Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2009 ; 50: 930–932. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.12.037.

Incorporation of hexafluorobutyne into furans or phenols via reaction with iron(0) carbene or vinylketene complexes

Ravish K. Akhania, Atiq-ur-Rehmanb, and Wayne F. K. Schnattera,*

^a Long Island University, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brooklyn, NY 11201

^b CanAm Bioresearch Inc., Winnipeg, MB, R3T 0P4

Abstract

Reaction of iron(0) carbene complexes with hexafluorobut-2-yne produces 3,4-*bis* (trifluoromethylated)furans in a process that is favored for electron rich carbenes. No traces of furannulation or benzannulation are observed with Group 6 Fischer carbenes. Reaction of hexafluorobut-2-yne with a vinylketene iron(0) complex gives a 2,3-*bis*-trifluoromethylated phenol.

Furans represent a class of heterocycles widely found in nature in numerous natural products and their synthetic derivatives have found wide application in medicinal chemistry.¹ Trifluoromethylated organic compounds, by virtue of the special electronic and steric properties associated with the trifluoromethyl group, occupy a special niche in organic chemistry. New methods of the preparation of fluorinated organic compounds, especially trifluoromethylated species are always in demand.² We report herein our preliminary results in the preparation of *bis*-trifluoromethylated furans through the reaction of hexafluorobutyne with iron(0) carbene complexes, which is believed to take place via the intermediacy of a vinylketene complex. Extension of the reaction to an isolable η^4 -vinylketene complex resulted in the formation of a 2,3-*bis*-trifluoromethylated phenol.

The benzannulation reaction of chromium(0) carbene complexes³ with alkynes is a well established process that has been exploited extensively in the synthesis of phenols.⁴ Mechanism-based planning has lead to the discovery of routes to numerous alternative products such as furans, vinylketenes, cyclobutenones, and cyclohexadienones among others. Reaction of hexafluorobutyne in THF with phenyl(ethoxy)methylene chromium(0) **1a** in a sealed tube at 60 °C for 48 h formed a large number of products with none predominating. We were unable to detect the expected naphthol, or any of the aforementioned products. Additional studies with the molybdenum(0) carbene complex **1b** and the tungsten(0) carbene complex **1c** revealed that the carbene complex was recoverable (crude NMR analysis) and the solution viscosity increased, dramatically with **1c**, presumably due to polymerization.

Since the Group 6 complexes were not immediately useful, we assayed the reaction with iron (0) carbene complexes, because earlier studies indicated that these should exhibit substantially different reactivity from their Group 6 counterparts. These complexes, first reported by Fischer in 1972⁵ have yet to stimulate the level of interest seen for the chromium analogues. Aumann has examined the fundamental properties of the prototype complexes in a wide range of

*Corresponding author: Tel.: +1-718-488-1453; e-mail: Wayne.Schnatter@liu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

reactions with cumulene systems.⁶ Dvorak reported the intramolecular cyclizations of N-allyl iron(0) complexes.⁷ The study of reactions of iron carbene complexes with alkynes is extremely limited. Semmelhack observed the formation of η^4 -pyrone iron tricarbonyl complexes upon the reaction of a variety of alkynes with alkoxycarbene iron(0) complexes under modest CO pressure.⁸ Subsequent studies with ((dimethylamino)-phenylmethylidene)tetracarbonyliron(0) **9** showed that furan formation predominates. Our own work with electron poor alkynes¹⁰ demonstrated the delicate balance between benzannulation and furan ring formation with alkoxycarbene iron complexes. This situation was paralleled in Wulff's work where it was observed that a cyclohexenyl alkoxycarbene chromium complex reacted with 1,3,5-triynes to undergo benzannulations while a phenyl carbene complex produced the furan. In both cases, the central alkyne of the triyne was incorporated into the aromatic ring.¹¹

We chose a carefully selected group of complexes for this preliminary work. The phenyl carbene complex **1e** serves as a reference for electronic effects (Table 1). The *para*-methoxy complex **1f** and the *para*-N,N-dimethylamino complex **1g** are both relatively electron rich and both provide the furan in good yield. The furan is easily distinguished from the most likely alternative product, the naphthol by the proton NMR and was the only product that incorporated atoms from both the carbene complex and the alkyne. The electron rich amino carbene complex **1i** also gave a good yield of furan. The *meta*-methoxy compound **1d** is relatively electron poor and gave a low yield of furan, as did the sterically congested *tert*-butyl complex **1h**, for which benzannulation is not a possibility. In this case the low thermal stability of the carbene complex may have contributed to the low yield. The furans were hydrolytically sensitive and reduced yields can be attributed to some decomposition upon column chromatography. The *tert*-butyl furan was not purified to homogeneity (some solvent remained in the sample) because of its low yield, hydrolytic sensitivity, relatively high vapor pressure, and low polarity.

With hexafluorobutyne and iron carbene(0) complexes, furan formation appears to be extremely selective. Gas-chromatographic-mass spectrometric analysis of crude reaction mixtures revealed the formation of nearly exclusively single products, the furans. Even when the yields were low, no other products were isolated in significant quantities. Although hexafluorobutyne cyclotrimerizes readily upon reaction with transition metal reagents,¹² gc-ms analysis of crude reaction mixtures failed to show the presence of trimer at the detection limit of the instrument even though hexakis(trifluoro-methyl)benzene has been fully characterized.¹³ The photochemical reaction of hexafluorobutyne with tricarbonyliron complexes has been reported to form tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)cyclopentadienone iron complexes.¹⁴ The products formed with other metal complexes depended on the metal complex employed.¹⁵

There are many examples of furan formation upon the reaction of Group 6 carbene complexes with alkynes. Initially, Dötz discovered that furans were a minor side product formed when chromium carbene complexes were reacted with diphenylacetylene in heptane.¹⁶ Wulff subsequently showed that cobalt(I) carbene complexes preferentially formed furans upon reaction with several alkyl and aryl acetylenes.¹⁷ A recent study by Zora demonstrated that chromium, molybdenum, and tungsten carbenes produced furans when ferrocenyl carbene complexes were allowed to react with aryl and alkyl alkynes.¹⁸

There appears to be a strong propensity for furans to form as alternative products of reactions of transition metal derivatives with alkyne systems with carbonyl groups already present. For example, Herndon reported the formation of furans upon reaction of enynals with the methyl carbene complex of chromium, a process that takes place through a different mechanism, but one which illustrates the ability of chromium complexes to promote furan ring formation.¹⁹ Casey observed the conversion of *o*-ethynylbenzoyl rhenium complexes to isobenzofurans,²⁰ a process similar to the chromium catalyzed cyclizations of *cis*-2-penten-4-yne-1-ones to

furans developed by Uemura.²¹ A copper catalyzed synthesis of furans has been studied by Barluenga.²² O'Connor discovered an intriguing electrochemical conversion of an ester-substituted cobaltacyclobutene to form a furan through a 2 electron oxidation in which the ester carbonyl oxygen is incorporated into the furan as the ring oxygen.²³ Furans appear to be the preferred products of reaction of carbene complexes with alkynes when benzannulation is thwarted by stereochemical, structural (i.e. lack of a π -system upon which to benzannulate) or electronic factors. Since dimethylacetylene dicarboxylate is the only alkyne reported to undergo benzannulation with iron carbene complexes,¹⁰ we originally believed that the symmetry of the electron withdrawing groups was a critical feature of this process. Our results with hexafluorobutyne now indicate the flawed reasoning in this argument and instead suggest that the carbonyl groups of the ester are apparently critical in establishing the stereochemistry of the intermediates that lead to the bifurcation of mechanism responsible for the formation of furan over naphthol or phenol.

Mechanisms to account for furan formation have been suggested for alkoxy chromium carbene complexes²⁴ and for amino chromium carbene complexes.²⁵ Park has proposed the intermediacy of an η^4 -furan iron complex during the reaction of an alkynyl iron(0) carbene complex to produce a vinylcarbene complex.²⁶ Semmelhack gave a similar mechanism to account for the formation of furans from amino carbene complexes of iron.⁹ The critical intermediate is the vinylketene complex **A** that determines whether furan or benzene rings form. Benzannulation results from a metal directed electrocyclic ring closure. In our case, we suggest that a nucleophilic addition of the carbonyl group leads to an anion that is stabilized by the powerful electron withdrawing effect of the trifluoromethyl group. Migration of the alkoxy or amino group, presumably assisted by the iron carbonyl moiety, leads ultimately to the furan. In the absence of a transition metal these intermediates would be unlikely to form under the mild conditions employed in our protocols.

3,4-bis-(trifluoromethyl)furans have been prepared by a variety of alternative methods, including Diels-Alder cycloaddition of hexafluorobutyne with a furan followed by retro-Diels-Alder to provide the trifluoromethylated furan²⁷, treatment of 3,4-dimethylfurans with SF₄,²⁸ alkylation with trifluoromethyl iodide,²⁹ cyclization of trifluoromethylated-1,4-diketones,³⁰ reactions of 2-lithiobis(trifluoromethyl)-furan with electrophiles,³¹ and reaction of aldehydes with hexafluorobutyne under γ -ray irradiation.³² Ours is the first method to utilize an iron carbene complex with hexafluorobutyne and is especially useful for preparing furans with amine or alkoxy groups in position 2 of the furan.

Since vinylketene iron complexes³³ often exhibit reactivity indicating an equilibrium with the vinylcarbene form, we investigated the reaction of the prototype vinylketene complex **3** with hexafluorobutyne and observed, not the formation of a furan, but a catechol derivative in 42% yield. (Fig. 2.) This represents an additional example of our earlier work on vinylketene complexes to form catechols, which was somewhat limited in scope.³⁴ The alkyne cyclotrimer was not a significant problem in this specific example.

We report the first syntheses of 3,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)ated furans through the reaction of iron (0) carbene complexes and hexafluorobutyne.^{35, 36} In our studies (Table 1) we found that the more electron rich carbene complexes provide higher yields of furan. This may not be a reflection of faster reactivity, but may be due to increased stability of the product to oxidation or hydrolysis. We furthermore observed benzannulation upon reaction of hexafluorobutyne with a vinylketene iron complex.^{35, 37} In future work, unsymmetrical trifluoromethylacetylenes will be examined in both processes to establish the regioselectivity that might be exhibited. The process reported here has significant implications on the mechanism of reaction of alkynes with iron(0) carbene complexes as well as the establishment of synthetically useful protocols for the preparation of trifluoromethylated furans and phenols.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank the office of the Vice-President of Academic Affairs of Long Island University for a research grant that allowed preliminary results to be obtained during 2007. The project described was partially supported by NIH Grant Number SC2GM082276 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent to official views of the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health. We further thank the Chemistry Department of Rutgers University at Newark for allowing us to use the gc-ms and NMR facility. We thank J. Sebeo, Y. Rosner, and C. Rivera (Project SEED student) for technical assistance.

References and notes

1. For a review of synthetic methodology see: Koenig B. *Science of Synthesis* 2002;9:183–285.
2. For reviews of organofluorine syntheses see: Ma J-A, Cahard D. *Chem Rev* 2008;108, PR1–PR43. Uneyama K, Katagiri T, Amii H. *Acc Chem Res* 2008;41:817–829. [PubMed: 18553947] Org Proc Res Dev 2008;12:305–321. See also: Hagmann WK. *J Med Chem* 2008;15:4360–4369. for a detailed perspective on the role of fluorine in medicinal chemistry.
3. For a recent review of carbene complexes see: Gómez-Gallego M, Mancheño MM, Sierra MA. *Acc Chem Res* 2005;38:44–53. reference (2). [PubMed: 15654736]
4. Dötz KH. *Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl* 1975;14:644.
5. Fischer EO, Beck HJ, Kreiter CG, Lynch J, Müller J, Winkler E. *Chem Ber* 1972;105:162–172.
6. Aumann R, Trentmann B, Dartmann M, Krebs B. *Chem Ber* 1991;124:1795–1803. Aumann R, Trentmann B. *Chem Ber* 1989;122:1977–1982.
7. Meca L, Cisarova I, Dvorak D. *Organometallics* 2003;22:3703–3709.
8. Semmelhack MF, Tamura R, Schnatter W, Springer J. *J Am Chem Soc* 1984;106:5363–5364.
9. Semmelhack MF, Park J. *Organometallics* 1986;5:2550–2552.
10. Rehman A, Schnatter WFK, Manolache N. *J Am Chem Soc* 1993;115:9848–9849.
11. Rawat M, Prutyay V, Wulff WD. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:11044–11053. [PubMed: 16925420]
12. Garcia JJ, Sierra C, Torrens H. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1996;37:6097–6098.
13. Kütt A, Movchun V, Rodima T, Dansauer T, Rusanov EB, Leito I, Kaljurand I, Koppel J, Pihl I, Koppel I, Ovsjannikov G, Toom L, Mishima M, Medebielle M, Lork E, Rösenthaller G-V, Koppel IA, Kolomeitsev AA. *J Org Chem* 2008;73:2607–2620. [PubMed: 18324831] Brown HC, Gewanter HL, White DM, Woods WG. *J Org Chem* 1960;25:634–635.
14. Burt R, Cooke M, Green M. *J Chem Soc A* 1970:2981–2986.
15. King RB, Fronzaglia A. *Inorg Chem* 1966;5:1837–1846.
16. Dötz KH, Dietz R, Kappenstein D, Neugebauer D, Schubert V. *Chem Ber* 1979;112:1486.
17. Wulff WD, Gilbertson SR, Springer JP. *J Am Chem Soc* 1986;108:520–522.
18. Zora M, Güngör EÜ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2001;42:4733–4735.
19. Herndon JW, Wang H. *J Org Chem* 1998;63:4564–4565.
20. Casey CP, Strotman NA, Guzei IA. *Organometallics* 2004;23:4121–4130. Casey CP, Strotman NA. *J Org Chem* 2005;70:2576–2581. [PubMed: 15787545] see also Iwasawa N, Shido M, Kusama H. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:5814–5815. [PubMed: 11403621]
21. Miki K, Nishino F, Ohe K, Uemura S. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:5260–5261. [PubMed: 11996554]
22. Barluenga J, Riesgo L, Vicente R, López LA, Tomás M. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008;130:13528–13529. [PubMed: 18800797]
23. Donovan-Merkert BT, Malik J, Gray LV, O'Connor JM, Fong BS, Chen M-C. *Organometallics* 1998;17:1007–1009.
24. McCallum JS, Kunng FA, Gilbertson SR, Wulff WD. *Organometallics* 1988;7:2346–2360.

25. Parlier A, Rudler M, Rudler H, Goumont R, Daran JC, Vaissermann J. *Organometallics* 1995;14:2760–2774.
26. Park J, Kim J. *Organometallics* 1995;14:4431–4434.
27. Chambers RD, Roche A, Rock MH. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1: Org and Bio-Org Chem* 1996:1095–1100.
28. Nowak I, Dmowski W, Manko WA. *J Fluorine Chem* 1995;75:115–116.
29. Langk HJ, Schwark JR, Wiechert A, Scholz W, Albus U. *Eur Pat Appl.* 1995 EP 676395.
30. Briscoe MW, Chambers RD, Mullins SJ, Nakamura T, Vaughan JFSJ. *Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1: Org and Bio-Org Chem* 1994:3119–3124. Muramatsu H, Okumura A, Shibata K, Matsui M. *Chem Ber* 1994;127:1627–1632.
31. Abubakar AB, Booth BL, Tipping AE. *J Fluorine Chem* 1991;55:189–198.
32. Nishida M, Hayakawa Y, Matsui M, Shibata K, Muramatsu H. *J Het Chem* 1991;28:225–229.
33. For a comprehensive review of vinylketene complexes see: Gibson SE, Peplow MA. *Adv Organomet Chem* 1999;44:275–353.
34. Darbasie ND, Schnatter WFK, Warner KF, Manolache N. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2006;47:963–966. A substructure search on Chemical Abstracts SciFinder ® revealed no hits for a 2,3-bis-trifluoromethylated phenol.
35. General Procedure: A heavy walled Schlenk tube equipped with a Young Valve and small stir bar was cooled to -78°C and evacuated and filled with argon. A quantity of hexafluorobutyne (500–1300 mg; 3–8 mmol) was allowed to distill into the tube and then weighed. The tube was recooled and a solution of carbene or vinylketene complex (0.5–1.0 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5–6 mL) was added slowly. The mixture was placed under argon and the tube sealed tightly. The biphasic mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly and was gradually heated at 60°C for 48 h. The tube was then cooled to -78°C , the Young valve opened, and then the solution allowed to warm to room temperature. The crude product was filtered through Celite and then purified via flash chromatography on triethylamine doped silica.
36. Spectroscopic Data (2g) From tetracarbonyl[4-N,N-dimethylaminophenyl(ethoxy)methylene] iron (243 mg; 0.73 mmol) and hexafluorobutyne (1.2 gm; 7.4 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was obtained 2g (Yield: 128 mg; 48%) ^1H NMR (400 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ 7.40 (2H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 6.78 (2H, d, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 4.50 (2H, q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, CH_2CH_3), 2.99 (6H, s, $\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_2$), 1.40 (3H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, CH_3). ^{13}C NMR (100.57 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ : 157.0, 151.7, 147.0, 129.6, 121.0, 120.9, 119.0, 114.6, 111.6, 69.3, 39.4, 14.4. ^{19}F NMR (376.3 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ : -56.64 , -56.99 . GC-MS: m/z 367 (79), 338(100), 310(47), 148(37).
37. Spectroscopic Data (4) From tricarbonyl[2-ethoxy-3-phenyl-butadiene-1-one] iron (360 mg; 1.10 mmol) and hexafluorobutyne (1.17 g; 7.22 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was obtained 2-ethoxy, 4,5-bis (trifluoromethyl)-biphenylol 4 (Yield: 147 mg; 42%) ^1H NMR (400 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ 9.56 (1H, s, OH), 7.65 (2H, d, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.49 (2H, t, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.45 (1H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.34 (1H, s), 3.64 (2H, q, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 1.06 (3H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz) ^{13}C NMR (100.57 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ : 152.3, 147.9, 137.5, 136.2, 128.9, 128.7, 124.8, 124.4, 122.6, 122.1, 120.2, 113.0, 69.5, 14.4. ^{19}F NMR (376.3 MHz, d_6 -Acetone) δ : -58.04 , -58.85 . GC-MS: 350(98), 331(50), 322(70), 301(100), 233(96), 177 (45).

Fig. 1.
Proposed mechanism of furan formation.

Fig. 2.
Reaction of hexafluorobutyne with vinylketene complex **3**

Table 1

Furan formation from hexafluorobutyne and carbene complexes.

Entry	M	R	X	Yield 2 (%)
1	1a Cr(CO) ₅	Ph	OEt	0
2	1b Mo(CO) ₅	4-MeOPh	OEt	0
3	1c W(CO) ₅	4-MeOPh	OEt	0
4	1d Fe(CO) ₄	3-MeOPh	OEt	19
5	1e Fe(CO) ₄	Ph	OEt	42
6	1f Fe(CO) ₄	4-MeOPh	OEt	56
7	1g Fe(CO) ₄	4-Me ₂ NPh	OEt	48
8	1h Fe(CO) ₄	<i>t</i> -Bu	OEt	12
9	1i Fe(CO) ₄	4-MeOPh	NMe ₂	41