Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Res. 2008 June 15; 68(12): 4525–4530. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-6735.

Snail up-regulates pro-inflammatory mediators and inhibits differentiation in oral keratinocytes

J. Guy Lyons^{1,2,3}, Vyomesh Patel³, Naomi C. Roue^{1,2}, Sandra Y. Fok⁴, Lilian L. Soon⁴, Gary M. Halliday¹, and J. Silvio Gutkind³

¹*Dermatology Research Laboratories, Central Clinical School, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia*

²*Sydney Head and Neck Cancer Institute, Sydney Cancer Centre, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, NSW, Australia*

³*Oral and Pharyngeal Cancer Branch, NIDCR, NIH, Bethesda, USA*

⁴*Key Centre for Microscopy, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia*

Abstract

The transcriptional repressor, Snail2, is over-expressed in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCCs) relative to non-malignant head and neck mucosal epithelium, and in locally recurrent relative to non-recurrent HNSCCs. We investigated the mechanisms by which Snails might contribute to the pathogenesis of HNSCCs using cell biological and molecular analyses. Oral keratinocytes that expressed Snails acquired an enhanced ability to attract monocytes and to invade a dense interstitial collagen matrix. They were also found to up-regulate production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX2), which have previously been shown to correlate with malignancy. Induction of nuclear factor-kappa B transcriptional activity by Snails was weak and not sufficient to account for the elevated levels of COX2, interleukin-6, interleukin-8 or CXCL1. In addition, expression of Snails in oral keratinocytes impaired desquamation in vitro and strongly repressed expression of both ELF3 and matrilysin-1, which play important roles in the terminal differentiation of keratinocytes. Re-expression of matrilysin-1 in Snail-expressing cells partially rescued desquamation. This implicates Snails as contributing to malignancy both at the early stages, by impeding terminal differentiation, and at later stages, when invasion and inflammation are important.

Keywords

Snail; keratinocyte; head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; inflammation; differentiation

Introduction

Head and neck cancer is the sixth most common cancer and has seen little improvement in mortality over several decades (1). The keratinocytes that give rise to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) are characterized by impaired terminal differentiation, dysplasia and invasion of extracellular matrices. HNSCCs are frequently associated with inflammation and pro-inflammatory cytokines (2). The transcription factor nuclear factor-kappa B (NFκB) has been implicated in driving their expression, but the mechanisms underlying increased NFκB activity are not clear, and are complicated by the fact that some cytokines can themselves increase NFκB activity in target cells.

The Snail family of transcriptional repressors has been associated with several forms of carcinoma as well as having important roles in development and injury responses (3). In head

and neck tissues, both malignancy and local recurrence following treatment have been associated with a gene expression signature that includes Snail2 (4). Snails can cause an epithelial-mesenchymal transition and invasion (3). In the present study, we investigated the biological effects of Snail expression in human oral keratinocytes to determine how increased levels might contribute to HNSCC malignancy. We found that Snails promote changes in keratinocyte behavior and gene expression that would facilitate progression to malignancy by altering their abilities to terminally differentiate, invade extracellular matrices and recruit pro-inflammatory leukocytes.

Methods

Cell culture

Materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO), unless otherwise indicated. WSU-HN13 cells (5) were transfected using Polyfect (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with a plasmid (pCEFL2) driving expression of TVA, the receptor for RCAS viruses, from the EF1alpha promoter and selected for resistance to 0.4mg/ml G418. Clones were screened for TVA by fluorescence after immunostaining with antibodies and transduction with enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-Renilla luciferase (RLh) cloned into RCASYGWB, an RCAS proviral vector (6). One clone, HN13(TVA), was chosen for further studies. Genes were introduced into HN13(TVA) by transduction using RCASYGWB. Using this procedure, >90% cells were transduced. Open reading frames of human Snail1, Snail2, RelA and matrilysin-1 were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and cloned as C-terminal myc (Snails), Glu-Glu (RelA) or HA (matrilysin-1) epitope tag fusions into pCEFL2 and RCASYGWB. Snail1(Δ SNAG) lacks amino acids 2–7 of the SNAG domain, which is essential for repressor activity. Snail1-ERT2/pCEFL2 was constructed by cloning the Snail1 open reading frame 5' to the ERT2 tamoxifen-inducible cassette (7) and transfected into MDCK and HN13 cells. Clones (MDCK/Snail1-ERT2 and HN13/Snail1-ERT2) were selected on their response to 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT) morphologically and by repression of a mouse E-cadherin promoter luciferase reporter gene in transient transfections. Control cells (HN13/EGFP-ERT2) were stably transfected with a plasmid in which the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) open reading frame substituted for Snail1. HN13/Snail1-ERT2 cells were induced with 4OHT continuously for 7 days prior to RNA isolation.

Transcription analysis

The human and mouse E-cadherin promoters were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA and cloned into pGL3r2.1basic (Promega, Madison, WI). NF κ B activity was measured using NF κ B-Luc (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Luciferase activities were measured using Dual-Glo reagents (Promega, Madison, WI). Microarrays used Cy-5 and Cy-3 labelled cDNAs hybridized to a human GEM2 cDNA array as described previously (8). Specific mRNAs were quantitated by reverse transcription and real-time PCR with Superscript III and Platinum Sybr Green Supermix (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in a Rotorgene 6000 thermocycler/analyzer (Corbett Research, Sydney, Australia), normalized using glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase mRNA and corrected for the amplification efficiencies of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and the gene of interest. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table SI. Cytokines in media were quantitated by ELISA (ThermoScientific, Woburn MA).

Migration assays

Keratinocyte migration was assayed by using a blue pipette tip to “scratch” corridors of cells from a confluent monolayer, incubating for 16h at 37C, fixing and staining with Evans blue. The widths of 16 random places along the original scratch lines were measured using Canvas SE software (ACD Systems, Victoria, BC, Canada) and the “wound” closure was compared

with the EGFP/RCASYGWB-transduced control cells. Human monocyte migration was measured by plating 10^5 THP-1 cells in fresh medium into the interior of an 8 μ m porous polyethylene 24-well plate cell culture insert (BD, Bedford, MA) and filling the outer chamber with medium conditioned by HN13(TVA) cells or their Snail-expressing derivatives. After 20h at 37C, cells that had traversed the membrane were quantitated by incubation for 1–4h in 1mg/ml 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, lysing the cell pellet in DMSO and reading the absorbance at 550nm. Interleukin inhibitors were from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

Invasion assays

Invasion of collagen I matrix was measured by plating cells at a confluent density into 14mm glass chamber dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) previously prepared by drying down fluorescein isothiocyanate-labelled type I collagen that had been gelled at neutral pH (9). The resulting density of collagen is approximately 20mg/ml, similar to that in a real tumour tissue. After 48h, the cultures were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) and imaged by confocal microscopy. Invasion was calculated from the positions of the nuclei of the leading cells relative to the top of the collagen matrix, as measured from Z-stacks.

Results

Activation of Snail1-ERT2 with 4-hydroxytamoxifen recapitulates Snail1 up-regulation in epithelial cells

Ginos *et al.* identified Snail2 as part of a gene expression signature associated with malignancy and local recurrence of head and neck tumours (4). Further analysis shows a significant association of malignancy and local recurrence with Snail2 expression, independently of other genes (Supplementary Figure S1). To identify Snail-regulated genes, Snail1 was expressed as a 4OHT-inducible C-terminal fusion protein (Supplementary Figure S2A), enabling target genes to be identified by comparing 4OHT-induced and un-induced cells. To ensure that 4OHT induction of cells expressing Snail1-ERT2 faithfully replicated Snail1 up-regulation, it was tested in the well-characterized MDCK cell line. Dependent upon treatment with 4OHT, MDCK cells stably expressing Snail1-ERT2 repressed E-cadherin promoter activity, decreased the amount of endogenous E-cadherin made by those cells (Supplementary Figure S2), redistributed E-cadherin from the plasma membrane, acquired an EMT-like morphology and invaded a collagen matrix from preformed cysts (Supplementary Figure S3). Thus, the activation of Snail1-ERT2 by 4OHT had the same effects as the ectopic expression of Snail genes in MDCK on E-cadherin, cell morphology and invasion (3,10).

Identification of Snail1 regulated genes in oral keratinocytes

To identify Snail1 regulated genes in oral keratinocytes, mRNAs in 4OHT- and solvent-treated HN13/Snail1-ERT2 cells were compared on cDNA microarrays and genes of interest were validated as Snail1 targets by real-time PCR analysis of cDNAs (Supplementary Table S2). Several genes regulated by Snail1 fell into two groups: epithelial-specific genes that were down-regulated, and inflammation-associated genes that were up-regulated. Snail1 down-regulated the epithelial cell-cell adhesion proteins of adherens and tight junctions, E-cadherin and claudin-1, respectively, as has been reported elsewhere (3). Another adherens junction molecule, EpCAM (TACSTD1), was also markedly down-regulated. Matriptase-1 (ST14), a cell surface serine proteinase required for complete terminal differentiation of keratinocytes (11), was down-regulated, as was ELF3, an epithelial-specific transcription factor required for the expression of genes such as small proline-rich proteins, transglutaminase-3 and profilaggrin during terminal differentiation of keratinocytes (12, 13). Pro-inflammatory genes whose expression was up-regulated by Snail1 activity included interleukin-1 alpha (IL1a),

interleukin-1 beta (IL1b), interleukin-6 (IL6), interleukin-8 (IL8), CXCL1 and the prostaglandin synthetic enzyme COX2 (PTGS2). Control cells expressing EGFP-ERT2 showed that the altered expression of all genes was due to Snail1, and not to 4OHT or the ERT2 moiety.

To ensure that the genes identified by 4OHT induction were genuine targets of Snail1, their regulation was validated independently by transducing HN13(TVA) cells with RCAS retroviral vectors (Supplementary Figure S4). In each case, Snail1 caused an increase or decrease in expression corresponding to the 4OHT induction results, as determined by real-time PCR (Figure 1). ELF3, matriptase-1 and EpCAM were strongly repressed in HN13 cells by both Snail1 and Snail2, by a greater extent than E-cadherin and claudin-1 (Figure 1A). This repression was dependent on the presence of the SNAG domain. RelA had no effect on E-cadherin mRNA levels in these cells. Up-regulation of pro-inflammatory genes was also confirmed using a virally transduced Snail1 gene (Figure 1B), with COX2, IL6, IL8 and CXCL1 being particularly strongly up-regulated (4–8-fold). Snail2 induced these same genes. Increases in secreted pro-inflammatory protein levels were confirmed by immunoassays of the culture supernatants (Supplementary Table S3). Expression of IL6, IL8 and CXCL1 were similarly strongly induced in A431 keratinocytes, derived from an epidermoid SCC, but not in HaCaT non-SCC keratinocytes (Supplementary Table S4).

The role of NFκB activity in Snail induction of pro-inflammatory genes

The pro-inflammatory cytokine genes up-regulated by Snails are also induced in keratinocytes by NFκB (14)(Figure 1, Supplementary Table S4), prompting us to search for a relationship between the two factors. Expression of the NFκB inhibitor, IκB, inhibited NFκB activity (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure S5) and expression of IL6, IL8 and CXCL1, having a greater effect in Snail-induced cells than in control cells (Figure 2A), whereas COX2 was not consistently affected. A reduced expression of Snail genes in IκB expressing cells may have contributed to this down-regulation of Snail-induced genes (Supplementary Table S5). In co-transfection experiments, expression of an NFκB reporter gene was induced weakly by Snail2 (less than 2-fold) and not at all by Snail1 under conditions in which RelA caused a strong response (Figure 2B). The basal reporter gene activity was dependent on endogenous NFκB in the cells, as shown by the ability of IκB to inhibit it. In parallel experiments, Snail1 and Snail2 were able to repress transcription from both human (Figure 2C) and mouse (not shown) E-cadherin promoters by 50–75%. Overall, the data in Figure 1 and Figure 2 suggest that NFκB activity might be necessary for strong Snail-induced expression of IL6, IL8 and CXCL1, but that the induction is not mediated by an increase in NFκB activity. NFκB is less important for COX2 induction.

Snails impair terminal differentiation and induce a pro-invasive, pro-inflammatory phenotype

Terminal differentiation is impaired in neoplasms of stratified squamous epithelia, and their progression to malignancy is associated with invasion of the underlying extracellular matrix and an influx of inflammatory cells. Thus, it was of interest to determine the effects of Snail expression on these characteristics. Snail1 and Snail2, but not RelA, produced similar morphological changes in HN13(TVA) keratinocytes. At low cell density, scattering and elongated projections at the edges of colonies were common (Figure 3A). HN13(TVA) can terminally differentiate and shed squames into the supernatant 10–14 days after plating, a process that Snail1 and Snail2 inhibited by >80% (Figure 3B–D), instead causing cells to pile up (Figure 3C). The squames shed by control HN13(TVA) maintained their structure in 1% SDS (Figure 3C), indicating a mature, highly cross-linked cytoskeletal structure. Both nucleated and non-nucleated squames were represented, in a ratio of approximately 2:1, although many nuclei stained weakly with DAPI, suggesting that their DNA was undergoing degradation. Upon dissolution of both control and Snail transduced cultures with 1% SDS,

squames were released, identifying the final process of keratinocyte terminal differentiation, desquamation, as being inhibited by Snails. Interestingly, re-expression of matriptase-1 (2–3-fold above control levels, as measured by reverse transcription/real-time PCR), disruption of whose gene inhibits desquamation in humans and mice (15), partially restored desquamation in Snail-expressing keratinocytes (Figure 3D).

Expression of Snail1, Snail2 or RelA increased the migration of HN13(TVA) cells (Figure 4A). Additionally, THP-1 monocytes showed a 50–120% higher migration towards medium conditioned by Snail-expressing keratinocytes than that of control keratinocytes (Figure 4B). Blocking IL1, IL6 and IL8/CXCL1 activity with inhibitory concentrations of IL1 receptor antagonist, antibodies to IL6 and CXCR2 inhibitors (SB225002 or antibodies), respectively, did not inhibit the Snail-induced THP-1 migration, indicating that another molecule(s) is responsible. Moreover, Snail expression doubled the rate of invasion by keratinocytes into a high density fibrillar interstitial collagen matrix (Figure 4C).

Discussion

We have shown that the expression of Snail transcriptional repressors in keratinocytes impairs their differentiation into shed squames, an effect that can be rescued by forced re-expression of the Snail down-regulated gene, matriptase-1. Gene targeting of claudin-1 (16) and matriptase-1 (11) have demonstrated them to be required for complete terminal differentiation of keratinocytes, null mice dying from impaired epidermal barrier formation. Recently, a human genetic disease characterized by impaired desquamation was shown to be caused by a mutation in matriptase-1 (15). Another Snail target, ELF3, drives the expression of terminal differentiation proteins (12,13). Thus, down-regulation of these genes is consistent with the failure to shed squames in Snail-expressing keratinocytes. It has been shown previously that expression of Snail2 in keratinocytes of the upper airway tract increases with malignancy and local recurrence following treatment (4). Hyperplasia of stratified squamous epithelia is accompanied by impaired terminal differentiation, increasing the accumulation of viable keratinocytes in the tissue, and so it is likely that Snail2 contributes to this process during HNSCC formation.

Inflammation is commonly associated with cancer and the up-regulation of IL1a, IL6 and IL8 (2) has been observed in HNSCCs, enhanced NFκB activity being implicated as mediating this effect (14). We have demonstrated here that Snails can also up-regulate these pro-inflammatory cytokines in oral keratinocytes. Snails are known to be repressors and have not been reported to act as transcriptional activators. There are no consensus Snail-binding E-boxes within 300 base pairs upstream of the transcription start site of the COX2, IL6, IL8 or CXCL1 genes, whereas the ELF3, EpCAM and matriptase-1 genes, like the E-cadherin gene, have at least 2 sites. Deletion of the SNAG domain reduced the up-regulation of the pro-inflammatory genes, suggesting that recruitment of co-repressors was important for the effect. Thus, the up-regulation of the pro-inflammatory genes is likely to be an indirect effect e.g. through down-regulation of a repressor of these genes or competition for co-repressors. The poor induction of NFκB reporter gene activity by Snail1 (no induction) and Snail2 (1.7-fold) suggests that the up-regulation of the strongly induced genes is not mediated by increasing NFκB activity, although NFκB might be necessary for cytokine induction by Snails. Thus, two pathways may have evolved to up-regulate these molecules in keratinocytes, presumably to respond to different stimuli. In contrast to the cytokines, COX2 expression was weakly stimulated by RelA, whereas it was strongly stimulated by Snails. MAPK pathways seem to be the dominant routes of up-regulating COX2 expression in human keratinocytes in response to cytokines, with NFκB playing a minor role (17), unlike mouse keratinocytes and other human cell types. COX2, like Snail2, predicts poor outcomes for HNSCC patients (18).

Recently, microarray analysis of gross epidermis was used to identify Snail2 targets in normal adult mouse epidermal cells (19). Of the Snail targets mentioned here, only EpCAM was modified in mouse epidermis by disruption of both Snail2 loci (1.5-fold higher in Snail2-null mice). The levels of Snails in normal keratinocytes might be too low to substantially up- or down-regulate the target genes identified in this study. However, in keratinocytes that have undergone malignant transformation or wounding (4,20), Snail expression is raised and the regulation of targets identified in this study would take place, thereby facilitating migration, invasion and the recruitment of leukocytes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs William Taylor and Jeff Rubin, National Cancer Institute, for MDCK cells and laboratory space, Drs Christina Ochsenbauer-Jambor and Mary Ann Accavitti, University of Alabama at Birmingham, for the gift of anti-TVA monoclonal antibodies, and Dr Henning Birkedal-Hansen, NIDCR, for supporting this study. This study was supported in part by grants from the Sydney Cancer Centre Foundation and Cancer Council NSW.

References

1. Hunter KD, Parkinson EK, Harrison PR. Profiling early head and neck cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2005;5:127–135. [PubMed: 15685196]
2. Chen Z, Malhotra PS, Thomas GR, et al. Expression of proinflammatory and proangiogenic cytokines in patients with head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999;5e:1369–1379. [PubMed: 10389921]
3. Barrallo-Gimeno A, Nieto MA. The Snail genes as inducers of cell movement and survival: implications in development and cancer. *Development* 2005;312:3151–3161. [PubMed: 15983400]
4. Ginos MA, Page GP, Michalowicz BS, et al. Identification of a gene expression signature associated with recurrent disease in squamous cell carcinoma of the neck. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:55–63. [PubMed: 14729608]
5. Cardinali M, Pietraszkiewicz H, Ensley JF, Robbins KC. Tyrosine phosphorylation as a marker for aberrantly regulated growth-promoting pathways in cell lines derived from head and neck malignancies. *Int J Cancer* 1995;61:98–103. [PubMed: 7705939]
6. Hughes SH, Greenhouse JJ, Petropoulos CJ, Sutcliffe P. Adaptor plasmids simplify the insertion of foreign DNA into helper-independent retroviral vectors. *J Virol* 1987;61:3004–3012. [PubMed: 3041020]
7. Feil R, Wagner J, Metzger D, Chambon P. Regulation of Cre recombinase activity by mutated estrogen receptor ligand-binding domains. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1997;237:752–757. [PubMed: 9299439]
8. Sriuranpong V, Mutirangura A, Gillespie JW, et al. Global gene expression profile of nasopharyngeal carcinoma by laser capture microdissection and complementary DNA microarrays. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:4944–4958. [PubMed: 15297395]
9. Nethery A, Lyons JG, O'Grady RL. A spectrophotometric collagenase assay. *Anal Biochem* 1986;159:390–395. [PubMed: 3030159]
10. Savagner P, Yamada KM, Thiery JP. The zinc-finger protein slug causes desmosome dissociation an initial and necessary step for growth factor-induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *J Cell Biol* 1997;137:1403–1419. [PubMed: 9182671]
11. List K, Szabo R, Wertz PW, et al. Loss of proteolytically processed filaggrin caused by epidermal deletion of Matriptase/MT-SP1. *J Cell Biol* 2003;163:901–910. [PubMed: 14638864]
12. Sark MW, Fischer DF, de Meijer E, van de Putte P, Backendorf C. AP-1 and ets transcription factors regulate the expression of the human SPRR1A keratinocyte terminal differentiation marker. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:24683–24692. [PubMed: 9733767]

13. Andreoli JM, Jang SI, Chung E, Coticchia CM, Steinert PM, Markova NG. The expression of a novel, epithelium-specific ets transcription factor is restricted to the most differentiated layers in the epidermis. *Nucl Acids Res* 1997;25:4287–4295. [PubMed: 9336459]
14. Loercher A, Lee TL, Ricker JL, et al. Nuclear factor-kappaB is an important modulator of the altered gene expression profile and malignant phenotype in squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2004;64:6511–6523. [PubMed: 15374962]
15. List K, Currie B, Scharschmidt TC, et al. Autosomal Ichthyosis with hypotrichosis syndrome displays low matriptase proteolytic activity and is phenocopied in ST14 hypomorphic mice. *J Biol Chem* 2007;282:36714–36723. [PubMed: 17940283]
16. Furuse M, Hata M, Furuse K, et al. Claudin-based tight junctions are crucial for the mammalian epidermal barrier: a lesson from claudin-1-deficient mice. *J Cell Biol* 2002;156:1099–1111. [PubMed: 11889141]
17. Kanda N, Koike S, Watanabe S. IL-17 suppresses TNF-alpha-induced CCL27 production through induction of COX-2 in human keratinocytes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005;116:1144–1150. [PubMed: 16275389]
18. Chang BW, Kim DH, Kowalski DP, et al. Prognostic significance of cyclooxygenase-2 in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:1678–1684. [PubMed: 15014019]
19. Newkirk KM, Mackenzie DA, Bakaletz AP, Hudson LG, Kusewitt DF. Microarray analysis demonstrates a role for Slug in epidermal homeostasis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2007;128:361–369. [PubMed: 17637818]
20. Savagner P, Kusewitt DF, Carver EA, et al. Developmental transcription factor slug is required for effective re-epithelialization by adult keratinocytes. *J Cell Physiol* 2005;202:858–866. [PubMed: 15389643]

Figure 1. Regulation of Snail target genes in oral keratinocytes by Snails and RelA

HN13(TVA) keratinocytes were transduced with RCAS retroviral vectors expressing EGFP (Control), Snail1, Snail2 or Snail1-delSNAG (delSNAG), the latter lacking the SNAG domain required for repression, or RelA. Real-time PCR was used to determine the levels of mRNA of the Snail target genes. The Snail target signals were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase and the levels relative to the control cells were calculated. The novel repressed Snail targets, ELF3, matrilysin-1 (Mat1) and EpCAM, and the previously identified targets, E-cadherin (Ecad) and claudin-1 (Cldn1) are shown in A, whereas the up-regulated pro-inflammatory targets, COX2, IL1a, IL1b, IL6, IL8 and CXCL1, are shown in B. The data

depict the means and SEMs of 3–9 experiments. Asterisks indicate means significantly different from control cells by student's t-test * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$).

Figure 2. Transcriptional regulation by Snail in oral keratinocytes

(A) HN13(TVA) keratinocytes were transduced with RCAS vectors expressing EGFP (Con, n=6 independent experiments), Snail1 (Sna1, n=7) or Snail2 (Sna2, n=6), followed by EGFP-HA-IκB(S32,36A) (IκB). The levels of mRNA were determined by real-time PCR as in Figure 1 and calculated as relative to the average in the absence of IκB. Asterisks indicate means significantly different from corresponding cells in the absence of IκB by student's t-test (*p<0.05; **p<0.01). (B) HN13(TVA) keratinocytes were transiently co-transfected with plasmid vectors driving expression of DsRed2 (Control), Snail1, Snail2 or RelA, with (+) or without (-) IκB, and a NFκB luciferase reporter plasmid. Luciferase activities were measured and reported relative to Control without IκB. Data are compiled from 2–6 independent

experiments. Asterisks indicate means significantly different from Control without I κ B by student's t-test (***) $p < 0.001$). (C) Cells were transfected as in (B), but the luciferase reporter gene was driven by the human E-cadherin promoter (−400 to +127).

Figure 3. Snails inhibit terminal differentiation of oral keratinocytes

(A) Phase contrast photomicrographs of control, Snail1 or Snail2 transduced HN13(TVA) cells, taken after 3 days in culture, demonstrating the scattering effect of Snail expression. (B) After 14 days, numerous detached squames are evident in phase contrast micrographs of control cultures (left), whereas Snail expression diminishes this effect (middle), and matriptase-1 (Mat1) expression restores their appearance in Snail-expressing cells (right). (C) Higher magnification images of day 14 cultures showing, in phase contrast, detached squames above the focal plane of a control HN13(TVA) monolayer (left) and an upper cell layer in Snail1 expressing cells (middle), and a fluorescent image of detached squames from control HN13 (TVA) monolayers (days 10–14), lysed in 1% SDS and stained with DAPI (blue) to visualize

nuclei and Evans Blue (red) to visualize SDS-insoluble protein. (D) Quantitation of squames shed from control HN13(TVA) cultures and those expressing Snail1, Snail2 and/or matrilysin-1. SDS was added to culture supernatants (days 10–14) to a concentration of 1% w/v to lyse non-squame cells and debris, and then squames were counted in a Beckman-Coulter Vicell cell counter. The mean and SEM from 3 independent experiments are shown. Asterisks indicate means significantly lower in Snail expressing cells than control cells (student's t-test, ** $p < 0.01$) and significantly higher in cells expressing both matrilysin and Snail than the corresponding cells expressing Snail only (student's t-test, *** $p < 0.001$)

Figure 4. Snails promote migration and invasion of keratinocytes and monocytes

HN13(TVA) keratinocytes were transduced with retroviral vectors expressing Snail1 (Snail1), Snail2 (Snail2), Snail1-delSNAG (delSNAG) or RelA, as described in Figure 1. (A) Keratinocyte migration assayed by a 16h “scratch” type assay. The means and SDs from a representative experiment are shown. (B) The migration of THP-1 monocytes across a porous filter barrier towards medium conditioned by control or Snail2 transduced HN13(TVA) cells was measured. Data show the mean and SEM from 3 independent experiments. Asterisks indicate that the mean is significantly different from that of the control by student’s t-test (**p<0.01, ***p<0.001) (C) Invasion of a high density fluorescent collagen fiber network by control or Snail-expressing HN13(TVA) cells was measured by leading edge analysis of

confocal micrographs. Representative fields showing the DAPI-stained nuclei of the cells (blue) penetrating the collagen matrix (green) are shown. The distance invaded by the cells at the invasive front is plotted for control HN13(TVA) cells and those expressing Snail1 or Snail2. Asterisks indicate medians significantly different from control cells by Mann Whitney U-test (** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$).