Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2007 March 14; 129(10): 3013–3021. doi:10.1021/ja0679228.

Covalent Catalysis by Pyridoxal:

Evaluation of the Effect of the Cofactor on the Carbon Acidity of Glycine

Krisztina Toth and John P. Richard

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, New York 14260

Abstract

First-order rate constants for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon of the adduct between 5'-deoxyripyridoxal (**1**) and glycine were determined as the rate constants for Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** where deprotonation is rate determining for product formation. There is no significant deprotonation at pH 7.1 of the form of the **1**-glycine iminium ion with the pyridine nitrogen in the basic form. The value of k_{HO} for hydroxide ion-catalyzed deprotonation of the α -imino carbon increases from 7.5×10^2 to 3.8×10^5 to $3.0 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, with protonation of the pyridine nitrogen, the phenoxide oxyanion and the carboxylate anion of the **1**-glycine iminium ion. There is a corresponding decrease in the $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ s for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon from 17 to 11 to 6. It is proposed that enzymes selectively bind and catalyze the reaction of the iminium ion with $\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 17$. A comparison of $k_{\text{B}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon of this cofactor-glycine adduct ($\text{p}K_{\text{a}} = 17$ by HPO_4^{2-} with $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}} = 4 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for catalysis of amino-acid racemization by alanine racemase shows that the enzyme causes a $ca\ 2 \times 10^8$ -fold acceleration of the rate of deprotonation the α -imino carbon. This corresponds to about one-half of the burden borne by alanine racemase in catalysis of deprotonation of alanine.

Introduction

Enzymes accelerate deprotonation of the α -amino carbon of amino acids by providing an environment favorable for deprotonation of the bound substrate¹⁻⁵ and/or through covalent modification that increases the carbon acidity of the amino acid.^{6,7} We are interested in determining the effect of such covalent modifications on the carbon acid $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ of ≈ 34 for the simple amino acid glycine^{8,9} and have shown that this $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ is decreased to 14 through systematic modification of the amino and carboxylate groups of glycine (Chart 1).⁸⁻¹⁰ Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (Scheme 1) is an extraordinary electrophilic catalyst of carbon deprotonation of α -amino acids in solution and in a number of enzymatic reactions for which it serves as a cofactor. The first step in the mechanism for covalent catalysis by PLP is formation of an imine between the amino acid and PLP. Formation of this adduct labilizes all of the bonds of the α -imino carbon, because heterolytic bond cleavage with loss of H^+ , CO_2 or R^+ gives an enolate that is strongly stabilized by delocalization of negative charge onto the pyridine ring of the cofactor (Scheme 1).

Despite extensive studies to characterize covalent catalysis by PLP in enzymatic¹¹⁻¹⁶ and model reactions,¹⁷⁻²² there are no modern estimates of the carbon acid $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ (s) of the key iminium ion intermediate of these reactions. For example, it was stated recently that there is

jrichard@chem.buffalo.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Table of observed first order rate constants (k_{obsd}) for Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** under reaction conditions where deprotonation of **2** is rate determining for product formation (Scheme 2). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

“no available experimental information on the energies of the carbanionic intermediate for the uncatalyzed or PLP catalyzed reactions (or on their existence)”.²³ The kinetic barriers determined in elegant studies by Bruice and coworkers for racemization of alanine catalyzed by a PLP analog provide insight into the kinetic barrier for carbon deprotonation of the iminium ion reaction intermediate,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ and these barriers have been estimated in recent computational studies by Gao and coworkers.²⁴ However, the effect of formation of an iminium ion between PLP and simple amino acids on the pK_a of 34 for deprotonation of glycine has not been examined within the framework of recent advances in the evaluation of substituent effects on carbon acidity.

We recently reported that the reaction of the pyridoxal analog 5'-deoxy pyridoxal (**1**) and glycine gives the Claisen-type adduct **4** as the only detectable product over 4-halftimes for the reaction of **1** (Scheme 2).²⁵ The rate determining step for this reaction at pH 2 - 7 is deprotonation of the glycine iminium ion ($k_p = k_{HO}[HO] + k_B[B]$, Scheme 2), because addition of **1** to the resulting pyridoxal-stabilized glycine carbanion ($k_{add}[1]$, Scheme 2) is much faster than protonation of the intermediate by the solvent water and buffer catalysts ($k_{-p} = k_{HOH} + k_{BH}[BH]$). This allows the determination of rate constants for deprotonation of **2** as the observed first-order rate constants for Claisen addition of **1** ($[1]_0 = 10$ mM). We report here the observed rate constants for deprotonation of **2** between pH 2 and 7 in the presence of several buffer catalysts. These data provide a thorough description of the kinetic acidity of the different ionic forms of **2** and estimates of the effect of the protonation of basic sites of the pyridoxal cofactor on carbon acid pK_a . This analysis of the effect of formation of a covalent adduct between **1** and glycine on the kinetic acidity of the α -hydrogen of glycine is used to estimate the contribution of covalent catalysis by PLP to the rate acceleration for alanine racemase catalyzed racemization of alanine.

RESULTS

The reaction of glycine (100 mM) and **1** (10 mM) in D₂O buffered at pD 7.0 with 100 mM phosphate at 25 °C ($[B]/[BD] = 1.0$, $I = 1.0$, KCl) was monitored by ¹H NMR as described in the Experimental Section. The first-order *disappearance* of **1** ($k_{obsd} = 4.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to form an equilibrium mixture containing 3% reactant (in the form of free aldehyde **1**, hydrated aldehyde and glycine iminium ion adduct (**2**)) and 97% of diastereomeric Claisen-type addition products (Scheme 2) in a ratio of 2:1 was observed. There was no detectable (< 1%) incorporation of deuterium from D₂O into glycine or transamination to give 5'-deoxy pyridoxamine. The structures of the products of this reaction were identified as **4a** and **4b** by comparison of their NMR spectra to an authentic mixture of these compounds prepared from the reaction of **1** and aminomalonic acid.²⁶ Good mass balance was observed over a period of 12 hours. This shows that Claisen-type addition of glycine with **1** is the only significant reaction during this time.²⁵ The reaction of **1** (10 mM) and glycine (100 mM) in H₂O at pH 6.5 under the same conditions (100 mM phosphate, $[B]/[BD] = 1.0$, $I = 1.0$, KCl) was monitored at 412 nm. This reaction was also first order for four reaction halftimes ($k_{obsd} = 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$). We conclude that there is a small solvent deuterium isotope effect on the Claisen-type addition reaction when $[1]_0 = 10$ mM.

Figure 1 shows time courses for the reaction of glycine (100 mM) with 0.1 mM **1** in H₂O at pH 6.5 and in D₂O at pD 7.0 buffered by 100 mM phosphate ($[BL]/[B] = 1.0$) at 25 °C and $I = 1.0$ (KCl). These reactions are no longer first-order in **1** and no stable endpoint is observed even after 40 halftimes, using a half-time calculated for the first-order reaction of 10 mM **1** under the same conditions. These data show that there is a shift in the reaction order from first-order when $[1]_0 = 10$ mM towards second-order when $[1]_0$ is decreased 100-fold to 0.10 mM. This is consistent with the mechanism shown in Scheme 2 where: (1) Formation of the carbanion reaction intermediate by k_p is irreversible and rate determining at high $[1]$ ($k_{add}[1]$

$\gg k_p$) when $[1]_0 = 10$ mM, and (2) Formation of the carbanion **3** becomes partly reversible, and its trapping by addition to **1** partly rate determining ($k_{\text{add}}[1] \leq k_p$) as the concentration of **1** is decreased 100-fold to 0.10 mM.

The initial velocity for the reaction of $[1]_0 = 0.1$ mM 5'-deoxypyridoxal in D₂O is 1.8-fold *larger* than in H₂O (Figure 1). This contrasts with the 14% *smaller* value of k_{obsd} for the reaction of **1** in D₂O than in H₂O when $[1]_0 = 10$ mM and the reactions are followed for 4-half-times (see above). The smaller velocity for the reaction in H₂O compared to D₂O, when k_{add} is partly rate-determining, is due to the normal primary deuterium isotope effect on the protonation of **3** (k_p , Scheme 2) which favors return of **3** to reactant in H₂O.^{27,28} Therefore, a larger fraction of the ionization steps that produce **3** in D₂O result in partitioning to the product of the Claisen-type addition reaction, and a faster reaction than in H₂O where partitioning to regenerate reactant is favored. This paper focuses on the reaction of glycine and $[1]_0 = 10$ mM where deprotonation of **3** ($k_p = k_{\text{HO}}[\text{HO}] + k_{\text{B}}[\text{B}]$, Scheme 2) is rate determining for the formation of **4**. More extensive data for Claisen-type addition of **1** when $[1]_0 = 0.10$ mM will be presented in a later paper.²⁹

First-order rate constants k_{obsd} for the reaction of glycine with **1** ($[1]_0 = 10$ mM) in H₂O at pH 2 - 7 and 25 °C were determined as the observed rate constant for conversion of **1** to **4** under conditions where deprotonation of **2** is rate determining for formation of **4** (Scheme 2). The reaction progress was normally monitored at 412 nm after making a 100-fold dilution using the original reaction buffer. Figure 2 shows representative plots of k_{obsd} against the concentration of acetate buffer for the Claisen-type addition reaction of **1** (10 mM) with 100 mM glycine at different fixed ratios of the buffer acid and conjugate base at $I = 1.0$ (KCl). Figure 3 shows plots of k_{obsd} against the concentration of phosphate buffer for the Claisen-type addition reaction of **1** (10 mM) with 100 mM glycine at different fixed ratios of the buffer acid and conjugate base at $I = 1.0$ (KCl). No data for the Claisen-type addition reaction is presented for reactions at pH > 7.1. As the pH is increased above 8 an additional reaction that results in addition of 2 moles of glycine to **1** to form **5** is observed. We have not fully characterized this second reaction.³⁰

5

The y-intercepts of the plots shown in Figures 2 and 3, $((k_o)_{\text{obsd}}, \text{s}^{-1})$ are reported in Table 1. Table 1 also reports values of f_{Im} , the fraction of total **1** present as the reactive iminium ion **2** under the given experimental reaction conditions. Combining f_{Im} with the observed rate constants for the Claisen-type reaction of **1** gives the first-order rate constants for buffer-independent deprotonation of **2** [$(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = (k_o)_{\text{obsd}}/f_{\text{Im}}$, Table 1].

Figure 4 shows plots of k_{obsd} against the concentration of the basic form of chloroacetate buffer (50% free base) for the Claisen-type addition reaction of **1** (10 mM) with different fixed concentrations of glycine at 25 °C and $I = 1.0$ (KCl). The slopes of these correlations are proportional to the concentration of glycine, because of the bimolecular reaction of glycine to form the reactive iminium ion **2** (see below). The y-intercept increases with the square of $[\text{glycine}]_{\text{T}}$ for a reaction where one molecule of glycine reacts with **1** to form **2** and the second molecule acts as a buffer catalyst of deprotonation of **2**. The inset to Figure 4 shows the plot of $k_{\text{int}}/f_{\text{Im}}$ against the concentration of glycine zwitterion, where $k_{\text{int}} = k_o + k_{\text{B}}[\text{H}_3\text{N}^+\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2^-]$ is the intercept from the main Figure, and f_{Im} is the fraction of **1** converted to **2** determined by ^1H NMR analysis (Table 1). The intercept of the plot shown in the inset, $(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = 2.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, is equal to the first-order rate constant for buffer- and glycine-independent deprotonation of **2** at pH 2.7 (Table 1) and the slope is the apparent second-order rate constant for deprotonation of **2** catalyzed by the basic form of glycine (see below).

Values of k_{obsd} at increasing concentrations of chloroacetate buffers (20% and 80% free base) were determined for the Claisen-type addition reaction of **1** (10 mM) with three different fixed concentrations of glycine (0.05, 0.10 and 0.20 M) at 25 °C and constant ionic strength of 1.0 (KCl). These data were evaluated as discussed for Figure 4 to give the values of $(k_o)_{\text{Im}}$ for buffer-independent deprotonation of **2** at pH 2.1 and 3.3 reported in Table 1. Table 1 shows

that deprotonation of **2** by 0.10 M glycine at pH 3.6 is slow compared with the reaction catalyzed by the stronger base acetate ion and makes only a *ca* 15% contribution to the value of $(k_{\text{Im}})_0$ at pH 3.6. This is because the general base-catalyzed reaction of 0.10 M glycine zwitterion occurs in competition with the hydroxide ion-catalyzed reaction of solvent [see Discussion]. Therefore, the largest relative rate acceleration for general-base catalysis is observed at $\text{pH} < \text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ for the base catalyst, where the concentration of the Brønsted base is greatest relative to hydroxide ion; and, the importance of catalysis falls off sharply as the pH is increased above the catalyst $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$, because now the concentration of the strong base HO^- is increasing relative to the concentration of the weak Brønsted base.³¹ It is assumed, therefore, that the glycine-catalyzed reaction does not make a significant contribution to $(k_{\text{o}})_{\text{Im}}$ for the deprotonation of **2** at $\text{pH} > 3.6$.

The slopes of the linear plots of k_{obsd} against total buffer concentration shown in Figures 2 and 3 are equal to $(k_{\text{buf}})_{\text{obsd}}$, the apparent second-order rate constants for buffer-catalyzed Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1**. Buffer catalysis is due exclusively to the basic form of the buffer (see Discussion), and the apparent rate constants for general base catalysis reported in Table 2 were calculated as $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{obsd}} = (k_{\text{buf}})_{\text{obsd}}/f_{\text{B}}$, where f_{B} is the fraction of the buffer present in the basic form. Combining $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{obsd}}$ with the fraction of **1** present as the imine (f_{Im}) gives the values for the second-order rate constants for deprotonation of **2** by the corresponding Brønsted base catalyst [$(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}} = (k_{\text{B}})_{\text{obsd}}/f_{\text{Im}}$, Table 2]. The values of $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}}$ for the reaction catalyzed by chloroacetate remain constant, within the error of these experiments (*ca* $\pm 10\%$) as the concentration of glycine is increased from 0.050 - 0.20 M. The values of $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}}$ for deprotonation of **2** by glycine zwitterion reported in Table 2 were determined as the slope of $k_{\text{int}}/f_{\text{Im}}$ against the basic form of glycine. The plot for reactions at pH 2.7 is shown in the inset to Figure 4.

DISCUSSION

Acetone is an effective catalyst of the deprotonation of glycine methyl ester in D_2O .⁸ By analogy, we expected that the pyridoxal analog **1** would be an effective catalyst of deprotonation of glycine and that reversible proton transfer would lead to transfer of deuterium from solvent to the amino acid. We were surprised to observe exceedingly small levels of **1**-catalyzed exchange of the α -hydrogen of glycine after prolonged incubation in D_2O . Instead, **4a** and **4b** form as the major products of the reaction of the pyridoxal-stabilized glycine carbanion **3** (Scheme 2).²⁵ The decarboxylation reaction of aminomalonate catalyzed by **1** has also been reported to give **4a** and **4b** as the major products of the reaction of the pyridoxal stabilized glycine carbanion **3** generated by decarboxylation of a pyridoxal-aminomalonate adduct.²⁶

Figure 1 shows that there is a 1.8-fold inverse solvent deuterium isotope effect on the initial velocity for the reaction of 0.1 mM **1** with 0.10 M glycine. This provides strong evidence for the *existence* of the pyridoxal-stabilized carbanion **3** as an intermediate of the stepwise reaction shown in Scheme 2, where addition of **3** to **1** is partly rate determining for the formation of **4**. The velocity of this Claisen-type addition reaction is proportional to $k_{\text{p}}f_{\text{p}}$, where k_{p} is the rate constant for deprotonation of **3** and f_{p} is the fraction of each **3** formed that partitions forward to product. The larger velocity in D_2O compared with H_2O is due to a normal kinetic isotope effect on the protonation of **3** ($k_{\text{-p}} = k_{\text{LOL}} + k_{\text{BH}}[\text{BL}]$) which favors return of **3** to reactant in H_2O and results in a slower rate of formation of **4** for the reaction in this solvent. Large inverse solvent deuterium isotope effects have also been observed for E1cb-type elimination reactions through a carbanion intermediate that partitions between loss of leaving group to form an alkene, and protonation to regenerate substrate which is subject to a normal primary kinetic isotope effect,^{27,28} and in the fragmentation of 2-(1-hydroxybenzyl)thiamine in water through a carbanion intermediate that partitions between protonation and fragmentation.³²

The kinetic data reported here for **1**-catalyzed deprotonation of glycine are in qualitative agreement with the more limited data from earlier studies by Bruice and Dixon of the racemization of alanine catalyzed by the pyridoxal analog 3-hydroxyridine-4-carboxyaldehyde.¹⁹ We have revisited this problem for **1**-catalyzed deprotonation of glycine in order to: (1) Exploit a simple kinetic method for monitoring deprotonation of **2** to obtain an extensive body of kinetic data for formation of a pyridoxal-stabilized carbanion. (2) Draw direct comparisons between the effect of the pyridoxal-analog **1** on the carbon acidity of glycine with the effect of a variety of other substituents on this carbon acidity.^{8-10,33,34} (3) Obtain the rate acceleration for a PLP-assisted enzyme-catalyzed deprotonation of amino acids using modern kinetic data.

Deprotonation of **2** by Solvent

The open squares in Figure 5 show the pH rate profile for the rate constants for solvent-catalyzed Claisen addition ($(k_o)_{\text{obsd}}$) determined by monitoring the disappearance of **1** at 412 nm, and the solid circles show the rate constants for rate determining deprotonation of **2** calculated as $(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = (k_o)_{\text{obsd}}/f_{\text{Im}}$, where f_{Im} is the fraction of **1** converted to the imine **2** under the experimental reaction conditions, determined by ¹H NMR.

Figure 5 shows that the observed rate constants (open squares) for the solvent-catalyzed Claisen-type addition reaction at low pH decrease with increasing $[\text{H}^+]$; and, that this decrease is due mainly to a decrease in the fraction of substrate present as the reactive imine **2**. The nearly flat pH rate profile for deprotonation of **2** (solid circles) reflects the increasing reactivity of **2** due to protonation of basic sites with pK_a s of 6.4, 3.0 and 2.1 (Scheme 3)³⁵ that compensates for the decrease in $[\text{HO}^-]$. The deprotonation of **2** observed in acidic solution ($[\text{HO}^-] = 10^{-12}$ M (1 picomolar!)) at pH 2] is a dramatic manifestation of the strong acidity of this carbon acid.

The dominant pathway for the reaction of **2** at pH 7 is deprotonation of **2-H₂** by hydroxide ion. The observed rate constant is nearly pH independent because the effect of decreasing $[\text{HO}^-]$ and of increasing **2-H₂** on the reaction rate cancel. There is a downward break in the pH rate profile at $\text{pH} = \text{pK}_a = 6.4$ for **2-H₂**³⁵ and then an upward break at pH 5 due to a new pathway for deprotonation of **2-H₃** by hydroxide ion. The kinetically equivalent pathway for deprotonation of **2-H₂** by water is excluded, because deprotonation of amino-acids and their derivatives by water has been shown to be slow compared to the hydroxide ion-catalyzed reaction at pH 5.⁹

The second upward break in the pH rate profile at pH 4 may be due to one of two kinetically equivalent pathways; deprotonation of **2-H₃** ($\text{pK}_a = 3.0$)³⁵ by water, or deprotonation of **2-H₄** by hydroxide ion. The following observations are inconsistent with water-catalyzed deprotonation of **2-H₃** and provide strong evidence that the reaction at low pH is due to deprotonation of **2-H₄** by hydroxide ion.

1. If the reaction at low pH were water-catalyzed deprotonation of **2-H₃** then an upward break should be observed in the pH rate profile as **2-H₄** ($\text{pK}_a = 2.1$) accumulates at pH 2 because protonation of the carboxylate group of **2-H₃** should increase the reactivity of this carbon acid toward deprotonation by water. However, no upward break is observed in the pH rate profile.
2. The addition of a fixed concentration of glycine zwitterion should cause the same increase in the velocity of deprotonation of **2-H₃** relative to the rate of a water catalyzed reaction as the pH is decreased from 3 to 2.³¹ In fact, there is a significant increase in the rate acceleration for catalysis by glycine zwitterion over this pH range (Table 1). This shows that solvent is becoming less reactive relative to the buffer as

the pH decreases and is consistent with the reaction of the conjugate base of solvent, hydroxide ion, in deprotonation of **2-H₄**.

$$(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = \frac{[(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_4} [\text{H}^+]^2 + (k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_3} (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+] + (k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_2} (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4] K_w}{[\text{H}^+]^3 + (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+]^2 + (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+] + (K_a)_2 (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4} \quad (1)$$

$$(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = \frac{(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_2} K_w}{(K_a)_2 + [\text{H}^+]} \quad (2)$$

$$(k_o)_{\text{Im}} = \frac{(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_4} K_w [\text{H}^+]}{(K_a)_4 (K_a)_3 + (K_a)_4 + [\text{H}^+]^2} \quad (3)$$

Equation 1 gives the relationship between the kinetic parameter $(k_o)_{\text{Im}}$ and the rate constants and acidity constants for Scheme 3.³⁵ The values of the second-order rate constants for deprotonation of **2-H₂**, **2-H₃**, and **2-H₄** by hydroxide ion were evaluated as follows for the data shown in Figure 5. (1) The only significant reaction at high pH is deprotonation of **2-H₂** by hydroxide ion. The value for $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_2}$ was determined by fitting the data from Figure 5 at high pH to the limiting eq 2 which can be derived for Scheme 3 for the case where $[\text{2-H}_3] = [\text{2-H}_4] = 0$. (2) The only significant term at low pH is $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_4}$ for deprotonation of **2-H₄**. The value of $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_4}$ was determined by fitting the data at low pH to equation 3, which can be derived for Scheme 3 for the case where $[\text{2-H}_2] = 0$. (2) The deprotonation of **2-H₃** by hydroxide ion is the dominant reaction at intermediate pH. The value for $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_3}$ for this reaction was determined by fitting the data at intermediate pH to eq 1 and using the values of $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_4}$ and $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_2}$ determined at the pH extremes, so that $(k_{\text{HO}})_{2-\text{H}_3}$ is the only variable in this fitting procedure. The solid line in Figure 5 shows the theoretical fit of the experimental data obtained using the acidity constants from Scheme 3 and the second-order rate constants for the hydroxide ion-catalyzed reaction determined by the above fitting procedure (Table 3).

Deprotonation of **2** by General Brønsted Bases

Buffer catalysis of the Claisen-type addition reaction is due to general base-catalyzed deprotonation of **2** to form the pyridoxal stabilized glycine carbanion **3**. There are several moderately basic sites at **2** where protonation by buffer acids will cause an increase in the reactivity of the α -imine carbon towards deprotonation. However, concerted general-acid catalysis of proton transfer is effectively prohibited by the *libido* rule of Jencks, because under our experimental reaction conditions proton transfer from the catalyst to the *reacting* form of the substrate is either thermodynamically favorable or only slightly uphill.³⁶ The *libido* rule states that general acid catalysis will only be observed for reactions when there is a large change in basicity of a reacting group that causes *unfavorable* protonation of the reactant by the acid catalyst to become favorable for protonation of product.^{31,36}

$$(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}} = \frac{[(k_{\text{B}})_{2-\text{H}_4} [\text{H}^+]^3 + (k_{\text{B}})_{2-\text{H}_3} (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+]^2 + (k_{\text{B}})_{2-\text{H}_2} (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+]]}{[\text{H}^+]^3 + (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+]^2 + (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4 [\text{H}^+] + (K_a)_2 (K_a)_3 (K_a)_4} \quad (4)$$

Figure 6 shows the pH rate profiles for the observed second-order rate constants for general base-catalyzed deprotonation of **2** $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}}$. The increase in $(k_{\text{B}})_{\text{Im}}$ with increasing pH is due to the increasing acidity of the substrate that results from protonation of sites at **2** with $\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$ s of

6.4, 3.0 and 2.1.³⁵ Equation 4 derived for Scheme 3 shows the relationship between $(k_B)_{Im}$ and the rate and the acidity constants for Scheme 3, where each term in this equation corresponds to the rate constant for deprotonation of a single ionic form of **2**. It was only possible to study catalysis by any single buffer over a range of 2 pH units, where only two of the three ionic forms of **2** are reacting. The solid lines in Figure 6 show the fits of the data to truncated forms of eq 2 (not shown) that were derived for Schemes that include only two of the three kinetic terms for Scheme 3 and three of the four forms of **2**. The acidity constant $(K_a)_2$ and **2H₂** were omitted in deriving the equation for a modified form of Scheme 3 that was used to fit the data for the catalysis by glycine and chloroacetate ions, while $(K_a)_4$ and **2H₄** were omitted in deriving the equation that was used to fit data for catalysis by acetate and phosphate ions. Table 3 reports the values of $(k_B)_{2-H_4}$, $(k_B)_{2-H_3}$, and $(k_B)_{2-H_2}$ obtained from these fits.

The slope of the Brønsted correlation (not shown) for general base catalysis of deprotonation of **2-H₃** is $\beta = 0.83$. Brønsted coefficients of close to 1 were observed in studies of general base catalysis of deprotonation of the cationic carbon acids of glycine and betaine methyl ester.⁹ The smaller value of $\beta = 0.83$ observed here reflects a relatively small Hammond type shift to an earlier transition state caused by the greater carbon acidity of **2-H₃** compared to the simpler amino acid derivatives.³⁷

Substituent Effects on the Acidity of Glycine

The solid symbols in Figure 7 show the rate-equilibrium relationship between second-order rate constants for deprotonation of *cationic* carbon acids by hydroxide ion and the estimated carbon acid pK_a s for simple derivatives of glycine and of a related cationic carbon acid.⁹ These data define a linear correlation of slope of 0.44 (Brønsted β for proton transfer) and intercept of 10.0. The carbon acid pK_a s for **2-H₄**, **2-H₃** and **2-H₂** reported in Chart 1 were calculated by making the assumption that values of $\log(k_{OH}/2)$ from Table 3 lie on the linear correlation established for related carbon acids (open circles, Figure 7). By comparison, values of 9, 12 and 14 were estimated for the α -imino carbon acid pK_a of the corresponding protonated forms of the adduct between alanine and 3-hydroxypyridine-4-carboxaldehyde by extrapolation of linear logarithmic relationship of rate constants k_{OH} for a highly structurally diverse set of *neutral* carbon acids.¹⁹

Several effects contribute to the differences in the carbon acidity of **2-H₂**, **2-H₃**, and **2-H₄**. (1) The presumably strong intramolecular hydrogen bond between the iminium ion nitrogen and phenoxide anion of **2-H₂** has the effect of decreasing the carbon acidity of **2-H₂**, because α -carbon deprotonation reduces the acidity of the nitrogen hydrogen bond donor which weakens the hydrogen bond at the product enolate.³⁸ (2) Destabilizing intramolecular electrostatic interactions between cationic centers will increase the acidity of **2-H₄**, because these interactions are relieved at the product enolate.³⁹ (3) Protonation of the phenolate ion of **2-H₂** to form **2-H₃** will cause an increase in carbon acidity by increasing the charge density at nitrogen that interacts a neighboring enolate dianion.^{8,9} The effect of cationic α -substituents on the stability of enolates is known to be particularly large.^{9,40,41} (4) Finally, neutralization of charge at a carboxylate anion will cause an increase in the acidity of neighboring carbon by allowing the formation of an unstable enolate dianion to be avoided.^{42,43} For example, there is an 8 unit difference in the pK_a s for deprotonation of the α -amino carbon of glycine zwitterion ($pK_a = 29$) and N-protonated glycine methyl ester ($pK_a = 21$).⁹

The pK_a of 6 for carbon deprotonation of **2-H₄** is striking in comparison to the carbon-acid pK_a of *ca* 34 for glycine carboxylate anion.⁴⁴ A large portion of the reduction in carbon acid pK_a can be obtained with relatively simple modifications of the carboxylate oxygen and amino nitrogen of glycine. For example a pK_a of 14 was estimated for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon of the iminium ion adduct between acetone and glycine methyl ester.⁸ The *ca* 8-unit

lower pK_a estimated for the α -imino carbon of **2-H₄** reflects specific stabilization of charge by the elaborate electron sink of the pyridoxal cofactor. It is not possible to generate a significant concentration of this “quininoid”-type carbanion by deprotonation of **2-H₄**, because **2-H₄** only accumulates at a pH 3 that is below the pK_a for the carbon acid. Our data suggest that it should be possible to generate a “quininoid”-type carbanion by deprotonation of an analog of **2-H₄** where protons at the imine nitrogen and carboxylic acid are replaced by alkyl groups.⁴⁵

Enzymatic Catalysis

The PLP analog of **2-H₄** is the most acidic form of the cofactor, and the fully protonated cofactor might be expected to be the species selected to undergo enzyme-catalyzed deprotonation at the α -imino acid carbon. However, X-ray crystal structures of pyridoxal enzymes show the cofactor bound in the form analogous to **2-H₂**,⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ or in the case of alanine racemase the form analogous to **2H**.⁴⁹ This is evidence that despite the weak carbon acidity of **2H₂** ($pK_a \approx 17$) and even weaker acidity of **2-H**, these forms of the cofactor are selected for deprotonation by enzyme catalysts. There are several reasons why these enzyme-catalyzed reactions are unlikely to proceed by deprotonation of **2-H₄**. (1) The equilibrium constant for formation of **2-H₄** from glycine and **1** is less favorable than for formation of **2-H₃** and **2-H₂** (Table 1). (2) There is a substantial thermodynamic barrier to formation of **2-H₄** from **2-H**, the most abundant form of the cofactor at pH 7. (3) There are minimal ionic groups at **2-H₄** to interact with groups of opposing charge at the enzyme active site. Note that the intramolecular hydrogen bond between nitrogen and oxygen at **2-H₂** will reduce its carbon acidity (see above). However, the carbon acidity will be increased if **2-H₂** is bound in a conformation where the intramolecular hydrogen bond cleaved. The energetic price for cleavage of the hydrogen bond may be recovered as stabilizing interactions between the protein and the charged nitrogen and oxygen.

A value of $(k_B)_{2-H_2} = 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 3) was determined for deprotonation of **2-H₂** by phosphate dianion ($pK_a = 6.5$), a reaction where the base catalyst and carbon acid combine to form a transition state for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon. By comparison $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m = 4 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for alanine racemase (*Bacillus stearothermophilus*) catalyzed racemization of D-alanine at 25 °C, a reaction where the enzyme and alanine combine to form a *virtual* transition state for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon of an alanine-PLP adduct.⁵⁰ A comparison of these second-order rate constants shows that the presence of the protein catalysts causes a 2×10^8 -fold rate increase in the apparent second-order rate constant for proton transfer. This is close to the true rate acceleration for carbon-acid deprotonation, which is mostly rate determining for the alanine racemase catalyzed reaction.^{23,50,51} This corresponds to a *ca* 11 kcal/mol stabilization of the transition state for deprotonation of the α -imino carbon.⁵² A much larger 19 kcal/mole transition state stabilization was estimated for non-PLP amino acid racemases,⁵ so that the cofactor bears about one-half the burden of catalysis of the proton transfer reaction.

A smaller transition state stabilization of 8 kcal/mole has been estimated in a combined QM/MM computational study of the solution and enzyme catalyzed reaction.²⁴ The difference in the results of the experimental and computational studies is due in part to our choice of phosphate dianion as the base that abstracts a proton from the amino acid substrate. By comparison a more basic phenoxide anion was used in computational studies to model the phenoxide anion of tyrosine which is thought to serve as the base in the alanine racemase catalyzed reaction.^{50,53,54} The correct choice of the solution base to model an active site side-chain whose pK_a has not been rigorously determined is problematic. We therefore consider the results of experiments and calculations to have converged to give a single consistent picture of the energetics for the solution and enzyme-catalyzed reactions.

EXPERIMENTAL

General

Pyridoxal 5'-phosphate, glycine, K₂HPO₄, KH₂PO₄, potassium acetate, glacial acetic acid, and potassium chloride were purchased from Fluka. 5'-Deoxypyridoxal was prepared by published procedures from pyridoxal 5'-phosphate.⁵⁵ Reagent grade inorganic chemicals were used without further purification. The water used for kinetic experiments was first distilled and then passed through a Milli-Q water purification system.

Preparation of solutions

The solution pH was determined at 25 °C using an Orion model 720A pH meter equipped a Radiometer pH4006-9 combination electrode. The pH of solutions of glycine was adjusted to the pH desired for the kinetic experiment, a concentrated solution of KCl was added and the volume adjusted with distilled water to give the desired final concentration of glycine at *I* = 1.0 (KCl). Phosphate and acetate buffers were prepared at *I* = 1.0 (KCl) by mixing measured volumes of stock solutions of the buffer acid and conjugate base. Chloroacetate buffers were prepared at *I* = 1.0 (KCl) by addition of a measured volume of 1.00 M KOH to a solution of chloroacetic acid.

The diastereomers of the Claisen adduct between glycine and **1** (Scheme 2) were prepared by following a published procedure.²⁶ ¹H NMR (D₂O, pD 7.0, chemical shifts reported relative to HOD at 4.67 ppm): Major diastereoisomer: δ 2.24, 2.40 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.95 (1H, d, *J* = 5 Hz, CH(ND₃)⁺), 5.29 (1H, d, *J* = 5 Hz, CH(OD)), 7.45 (1H, s, ArH); Minor diastereoisomer: δ 2.29, 2.37 (3H, s, CH₃), 4.21 (1H, d, *J* = 5 Hz, CH(ND₃)⁺), 5.50 (1H, d, *J* = 5 Hz, CH(OD)), 7.41 (1H, s, 1H, ArH). Anal. (C₁₀H₁₆Cl₂N₂O₄) C, H, N.

¹H NMR spectroscopy

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova-500 spectrometer. ¹H NMR spectra in D₂O were obtained as described in earlier work.^{9,56} ¹H NMR spectra in neat H₂O were obtained with suppression of the peak for HOH and by using DOD in an inner tube for shimming the spectrometer. Chemical shifts were referenced to HOD at 4.67 ppm. In all cases a sweep width of 6000 Hz, a 90° pulse angle, and an acquisition time of 6 s was employed. The relaxation delay between pulses was at least 7-fold longer than the longest *T*₁ of the protons under examination. Baselines were subjected to first-order drift correction before integration of the signals.

The fraction of **1** present as the iminium ion in H₂O under the conditions of the kinetic experiments was determined by ¹H NMR analyses. A solution of 0.5 M **1** in CD₃CN was injected into water that contains 0.10 M of the appropriate buffer, 0.1 M glycine and 1 mM of the internal standard tetramethylammonium hydrogen sulfate to give a final concentration of 10 mM **1** at *I* = 1.0 (KCl). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C approximately 1 hour after the addition of **1**. The fraction of **1** present as the glycine iminium ion was determined from the normalized peak areas of a single proton for **1**, the hydrate of **1** and the iminium ion **2**.

Kinetic analyses by ¹H NMR spectroscopy

The reaction of **1** with glycine to form the Claisen-type adduct was followed by monitoring the disappearance of reactant by ¹H NMR in H₂O or D₂O. Solutions of 10 mM **1** were prepared by making a 50-fold dilution of a 0.50 M solution of **1** in CD₃CN into water that contains 0.10 M buffer, 0.10 M glycine and 1 mM of the internal standard tetramethylammonium hydrogen sulfate at *I* = 1.0 (KCl). The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C. The first spectrum was obtained *ca* one hour after the addition of **1**. The relative concentrations of **1**, **1**-hydrate and the **2** were determined from relative normalized peak areas, (*A*)_{nor} for each compound. The

sum of these areas, ΣA_N , was determined using the peak areas for the protons (N) whose signals were resolved. The signals for the nonexchangeable protons of the different forms of the cofactor were often all resolved, in which case $N = 8$. However, the chemical shifts are pH dependent and at some pH it was not possible to resolve the signals for all of the protons. In these cases N is equal to the number of protons that gave cleanly resolved signals. First-order rate constants for the reactions of **1** were determined as the slope of semilogarithmic plots of reaction progress against time (eq 5), where $(\Sigma A_N)_t$ and $(\Sigma A_N)_\infty$ are the sum of the areas for the signals for the cleanly resolved protons at reaction time t and after ten reaction halftimes, respectively. These plots were linear for four reaction halftimes

$$(\Sigma A_N)_t = [(\Sigma A_N)_t - (\Sigma A_N)_\infty] \quad (5)$$

Kinetic analyses by UV-Vis spectroscopy

The reaction of **1** with glycine to form **4** (Scheme 2) was followed by monitoring the decrease in absorbance at 412 nm for reactions at 25 °C. The reactions were initiated by diluting a 0.50 M solution of **1** in acetonitrile into aqueous buffered solutions to give a final concentration of 10 mM **1** at $I = 1.0$ (KCl). For reactions at $\text{pH} > 2.7$ the absorbance at 412 of 10 mM **1** was too large to determine by direct measurement. Therefore, the absorbance at measured reaction times was determined immediately after making a 100-fold dilution of an aliquot from the reaction mixture into a solution of the same composition. Pseudo first-order rate constants k_{obsd} (s^{-1}) for Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** ($[\mathbf{1}]_0 = 10 \text{ mM}$) were determined as the slope of semilogarithmic plots of reaction progress against time, which were linear for at least three reaction halftimes. The rate constants were reproducible to $\pm 5\%$.

The slow Claisen-type addition reactions at $\text{pH} \leq 2.7$ were monitored by directly following the decrease in absorbance at 412 nm. The endpoints were determined as the absorbance of a 10 mM solution of the chemically synthesized Claisen adduct reaction product. First-order rate constants k_{obsd} (s^{-1}) were determined by the method of initial rates as the slopes of linear plots of reaction progress against time for the first 10% of the reaction. Observed second-order rate constants (k_B , $\text{M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) for buffer-catalyzed Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** were determined as the slopes of linear plots of k_{obsd} against the concentration of the basic form of the buffer.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge National Institutes of Health Grant GM 39754 for generous support of this work.

REFERENCES

- (1). Puig E, Garcia-Viloca M, Gonzalez-Lafont A, Lluch JM. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006;110:717–725. [PubMed: 16405345]
- (2). Pillai B, Cherney MM, Diaper CM, Sutherland A, Blanchard JS, Vederas JC, James MNG. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 2006;103:8668–8673. [PubMed: 16723397]
- (3). Buschiazzo A, Goytia M, Schaeffer F, Degrave W, Shepard W, Gregoire C, Chamond N, Cosson A, Berneman A, Coatnoan N, Alzari PM, Minoprio P. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 2006;103:1705–1710. [PubMed: 16446443]
- (4). Richard JP, Amyes TL. Biorg. Chem 2004;32:354–366.
- (5). Williams G, Maziarz EP, Amyes TL, Wood TD, Richard JP. Biochemistry 2003;42:8354–8361. [PubMed: 12846584]
- (6). Martell AE. Adv. Enz. Rel. Areas. Mol. Biol 1982;53:163–199.
- (7). Adams E. Adv. Enz. Rel. Areas. Mol. Biol 1976;44:69–138.

- (8). Rios A, Crugeiras J, Amyes TL, Richard JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2001;123:7949–7950. [PubMed: 11493086]
- (9). Rios A, Amyes TL, Richard JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000;122:9373–9385.
- (10). Rios A, Richard JP, Amyes TL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:8251–8259. [PubMed: 12105903]
- (11). Eliot AC, Kirsch JF. *Ann. Rev. Biochem* 2004;73:383–415. [PubMed: 15189147]
- (12). Toney MD, Kirsch JF. *Biochemistry* 1993;32:1471–1479. [PubMed: 8431426]
- (13). Snell EE. *Coenzymes and Cofactors* 1986;1:1–12.
- (14). Snell EE, Di Mari SJ. *Enzymes* (3rd Ed.) 1970;2:335–370.
- (15). Toney MD. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys* 2005;433:279–287. [PubMed: 15581583]
- (16). Christen P, Mehta PK. *Chem. Rec* 2001;1:436–447. [PubMed: 11933250]
- (17). Auld DS, Bruice TC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1967;89:2098–2106.
- (18). Auld DS, Bruice TC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1967;89:2090–2097.
- (19). Dixon JE, Bruice TC. *Biochemistry* 1973;12:4762–4766. [PubMed: 4773854]
- (20). Chruma JJ, Liu L, Zhou W, Breslow R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* 2005;13:5873–5883.
- (21). Koh JT, Delaude L, Breslow R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1994;116:11234–11240.
- (22). Weiner W, Winkler J, Zimmerman SC, Czarnik AW, Breslow R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1985;107:4093–4094.
- (23). Spies MA, Woodward JJ, Watnik MR, Toney MD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:7464–7475. [PubMed: 15198593]
- (24). Major DT, Nam K, Gao J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:8114–8115. [PubMed: 16787057]
- (25). Toth K, Amyes TL, Richard JP, Malthouse JPG, NiBeilliu ME. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:10538–10539. [PubMed: 15327301]
- (26). Thanassi JW. *Biochemistry* 1970;9:525–532. [PubMed: 5415959]
- (27). Keeffe JR, Jencks WP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1983;105:265–279.
- (28). Keeffe JR, Jencks WP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1981;103:2457–2459.
- (29). Richard, John P.; Toth, Krysztina. in preparation for publication
- (30). Toth K, Gaskell LM, Richard JP. *J. Org. Chem* 2006;71:7094–7096. [PubMed: 16930073]
- (31). Jencks WP. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1976;9:425–432.
- (32). Ikeda G, Kluger R. *J. Phys. Org. Chem* 2004;17:507–510.
- (33). Rios A, Richard JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1997;119:8375–8376.
- (34). Crugeiras J, Rios A, Amyes TL, Richard JP. *Org. & Biomol. Chem* 2005;3:2145–2149. [PubMed: 15917903]
- (35). Vazquez MA, Echevarria G, Munoz F, Donoso J, Garcia Blanco F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans.* 2 1989:1617–1622.
- (36). Jencks WP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1972;94:4731–4732.
- (37). Hammond GS. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1955;77:334–338.
- (38). Stahl N, Jencks WP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1986;108:4196–4205.
- (39). Hine, J. *Structural Effects on Equilibria in Organic Chemistry*. Wiley; New York: 1975. p. 98
- (40). Tobin JB, Frey PA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1996;118:12253–12260.
- (41). Halkides CJ, Frey PA, Tobin JB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:3332–3333.
- (42). Richard JP, Williams G, O'Donoghue AC, Amyes TL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:2957–2968. [PubMed: 11902887]
- (43). Gao J. *Theochem* 1996;370:203–208.
- (44). The carbon acid pKa for glycine anion should be greater than the value of 33.5 reported for acetate anion [ref ⁴⁰].
- (45). Metzler CM, Harris AG, Metzler DE. *Biochemistry* 1988;27:4923–4933. [PubMed: 3167020]
- (46). Toney MD, Hohenester E, Cowan SW, Jansonius JN. *Science* 1993;261:756–759. [PubMed: 8342040]
- (47). Kirsch JF, Eichele G, Ford GC, Vincent MG, Jansonius JN. *J. Mol. Biol* 1984;174:497–525. [PubMed: 6143829]

- (48). Almo SL, Smith DL, Danishefsky AT, Ringe D. *Prot. Eng* 1994;7:405–412.
- (49). Shaw JP, Petsko GA, Ringe D. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:1329–1342. [PubMed: 9063881]
- (50). Sun S, Toney MD. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:4058–4065. [PubMed: 10194319]
- (51). Spies MA, Toney MD. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:5099–5107. [PubMed: 12718553]
- (52). Richard JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1984;106:4926–4936.
- (53). Watanabe A, Kurokawa Y, Yoshimura T, Esaki N. *J. Biochem* 1999;125:987–990. [PubMed: 10348897]
- (54). Watanabe A, Yoshimura T, Mikami B, Esaki N. *J. Biochem* 1999;126:781–786. [PubMed: 10502689]
- (55). Cunningham WC, Thanassi JW. *Experimentia* 1979;35:451–452.
- (56). Amyes TL, Richard JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1996;118:3129–3141.

Figure 1. Time courses for reaction of glycine (100 mM) with 0.1 mM **1** in H₂O at pH 6.5 (■) and in D₂O (●) at pD 7.0 buffered by 100 mM phosphate ([BL]/[B] = 1.0) at 25 °C and *I* = 1.0 (KCl).

Figure 2.

The effect of increasing concentrations of acetate buffer on k_{obsd} for Claisen-type addition of glycine (100 mM) to **1** (10 mM) at constant ionic strength of 1.0 (KCl) and 25 °C. Key: (◆), $[\text{AcO}^-]/[\text{AcOH}] = 4.0$; (■), $[\text{AcO}^-]/[\text{AcOH}] = 1.0$; (▼), $[\text{AcO}^-]/[\text{AcOH}] = 0.25$; (●), $[\text{AcO}^-]/[\text{AcOH}] = 0.10$

Figure 3.

The effect of increasing concentrations of phosphate buffer on k_{obsd} for Claisen-type addition of glycine (100 mM) to **1** (10 mM) at constant ionic strength of 1.0 (KCl) and 25 °C. Key: (■), $[\text{HPO}_4^{2-}]/[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-] = 4.0$; (◆), $[\text{HPO}_4^{2-}]/[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-] = 1.0$; (▼), $[\text{HPO}_4^{2-}]/[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-] = 0.25$; (●), $[\text{HPO}_4^{2-}]/[\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-] = 0.10$

Figure 4.

The effect of increasing concentrations of the basic form of chloroacetate buffer (50% free base) on k_{obsd} for Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** (10 mM) at increasing fixed concentrations of glycine and constant ionic strength of 1.0 (KCl) at 25 °C. Key: (◆), 0.05 M glycine; (■), 0.10 M glycine; (●), 0.20 M glycine.

Figure 5.

pH rate profiles for the observed rate constants for the solvent-catalyzed Claisen-type addition reaction between glycine and **1** ($(k_o)_{\text{obsd}}$ (s^{-1}), □), and the derived rate constants for Scheme 2 where deprotonation of **2** is the rate determining step for Claisen-type addition ($(k_o)_{\text{Im}}$ (s^{-1}), ●) for reactions at 25 °C and $I = 1.0$ maintained with KCl (Table 1). The solid line shows the least squares fit of the data to eq 1 using the acidity constants from Scheme 3,³⁵ and the derived second-order rate constants for deprotonation of **2-H₄**, **2-H₃**, and **2-H₂** by hydroxide ion.

Figure 6.

The pH rate profiles for the second-order rate constants $(k_B)_{Im}$ for the general base-catalyzed deprotonation of **2**. The solid lines show the fits of the experimental data to partial forms of eq 2 determined as described in the text. Key: (▲), phosphate dianion; (■), acetate anion; (●), chloroacetate anion. (▼), glycine zwitterion.

Figure 7.

Brønsted-type relationship between the second-order rate constants for deprotonation of cationic carbon acids by hydroxide ion and carbon acid pK_a , where p is the number of equivalent protons for the carbon acid. The solid circles show data used to define this linear relationship: (carbon acid, pK_a) $\text{PyrN}^+\text{-CH}_2\text{COPh}$, 10.9; $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C=NH}^+\text{CH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Me}$, 14; $^+\text{Me}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Me}$, 18; $^+\text{H}_3\text{NCH}_2\text{CO}_2\text{Me}$, 21. The open symbols show the different ionic forms of the pyridoxal-glycine imine (Chart 2). The pK_a s of these carbon acids were estimated by making the assumption that values of $\log(k_{HO}/2)$ for their deprotonation lie on this linear correlation.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Chart 1.

2-H₄pK_a 6 **2-H₃**

11

2-H₂

17

Chart 2.

Table 1
Kinetic Parameters for Solvent-Catalyzed Claisen-Type Addition of Glycine to **1** (Scheme 2)^a

pH	Buffer	[glycine] _T (M)	<i>f</i> _{Im} ^b	(<i>k</i> ₀) _{obsd} /10 ⁻⁶ (s ⁻¹) ^c	(<i>k</i> ₀) _{Im} /10 ⁻⁶ (s ⁻¹) ^d
2.1		0 ^e			26
		0.050	0.0055 ^f	0.20	36
		0.10	0.011	0.61	55
		0.20	0.022 ^f	1.6	74
2.7	Chloroacetate	0 ^e			23
		0.050	0.019 ^f	0.62	32
		0.10	0.037	1.6	42
		0.20	0.071	4.3	60
3.3		0 ^e			24
		0.050	0.062 ^f	1.8	29
		0.10	0.12	4.1	34
3.6		0 ^f			17
		0.10	0.28	5.3	19
		0.20	0.53	11	21
4.0	Acetate	0.10	0.36	4.7	13
4.6		0.10	0.52	3.7	7.2
5.2		0.10	0.57	3.4	6.0
5.5		0.10	0.58	4.5	7.7
5.9		0.10	0.63	7.0	11
6.5	Phosphate	0.10	0.71	12	17
7.1		0.10	0.84	19	23

^aFor reactions of 10 mM **1** at 25 °C and *I* = 1.0 (KCl).

^bThe fraction of total **1** that is converted to the imine at the given concentration of glycine determined by NMR analysis of an equilibrium mixture of **1**, **1**-hydrate and the imine, unless stated otherwise.

^cThe observed rate constant for the buffer-independent Claisen addition reaction, determined as the intercept of a plot of *k*_{obsd} against the concentration of the buffer catalyst.

^d(*k*₀)_{Im} = (*k*₀)_{obsd}/*f*_{Im}.

^eThe intercept of a linear plot of (*k*₀)_{Im} against [glycine]_T.

^fCalculated from the value of *f*_{Im} determined for reaction in the presence of 0.10 M glycine by assuming that *f*_{Im} is directly proportional to the concentration of glycine in the reaction mixture.

Kinetic Parameters for General Base-Catalyzed Claisen-Type Addition of Glycine to **1** (Scheme 2)^a

Table 2

pH (f_B) ^b	Base Catalyst	[glycine] _T (M)	f_{Im} ^c	$(k_B)_{obsd}/10^{-5} \text{ (M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$ ^d	$(k_B)_{Im}/10^{-4} \text{ (M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$ ^e
2.1	Glycine $pK_a = 2.5$				9.8 ^f
2.7					3.4 ^f
3.3					1.1 ^f
3.6					0.25 ^f
2.1 ($f_B = 0.20$)	Chloroacetate $pK_a = 2.7$	0.050	0.0055 ^g	1.1	20
		0.10	0.011	1.7	15
		0.20	0.022 ^g	4.5	21
		Average			19
2.7 ($f_B = 0.50$)		0.050	0.019 ^g	1.3	7.5
		0.10	0.037	2.5	7.7
		0.20	0.071	4.9	6.9
		Average			7.4
3.3 ($f_B = 0.80$)		0.050	0.062 ^g	2.9	4.7
		0.10	0.12	5.5	4.6
		Average			4.7
3.6 ($f_B = 0.10$)	Acetate $pK_a = 4.6$	0.10	0.28	69	25
		0.20	0.53	120	23
		Average			24
4.0 ($f_B = 0.20$)		0.10	0.36	65	18
4.6 ($f_B = 0.50$)	Phosphate	0.10	0.52	25	4.9
5.2 ($f_B = 0.80$)		0.10	0.57	11	1.9
5.5 ($f_B = 0.10$)		0.10	0.58	210	36
5.9 ($f_B = 0.20$)		0.10	0.63	140	22
6.5 ($f_B = 0.5$)		0.10	0.71	67	9.4
7.1 ($f_B = 0.20$)		0.10	0.84	35	4.2

^aFor reactions of 10 mM **1** at 25 °C and $I = 1.0$ (KCl).

^bFraction of the buffer in the basic form.

^c f_{Im} The fraction of total **1** that was converted to the imine at the given concentration of glycine, determined by NMR analysis of an equilibrium mixture of **1**, **1**-hydrate and the imine unless stated otherwise.

^dThe observed second-order rate constant for general base-catalyzed Claisen-type addition of glycine to **1** determined as the slope of a plot of k_{obsd} against the concentration of the basic form of the buffer.

^e $(k_B)_{Im} = (k_B)_{obsd}/f_{Im}$ except for the glycine-catalyzed reactions.

^fThe slope of replots of the type shown in the inset of Figure 4 for the reaction at pH 2.7

^gCalculated from the value of f_{Im} determined for reaction in the presence of 0.10 M glycine by assuming that f_{Im} is directly proportional to the concentration of glycine in the reaction mixture.

Table 3Second-order Rate Constants for Deprotonation of Different Ionic Forms of **2** by Hydroxide Ion and Buffer Bases^a

Base	pK_a^b	$(k_B)_{2-H4} (M^{-1}s^{-1})^{c,d}$	$(k_B)_{2-H3} (M^{-1}s^{-1})^{c,e}$	$(k_B)_{2-H2} (M^{-1}s^{-1})^{c,f}$
OH^-	15.7	3.0×10^7	3.8×10^5	7.5×10^2
HPO_4^{2-}	6.5		4.3×10^{-1}	1.7×10^{-3}
CH_3COO^-	4.6		1.1×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{-4}
CH_2ClCOO^-	2.7	2.3×10^{-3}	8.2×10^{-4}	
$NH_2CH_2COO^-$	2.1	1.6×10^{-3}	4.5×10^{-5}	

^aFor reactions of 10 mM **1** at 25 °C and $I = 1.0$ (KCl).^bCalculated from the solution pH and the ratio of the acidic and basic forms of the buffer under our experimental reaction conditions.^cRate constants determined from the fit of the experimental data to eq 1 derived for Scheme 2 as described in the text.^dRate-constants for buffer-catalyzed deprotonation of **2-H4**.^eRate-constants for buffer-catalyzed deprotonation of **2-H3**.^fRate-constants for buffer-catalyzed deprotonation of **2-H2**.