Pharm. Sci* 2007;96:2547–2565. [PubMed: 17688284]
8. Bégu S, Durand R, Lerner DA, Charnay C, Tourné-Petieilh C, Devoisselle J-M. Preparation and Characterization of Silicious Material Using Liposomes as Template. *Chem. Commun* 2003:640–641.
9. Salonen J, Kaukonen AM, Hirvonen J, Lehto V-P. Mesoporous Silicon in Drug Delivery Applications. *J. Pharm. Sci* 2008;97:632–653. [PubMed: 17546667]
10. Anglin EJ, Cheng L, Freeman WR, Sailor MJ. Porous Silicon in Drug Delivery Devices and Materials. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev* 2008;60:1266–1277. [PubMed: 18508154]
11. Canham LT. Bioactive Silicon Structure Fabrication Through Nanoetching Techniques. *Adv. Mater* 1995;7:1033–1037.
12. Canham LT, Reeves CL, Loni A, Houlton MR, Newey JP, Simons AJ, Cox TI. Calcium Phosphate Nucleation on Porous Silicon: Factors Influencing Kinetics in Acellular Simulated Body Fluids. *Thin Sol. Films* 1997;297:304–7.
13. Coffey JL, Montchamp JL, Aimone JB, Weis RP. Routes to Calcified Porous Silicon: Implications for Drug Delivery and Biosensing. *Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Res* 2003;197:336–339.
14. Foraker AB, Walczak RJ, Cohen MH, Boiarski TA, Grove CF, Swaan PW. Microfabricated Porous Silicon Particles Enhance Paracellular Delivery of Insulin Across Intestinal Caco-2 Cell Monolayers. *Pharm. Res* 2003;20:110–116. [PubMed: 12608544]
15. Vaccari L, Canton D, Zaffaroni N, Villa R, Tormen M, di Fabrizio E. Porous Silicon as Drug Carrier for Controlled Delivery of Doxorubicin Anticancer Agent. *Microelectron. Eng* 2006;83:1598–1601.
16. Rosengren A, Wallman L, Bengtsson M, Laurell TH, Danielsen N, Bjursten LM. Tissue Reactions to Porous Silicon: A Comparative Biomaterial Study. *Phys. Stat. Sol. A* 2000;182:527–531.

17. Bayliss SC, Buckberry LD, Harris PJ, Tobin M. Nature of the Silicon-Animal Cell Interface. *J. Porous Mater* 2000;7:191–195.
18. Coffey JL, Whitehead MA, Nagesha DK, Mukherjee P, Akkaraju G, Totolici M, Saffie RS, Canham LT. Porous Silicon-Based Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering and Other Biomedical Applications. *Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Mat* 2005;202:1451–1455.
19. Cheng L, Anglin E, Cunin F, Kim D, Sailor MJ, Falkenstein I, Tammewar A, Freeman WR. Intravitreal Properties of Porous Silicon Photonic Crystals: a Potential Self-Reporting Intraocular Drug Delivery Vehicle. *Br. J. Ophthalmol* 2008;92:705–711. [PubMed: 18441177]
20. Zhang XG. Morphology and Formation Mechanisms of Porous Silicon. *J. Electrochem. Soc* 2004;151:C69–C80.
21. Buriak JM. Organometallic Chemistry on Silicon and Germanium Surfaces. *Chem. Rev* 2002;102:1272–1308.
22. Anderson SHC, Elliott H, Wallis DJ, Canham LT, Powell JJ. Dissolution of Different Forms of Partially Porous Silicon Wafers Under Simulated Physiological Conditions. *Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Res* 2003;197:331–335.
23. Evered, D.; O'Connor, M. *Silicon Biochemistry*. Wiley; Chichester; New York: 1986. p. 264
24. Salonen J, Laitinen L, Kaukonen AM, Tuura J, Bjorkqvist M, Heikkila T, Vaha-Heikkila K, Hirvonen J, Lehto VP. Mesoporous Silicon Microparticles for Oral Drug Delivery: Loading and Release of Five Model Drugs. *J. Control. Release* 2005;108:362–374. [PubMed: 16169628]
25. Anglin EJ, Schwartz MP, Ng VP, Perelman LA, Sailor MJ. Engineering the Chemistry and Nanostructure of Porous Silicon Fabry-Pérot Films for Loading and Release of a Steroid. *Langmuir* 2004;20:11264–11269. [PubMed: 15568884]
26. Harris TJ, von Maltzahn G, Derfus AM, Ruoslahti E, Bhatia SN. Proteolytic Actuation of Nanoparticle Self-Assembly. *Angew. Chem.-Int. Edit* 2006;45:3161–3165.
27. Minotti G, Menna P, Salvatorelli E, Cairo G, Gianni L. Anthracyclines: Molecular Advances and Pharmacologic Developments in Antitumor Activity and Cardiotoxicity. *Pharmacol. Rev* 2004;56:185–229. [PubMed: 15169927]
28. Cunin F, Schmedake TA, Link JR, Li YY, Koh J, Bhatia SN, Sailor MJ. Biomolecular Screening with Encoded Porous Silicon Photonic Crystals. *Nature Mater* 2002;1:39–41. [PubMed: 12618846]
29. Gupta P, Dillon AC, Bracker AS, George SM. FTIR Studies of H₂O and D₂O Decomposition on Porous Silicon. *Surf. Sci* 1991;245:360–372.
30. Lees IN, Lin H, Canaria CA, Gurtner C, Sailor MJ, Miskelly GM. Chemical Stability of Porous Silicon Surfaces Electrochemically Modified with Functional Alkyl Species. *Langmuir* 2003;19:9812–9817.
31. Boukherroub R, Petit A, Loupy A, Chazalviel JN, Ozanam F. Microwave-Assisted Chemical Functionalization of Hydrogen-Terminated Porous Silicon Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003;107:13459–13462.
32. Gregg, SJ.; Sing, KSW. *Adsorption, Surface Area and Porosity*. Vol. 2nd ed.. Academic Press Inc.; London: 1982. p. 112
33. Brunauer S, Emmett PH, Teller E. Adsorption of Gases in Multimolecular Layers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1938;60:309–319.
34. Broekhof JC, Deboer JH. Studies on Pore Systems in Catalysts .9. Calculation of Pore Distributions from Adsorption Branch of Nitrogen Sorption Isotherms in Case of Open Cylindrical Pores .a. Fundamental Equations. *J. Catal* 1967;9:8.
35. Socrates, G. *Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies: Tables and Charts*. Vol. 3rd ed.. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; New York: 2001.
36. Snee PT, Somers RC, Nair G, Zimmer JP, Bawendi MG, Nocera DG. A Ratiometric CdSe/ZnS Nanocrystal pH Sensor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:13320–13321. [PubMed: 17031920]
37. Somers RC, Bawendi MG, Nocera DG. CdSe Nanocrystal Based Chem-/Bio-Sensors. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 2007;36:579–591. [PubMed: 17387407]
38. Setzu S, Solsona P, Létant S, Romestain R, Vial JC. Microcavity Effect on Dye Impregnated Porous Silicon Samples. *Eur. Phys. J., Appl. Phys* 1999;7:59–63.
39. Setzu S, Létant S, Solsona P, Romestain R, Vial JC. Improvement of the Luminescence in P-Type As-Prepared or Dye Impregnated Porous Silicon Microcavities. *J. Lumin* 1998;80:129–32.

40. Letant S, Vial JC. Energy Transfer in Dye Impregnated Porous Silicon. *Journal of Applied Physics* 1997;82:397–401.
41. Bruska A, Chernook A, Hietschold M, von Borczyskowski C. Spectroscopic and SEM Investigations of Porous Silicon Doped with Dyes. *Appl. Surf. Sci* 1996;102:427–430.
42. Canham LT. Laser Dye Impregnation of Oxidized Porous Si on Si wafers. *Appl. Phys. Lett* 1993;63:337–339.
43. Squadrito GL, Pryor WA. The Formation of Peroxynitrite *In Vivo* from Nitric Oxide and Superoxide. *Chem. Biol. Interact* 1995;96:203–206. [PubMed: 7728908]
44. Nagaraj S, Gupta K, Pisarev V, Kinarsky L, Sherman S, Kang L, Herber DL, Schneck J, Gabrilovich DI. Altered Recognition of Antigen is a Mechanism of CD8+ T Cell Tolerance in Cancer. *Nat. Med* 2007;13:828–835. [PubMed: 17603493]
45. Bonfoco E, Krainc D, Ankarcrona M, Nicotera P, Lipton SA. Apoptosis and Necrosis: Two Distinct Events Induced, Respectively, By Mild and Intense Insults with N-methyl-D-aspartate or Nitric Oxide/Superoxide in Cortical Cell Cultures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci* 1995;92:7162–7166. [PubMed: 7638161]
46. Martin-Romero FJ, Gutierrez-Martin Y, Henao F, Gutierrez-Merino C. Fluorescence Measurements of Steady State Peroxynitrite Production Upon SIN-1 Decomposition: NADH Versus Dihydrodichlorofluorescein and Dihydrorhodamine 123. *J. Fluoresc* 2004;14:17–23. [PubMed: 15622856]
47. Chouket A, Elhouichet H, Boukherroub R, Oueslati M. Porous Silica-Laser Dye Composite: Physical and Optical Properties. *Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Mater* 2007;204:1518–1522.
48. Gole JL, DeVincentis JA, Seals L. Optical Pumping of Dye-Complexed and - Sensitized Porous Silicon Increasing Photoluminescence Emission Rates. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1999;103:979–987.
49. Canaria CA, Huang M, Cho Y, Heinrich JL, Lee LI, Shane MJ, Smith RC, Sailor MJ, Miskelly GM. The Effect of Surfactants on the Reactivity and Photophysics of Luminescent Nanocrystalline Porous Silicon. *Adv. Funct. Mater* 2002;12:495–500.
50. Sweryda-Krawiec B, Chandler-Henderson RR, Coffey JL, Rho YG, Pinizzotto RF. A Comparison of Porous Silicon and Silicon Nanocrystallite Photoluminescence Quenching with Amines. *J. Phys. Chem* 1996;100:13776–13780.
51. Chandler-Henderson RR, Sweryda-Krawiec B, Coffey JL. Steric Considerations In The Amine-Induced Quenching Of Luminescent Porous Silicon. *J. Phys. Chem* 1995;99:8851–8855.
52. Thomas JC, Pacholski C, Sailor MJ. Delivery of Nanogram Payloads Using Magnetic Porous Silicon Microcarriers. *Lab Chip* 2006;6:782–787. [PubMed: 16738731]
53. Chavanpatil MD, Khadair A, Patil Y, Handa H, Mao G, Panyam J. Polymer-Surfactant Nanoparticles for Sustained Release of Water-Soluble Drugs. *J. Pharm. Sci* 2007;96:3379–3389. [PubMed: 17721942]
54. Low SP, Williams KA, Canham LT, Voelcker NH. Evaluation of Mammalian Cell Adhesion on Surface-Modified Porous Silicon. *Biomaterials* 2006;27:4538–4546. [PubMed: 16707158]
55. Laaksonen T, Santos H, Vihola H, Salonen J, Riikonen J, Heikkilä T, Peltonen L, Kumar N, Murzin DY, Lehto V-P, Hirvonen J. Failure of MTT as a Toxicity Testing Agent for Mesoporous Silicon Microparticles. *Chem. Res. Toxicol* 2007;20:1913–1918. [PubMed: 17990852]

Figure 1.

(a) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a representative sample of porous Si microparticles. (b) A close-up SEM view of one of the microparticles, revealing the mesoporous structure.

Figure 2. Diffuse-reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectra of porous microparticles corresponding to the chemical transformation steps of Scheme 1. (a) Freshly etched porous Si before chemical modification. (b) Porous Si microparticles after hydrosilylation with undecylenic acid. (c) Particles after attachment of Alexa Fluor 488. The y-axis is presented in relative Kubelka-Munk units.

Figure 3.

Microscope images of porous Si microparticles with Alexa Fluor 488 attached, showing the increase in fluorescence intensity as the porous Si microparticles oxidize. **(a)** Bright-field (left) and fluorescence (right) images of the microparticles before oxidation. **(b)** Bright-field (left) and fluorescence (right) images after treatment with pH 10 buffer for 5 days, showing the significant increase in fluorescence intensity from the attached dye when the porous Si matrix oxidizes. Fluorescence images were obtained using 480 nm excitation and 535 ± 25 nm observation channels.

Figure 4.

Comparison of the change in fluorescence intensity of an Alexa Fluor 488 dye grafted to porous Si microparticles as a function of time after addition of peroxynitrite (ONOO^-). Controls with pure buffer (PBS) and with free dye (not attached to porous Si) are shown for comparison. The data are quantified by fluorescence microscopic measurement of the microparticles, and presented as the ratio of fluorescence intensity relative to the fluorescence intensity measured at time $t = 0$. The 535 ± 25 nm emission channel is monitored, using 480 nm excitation.

Figure 5.

Release of covalently attached Alexa Fluor 488 from porous Si microparticles as a function of time in various solutions, demonstrating ROS-triggered release. The release rate is smallest in PBS buffer, intermediate in cell media, and greatest in cell media containing 1 mM SIN-1, which generates the strong oxidant peroxynitrite *in situ*. The data are quantified by fluorescence spectrometry of the supernatant solution, using a calibration curve for the emission of Alexa Fluor 488 at 516 nm in either PBS or in cell media with an excitation of 495 nm.

Figure 6.

Release of doxorubicin from porous Si microparticles into PBS buffer. Complete drug release occurs within 1 d for doxorubicin loaded through physical adsorption, while the covalently attached drug is continuously released for > 5 d. The data are quantified by fluorescence spectrometry of the supernatant solution, monitoring the excitation spectrum between 500-650 nm and calculating the concentration based on a calibration curve for the emission at 580 nm using an excitation of 470 nm.

Figure 7.

Viability of HeLa cells (MTT assay) after exposure to free doxorubicin, empty porous Si microparticles (empty-pSiMPs), and porous Si microparticles loaded with doxorubicin by covalent attachment (dox-pSiMPs). The x-axis displays the doxorubicin concentration in terms of the total amount of doxorubicin added per mL of media. For the empty microparticles, there is no doxorubicin loaded, but a mass of particles equal to the mass of doxorubicin-loaded microparticles is used (1 ug/mL on the x-axis corresponds to 46 μ g of particles). The mass loading of the doxorubicin-loaded microparticles is 22 ng of doxorubicin per microgram of particles. Toxicity assessed 48 h after sample introduction.

Scheme 1.

Loading of molecular payloads in porous Si microparticles by covalent attachment. The process involves functionalization of the porous Si surface by thermal hydrosilylation of undecylenic acid (a), followed by EDC-mediated coupling of either the fluorescent molecule Alexa Fluor 488 (2a) or the anti-cancer drug doxorubicin (2b).