Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2008 ; 47(42): 8068–8071. doi:10.1002/anie.200802219.

An Inverted and More Oxidizing Isomer of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$

Kallol Ray¹, Jason England¹, Adam T. Fiedler¹, Marlène Martinho^{2,*}, Eckard Münck^{2,*}, and Lawrence Que Jr.^{1,*}

¹ Department of Chemistry and Center for Metals in Biocatalysis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455 (USA)

² Department of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (USA)

High-valent oxoiron species are often invoked as the oxidants in the catalytic cycles of dioxygen activating mononuclear nonheme iron enzymes.[1] To date, such iron(IV) intermediates have been characterized for four enzymes, lending strong support for this notion.[2] Within the same time frame, synthetic nonheme complexes containing oxoiron(IV) units have also been described that serve as models for such reactive intermediates.[3] The first crystallographically characterized and most extensively studied member of this family of synthetic oxoiron(IV) complexes is $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{NCCH}_3)](\text{OTf})_2$ (**1-NCCH₃**)[4] (TMC = 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane). Its structure features a short Fe=O bond ($r_{\text{Fe}=\text{O}} = 1.646 \text{ \AA}$) with an acetonitrile bound *trans* to the oxo atom.[4] The macrocyclic TMC ligand adopts a *trans*-I (*R,S,R,S*) configuration, such that all four methyl groups are oriented in the same direction with respect to the FeN_4 plane, [5] and *anti* to the oxo atom. On the other hand, monoanionic X ligands coordinate *syn* to the methyl groups in crystal structures of five-coordinate $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{X})]^+$ complexes.[6] Herein, we report the unexpected preparation of an inverted isomer of **1-NCCH₃** in which the oxo group binds to the site *syn* to the four methyl groups (Scheme 1). The conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to its inverted isomer is effected by treatment with PhIO in the presence of tetrafluoroborate, an otherwise inert anion. The switch in binding site of the oxo group engenders changes in the spectroscopic properties of the oxoiron(IV) complex and, more importantly, a significantly enhanced reactivity in hydrogen-atom abstraction and oxo-transfer reactions.

The reaction of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{OTf})](\text{OTf})$ with solid iodosyl-benzene (PhIO) (*ca.* 5 eq) in CH_3CN at 25 °C affords in quantitative yield the pale green **1-NCCH₃** with λ_{max} at 820 nm ($\epsilon = 400 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) (Figure 1), as described previously.[4] The near-IR band has been assigned to d-d transitions that arise from the $S = 1$ oxoiron(IV) center.[7] However, in the presence of NBu_4BF_4 , the reaction produces a different species, yellowish green **2**, with bands at 806 ($\epsilon = 170 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) and 1026 nm ($\epsilon = 190 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$) (Figure 1), absorption features significantly different from those of **1-NCCH₃**. Mössbauer analysis of **2** further underscores its distinct nature from **1-NCCH₃**. As shown in Figure 1 inset, the 4.2 K Mössbauer spectrum of **2** ($\Delta E_{\text{Q}} = 0.78 \text{ mm/s}$, $\delta = 0.14 \text{ mm/s}$) is quite distinct from that obtained for **1-NCCH₃** ($\Delta E_{\text{Q}} = 1.24 \text{ mm/s}$ and $\delta = 0.17 \text{ mm/s}$). However, the zero-field splittings and magnetic hyperfine tensors of **1-NCCH₃** and **2**, determined from studies in strong applied magnetic fields, are practically the same (see Figure S1 and Table S1).

Additional measurements provide further insight into the nature of **2**. Conductivity experiments on **2** in CH_3CN yield an Onsager plot[8] with a slope comparable to those of

known 1:2 electrolytes (cation:anion ratio), such as **1-NCCH₃** and [Fe^{IV}(O)(N4Py)](ClO₄)₂ (**3**, N4Py = bis(2-pyridylmethyl)bis(2-pyridyl)methylamine), and much larger than those for known 1:1 electrolytes (e.g. NBu₄PF₆ and NBu₄BF₄) (Figure S2). Therefore **2**, like **1-NCCH₃**, is a 1:2 electrolyte. Secondly, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) of solutions of isolated **1-NCCH₃** and **2** gives rise to identical spectra, with prominent peaks corresponding to [Fe(O)(TMC)(NCCH₃)]²⁺ (*m/z* = 184.4) and {[Fe(O)(TMC)](OTf)}⁺ (*m/z* = 476.9) ions (Figure S3). Lastly, EXAFS analysis of **2** reveals Fe-ligand distances (*r*_{Fe=O} = 1.64 Å; *r*_{Fe-N(ave)} = 2.08 Å) identical to those found for **1-NCCH₃** (Figure S4 and Table S2). Thus, **1-NCCH₃** and **2** appear to be isomers with the same composition.

How then does **2** differ structurally from **1-NCCH₃**? ¹H-NMR data limit the possibilities (Figure 2). Since the TMC ligand in **1-NCCH₃** is known to adopt a *trans*-I configuration,^[4] the four methyl groups are equivalent and give rise to a single methyl resonance that is readily assigned by integration. Although distinct from that of **1-NCCH₃**, the ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** exhibits the high symmetry found in **1-NCCH₃**, indicating that the TMC ligand retains a *trans*-I configuration. These results lead us inexorably to the conclusion that **2** is simply the inverted isomer of **1-NCCH₃** in which the positions of the oxo and acetonitrile ligands are interchanged (Scheme 1).

Additional support for the “inverted isomer” hypothesis comes from ligand exchange reactions of **1-NCCH₃** and **2** with NBu₄SCN. As reported previously,^[6a] the reaction between **1-NCCH₃** and NBu₄SCN forms **1-NCS** with a molecular composition of [Fe(O)(TMC)(NCS)]⁺ as deduced from ESI-MS (*m/z* = 386.1). The analogous reaction with **2** leads to the formation of **2-NCS** with an identical molecular composition by ESI-MS. Although **1-NCS** and **2-NCS** have very similar Mössbauer spectra both in zero and applied magnetic fields (Tables 1 and S1; Figures S5 and S6), their electronic spectra are clearly distinct, with characteristic absorptions at 386, 850, and 1010 nm for **1-NCS**, and 415, 812, and 1015 nm for **2-NCS** (Figure 3). Additionally, their ¹H NMR spectra exhibit different paramagnetic shift patterns (Figure S7). Thus, based on the accumulated data, **1-NCS** and **2-NCS** are best described as position isomers with the positions of the axial oxo and NCS ligands switched.

The conversion of [Fe^{II}(TMC)(OTf)](OTf) to **2** in the presence of NBu₄BF₄ can be conveniently monitored by UV-vis-NIR spectroscopy with PhI(OAc)₂ as the oxidant, which unlike PhIO is a well-defined material and readily soluble in MeCN. Addition of only 1 eq PhI(OAc)₂ to [Fe^{II}(TMC)(OTf)](OTf) affords **1-NCCH₃** quantitatively in the absence or in the presence of NBu₄BF₄. Addition of a second eq PhI(OAc)₂ to the thus formed solution of **1-NCCH₃** does not effect any change in the absence of NBu₄BF₄, but converts **1-NCCH₃** to **2** in the presence of NBu₄BF₄ (Figure 1). These results show that a minimum of 2 eq PhI(OAc)₂ is required for the complete conversion of [Fe^{II}(TMC)(OTf)](OTf) to **2**. The first equivalent generates **1-NCCH₃**, and the second transforms **1-NCCH₃** to **2** in the presence of NBu₄BF₄.

What is the role of NBu₄BF₄? Experiments varying the amount of added NBu₄BF₄ show that a minimum of 0.2 eq is required for the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2**. Furthermore, when excess PhI(OAc)₂ is present, **1-NCCH₃** converts to **2** in a pseudo-first order fashion over the course of minutes at room temperature (Figure 4); this rate of conversion increases linearly with NBu₄BF₄ concentration (Figure 4 inset). We propose that the addition of BF₄[−] converts PhIO to PhIOPh(BF₄)₂, which is known to be a more powerful oxidant than PhIO,^[9] and this *in-situ*-generated oxidant reacts with **1-NCCH₃** to generate **2**. In support of this proposal, **1-NCCH₃** converts to **2** nearly quantitatively upon addition of 1 eq isolated PhIOPh(BF₄)₂.^[9] Furthermore, when ¹⁸O-labeled **1-NCCH₃** is treated with 1 eq PhI¹⁶OPh(BF₄)₂, the resultant **2** is found to be ¹⁶O-labeled. Conversely, when ¹⁶O-labeled

1-NCCH₃ is treated with $\text{PhI}^{18}\text{OIPh}(\text{BF}_4)_2$, **2** is found to be ^{18}O -labeled. Thus the oxo atom of **2** derives not from the oxo atom of **1-NCCH₃** but from the added oxidant. Based on these observations, a mechanism for the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2** is proposed in Scheme 1 that postulates formation of adduct **1a**, analogous to metal-oxo-oxidant adducts previously proposed in the literature to be more powerful oxidants than their parent metal-oxo species. [10] Formation of **1a** activates the $\text{Fe}=\text{O}$ unit to oxidize the CH_3CN solvent and form **2** either directly or via a transient dioxoiron(VI) species **1b**. In support, we observe a deuterium kinetic isotope effect of 5 for the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2**, when CD_3CN is used as the solvent (Figure 4), so solvent C-H bond cleavage is rate determining. Since both **1-NCCH₃** and **2** have long half-lives in CH_3CN ($t_{1/2} = 10$ and 7 h, respectively), a more powerful oxidant must be generated that is capable of cleaving the C-H bond of CH_3CN ; we propose this oxidant to be either **1a** or **1b**. Thus, in the course of the conversion, the oxo group of **1-NCCH₃** is effectively displaced by backside attack of $\text{PhIOIPh}(\text{BF}_4)_2$ to form its inverted isomer **2**.

Ruthenium analogues of the various species postulated in the mechanism shown in Scheme 1 have been reported by Che.[11] For example, $[\text{Ru}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ is the ruthenium analogue of **1-NCCH₃**, with the oxo atom *anti* to all four *N*-methyl groups, while $[\text{Ru}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{TMC})(\text{NCO})]^+$ has a structure similar to that proposed for **2**, with the oxo atom *syn* to all four *N*-methyl groups. More importantly, $[\text{Ru}^{\text{VI}}(\text{O})_2(\text{TMC})]^{2+}$, the ruthenium analogue to the putative dioxoiron(VI) species **1b**, has also been characterized, lending credence to our dioxoiron(VI) hypothesis.

The most striking difference between **1-NCCH₃** and **2** is in their reactivities. For example, **2** oxidizes PPh_3 and dihydroanthracene 35- and 170-fold faster, respectively, than **1-NCCH₃** (Table S3). The greater reactivity of **2** is also reflected in the relative intermetal oxo-transfer abilities of the two isomers. Nam and co-workers[12] previously established an oxo-transfer hierarchy of **4** > **3** > **1-NCCH₃** (**3** = $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{N4Py})]^{2+}$; **4** = $[\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}(\text{O})(\text{BnTPEN})]^{2+}$; $\text{BnTPEN} = N$ -benzyl-*N,N',N'*-tris(2-pyridylmethyl)-1,2-diaminoethane), with facile oxo transfer from **3** or **4** to $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ to yield **1-NCCH₃**, but not from **1-NCCH₃** to $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{N4Py})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ and $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{Bn-TPEN})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$. According to Nam's work, [12] this descending series reflects the progressive decrease in the $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV/III}}$ redox potential of the $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV}}=\text{O}$ unit. In stark contrast to **1-NCCH₃**, **2** readily oxidizes $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{N4Py})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ (Figure 5), $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{BnTPEN})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ (Figure S8), and $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{NCCH}_3)]^{2+}$ (Figure S9) to corresponding oxo complexes **3**, **4**, and **1-NCCH₃**, respectively. Thus, **2** leapfrogs over the other three complexes, i.e. **2** > **4** > **3** > **1-NCCH₃**, and is the most powerful oxidant in this series.

The higher reactivity of **2** may arise from kinetic and/or thermodynamic factors.[7b] The axial iron site *syn* to the *N*-methyl groups may in fact be sterically less hindered, as suggested by the fact that anions bind only at this position in the structures of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{X})]^+$ complexes,[6] so as to make **2** kinetically the more effective oxidant. On the other hand, the intermetal oxo-transfer hierarchy established above, following Nam's arguments, [12] suggests that the higher oxidizing ability of **2** may reflect a higher $\text{Fe}^{\text{IV/III}}$ potential. DFT calculations on the two isomers (Figure S10, Tables S4 and S5) in fact support this thermodynamic argument, as **2** is calculated to be higher in energy than **1-NCCH₃** by ~4 kcal/mol. A comparison of the two geometry-optimized structures suggests that the energy difference may arise from the 0.1-Å lengthening of the $\text{Fe}-\text{NCCH}_3$ bond in **2** accompanied by a 0.01-Å shortening of the $\text{Fe}=\text{O}$ and $\text{Fe}-\text{N}_{\text{TMC}}$ bonds.[13] Establishing which factors determine the greater reactivity of **2** requires additional spectroscopic, electrochemical and computational studies, which are in progress.

In summary, we report here spectroscopic evidence for the unexpected conversion of previously characterized **1-NCCH₃** to its inverted isomer **2** by reaction with PhIOIPh(BF₄)₂. Strikingly, the simple interchange of the positions of the axial oxo and CH₃CN ligands between **1-NCCH₃** and **2** significantly enhances the oxidative reactivity of the latter relative to the former, making these two complexes the first example of an isomer pair in which a particular face of a macrocyclic ligand affects reactivity so dramatically. Whatever the rationale, these results illustrate the subtle power of the coordination environment to tune the redox properties of the oxoiron(IV) unit.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM-33162 to L.Q., GM-079839 to A.T.F. and EB-001475 to E.M.). XAS data were collected on beamline 9-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL)

References

1. a) Solomon EI, Brunold TC, Davis MI, Kemsley JN, Lee SK, Lehnert N, Neese F, Skulan AJ, Yang YS, Zhou J. *Chem Rev.* 2000; 100:235. [PubMed: 11749238] b) Costas M, Mehn MP, Jensen MP, Que L Jr. *Chem Rev.* 2004; 104:939. [PubMed: 14871146] c) Abu-Omar MM, Loaiza A, Hontzeas N. *Chem Rev.* 2005; 105:2227. [PubMed: 15941213]
2. Krebs C, Galoniu Fujimori D, Walsh CT, Bollinger JM Jr. *Acc Chem Res.* 2007; 40:484. [PubMed: 17542550]
3. a) Shan X, Que L Jr. *J Inorg Biochem.* 2006; 100:421. [PubMed: 16530841] b) Que L Jr. *Acc Chem Res.* 2007; 40:493. [PubMed: 17595051]
4. Rohde JU, In JH, Lim MH, Brennessel WW, Bukowski MR, Stubna A, Münck E, Nam W, Que L Jr. *Science.* 2003; 299:1037. [PubMed: 12586936]
5. Bosnich B, Poon CK, Tobe ML. *Inorg Chem.* 1965; 4:1102.
6. a) Sastri CV, Park MJ, Ohta T, Jackson TA, Stubna A, Seo MS, Lee J, Kim J, Kitagawa T, Münck E, Que L Jr, Nam W. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:12494. [PubMed: 16144389] b) Fiedler AT, Halfen HL, Halfen JA, Brunold TC. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:1675. [PubMed: 15701002]
7. a) Decker A, Rohde JU, Que L Jr, Solomon EI. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:5378. [PubMed: 15113207] b) Decker A, Rohde JU, Klinker EJ, Wong SD, Que L Jr, Solomon EI. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:15983. [PubMed: 18052249]
8. a) Geary WJ. *Coord Chem Rev.* 1971; 7:81. b) Casella L, Ibers JA. *Inorg Chem.* 1981; 20:2438.
9. Zhdankin VV, Tykwinski R, Berglund B, Mullikin M, Caple R, Zefirov NS, Koz'min AS. *J Org Chem.* 1989; 54:2609.
10. a) Oh NY, Seo MS, Lim MH, Consugar MB, Park MJ, Rohde JU, Han J, Kim KM, Kim J, Que L Jr, Nam W. *Chem Commun.* 2005:5644. b) Suh Y, Seo MS, Kim KM, Kim YS, Jang HG, Tosha T, Kitagawa T, Kim J, Nam W. *J Inorg Biochem.* 2006; 100:627. [PubMed: 16458358] c) Wang SH, Mandimutsira BS, Todd R, Ramdhanie B, Fox JP, Goldberg DP. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:18. [PubMed: 14709038] d) Shan X, Que L Jr. *Chem Commun.* 2008:2209.
11. a) Che CM, Wong KY, Mak TCW. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun.* 1985:546. b) Che CM, Lai TF, Wong KY. *Inorg Chem.* 1987; 26:2289.
12. Sastri CV, Oh K, Lee YJ, Seo MS, Shin W, Nam W. *Angew Chem.* 2006; 118:4096. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2006; 45:3992.
13. The DFT calculated differences in Fe=O and Fe-N_{TMC} bond lengths for **1-NCCH₃** and **2** are within the error of EXAFS analysis.

Figure 1.

Vis-NIR spectra of **1-NCCH₃** (dashed line) and **2** (dark solid line). Intermediate spectra show the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2** upon addition of 1 eq PhI(OAc)₂ in the presence of NBu₄BF₄. The inset shows the Mössbauer spectra of **1-NCCH₃** (dashed line) and **2** (solid line) recorded in zero magnetic field at 4.2 K.

Figure 2.

^1H NMR spectra of **1-NCCH₃** (top) and **2** (bottom) in CD_3CN at 25 °C in the range 70 to –60 ppm. The insets show the NMR spectra in the range –150 to –175 ppm. The peaks are assigned to the TMC ligand as follows: ■- $\text{NCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{N}$ - ($2 \times 2\text{H}$); ●- NCH_2 ($4 \times 4\text{H}$); ▲- NCH_3 (12 H).

Figure 3.

UV-Vis-NIR spectra of **1-NCS** (dashed line) and **2-NCS** (solid line), formed by the reaction of **1-NCCH₃** and **2** with 1 and 5 eq of NBu₄SCN, respectively, in CH₃CN at -30°C . The inset shows the vertical expansion of the NIR region. The extinction coefficients are estimated based on the iron(IV) fractions determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy (85 % for **1-NCS** and 70% for **2-NCS**).

Figure 4.

The time traces for the formation of **2** from **1-NCCH₃** under pseudo-first order conditions (10 eq $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ and 0.5 eq NBu_4BF_4) in ($\circ$) CD_3CN ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.0006 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and ($\blacksquare$) CH_3CN ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.003 \text{ s}^{-1}$) at 25°C . Lines drawn through the experimental data represent the pseudo-first order fits. The inset shows the $[\text{NBu}_4\text{BF}_4]$ dependence of the pseudo-first order rate constant for the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2** in CH_3CN .

Figure 5.

Changes in the absorption spectrum associated with the reaction of a 4-mM solution of **2**, generated by addition of 2 eq $\text{PhI}(\text{OAc})_2$ and 0.2 eq NBu_4BF_4 to $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{TMC})(\text{OTf})](\text{OTf})$, with 1 eq $[\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{N4Py})(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})]^{2+}$ in CH_3CN at 25 °C.

Scheme 1.
Mechanism of the conversion of **1-NCCH₃** to **2**

Table 1

Spectroscopic Properties of the Complexes.

Complex	λ_{max} , nm (ϵ , $\text{M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$)	ΔE_Q (mm/s)	δ (mm/s)
1- NCCH_3	820(400)	1.24(1)	0.17(1)
2	806(170), 1026 (190)	0.78(2)	0.14(1)
1-NCS	386 (4200)	0.60(2)	0.16(2)
	850 (200)		
	1010 (160)		
2-NCS	415 (5400)	0.61(2)	0.14(1)
	812 (120)		
	1015 (140)		