Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2009 January 21; 131(2): 438–439. doi:10.1021/ja807872s.

Selective recognition of protein tetraserine motifs with a cell-permeable, pro-fluorescent bis-boronic acid

Tiffany L. Halo[†], Jacob Appelbaum[§], Elissa M. Hobert[†], Daniel M. Balkin[§], and Alanna Schepartz^{†,‡,*}

[†] Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

[‡] Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

[§] Department of Cell Biology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510

Abstract

There is considerable interest in novel cell imaging tools that avoid the use of fluorescent proteins. One widely used class of such reagents are “pro-fluorescent” biarsenical dyes such as FIAsh, ReAsH, CrAsH, and Cy3As. Despite their utility, biarsenicals are plagued by high background labeling and cytotoxicity, and are challenging to apply in oxidizing cellular locale. Here we demonstrate that [(3-oxospiro[isobenzofuran-1(3H),9'-[9H]xanthene]-3',6'-diyl)bis(iminomethylene-2,1-phenylene)] bis-(9CI), a **rhodamine-derived bisboronic acid (RhoBo)** described initially as a monosaccharide sensor, functions as a cell-permeable, turn-on fluorescent sensor for a tetraserine-motifs in recombinant proteins. **RhoBo** binds peptides or proteins containing Ser-Ser-Pro-Gly-Ser-Ser with affinities in the nanomolar concentration range, and prefers this sequence to simple monosaccharides by >10,000-fold. **RhoBo** fails to form fluorescent complexes with constituents of the mammalian cell surface, as judged by epifluorescent, confocal, and TIRF microscopy, but fluoresces brightly within the Ser-Ser-Pro-Gly-Ser-Ser-rich cell interior. These results suggest that current efforts to identify optimal serine-rich sequences for **RhoBo** will allow it to function effectively as a selective small-molecule label for appropriately tagged proteins either upon or within living cells.

There is considerable interest in novel biomolecule imaging tools that avoid the use of fluorescent proteins.^{1–3} One widely used class of such reagents are “pro-fluorescent” biarsenical dyes such as FIAsh,⁴ ReAsH,⁵ CrAsH,⁶ and Cy3As.⁷ These cell-permeable molecules selectively label recombinant proteins containing a linear⁴ or split⁸ tetracysteine motif *via* thiol-arsenic exchange reactions that convert the non-fluorescent 1,2-ethanedithiol (EDT)-bound forms of these dyes into highly fluorescent protein-bound complexes. Despite their utility, however, biarsenicals are plagued by high background signals, cytotoxicity,^{9,10} and can be challenging to apply in oxidizing cellular locales.^{11,12} Non-toxic, redox-insensitive

alternatives that combine the convenience and selectivity of a biarsenical with the brightness of a fluorescent protein would be a valuable addition to the cell biologist's "fluorescent toolbox".² Here we report that [(3-oxospiro[isobenzofuran-1(3H),9'-[9H]xanthene]-3',6'-diyl) bis (iminomethylene-2,1-phenylene)]bis-(9CI), a **rhodamine**-derived bisboronic acid (**RhoBo**) described initially as a monosaccharide sensor,¹³ can function as a cell-permeable, turn-on fluorescent sensor for tetraserine-motifs in engineered proteins.

It has been known since 1953 that phenyl boronic acid condenses with polyols to form boronate esters,^{14,15} and since 1994 that the fluorescence of certain mono- and bis-boronic acid dyes increases upon esterification with simple sugars.^{16,17} The equilibrium stabilities of these complexes are low, however, with K_d values in the mM concentration range. We hypothesized that bis-boronic acid dyes would form higher affinity complexes with proteins containing a linear tetraserine motif. **RhoBo** was chosen as the ideal molecule with which to investigate this hypothesis, as it benefits from a simple synthesis and low monosaccharide affinity, and forms boronate esters that emit at wavelengths > 500 nm,¹³ a useful range for experiments in live cells.

First, we asked whether **RhoBo** would form fluorescent complexes with peptides containing 2–4 serine residues separated by a variety of intervening sequences (Figures 1 and 2). Each peptide was incubated with **RhoBo** (17.1 μ M) in buffer at 37 °C and the fluorescence emission at 580 nm was monitored as a function of peptide concentration. Under these conditions, peptide **1**, containing the sequence Ser-Ser-Pro-Gly-Ser-Ser, formed the highest affinity complex with **RhoBo** ($K_{app} = 452 \pm 106$ nM). Titrations with peptides containing two serines rather than four (**2**) or shorter (**3**, **4**) or longer (**5**) intervening sequences, or aspartate residues in place of serine (**9**) led to no detectable fluorescence change. Minimal fluorescence changes were observed with peptides containing threonine (**7**) or tyrosine (**8**) in place of serine. No fluorescence change was observed when **RhoBo** was incubated with the serine proteases trypsin or chymotrypsin. Notably, the equilibrium stability and brightness of the **RhoBo**•**1** complex ($3955.5 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) compares favorably with the complex formed between ReAsH-EDT₂ and the optimized tetracysteine sequence FLNCCPGCCMEP.¹⁸ **RhoBo** also formed a high affinity complex with a small well-folded protein, a derivative of the 36-aa pancreatic fold polypeptide aPP containing an N-terminal SSPGSS tag (**10**) ($K_d = 347 \pm 234$ nM (Figure 2A)).

Next we examined the affinity of **RhoBo** for simple monosaccharides, including those prevalent in mammalian oligosaccharide frameworks,¹⁹ to evaluate the extent to which these hydroxyl-rich functionalities might compete with protein tetraserine motifs. Although significant fluorescent changes were observed upon incubation of **RhoBo** with galactose (Gal), glucose (Glc), fucose (Fuc), mannose (Man), and sialic acid (Sia), these changes occurred at concentrations at least 10,000 times higher than required for peptide **1** (Figure 2B). Almost no fluorescence change was observed with *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) or with cis-1,2-cyclohexane diol (CHD). We also examined whether **RhoBo** would form fluorescent complexes with components of a 377-member mammalian glycan microarray (v.3.1; <http://www.functionalglycomics.org/>). None of the glycans on this array exhibited higher fluorescence than galactose when incubated with **RhoBo** (84 μ M) (Table S-3).

To determine whether the **RhoBo**•**1** complex possessed the expected 1:1 stoichiometry, we performed a preparative-scale reaction at a concentration of each reactant that significantly exceeded K_{app} (140 μ M), separated the products by HPLC, and determined their masses using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Under these conditions, an equimolar mixture of **1** and **RhoBo** was converted into a single major product (71% yield) that possessed the expected 1:1 complex mass (expected $m/z = 1696.18$, found $m/z = 1695.2$; Table S-1). An isomeric product possessing the identical mass (16% yield) was also formed. These isomers possess identical

quantum yields (ϕ) (0.89 ± 0.01) whose value compares well with the quantum yield of fluorescein (0.95)²⁰ and rhodamine 110 (0.91),²¹ and exceeds that of peptide-bound FIAsH (0.5)⁵ and **RhoBo** alone (0.3 ± 0.03).

Finally we evaluated whether **RhoBo** could circumnavigate the complex, saccharide-rich cell surface to image tetraserine-containing proteins in the cytosol. In contrast to the tetracysteine motif recognized by biarsenicals, which is absent from the human proteome,²² more than 100 human proteins, including the highly abundant myosin heavy chain, contain an SSPGSS sequence. HeLa cells were first transfected with pDisplay-mCherry, to fluorescently label the plasma membrane, and then treated for 30 min with either 0 or 1 μ M **RhoBo** before imaging using epifluorescence and total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM) (Figure 3A). The epifluorescence images show significant signal at the outer plasma membrane at the mCherry emission maximum (580 nm), irrespective of **RhoBo** treatment. Only those cells treated with **RhoBo**, however, show significant signal when **RhoBo** was excited specifically using 514 nm light (emission was monitored at 520 nm). In this case fluorescence is observed throughout the cell interior with maximal intensity in perinuclear regions and minimal intensity in the nucleus and outer plasma membrane (Figure 3B). **RhoBo**-treated cells were then imaged using TIRFM, which revealed significant signal at the mCherry emission maximum (580 nm) but not at the **RhoBo** emission maximum (520 nm). These images confirm the absence of even low levels of **RhoBo** emission within the outer 200 nm of the plasma membrane. It is of course possible that **RhoBo** is associated with constituents of the plasma membrane, but if so, then the complexes formed are not bright. The surprising observation that **RhoBo** does not fluoresce on the cell surface, coupled with its low toxicity (Figure S5), suggests that current efforts to identify more highly preferred tetraserine motifs will reveal **RhoBo** as a selective small-molecule tag for proteins on and within living cells.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Yale Center for Genomics and Proteomics and the National Institutes of Health (GM 83257) for their support of this work, and to Professor Robert Strongin (Portland State) for providing a sample of **RhoBo**. JA and DMB were both supported by NIH MSTP TG 5T32GM07205.

References

1. Soh N. *Sensors* 2008;8:1004–1024.
2. Giepmans BNG, Adams SR, Ellisman MH, Tsien RY. *Science* 2006;312:217–224. [PubMed: 16614209]
3. Chen I, Ting AY. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2005;16:35–40. [PubMed: 15722013]
4. Griffin BA, Adams SR, Tsien RY. *Science* 1998;281:269–272. [PubMed: 9657724]
5. Adams SR, Campbell RE, Gross LA, Martin BR, Walkup GK, Yao Y, Llopis J, Tsien RY. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:6063–76. [PubMed: 12022841]
6. Cao H, Chen B, Squier TC, Mayer MU. *Chem Comm* 2006:2601–3. [PubMed: 16779491]
7. Cao H, Xiong Y, Wang T, Chen B, Squier TC, Mayer MU. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:8672–8673. [PubMed: 17585763]
8. Luedtke NW, Dexter RJ, Fried DB, Schepartz A. *Nat Chem Bio* 2007;3:779–84. [PubMed: 17982447]
9. Stroffekova K, Proenza C, Beam KG. *Pflugers Archiv-European Journal of Physiology* 2001;442:859–866. [PubMed: 11680618]
10. Langhorst MF, Genisyurek S, Stuermer CA. *Histochem Cell Biol* 2006;125:743–7. [PubMed: 16395611]

11. Griffin BA, Adams SR, Jones J, Tsien RY. *Methods Enzymol* 2000;327:565–78. [PubMed: 11045009]
12. Gaietta GM, Giepmans BN, Deerinck TJ, Smith WB, Ngan L, Llopis J, Adams SR, Tsien RY, Ellisman MH. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;103:17777–82. [PubMed: 17101980]
13. Kim KK, Escobedo JO, StLuce NN, Rusin O, Wong D, Strongin RM. *Org Lett* 2003;5:5007–5010. [PubMed: 14682751]
14. Kuivila HG, Keough AH, Soboczinski EJ. *J Org Chem* 1954;19:780–783.
15. Lorand JP, Edwards JO. *J Org Chem* 1959;24:769–774.
16. James TD, Sandanayake K, Shinkai S. *Chem Commun* 1994:477–478.
17. James, TD.; Shinkai, S. *Host-Guest Chemistry*. Vol. 218. 2002. Artificial receptors as chemosensors for carbohydrates; p. 159-200.
18. Martin BR, Giepmans BNG, Adams SR, Tsien RY. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005;23:1308–1314. [PubMed: 16155565]
19. Werz DB, Ranzinger R, Herget S, Adibekian A, von der Lieth CW, Seeberger PH. *ACS Chem Biol* 2007;2:685–691. [PubMed: 18041818]
20. Lakowicz, JR. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Vol. 2. Kluwer Academic; New York: 1999.
21. Leytus SP, Melhado LL, Mangel WF. *Biochem J* 1983;209:299–307. [PubMed: 6342611]
22. Lin MZ, Wang L. *Physiology* 2008;23:131–141. [PubMed: 18556466]

Figure 1.

(A) Scheme illustrating a likely mode of condensation between **RhoBo** and a compound containing four hydroxyl groups. (B) Apparent equilibrium dissociation constant (K_{app}) of complexes between **RhoBo** and the peptides and monosaccharides shown.

Figure 2.

Changes in the fluorescence emission of **RhoBo** (17.1 μ M) in the presence of (A) peptides **1–10** and (B) indicated monosaccharides. Reactions were incubated in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) supplemented with 10% DMSO (37 °C, 60 min) and the emission monitored at 580 nm. Each point represents the average of three or more independent trials $\pm$ the standard error.

Figure 3.

(A) Experimental strategy to evaluate the extent of cell surface labeling by **RhoBo**. HeLa cells were transfected with pDisplay-mCherry (emission maximum 580 nm), incubated in the presence or absence of RhoBo (1 μ M) (emission maximum 520 nm), and (B) imaged using epifluorescent and TIRF microscopy.