Published in final edited form as:

Nat Protoc. 2008 ; 3(9): 1395–1401. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.134.

Semi-automated and rapid quantification of nucleic acid footprinting and structure mapping experiments

Alain Laederach^{1,7,§}, Rhiju Das², Quentin Vicens³, Samuel M. Pearlman⁴, Michael Brenowitz⁵, Daniel Herschlag⁶, and Russ B. Altman⁷

¹Department of Developmental Genetics and Bioinformatics, Wadsworth Center, Albany, NY 12201, alain@wadsworth.org

²Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195, rhiju@u.washington.edu

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309, quentin.vicens@colorado.edu

⁴Biomedical Informatics Program, Stanford University, Stanford CA 94304, sampearl@stanford.edu

⁵Department of Biochemistry, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, 10461, brenowitz@aeom.yu.edu

⁶Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, herschla@stanford.edu

⁷Departments of Bioengineering and Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, russ.altman@stanford.edu

Abstract

We have developed protocols for rapidly quantifying the band intensities from nucleic acid chemical mapping gels at single nucleotide resolution. These protocols are implemented in the software SAFA (Semi-Automated Footprinting Analysis) that can be downloaded without charge from <http://safa.stanford.edu>. The protocols implemented in SAFA have five steps: 1.) Lane identification, 2.) Gel rectification, 3.) Band assignment, 4.) Model fitting, and 5.) Band intensity normalization. SAFA enables the rapid quantitation of gel images containing thousands of discrete bands, thereby eliminating a bottleneck to the analysis of chemical mapping experiments. An experienced user of the software can quantify a gel image in approximately 15 minutes. Although SAFA was developed to analyze hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) footprints, it effectively quantifies the gel images obtained with other types of chemical mapping probes. We also present a series of tutorial movies that illustrate the best practices and different steps in the SAFA analysis as a supplement to this protocol.

Keywords

Gel Electrophoresis; Quantification; Chemical Mapping; Nucleic Acid; Phosphorimaging; SAFA; Footprint

Introduction

Chemical mapping of nucleic acids ('footprinting') provides structural information at nucleotide resolution. Chemical probes provide unique structural detail. As examples, hydroxyl radicals ($\cdot\text{OH}$) probe the solvent accessibility of the nucleotide backbone¹⁻⁵ while a chemical

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed. phone: (518) 486 4103, Fax: (518) 474 3181, e-mail: alain@wadsworth.org.

modifier such as dimethylsulfate can identify exposed base moieties that are base-paired^{6, 7}. Boundaries of single stranded regions, as well as an estimate of their flexibility, can be obtained by chemical probing using the SHAPE chemistry⁸⁻¹⁰. The importance of chemical mapping to RNA and DNA structural analysis stems from the ease with which nucleic acid polymers are separated by size using gel electrophoresis. Chemical mapping is thereby often used to follow structural change in response to titrated changes in equilibrium solution conditions (such as Mg^{2+} concentration)¹¹⁻¹⁴ or as a function of time^{3, 15, 16}. Such quantitative mapping experiments require the analysis of large numbers of gels. Software tools that assist in the analysis of this cornucopia of information in a standardized manner are thus needed.

Figure 1A illustrates a gel image based on a typical chemical mapping reaction carried out on a large structured RNA molecule. 'Protections' from the chemical probe are observed with increasing Mg^{2+} (the bands get lighter) and are characteristic of the RNA folding. Single-nucleotide band fitting algorithms allow an accurate estimation of the relative intensities of each band in the gel using a deconvolution procedure^{17, 18}. This deconvolution procedure effectively corrects for errors in observed band intensity that are the result of peak overlap. Figure 1B illustrates the result of this deconvolution procedure for one of the lanes in the gel; a series of individual peak models (shown in light gray) are fit to the profile of the lane (black line). The SAFA procedure allows a user to quantify a gel image using a single nucleotide fitting procedure in a semi-automated way¹⁸. This protocol describes the steps to be taken to obtain quantitative and reproducible data from a gel image using SAFA (Figure 1A).

Single nucleotide peak fitting algorithms require initial guesses for the peak positions in each lane^{17, 18}. Most gels, however, are not sufficiently uniform to easily transfer assignments of bands from one lane to the next. Therefore, we implemented a gel rectification procedure (Figure 2) that allows users to geometrically correct gel images in a standard and semi-automated fashion so that band assignments are uniform across the gel. This procedure enhances the accuracy and reduces the tedium of quantifying all the band intensities of each gel. This procedure also allows SAFA to average the band signal for each lane, resulting in a more robust quantification of the band intensity.

The expected outcome of a SAFA analysis is illustrated in Figure 1. A digitized gel image is input into SAFA. SAFA rectifies the lanes and bands to remove geometric distortion (Figure 1A) and fits a peak model (Figure 1B) to accurately quantify the individual peak intensities (Figure 1C)¹⁸. The procedure is semi-automated so that an experienced user can quantify an entire gel image in about 20 minutes. Obtaining highly reproducible results requires some experimental planning (discussed below), as the layout of the lanes on the gel can greatly facilitate gel rectification. The inclusion of sample replicates allows the reproducibility of the data to be estimated.

Accurate gel quantitation is a key step in the analysis of chemical mapping reactivity data. In applications to structural modeling, thermodynamic titrations, or time-resolved kinetic experiments, the resolved band intensities must subsequently be normalized post-quantitation for subsequent quantitative analysis. We therefore include in this protocol instructions on using the data normalization approaches implemented in SAFA for $\cdot OH$ footprinting. A user should be able to efficiently and reproducibly quantify a gel image using the approach outlined below.

While the example developed in this protocol is based on a thermodynamic analysis of the folding of an RNA molecule using $\cdot OH$, the quantitation methodology is independent of the experimental modality. Published studies demonstrate the generality of SAFA in structural, thermodynamic, and kinetic studies of nucleic acids with a variety of chemical probes^{10, 19-21}. Structural analyses of nucleic acids include $\cdot OH$ Footprinting, and chemical mapping, which respectively report the solvent accessibility and the extent of base-pairing, at either a

rough (DMS mapping) or fine level (SHAPE mapping)^{6, 22}. These techniques can be used to assess thermodynamic and kinetic parameters for nucleic acid molecules by monitoring changes in the relative protections as a function of a reaction coordinate (e.g. salt concentration) or time^{13, 15, 23, 24}. The core algorithms in SAFA are being adapted to analyze high-throughput chemical modification data from capillary sequencers as well two-dimensional nucleic acid gels for mapping contacts in large RNA molecules. In the spirit of the RNA Ontology Consortium²⁵, we continue to develop SAFA with the goal of accommodating the needs of the users of the full range of available chemical mapping methods. Beyond an already-facilitated quantification of diverse nucleic acids probing and mapping experiments, future versions of SAFA will include multiple normalization options such as normalization to one particular band⁸ or by pairwise comparison¹⁹.

MATERIALS

Equipment Setup

Computational Requirements

- Versions of SAFA have been compiled to run on the Mac OS X (10.4 or higher, PPC/Intel) and Windows XP operating systems. The different versions of the software, along with the source code can be downloaded gratis from <http://safa.stanford.edu>. Specific installation instructions are provided in the software documentation for the different architectures. SAFA has been tested on a variety of platforms; we recommend a system with at least 2GB of RAM and a recent Pentium processor. A three-button mouse is also useful for both Macintosh and PC versions, but not required.
- SAFA reads as input either ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics Inc.) .gel files or standard .tiff images. When using SAFA in conjunction with image analysis software other than ImageQuant it is important to obtain the highest bit-depth possible (*e.g.*, 16-bit images should generally be used). See step 1 for guidelines regarding the layout of the gel.
- SAFA also requires a nucleotide sequence file in FASTA format that corresponds to the sequence of the nucleic acid polymer being studied. Example files are available for download at <http://safa.stanford.edu>.

PROCEDURE

Pre-analysis experimental planning

1| Run the gel referring to the following layout guidelines. Careful layout of the lanes in the gel improves the accuracy of the resolved peak densities due to the nature of gel rectification. Figure 3 illustrates the recommended layout of a gel. Three types of lanes are expected: (a) **Sequencing Lanes**: These lanes guide band assignment. They generally have a dark band at a particular residue type. For example an RNA T1 digest will produce a dark band for every G in the sequence. Running sequencing reaction lanes for more than one nucleotide may be necessary for mapping methods such as SHAPE¹⁰; (b) **Background Lanes**: These lanes contain unmodified RNA and help estimate the amount of background cleavage that has occurred during the chemical mapping experiment; and (c) **Data Lanes**: These lanes contain the experimental data. In the case of a titration, each lane corresponds to the profile for a particular concentration of solute in the series of concentrations. In other experiments, these may contain pairwise comparisons of the mapping in presence or absence of an influencing solute or thermodynamic variable.

We strongly recommend that experiments be replicated, ideally with independently prepared samples, to assess the error in the final quantitated values.

Importing the gel image and sequence files

2| Open SAFA by clicking on its icon or by typing “SAFA” in the command line window of Matlab. The sequences and gel files are imported by clicking on the “Load Sequence” and “Load Gel” file buttons on the main SAFA window (Figure 4A), respectively.

3| Define “crop” boundaries immediately after loading the gel image by left clicking and dragging in the main window. To quantify independently several portions of the same gel image (*e.g.* samples loaded at different times), the user will need to upload the image as many times as there are sections to be quantified.

4| Import the sequence file by clicking on the “Load Sequence” button. Sequences no longer than 500 bases should be loaded. Therefore, the sequence file should slightly exceed the number of bases that are expected in the gel image. The numbering assigned to the input sequence can subsequently be adjusted to match the conventional numbering used in the literature for the molecule. The user specifies whether the gel involves 5’ or 3’ labeled nucleic acid fragments, *i.e.*, whether the fragments with faster electrophoretic mobility correspond to smaller or larger residue numbers, respectively.

<CRITICAL STEP> Once the sequence is loaded, a Sequence Dialog appears (Figure 4B). This Sequence Dialog defines the behavior of the semi-automated band assignments (Section 4). Selecting a base (A, C, G, U or T) will highlight it on the sequence that is displayed. It is recommended to select the nucleotides in the sequence that correspond to bands in the ladder or sequencing lane(s). Therefore, if a T1 digest is used, “G” should be selected. First time users may want to select all bases, which will require them to manually select each band until they become comfortable with the software.

Gel Rectification

5| Identify lane boundaries by clicking on the “Define Lanes” button in the SAFA main window (Figure 4A). Draw the left most lane boundary on the gel image by left clicking (the middle button and right button undo and terminate the boundary). After defining the first lane boundary, the user may revise the boundary by typing “r.” After selecting at least two lanes, typing “g” will generate and show the programs ‘guess’ for the next lane boundary. Figure 2A shows a completed lane boundary selection on a typical gel image. Typing “Z” will vertically rectify the gel image as illustrated in Figure 2B. SAFA allows the user to export the rectified gel image as a tiff for archival purposes.

6| Click the “Anchor Lane” button to define the reference lane for horizontal rectification of the gel. The user should select a central lane in the gel for this purpose.

7| Click on the “Align Gel” dialog to define band anchor lines spanning the horizontal width of the gel as shown in Figure 2C. Three to four anchor lines distributed evenly over the length of the gel are typically sufficient to rectify the image (Figure 2D). More lines may be necessary for severely or non-uniformly deformed gels.

<CRITICAL STEP> Zooming in and out of the gel image during anchor line definition by right clicking on the mouse facilitates accurate gel rectification. Furthermore, during all procedures, typing “c” toggles the gel representation between grayscale and pseudocolor representations.

8| Save the rectified gel image in .tiff format using the File-> Save Image dialog box. It is also prudent to save the results acquired to this point by using the File-> Save Dump option.

<CRITICAL STEP> Saving your results periodically is very highly recommended.

Band Assignment

9| Click “Assign Bands” to start the band assignment procedure. Band assignment provides SAFA with the initial guesses required for fitting a peak model to each lane profile. Assign the bands from the bottom of the gel to the top. The user is asked to input a starting band number (labels on the top of the gel in the SAFA window) and will then click on the gel image at the selected initial band position (Figure 2E). SAFA highlights bands by a colored line (one color per base type). Depending on the selections made in Section 2a (iv), select the positions for the bases moving up the gel.

<CRITICAL STEP> While SAFA does not limit the user's access to the bands at the top of the gel, the program is far more likely to yield erroneous results for these poorly separated bands. Limits to the extent of peak-fitting have not been coded into SAFA since gels vary widely in quality and we do not wish to prevent users from extracting all possible information from their gels. Users are advised to use common sense and to not try to over-interpret individual gels. Multiple gels run for increasingly longer times remain essential to accurately quantifying the band intensities of long fragments.

Quantitation

10| Click “Quantify” to start the fully automated quantification procedure that determines the density of each band. Following quantification, the user should save the spreadsheet summarizing the results in a tab delimited text file. The first column in the spreadsheet is the nucleotide numbers with the following columns containing the raw peak density data. The user may use this spreadsheet to analyze their data as they see fit; it may be inspected, graphed or output to another program. Step 11 describes the numerical transformations included in SAFA typically conducted for equilibrium and time-resolved chemical mapping experiments. Other normalization procedures are available through separate modules that will be incorporated into future versions of SAFA (<https://simtk.org/home/nornalize>).

Post quantification analysis

11| The raw band intensities generated in step 5 may be normalized to correct for variation in the amount of sample loaded onto the gel or small variations in extent of the chemical mapping reaction among the samples. Choose a normalization strategy based on the nature of the experiment and the ultimate goal of the analysis. Alternative strategies below that can be accomplished within SAFA are accessed through the Visualize Data-> Normalize/ Colorplot menu option. These transformations can also be carried out in a spreadsheet program. The options are (A) Invariant Residue Normalization and (B) Mean Protection Normalization.

(A) Invariant Residue Normalization¹⁷

(i) Choose this option for titration and time-resolved experiments where the goal is to observe a change in the protection value of single nucleotides as a function of a specific variable. This approach yields *relative* changes in protection and is ideal if the goal is to obtain a mid-point of a thermodynamic titration or a rate constant of a time-dependent process. We illustrate an example of this procedure in Figure 5.

(ii) The protocol identifies a specified fraction (generally 5%) of “invariant residues” whose densities do not *systematically* change over the course of the experiment. These are the bands that show the minimum systematic change in protection in the experiment. Type the “t” option in the Normalization dialog box to select the residues chosen by SAFA. The raw

peak intensities are then divided by the mean intensity of these invariant residues by SAFA.

(B) Mean Protection Normalization

(i) Choose this option to estimate the degree of protection. In the case of •OH footprinting, the degree of protection is correlated to the accessible surface area (ASA) of the RNA²⁶. This simple procedure works particularly well for experiments where the average protection in a reference lane probing unstructured RNA is less than 10% of the data lanes. In this case the mean protection value is computed and all peak amplitudes are divided by it. This approach is particularly useful for comparing protections among different molecules.

Timing

1. Pre-analysis experimental setup: The time required to set up an experiment is highly variable and depends on the experimental protocol and the user's experience in the particular mapping technique. Prior to the collection of data, several benchmark experiments should be carried out to assess the reproducibility of the quantified data.
2. Importing the gel image and sequence files: 2 minutes. A sequence file is reusable and thus must only be created once.
3. Gel Rectification: 15-30 minutes, depending on the number of lanes and the experience of the user.
4. Band assignment: 10-20 minutes. The time taken to assign bands is dependent on the choices made in step 2a. iv. This step is accelerated if the user is familiar with the pattern in the sequencing lane(s), either by previous annotation on a printout of the gel or by assigning bands in previous gels with the same nucleic acid sequence.
5. Quantitation: SAFA takes about 30 seconds per lane to optimize the peak model on a Pentium Processor with 1 GB of RAM.
6. Normalization: 1-2 minutes depending on protocol.

The total time to quantify a gel is in general 20 minutes.

Troubleshooting

Additional troubleshooting guidelines

- Installation and compatibility issues are discussed in detail in the SAFA user manual (<http://safa.stanford.edu>). Due to the wide variety of image formats available, most user inquiries reflect difficulty with obtaining sufficient contrast in the main image window (Figure 4A). This problem typically results from a mismatch of the bit-depth of the image. Users can correct this problem by toggling the visualization mode under the Settings->Render SQRT image. Alternatively, the users can change the bit-depth of the image in the software used to save the image.
- A clear understanding of the relationship between the sequence file and the band assignment procedure (Step 4) allows SAFA to be used for a variety of applications. We provide a generic sequence file for SAFA (containing only As) in the accompanying data that can be used to evaluate the utility of SAFA for particular applications. The file can be imported and only A selected in the Sequence Dialog box, allowing the user to select bands sequentially.

- The approach chosen for normalization greatly influences the results. We have found that Mean Normalization (6a.ii.) is generally best for most applications. Invariant Normalization generally is more reproducible and less sensitive to nuclease peaks in the data. However, the results of this approach are critically dependent on the choice of invariants. For well-characterized RNAs with well defined invariant residues (*e.g.* the L-21 *T. thermophila* group I intron^{14, 23, 27}) Invariant Normalization is advantageous.
- Although SAFA can correct many experimental imperfections in a gel image, the resultant data will only be as good as the quality of the underlying experiment and image. For example, nuclease contamination will cause dark if not saturated bands that can bias the data analysis, especially normalization. Experimental effort should be expended in the design of experiments compatible with SAFA analysis and their careful and clean execution. Over-exposure of the images (and therefore saturation of the density signal) must be avoided. Higher variability is generally expected in the upper regions of the gel where the peaks are more overlapping. The fact that gel quantitation requires minutes to complete allows users to freely repeat their analysis and establish the reproducibility of their results.

Anticipated Results

We provide in the supplementary materials a SAFA output file. The file is a matrix of numbers, with the first column the nucleotide number, and the following columns the data for each lane. We also provide normalized results using both normalization techniques outlined in step 11. In Figure 5 we show typical results obtained for a thermodynamic folding experiment of a large RNA. In the top pane, the normalized •OH profiles are shown for various Mg^{2+} concentrations, which can then be used to obtain thermodynamic parameters for the folding reaction (in this case the Mg^{2+} mid-point).

Bibliography

1. Silverman SK, Deras ML, Woodson SA, Scaringe SA, Cech TR. Multiple folding pathways for the P4-P6 RNA domain. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:12465–12475. [PubMed: 11015228]
2. Shcherbakova I, Mitra S, Brenowitz M. Initial Salt Story. *RNA*. 2006
3. Sclavi B, Woodson S, Sullivan M, Chance MR, Brenowitz M. Time-resolved synchrotron X-ray “footprinting”, a new approach to the study of nucleic acid structure and function: application to protein-DNA interactions and RNA folding. *J Mol Biol* 1997;266:144–159. [PubMed: 9054977]
4. Heilek GM, Marusak R, Meares CF, Noller HF. Directed hydroxyl radical probing of 16S rRNA using Fe(II) tethered to ribosomal protein S4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1995;92:1113–1116. [PubMed: 7862644]
5. Brenowitz M, Senear DF, Shea MA, Ackers GK. “Footprint” titrations yield valid thermodynamic isotherms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1986;83:8462–8466. [PubMed: 3464963]
6. Lempereur L, et al. Conformation of yeast 18S rRNA. Direct chemical probing of the 5' domain in ribosomal subunits and in deproteinized RNA by reverse transcriptase mapping of dimethyl sulfate-accessible. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1985;13:8339–8357. [PubMed: 2417197]
7. Inoue T, Cech TR. Secondary structure of the circular form of the Tetrahymena rRNA intervening sequence: a technique for RNA structure analysis using chemical probes and reverse transcriptase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1985;82:648–652. [PubMed: 2579378]
8. Wilkinson KA, Merino EJ, Weeks KM. Selective 2'-hydroxyl acylation analyzed by primer extension (SHAPE): quantitative RNA structure analysis at single nucleotide resolution. *Nature protocols* 2006;1:1610–1616.
9. Wilkinson KA, Merino EJ, Weeks KM. RNA SHAPE chemistry reveals nonhierarchical interactions dominate equilibrium structural transitions in tRNA(Asp) transcripts. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:4659–4667. [PubMed: 15796531]

10. Badorrek CS, Weeks KM. RNA flexibility in the dimerization domain of a gamma retrovirus. *Nat Chem Biol* 2005;1:104–111. [PubMed: 16408007]
11. Bartley LE, Zhuang X, Das R, Chu S, Herschlag D. Exploration of the transition state for tertiary structure formation between an RNA helix and a large structured RNA. *J Mol Biol* 2003;328:1011–1026. [PubMed: 12729738]
12. Russell R, Herschlag D. Probing the folding landscape of the Tetrahymena ribozyme: commitment to form the native conformation is late in the folding pathway. *J Mol Biol* 2001;308:839–851. [PubMed: 11352576]
13. Das R, et al. The fastest global events in RNA folding: electrostatic relaxation and tertiary collapse of the Tetrahymena ribozyme. *J Mol Biol* 2003;332:311–319. [PubMed: 12948483]
14. Takamoto K, et al. Principles of RNA compaction: insights from the equilibrium folding pathway of the P4-P6 RNA domain in monovalent cations. *J Mol Biol* 2004;343:1195–1206. [PubMed: 15491606]
15. Shcherbakova I, Mitra S, Beer RH, Brenowitz M. Fast Fenton footprinting: a laboratory-based method for the time-resolved analysis of DNA, RNA and proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006;34:e48. [PubMed: 16582097]
16. Scavi B, Sullivan M, Chance MR, Brenowitz M, Woodson SA. RNA folding at millisecond intervals by synchrotron hydroxyl radical footprinting. *Science* 1998;279:1940–1943. [PubMed: 9506944]
17. Takamoto K, Chance MR, Brenowitz M. Semi-automated, single-band peak-fitting analysis of hydroxyl radical nucleic acid footprint autoradiograms for the quantitative analysis of transitions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004;32:E119. [PubMed: 15319447]
18. Das R, Laederach A, Pearlman SM, Herschlag D, Altman RB. SAFA: semiautomated footprinting analysis software for high-throughput quantification of nucleic acid footprinting experiments. *RNA* 2005;11:344–354. [PubMed: 15701734]
19. Vicens Q, Gooding AR, Laederach A, Cech TR. Local RNA structural changes induced by crystallization are revealed by SHAPE. *RNA* 2007;13:536–548. [PubMed: 17299128]
20. Laederach A, Shcherbakova I, Liang M, Brenowitz M, Altman RB. Local kinetic measures of macromolecular structure reveal partitioning among multiple parallel pathways from the earliest steps in the folding of a large RNA molecule. *J Mol Biol* 2006;358:1179–1190. [PubMed: 16574145]
21. Laederach A, Shcherbakova I, Jonikas MA, Altman RB, Brenowitz M. Distinct contribution of electrostatics, initial conformational ensemble, and macromolecular stability in RNA folding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:7045–7050. [PubMed: 17438287]
22. Tijerina P, Mohr S, Russell R. DMS footprinting of structured RNAs and RNA-protein complexes. *Nature protocols* 2007;2:2608–2623.
23. Shcherbakova I, Brenowitz M. Perturbation of the hierarchical folding of a large RNA by the destabilization of its Scaffold's tertiary structure. *J Mol Biol* 2005;354:483–496. [PubMed: 16242711]
24. Deras ML, Brenowitz M, Ralston CY, Chance MR, Woodson SA. Folding mechanism of the Tetrahymena ribozyme P4-P6 domain. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:10975–10985. [PubMed: 10998234]
25. Leontis NB, et al. The RNA Ontology Consortium: an open invitation to the RNA community. *Rna* 2006;12:533–541. [PubMed: 16484377]
26. Latham JA, Cech TR. Defining the inside and outside of a catalytic RNA molecule. *Science* 1989;245:276–282. [PubMed: 2501870]
27. Uchida T, He Q, Ralston CY, Brenowitz M, Chance MR. Linkage of monovalent and divalent ion binding in the folding of the P4-P6 domain of the Tetrahymena ribozyme. *Biochemistry* 2002;41:5799–5806. [PubMed: 11980483]

Figure 1.

Basic premise of the SAFA software. A) SAFA-rectified chemical mapping gel image for quantitative analysis. In this case, a Mg^{2+} titration of the L-21 *T. thermophila* group I intron. B) Peak model (gray line) fit to the profile of the gel (black line) during the quantitation procedure. Regions of low, medium and high overlap are indicated. C) Expected outcome of the protocol; raw peak amplitudes based on the gel image as a function of concentration and nucleotide number. Using SAFA, a user can quantify the gel image in approximately 20 minutes.

Figure 2.

Basic principles of gel rectification. A) The user defines lane boundaries by drawing a series of red lines on the image. B) Linear interpolation is used to correct the gel image vertically. C) The user identifies several anchor bands (as indicated by red lines). D) The anchor bands are then used to rectify the gel image horizontally. E) The user assigns bands by clicking on the gel image. These assignments are used as initial guesses for the peak model fitting.

Figure 3.

Optimum layout for a gel to be quantified by SAFA. This layout complements the SAFA algorithms and thus yields highly reproducible results. Note that the gel shown in Figure 1A has this layout, but has been cropped to show only a single titration. This layout allows users to run two experiments on a single gel and then analyze them independently.

Figure 4.

Screenshot of the SAFA software and identification of the major software features. A) SAFA main window dialog box. The analysis procedure is carried out by clicking on the different buttons on the right hand side of the window as described in this protocol. B) Sequence Dialog defines the behavior of the band assignment feature of the software.

Figure 5.

Normalization scheme (Invariant Residue Normalization) applied to a Mg^{2+} titration of the P4-P6 subdomain of the *T. thermophila* group I intron. In this case four invariant residues were used to normalize the data (146 147 148 and 195) determined according to¹⁷. A) (Top Pane) Raw peak areas as determined by SAFA for lanes corresponding to Mg^{2+} concentrations of 0.1, 0.3, 6, 11, and 100 mM (Green, Blue, Red, Cyan and Magenta, respectively). (Bottom Pane) Data normalized using invariant residue normalization procedure. A reference lane (in this case the Green data (0.1 mM Mg^{2+}) is used and then a scaling factor is computed for all the other data such that the differences of the invariant peaks are minimized. B) Titration curves for Residue 182 and 213 based on the data in A showing the Mg^{2+} dependence of these two

protections. A Hill model is fit to the data with a cooperativity value ($n=3$) to obtain a midpoint of 1.7 and 1.9 mM Mg^{2+} for residues 182 and 213, respectively.

Table 1

Troubleshooting table.

Problem	Cause	Solution
The gel file is open but is black	The gel file is not located in the appropriate directory	Relocate the file under the directory from which you launch SAFA
The gel file is open but is not clear and or black.	The bit-depth of the image is not suitable for the SAFA display	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change the bit-depth of the image in the software used to save the image or in image editing programs such as Adobe Photoshop Toggle the visualization mode under the Settings->Render SQRT image
SAFA does not properly guess the lanes when pressing 'g'	The contrast between the two lanes and between the bands in these lanes is either too weak or too strong	Manually define the boundaries for these lanes
The quality of the image decreases after I clicked on "Anchor lane"	At this point, the gel image is simplified for analysis, this is normal	Increase the bins per lane option when clicking on "Align Gel"
After I saved my aligned gel, it switches back to its unaligned state	Known Bug	SAFA needs to be reset (under File/Reset Application) or restarted after each assignment, or when loading new data
SAFA asks me to assign bands in the wrong order	SAFA has two options to assign bands: from 5' to 3' or from 3' to 5'	Make sure the box "Three-Prime label" in the Sequence window is unselected if you assign from 5' to 3' (and vice-versa for 3' to 5')
When pressing "Z" after having assigned all the bands, SAFA does not count all the bands	Known Bug	Restart SAFA and reassign the bands from the saved aligned gel file
After checking the text file output from SAFA, some peaks don't match with the bands on the gel	Some bands were misassigned (e.g. on the top of the gel or in low contrast regions)	Open the saved assigned gel file and edit bands using the "E" and "R" keys. However, you may need to run your samples on a different gel.