Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2008 May 19; 64(21): 4736–4757. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.02.108.

Total synthesis of atrochamins F, H, I, and J through cascade reactions

K. C. Nicolaou^{a,b,*}, Troy Lister^a, Ross M. Denton^a, and Christine F. Gelin^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla 92037, U.S.A.

^bDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla 92093, U.S.A.

Abstract

A concise and efficient cascade-based total synthesis of artochamins F, H, I, and J is described. The potential biogenetic connection between artochamin F, or a derivative thereof, and artochamins H, I, and J, through an unusual formal [2+2] cycloaddition process, was shown to be feasible. An alternative mechanism for this transformation is also proposed.

1. Introduction

The design and development of cascade reactions is a rapidly expanding area of research within the realm of chemical synthesis.¹ The virtues of such synthetic sequences are brilliantly reflected by some of the early developments in the field such as Sir Robert Robinson's total synthesis of tropinone (1917)² and W. S. Johnson's total synthesis of progesterone (1971).³ During our own endeavors in total synthesis we have demonstrated the power of cascade reactions beginning with the total synthesis of the endiandric acids (1982)⁴ and followed by numerous other examples, including the bisorbicillinoids (1999),⁵ CP-molecules (1999),⁶ colombiasin A (2001),⁷ hybocarpone (2001),⁸ diazonamide A (2003),^{9–10} 1-Omethyl lateriflorone (2003),¹¹ thiostrepton (2004),¹² azaspiracids-1,¹³ -2^{14a} and -3^{14b} (2006), bisanthraquinones (2006),¹⁵ and biyouyanagin (2007).¹⁶ Cascade reactions are not only attractive due to their aesthetically pleasing nature, but also because of their capacity to rapidly increase molecular complexity, often efficiently and economically, from relatively simple starting materials. Furthermore, they frequently do so with savings in reagents and solvents, not to mention energy and time. As such they constitute part of the campaign of green chemistry to save and sustain the environment. In this article, we describe a detailed account of the synthesis of artochamins F, H, I, and J through cascade reactions involving a formal [2+2] cycloaddition process that proceeds thermally under a variety of conditions.

The *Artocarpus* genus encompasses approximately sixty species of trees that are thriving throughout the tropical lands of Asia. Belonging to the mulberry family *Moraceae*, several members of the species are cultivated for their fruit, edible seeds and timber. A number of these trees are historically reputed to possess medicinal properties and are utilized as folk medicines

© 2008 Elsevier Science. All rights reserved

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +0-000-000-0000; fax: +0-000-000-0000; e-mail: E-mail: author@institute.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

in Taiwan, Thailand, and Indonesia.^{17–21} Studies directed at the elucidation of the active ingredients of *Artocarpus chama* led to the isolation of several prenylated flavonoid compounds exhibiting cytotoxic, antiplatelet, and antibacterial activities.²² More recent investigations with the stems of the same plant revealed a series of weakly cytotoxic prenylated stilbenes and derivatives thereof.²³ Artochamins, F, H, I, and J (**1–4**, Scheme 1) are amongst the most prominent and structurally interesting members of this class of compounds.

Inspection of the structures of artochamins F (**1**) and H–J (**2–4**) reveals a possible biogenetic relationship between them, whereby the stilbene-like structure **1**, or a derivative thereof, may serve as a precursor to the cyclobutane-containing structures **2–4** through a formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction.²⁴ The occurrence of artochamins H–J (**2–4**) as racemates is suggestive of a non-enzymatic process, but does not necessarily preclude enzymatic intervention in the formation of the bicyclo [3.2.0] heptane ring system, as will be discussed in greater detail below. Herein, we describe our studies in this area that culminated in the total syntheses of several of the artochamins and shed some light on the mechanistic aspects of the proposed formal [2+2] thermal cycloaddition reaction.²⁵

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Retrosynthetic analysis

The architecture of the artochamins (Scheme 1) makes them amenable to a cascade sequence which could potentially deliver them sequentially and/or selectively by fine-tuning the structure of the starting substrate. It was envisioned that a precursor such as **5** or **6** (Scheme 1), with or without protecting groups, equipped with the necessary functionality, could enter into a cascade sequence involving Claisen rearrangements to install the two prenyl groups, followed by a formal intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition to forge the cyclobutane ring. The nature of the hydroxyl protecting groups could potentially favor or disfavor the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction,^{24a} thus providing access to either artochamin F (**1**) or the skeletal framework of artochamins H–J (**2–4**). The stilbene precursors **5** and **6** were traced back to phosphonium salts **8** and **9**, or sulfones **10** and **11** and aldehyde **7**, respectively, with the stereoselectivity of the relevant coupling reactions to be addressed experimentally.

2.2. Synthesis of artochamin I

As a prelude to developing the cascade-based sequence towards the artochamins, a step-wise approach to artochamin I (**3**) was designed in order to explore separately the Claisen rearrangements and, in particular, the feasibility of the thermal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction. To this end, the required aldehyde **17** and phosphonium salt **9** for a Wittig-based coupling were prepared as follows. As shown in Scheme 2, copper-catalyzed etherification²⁶ of bis-phenol **12**²⁷ with dimethylpropynyl carbonate followed by catalytic hydrogenation under Lindlar conditions led to bis-allyl ether **13** in 83 % overall yield. Microwave-promoted (180 °C) Claisen rearrangements²⁸ then afforded the bis-prenylated ester **14** in good yield (85 %). Subsequent methylation of **14** (K₂CO₃, MeI) afforded bismethyl ether **15**, which was subjected to the action of LiAlH₄ to effect reduction of both the bromine substituent and ester functionality, affording benzylic alcohol **16**. Dess–Martin oxidation of the latter compound then furnished the desired aldehyde **17** in 90 % overall yield for the two steps.

The required phosphonium salt **9** was obtained in a straightforward manner from commercially available aromatic aldehyde **18** through a four-step sequence as shown in Scheme 3. Thus, protection of aldehyde **18** as the corresponding bis-TBS ether (TBSCl, imidazole, 91 %) followed by NaBH₄ reduction afforded benzylic alcohol **20** (95 %). Subsequent bromination of **20** with PBr₃ and reaction of the resulting benzylic bromide **21** with PPh₃ resulted in the formation of phosphonium salt **9** in 85 % yield.

The Wittig coupling between the ylide derived from phosphonium salt **9** and aldehyde **17** (Scheme 4) proceeded in excellent yield (90 %) and acceptable selectivity (*E*:*Z* ca. 5:1) providing *trans*-stilbene *E*-**22** as the major product. Removal of the TBS groups from *E*-**22** (HF·py, 88 %) afforded the protected artochamin F derivative **23**, setting the stage for the projected formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction. We were pleased to observe that microwave heating of **23** in DMF at 180 °C resulted in the formation of artochamin I (**3**) as a single diastereoisomer in 80 % yield. Significantly, attempts to cyclize the corresponding TBS ethers (*E*- or *Z*-**22**) under identical conditions failed, with only trace amounts of desilylated material visible (by TLC) after prolonged microwave heating (> 45 min.). Besides constituting an efficient synthesis of artochamin I (**3**), this study demonstrated the feasibility of both the double Claisen rearrangement and the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction under essentially identical reaction conditions. The study also demonstrated the expected dependence of the formal thermal cycloaddition reaction on the free hydroxyl groups of the stilbene substrate.^{24c}

2.3. Development of the Julia–Kociński approach to stilbenes and the cascade strategy for the total synthesis of artochamins F, H, I, and J

Having established appropriate conditions for the synthesis of the artochamins, we then proceeded to incorporate them within a cascade sequence towards an advanced common intermediate from which several of the natural products were expected to emerge. The bromine-containing bis-Boc protected stilbene derivative **5** (Scheme 1) was designed with the expectation that under thermal conditions it would undergo cleavage of the Boc groups, Claisen rearrangement of the two allylic ether moieties, and a formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction to afford the tetracyclic core structure of artochamins H–J (**2–4**). Our initial route to precursor **5** involved a Wittig coupling that required aldehyde **7** (Scheme 1) and phosphonium salt **8** (Scheme 1). To this end, chemoselective reduction of methyl ester **13** with LiAlH₄ provided alcohol **24**, which was oxidized to aldehyde **7** in 91 % yield over the two steps as shown in Scheme 5.

Next, the bis-Boc protected phosphonium salt **8** was prepared from commercially available aldehyde **18** by the same sequence as that employed to construct its bis-TBS protected counterpart (**9**, Scheme 3), as shown in Scheme 6. The two fragments, the ylide derived from **8** and aldehyde **7**, were then combined using the Wittig reaction to afford stilbene **5** in 90 % yield as a ca. 1:1 mixture of geometric isomers (Scheme 6). This stereoselectivity setback in combination with the low-yielding bromination (**26** → **27**, 18 %) prompted us to search for an alternative route to the targeted stilbene substrate.

Whilst numerous examples of the Wittig reaction to prepare stilbenes can be found in the literature,²⁹ precedent for the corresponding Julia–Kociński olefination was lacking.³⁰ Nevertheless, we decided to explore this alternative in the hope that we could improve upon the selectivity of the coupling reaction. Towards this end, stilbenes **5** and **6** were targeted for synthesis according to Scheme 7. Thus, the previously prepared benzylic alcohols **20** and **26** were subjected to thioetherification under Mitsunobu conditions to afford the corresponding sulfides, which were converted through molybdenum-catalyzed oxidation to the desired sulfone coupling partners **10** and **11** in 79 and 82 % yield, respectively, over the two steps. In the main event of this sequence, employing sulfone **10** as a starting material, we were pleased to observe that the Julia–Kociński olefination³¹ with aldehyde **7** using KHMDS in THF afforded the bis-Boc protected stilbene **5** in 86 % yield as a single *E*-stereoisomer (*vide infra*). The bis-TBS protected stilbene **6** was obtained in a similar fashion from the corresponding sulfone (**11**) in 95 % yield, but as a ca. 2:1 mixture of *E*:*Z* isomers. In contrast to the TBS-protected stilbenes, whose stereochemistry could be determined by NMR spectroscopy, the geometry of the bis-Boc protected stilbene **5** could not be comfortably assigned from its ¹H NMR spectrum. Fortunately, this compound yielded to x-ray

crystallographic analysis which confirmed the suspected *E*-geometry (see ORTEP drawing, Figure 1).³²

With ample quantities of stilbene **5** available through the Julia–Kociński route, we were in a position to test our hypothesis regarding the proposed cascade sequence to the bicyclo [3.2.0] ring system of artochamins H–J (**2–4**). After considerable experimentation it was discovered that the desired cascade could be realized under microwave conditions³³ in *o*-xylene at 180 °C (20 min.) in the presence of trace amounts of Ph₃PO as shown in Scheme 8. The beneficial effect of Ph₃PO was discovered serendipitously when a sample of precursor **5** that had been obtained through the Wittig route was used for the reaction (*vide infra*). The tetracyclic product **32** was obtained in 55 % yield as a *ca.* 5:1 mixture of diastereoisomers with respect to the benzylic stereocenter adjacent to the all-carbon quaternary center (see Scheme 8).

With common intermediate **32** available through the cascade sequence, the total synthesis of artochamins H–J (**2–4**) was completed as shown in Scheme 9. Thus, treatment of **32** with PivCl (2.0 equiv) and pyridine (6.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ furnished the bis-pivalate **35** in 64 % yield, with recyclable mono-pivalates accounting for the remainder of the mass balance. As anticipated, steric shielding from the adjacent bromine atom disfavored acylation of the two remaining hydroxyl groups under these conditions. Subsequent methylation of the remaining hydroxyl groups (K₂CO₃, MeI) and simultaneous cleavage of the bromine and pivalate moieties (LiAlH₄) then furnished artochamin I (**3**) in 91 % overall yield for the two steps. The total synthesis of artochamin J (**4**) was completed from common intermediate **32** *via* pivalate **35** by selenoetherification³⁴ (PhSeCl) and oxidative elimination (H₂O₂) to afford benzopyran **37** (85 % overall yield), which was converted to artochamin J (**4**) by methylation and exhaustive LiAlH₄ reduction as described above for artochamin I (**3**). Finally, artochamin H (**2**) was secured from common intermediate **32** through tri-pivalate **33**, obtained in 75 % yield under somewhat more forcing acylation conditions (3.0 eq PivCl, 6.0 equiv Et₃N). Methylation and deprotection as above provided artochamin H (**2**) in 88 % overall yield (see Scheme 9).

The spectroscopic data of synthetic artochamins H–J (**2–4**) matched those reported for the natural products.³⁵ Furthermore, in the case of artochamin H (**2**), a ROESY NMR experiment provided unequivocal support of its regiochemistry and relative stereochemistry through the observation of the appropriate ROE interactions, as shown in Figure 2.

Finally, based on the results obtained during the first-generation synthesis of artochamin I (**3**) (see Scheme 4), the total synthesis of artochamin F (**1**) was completed as shown in Scheme 10. Thus, the Julia–Kociński derived stilbene **6** (see Scheme 7) was subjected to microwave heating resulting in the formation of bis-prenyl phenol **39** in excellent yield (95%). As expected, no cyclobutane-containing product was obtained from this microwave-promoted reaction. Reductive cleavage of the bromide, followed by desilylation then afforded synthetic artochamin F (**1**) in 81 % yield over the two steps. Subjection of artochamin F (**1**) to microwave heating, both in the presence or absence of Ph₃PO, provided, in 82 % yield, derivative **40** containing the [3.2.0] ring system of artochamins H–J (**2–4**), thereby demonstrating that neither the bromine atom, nor the Ph₃PO are necessary for the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction to take place, at least in this instance.

2.4. Mechanistic studies

The cascade sequence described above could also be conducted successfully by conventional heating (*o*-xylene, 165 °C, sealed tube), although one hour was required for the reaction to reach completion. TLC analysis of the reaction mixture indicated that the Claisen rearrangements proceed faster than the Boc cleavage or the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction. Given the fact that the free hydroxyl groups are necessary for the formal cycloaddition reaction to occur, we surmise the sequence shown in Scheme 8 as the most reasonable order

of events in this cascade sequence. Thus, it is thought that rapid Claisen rearrangements are followed by slower deprotections and ring closures (**5**→**28**→**29**→**30**→**31**→**32**, Scheme 8).

The precise mechanism of the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction is quite fascinating, but not fully illuminated as yet. At present, we can outline three potential alternatives for this interesting transformation, the first two of which are described in Scheme 11. The first scenario involves a concerted [$\pi 2s+\pi 2a$] cycloaddition³⁶ between the stilbene alkene and one of the prenyl groups (**31**→**32**, Scheme 11). Such a process ought to be stereospecific with respect to the geometry of the stilbene alkene. We view this first possibility to be highly unlikely due to the difficulty in obtaining the necessary orbital overlap, especially when the conformational constraints imposed by the tether are taken into account. The second, and more reasonable scenario, may involve a stepwise radical mechanism in which an initial 5-*exo* cyclization is followed by rapid recombination of the biradical so obtained (**31**→**41**→**32**, Scheme 11). The stereochemical outcome of this process with respect to the benzylic stereocenter adjacent to the gem-dimethyl group should be governed by the relative rates of the indicated bond rotation and radical coupling processes. If the radical recombination occurs faster than the bond rotation, then the stereochemistry of the starting olefin will be retained in the product, whereas, in the reverse scenario, the formation of *epi*-**32** will be competitive with that of **32**.

In an initial experiment with Wittig-derived stilbenes *E*- and *Z*-**5**, it was discovered that the reaction was not stereospecific with respect to the geometry of the alkene, although possible *E/Z* isomerization of the stilbene under the reaction conditions in the case of the *Z*-isomer could undermine this conclusion. These observations corroborate the stepwise biradical mechanism in which bond rotation occurs at a rate competitive with radical recombination.

The third, and most attractive, alternative is the ionic mechanism depicted in Scheme 12. This mechanism may be particularly relevant to the formation of artochamins H–J (**2**–**4**) in nature due to the ease of enzymatic generation of *o*-quinones from catechols.³⁷ This alternative biosynthetic pathway appears to be more attractive than the one originally proposed based on a [2+2] cycloaddition mechanism since the conditions typically required to bring about such transformations are rather forcing.²⁴ According to this newly proposed process, initial enzymatic³⁷ or chemical³⁸ oxidation of the electron-rich catechol moiety of generic stilbene **43** (Scheme 12) would furnish *o*-quinone **44** which could readily undergo intramolecular conjugate addition as shown, affording the intermediate **45**, whose facile ring closure to tetracyclic quinone **46** would be expected. Finally, redox reaction between quinone **46** and dihydroquinone **43** (starting material) may be responsible for the completion of the cascade, leading to product **47** with concomitant re-generation of *o*-quinone **44**, thus necessitating only a catalytic amount of the initial oxidant. This could be an enzyme,³⁷ trace amounts of O₂,³⁹ or other oxidants such as DDQ or even Ph₃PO, as will be discussed further below.

The intriguing effect of Ph₃PO on the cascade reaction was first noticed when stilbene **5** derived from the Julia-Kociński olefination failed to reproducibly undergo the cascade reaction observed previously with the Wittig-derived material. Suspecting that a trace impurity arising from the Julia–Kociński olefination was causing the problem, we proceeded to further purify our substrate by crystallization only to observe the same unsuccessful results in inducing the desired cascade reaction. On turning our attention to possible contaminants in the Wittig-derived stilbene, we began experimentation with Ph₃PO as an additive to the Julia–Kociński derived stilbene. These experiments led us to the discovery that 3–5 % w/w of Ph₃PO was required for the desired cascade reaction to take place. Although we cannot at present define precisely the exact role played by the phosphine oxide, some speculations are possible. To recap our observations, we know that Ph₃PO is not absolutely essential in every instance for the [2+2] cycloaddition reaction, nor is it required for the Claisen rearrangements or Boc cleavages. However, that it is not essential does not preclude a role in which it facilitates one

or more of the events in the cascade sequence. We suspect that this additive could potentially facilitate the cycloaddition step based on the following considerations. Proton transfer from phenols to phosphine oxides both in solution and the solid state has been observed to varying degrees spectroscopically.⁴⁰ In such events it is typical to observe a downfield shift of the ¹H NMR signal of the hydroxyl group in the phenol-Ph₃PO complex as the pK_a of the phenol decreases. These results, together with a recent report^{24a} describing a base promoted intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition reaction between a prenyl group and a benzopyran moiety, suggest that Ph₃PO may be acting as a Lewis base providing formal HOMO activation of the stilbene system towards cycloaddition. Additionally, it is noteworthy to mention the distinct color differences of the reaction mixtures of these cascade reactions in the presence and absence of Ph₃PO. The latter becomes significantly darker in color, suggesting decomposition that does not occur to the same extent in the presence of the additive.

An intriguing possibility for the role of the Ph₃PO in the described cascade reactions and in the context of the redox/ionic mechanism proposed above (Scheme 12) for the biogenesis of artochamins H–J is outlined in Scheme 13.

Given that the redox-based sequence described above is dependent on the initial generation of small amounts of the *o*-quinone stilbene in order to initiate, we contemplated the possible role that Ph₃PO might play in this oxidation process. The somewhat counterintuitive process, outlined in Scheme 13, hinges upon combining the dehydration reaction known to take place between Ph₃PO and catechols⁴¹ and the chelotropic elimination of Ph₃P from the so-derived phospholene.³⁹ Thus, formation of phospholene **48** from stilbene **31** (Scheme 13) may occur under the reaction conditions; its conversion to Ph₃P and *o*-quinone **49** (which may be reversible) could be driven by re-oxidation of the former to Ph₃PO with molecular oxygen present in trace amounts in the reaction mixture. It should be reiterated that only a trace amount of the *o*-quinone stilbene intermediate is necessary for the cascade sequence described in Scheme 12 to become operative. The direct oxidation of stilbene **31** by molecular oxygen is another possibility³⁹ that could account for the generation of quinone stilbene intermediate **49**. This process is potentially more relevant in the conversion of stilbene **23** to artochamin I (**3**) (Scheme 4) or the conversion of artochamin F (**1**) to **40** (Scheme 10), where the stilbenes could, in principle, already contain traces of the corresponding *o*-quinones arising from aerial oxidation before entering into the formal cycloaddition reaction. Given that deprotection of the catechol moiety of stilbene **5** occurs during the cascade process, the Ph₃PO oxidation cycle described above may also be relevant in the cascade sequence described in Scheme 8.

In order to gain further insight into these mechanistic proposals, we considered the following experiments to be relevant. The addition of a more conventional oxidant to the cascade reaction of Scheme 8 should also have a similar effect as Ph₃PO, but obviously will not provide any information with respect to the differentiation of the diradical and redox/ionic mechanisms. The inclusion of 1 % by wt. DDQ in this cascade gave similar results to those obtained with Ph₃PO. Interestingly, the initial deep red color of the reaction mixture was slowly bleached after heating for a few minutes at 180 °C in *o*-xylene. Presumably, this color change signals the fact that the DDQ persists in solution until the cleavage of both Boc groups from one or more of the cascade intermediates has occurred, after which time it is reduced by the free catechol moiety. In an attempt to observe this process spectroscopically, stilbene **31** possessing a free catechol moiety was prepared and reacted with DDQ (1.5 equiv) in CDCl₃ at ambient temperature and the reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 14). Exclusive formation of tetracycle **50** was observed in minutes. Formed through initial oxidation of **31** to *o*-quinone **49** followed by intramolecular [4+2] cycloaddition as shown in Scheme 14, this conjugated *o*-quinone proved too labile for isolation. However, upon removal of the solvent (CDCl₃), dissociation of the residue in *o*-xylene and microwave irradiation at 180 °C for 30 minutes, cyclobutane **32** was formed (30 % overall yield from **31**). A most likely

pathway for the conversion of **50** to **32** involves thermally induced reversal of the [4+2] cycloaddition, followed by conversion of the so obtained *o*-quinone **49**, to **32**, via *o*-quinone **51**, by the redox/ionic mechanism described above (Scheme 12). We suspect that under the acidic reaction conditions and high temperatures, Diels–Alder adduct **50** undergoes rapid tautomerisation to catechol **53**, via hydroquinone **52**, which may, in turn, be responsible for the reduction of *o*-quinone **51** to cyclobutane **32**, also leading to *o*-quinone **54**. This cycle reaches equilibrium, accounting for the loss of reactivity over longer periods of heating. Another scenario in which Diels–Alder adduct **50** would lead to **32** is by a formal [1,3]-alkyl shift giving *o*-quinone **51** directly. We believe this pathway to be highly unlikely given the formidable geometrical constraints to the required orbital overlap.³⁶

An obvious corollary of the redox/ionic mechanism proposed in Scheme 12 is the availability of the catechol unit; Given that the methylene acetal derivative of **58** (Scheme 15) ought to be inert with respect to oxidation, any formal cycloaddition product obtained from this substrate should be derived from the diradical pathway shown in Scheme 11, since we have already rejected the concerted pathway on the grounds of insufficient orbital overlap. Furthermore, the absence of product in this experiment would provide circumstantial evidence for the redox/ionic mechanism proposed. We therefore prepared the methylene acetal stilbene **58**, through intermediates **56** and **57** as depicted in Scheme 15, and subjected it to microwave heating.

Exposure of stilbene **58** to microwave heating failed to yield any cyclobutane-containing products; indeed this substrate was recovered quantitatively even after prolonged heating (> 30 min. at 180 °C). This provides strong circumstantial evidence for the intervention of the *o*-quinone intermediate in the formation of the bicyclo [3.2.0] heptane ring system.

In an attempt to further investigate the mechanism of the formal cycloaddition and to probe the generality of the reaction, four simplified stilbene substrates (**62**, **65**, **68**, and **71**) were prepared from known aldehyde **61** as shown in Scheme 16. After microwave heating at 180 °C (> 30 min.), stilbenes **62**, **65**, **68** and **71** were recovered quantitatively, clearly demonstrating their failure to undergo the formal cycloaddition reaction (Scheme 16). These negative results provide further evidence that the redox/ionic mechanism shown in Scheme 12 is the most likely scenario operating in these reactions.

3. Conclusions

Described herein are a series of cascade-based strategies whose implementation resulted in expeditious and efficient total syntheses of artochamins H–J (**2–4**) as well as artochamin F (**1**). The mechanism for those cascade reactions was investigated and a number of proposals are put forward, including a redox-based mechanism involving *o*-quinone species that may be relevant to the biosynthesis of members of this class. These cascade-based total syntheses highlight, once again, the advantages of such synthetic sequences in rapidly building molecular complexity and diversity from relatively simple starting materials in efficient and environmentally benign ways.

5. Experimental

5.1. General Experimental

All reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere with dry solvents under anhydrous conditions, unless otherwise noted. Dry tetrahydrofuran (THF), dimethylformamide (DMF), triethylamine (Et₃N), and methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂) were obtained by passing commercially available predried, oxygen-free formulations through activated alumina columns. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically (¹H NMR) homogeneous materials, unless otherwise stated. Reagents were purchased at the highest commercial quality

and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light as the visualizing agent and an ethanolic solution of anisaldehyde and sulfuric acid or an ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid and cerium sulfate, and heat as developing agents. Preparative thin-layer chromatography (PTLC) separations were carried out on 0.25 or 0.50 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker DRX-600, DRX-500, or AMX-400 instruments and calibrated using residual undeuterated solvent as an internal reference. The following abbreviations were used to designate multiplicities: s=singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, q=quartet, m=multiplet, quin=quintuplet, sext=sextet, sep=septet, br=broad. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1600 series FT-IR spectrometer. Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry (MS) experiments were performed on an API 100 Perkin Elmer SCIEX single quadrupole mass spectrometer at 4000V emitter voltage. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a VG ZAB-ZSE mass spectrometer using MALDI (matrix-assisted laser-desorption ionization) or ESI (electrospray ionization).

5.2. First generation synthesis of artochamin I (3)

5.2.1. 4-Bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)-benzoic acid methyl ester (13)—

To a solution of methyl 4-bromo-3,5-dihydroxybenzoate (**12**) (3.0 g; 12.1 mmol) in CH₃CN (120 mL) at 0 °C was added CuCl₂ (30 mg; 0.12 mmol) followed by DBU (5.46 mL; 36.3 mmol). After stirring for 15 minutes methyl 1,1-dimethyl-2-propynyl carbonate (5.19 g; 36.3 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C overnight. The reaction mixture was treated with H₂O (50 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 100 mL), then the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give the bis-alkyne (3.32 g; 83 %) as a clear oil. *R*_f = 0.50 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR *v*_{max} (film) 2982m, 1724m, 1576m, 1415m, 1382w, 1364w, 1331m, 1240m, 1134m, 1058s, 1033s, 1014m, 840w, 771w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.00 (s, 2 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H), 2.63 (s, 2 H), 1.73 (s, 12 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 166.4, 154.0, 129.0, 117.9, 116.1, 85.4, 74.5, 74.5, 52.4, 29.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₈H₁₉BrO₄ [*M* + H⁺]: 379.0539; found 379.0544.

To a solution of bis-alkyne (2.50 g; 6.59 mmol) in EtOAc (66 mL) under an atmosphere of argon at room temperature was added quinoline (389 μL; 3.30 mmol) followed by 5 % Pd/CaCO₃ (poisoned with Pb) (250 mg; 10 % w/w). The system was flushed with H₂ and then stirred under an atmosphere of H₂ (balloon) for 3 hours. The system was flushed with argon and the reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (10 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give the bis-alkene **13** (2.53 g; 100 %) as a colorless oil. *R*_f = 0.18 (silica gel, 15 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR *v*_{max} (film) 2982m, 1725s, 1571m, 1415s, 1379w, 1364w, 1334m, 1238s, 1128m, 1072m, 1005w, 927w, 772w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.46 (s, 2 H), 6.17 (dd, *J* = 17.6, 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 17.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H), 1.53 (s, 12 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 166.6, 154.5, 143.6, 128.5, 118.4, 115.7, 114.1, 82.2, 52.3, 26.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₈H₂₃BrO₄ [*M* + H⁺]: 383.0852; found 383.0862.

5.2.2. 4-Bromo-3,5-dihydroxy-2,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzoic acid methyl ester (14)—

A solution of bis-alkene **13** (900 mg; 0.24 mmol) in DMF (2.0 mL) was submitted to microwave heating for 5 minutes at 180 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and H₂O (2 mL) was added. The aqueous phase was acidified (pH 3) with HCl (1 M) and the layers were separated. The organic phase was further washed with H₂O (4 × 2 mL) and brine (2 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by

column chromatography (60 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes) to give bisprenyl compound **14** (76.5 mg; 85 %) as a clear oil. *R_f* = 0.22 (silica gel, 60 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3464brm, 2965w, 2914w, 2856w, 1717s, 1579m, 1431s, 1363s, 1320m, 1253s, 1206m, 1144s, 1092s, 1023m, 1002w, 927w, 901w, 799w, 775w, 735w, 604w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 5.68 (s, 2 H), 5.16 (m, 2 H), 3.86 (s, 3 H), 3.28 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.73 (s, 6 H), 1.70 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 169.4, 149.4, 134.3, 133.4, 121.8, 117.2, 101.4, 52.1, 27.3, 25.7, 17.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₈H₂₃BrO₄ [*M*+H⁺]: 383.0852; found 383.0850.

5.2.3. 4-Bromo-3,5-dimethoxy-2,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzoic acid

methyl ester (15)—To a solution of bis-phenol **14** (200 mg; 0.52 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (361 mg; 2.61 mmol) and MeI (195 μ L; 3.13 mmol), and the resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C for 1 hour. Once cool, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (30 mL) and washed with H₂O (5 $\times$ 5 mL) and brine (5 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (60 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes) to give the bismethyl ether **15** (204 g; 95 %) as a clear oil. *R_f* = 0.38 (silica gel, 60 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2934w, 2859w, 1730s, 1561w, 1450m, 1434m, 1398s, 1375w, 1319s, 1248m, 1194m, 1144m, 1093s, 1031s, 984m, 950w, 925w, 895w, 833w, 802w, 764w, 732w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 5.09 (m, 2 H), 3.81 (s, 3 H), 3.78 (s, 6 H), 3.35 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 4 H), 1.70 (s, 6 H), 1.67 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 169.0, 154.6, 135.0, 132.2, 129.6, 122.4, 114.8, 60.9, 52.0, 27.0, 25.6, 17.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₀H₂₇BrO₄ [*M*+H⁺]: 411.1165; found 411.1164.

5.2.4. [4-Bromo-3,5-dimethoxy-2,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-phenyl]-methanol

(16)—To a solution of methyl ester **15** (180 mg; 0.44 mmol) in THF (4.0 mL) at 0 °C was added LiAlH₄ (4.4 mL; 4.4 mmol; 1 M solution in THF) and the resulting mixture was warmed to 50 °C and stirred for 14 hours. Excess LiAlH₄ was quenched by the addition of EtOAc, then H₂O (1 mL), NaOH (1 mL; 1 M aqueous solution) and H₂O (2 mL), and MgSO₄ were added successively and the mixture was filtered. The solvent was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) to give alcohol **16** (119 mg; 89 %) as a clear oil. *R_f* = 0.39 (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂); IR ν_{max} (film) 3418brm, 2962m, 2913m, 2855m, 1592s, 1453m, 1437m, 1375m, 1311s, 1207m, 1158m, 1114m, 1084s, 1001m, 957w, 927w, 888w, 817m, 756w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 6.50 (s, 1 H), 5.13 (m, 2 H), 4.65 (s, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 6 H), 3.46 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.81 (s, 6 H), 1.69 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.4, 138.7, 131.0, 124.5, 121.9, 95.8, 59.1, 55.8, 25.7, 24.4, 17.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₉H₂₈O₃ [*M*+H⁺]: 305.2111; found 305.2102.

5.2.5. 4-Bromo-3,5-dimethoxy-2,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzaldehyde (17)

—To a solution of alcohol **16** (100 mg; 0.33 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.0 mL) at room temperature was added Dess–Martin periodinane (209 mg; 0.49 mmol). After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (30 mL) and a solution of Na₂S₂O₃ (840 mg) in saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) was added, and stirring maintained until a clear biphasic system persisted. The aqueous phase was removed and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes) to give aldehyde **7** (93 mg; 94 %) as a clear oil. *R_f* = 0.32 (silica gel, 30 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2951m, 2925m, 2850m, 1697m, 1603m, 1588m, 1462m, 1437m, 1375w, 1311s, 1200m, 1163w, 1119w, 1097w, 1082w, 1027w, 965w, 827w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 10.44 (s, 1 H), 6.64 (s, 1 H), 5.10 (m, 2 H), 3.85 (s, 6 H), 3.54 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 4 H), 1.74 (s, 6 H), 1.66 (d, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 195.3, 156.4, 134.9, 131.4, 123.8, 123.5, 99.9, 56.1, 25.8, 23.8, 17.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₉H₂₆O₃ [*M*+H⁺]: 303.1955; found 303.1943.

5.2.6. 3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-benzaldehyde (19)—Aldehyde **19** was prepared according to the literature and displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴²

5.2.7. [3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-phenyl]-methanol (20)—To a solution of aldehyde **19** (3.28 g; 8.96 mmol) in EtOH (45 mL) was added NaBH₄ (339 mg; 8.96 mmol) portion wise at 0 °C. After complete addition, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction was quenched with water, extracted with EtOAc (2 × 50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield benzyl alcohol **20** (3.23 g; 98 %). *R*_f = 0.22 (silica gel, 15 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 3318brw, 2954m, 2930s, 2886w, 2858s, 1605w, 1578w, 1509s, 1472m, 1422m, 1295s, 1252s, 1158m, 1123m, 904s, 777s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.85 (s, 1 H), 6.80 (s, 2 H), 4.55 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.47 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 0.99 (s, 18 H), 0.20 (s, 12 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 146.9, 146.5, 134.2, 121.0, 120.2, 120.1, 65.2, 25.9, 18.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₉H₃₆O₃Si₂ [*M*+Na⁺]: 391.2095; found 391.2111.

5.2.8. 4-Bromomethyl-1,2-bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-benzene (21)—To solution of benzyl alcohol **20** (430 mg; 1.17 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of PBr₃ (55 μ L; 0.58 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and H₂O (10 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to give the corresponding benzyl bromide **21** (503 mg; 100 %) as a yellow oil, which displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴³

5.2.9. [3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-benzyl]-triphenylphosphonium bromide (9)—To a solution of benzyl bromide **21** (503 mg; 1.17 mmol) in toluene (5.5 mL) was added PPh₃ (300 mg; 1.17 mmol) and the resulting mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The precipitate was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum to give phosphonium bromide **9** (720 mg; 89 %) as a white solid, which displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴⁴

5.2.10 3-{2-[3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-1,5-dimethoxy-2,4-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzene (22)—To a solution of phosphonium bromide **9** (127 mg; 0.18 mmol) in THF (9.0 mL) at -78 °C was added *n*-BuLi (71 μ L; 0.18 mmol; 2.5 M solution in hexanes) and the resulting red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **17** (45 mg; 0.15 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL) was added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes. Saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes) to give *Z*-**22** (17 mg; 18 %) followed by *E*-**22** (68 mg; 72 %) as clear oils. Physical data for *E*-**22**: *R*_f = 0.29 (silica gel, 30 % CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2951s, 2928s, 2857m, 1739w, 1585m, 1507s, 1462m, 1433m, 1309m, 1297m, 1253m, 1213w, 1160w, 1120w, 1081w, 991w, 908s, 839s, 806s, 782s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 6.94 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.46 (s, 1 H), 6.38 (d, *J* = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.14 (m, 2 H), 3.85 (s, 6 H), 3.34 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.66 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 6 H), 1.63 (s, 6 H), 1.01 (s, 18 H), 0.23 (s, 6 H), 0.22 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.3, 146.8, 146.5, 139.4, 133.9, 131.6, 130.4, 124.6, 124.1, 120.9, 120.8, 119.7, 118.9, 94.8, 55.9, 26.2, 26.0, 26.0, 25.8, 18.5, 18.5, 18.0, -4.1, -4.1 ppm.

5.2.11. Artochamin I (3)—To a solution of bis-TBS ether *E*-**22** (40 mg; 62.7 μ mol) in THF (500 μ L) at 0 °C was added HF•py (500 μ L; excess) and the resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 2 hours. The reaction was diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and then washed successively with saturated aqueous CuSO₄ (3 $\times$ 2 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 $\times$ 2 mL) and brine (2 mL). The organic layer was then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was passed through a short plug of silica gel (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give diol **23** (23 mg; 88 %) as a clear oil, which was used directly in the following reaction.

A solution of stilbene **23** (10 mg; 24.2 μ mol) in DMF (2 mL) was subjected to microwave heating at 180 °C for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (10 mL) and H₂O (2 mL) was added. The aqueous phase was acidified (pH 3) with HCl (1 M) and the layers were separated. The organic phase was further washed with H₂O (4 $\times$ 2 mL) and brine (2 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (50 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give artochamin I (**3**) (8.0 mg; 80 %) as a clear oil. *R*_f = 0.17 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3381brm, 2926s, 2856m, 1701w, 1600s, 1518m, 1492m, 1461s, 1451s, 1436s, 1365m, 1312s, 1282m, 1260m, 1202m, 1119s, 1086s, 1033w, 867w, 806m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ = 7.64 (brs, 2 H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.47 (s, 1 H), 4.92 (m, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 3.03 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.94–2.91 (m, 1 H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.84 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.76 (m, 2 H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.42 (s, 3 H), 1.01 (s, 3 H), 0.75 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ = 159.6, 156.4, 150.9, 146.6, 145.2, 135.2, 131.5, 125.6, 125.6, 121.0, 119.0, 117.1, 116.7, 95.9, 59.6, 57.3, 56.5, 46.6, 46.4, 40.1, 31.7, 28.4, 27.6, 27.2, 26.8, 18.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₆H₃₂O₄ [*M*+H⁺]: 409.2373; found 409.2374.

5.3. Julia–Kociński approach to stilbenes and cascade strategy for artochamins H–J (2–4)

5.3.1. [4-Bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)-phenyl]-methanol (24)—To a solution of methyl ester **13** (1.90 g; 4.96 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of LiAlH₄ (5.95 mL; 5.95 mmol; 1 M solution in THF). After 10 minutes the reaction was quenched by the addition of H₂O (2 mL), NaOH (2 mL; 1 M aqueous solution) and H₂O (3 mL), then MgSO₄ was added and the mixture was filtered. The solvent was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by column chromatography (40 % Et₂O in hexanes) to give alcohol **24** (1.67 g; 95 %) as a clear oil. *R*_f = 0.36 (silica gel, 60 % Et₂O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3404brw, 2981w, 1572m, 1422s, 1378m, 1363m, 1217w, 1127s, 1057s, 999m, 923m, 864m, 704m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 6.82 (s, 2 H), 6.18 (dd, *J* = 15.0, 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.22 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.14 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2 H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.51 (s, 12 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 154.6, 144.2, 139.6, 113.9, 113.6, 111.9, 81.8, 65.0, 26.9 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₁₇H₂₃BrO₃ [*M*+H⁺]: 355.0903; found 355.0906.

5.3.2. [4-Bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)]-benzaldehyde (7)—To a solution of alcohol **24** (1.66 g; 4.67 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) at room temperature was added Dess–Martin periodinane (2.4 g; 5.61 mmol). After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (100 mL) and a solution of Na₂S₂O₃ (9.6 g) in saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) was added and stirring maintained until a clear biphasic system persisted. The aqueous phase was removed and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % Et₂O in hexanes) to give aldehyde **7** (1.60 g; 97 %) as a clear oil. *R*_f = 0.34 (silica gel, 30 % Et₂O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2982w, 1696s, 1570s, 1421s, 1379s, 1364m, 1325m, 1217w, 1124s, 1072s, 1035s, 1001m, 924m, 827m, 757m, 704m cm⁻¹; ¹H

NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 9.78 (s, 1 H), 7.27 (s, 2 H), 6.18 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.27 (d, J = 17.5 Hz, 2 H), 5.21 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.55 (s, 12 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 191.6, 155.7, 144.0, 135.3, 121.0, 115.1, 114.8, 82.8, 27.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF): m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{BrO}_3$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 353.0747; found 353.0746.

5.3.3. Carbonic acid 2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-4-formylphenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (25)—To a solution of 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde **18** (2.0 g; 14.5 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at room temperature was added di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (6.32 g; 29.0 mmol), $i\text{Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ (203 μL ; 1.45 mmol) and DMAP (89 mg; 0.72 mmol). After 2 hours the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and washed with NaOH (50 mL; 1 M aqueous solution) and brine, then dried (MgSO_4), concentrated *in vacuo* to give aldehyde **25** (4.90 g; 100 %), which was used in the next reaction without further purification. R_f = 0.38 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2978w, 2936w, 2868w, 1767m, 1740m, 1704m, 1371m, 1243s, 1151s, 1136s, 1106s, 1045m, 886m, 865w, 776m, 728m, 634m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 9.95 (s, 1 H), 7.79 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.55 (s, 18 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 190.0, 150.3, 149.8, 147.3, 143.2, 134.5, 127.9, 124.0, 123.7, 84.5, 84.4, 27.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_7$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 361.1258; found 361.1259.

5.3.4. Carbonic acid 2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-4-hydroxymethyl-phenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (26)—To a solution of aldehyde **25** (4.44 g; 13.1 mmol) in EtOH (70 mL) at 0 °C was added NaBH_4 (548 mg; 13.1 mmol) and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. Saturated aqueous NH_4Cl (50 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3×100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give alcohol **26** (4.24 g; 95 %) as a clear oil. R_f = 0.38 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3377brs, 2976w, 2934w, 2874w, 1766m, 1371m, 1252s, 1154s, 1113s, 1046m, 880m, 777m, 734m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 7.31 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.11 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (s, 2 H), 1.58 (s, 18 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 150.8, 150.8, 142.4, 141.6, 139.8, 124.5, 122.9, 121.4, 83.8, 83.7, 27.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}_7$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 363.1414; found 363.1414.

5.3.5. Carbonic acid 4-bromomethyl-2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-phenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (27)—To a solution of alcohol **26** (500 mg; 1.47 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added PBr_3 (0.28 mL; 2.94 mmol) dropwise and the resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for one hour. The reaction was quenched with water (3 mL), and then extracted with Et_2O (3×5 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (3 mL) and brine (3 mL), then dried (K_2CO_3) and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield the corresponding bromide (104 mg; 18 %) as an orange oil. R_f = 0.69 (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2981w, 2935w, 1761s, 1610w, 1508m, 1457w, 1433w, 1395m, 1370m, 1248s, 1143s, 1109s, 1046w, 1014w, 888m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.34 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 2 H), 4.47 (s, 2 H), 1.58 (s, 9 H), 1.58 (s, 9 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 150.5, 150.4, 142.3, 142.2, 136.1, 126.9, 123.7, 123.2, 83.9, 31.9, 27.5 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{23}\text{BrO}_6$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 425.0570; found 425.0564.

5.3.6. [3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy)-benzyl]-triphenylphosphonium bromide (8)—A mixture of bromide **27** (170 mg; 0.42 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (166 mg; 0.63 mmol) in toluene (3.0 mL) was heated to 60 °C and stirred overnight. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo* to give phosphonium bromide **8** (151 mg; 62 %) as a white solid. IR (film) ν_{max} 2981w, 2870w, 2773w, 1769s, 1755s, 1589w, 1505w, 1438m, 1371m, 1284m, 1251s, 1157s, 1137m, 1113s, 886m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $\text{DMSO}-d_6$) δ 7.93–7.90 (m, 5

H), 7.77–7.62 (m, 10 H), 7.17 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.96 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 6.89 (s, 1 H), 5.25 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 2 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H), 1.44 (s, 9 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO- d_6) $\delta = 149.6$ (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 149.5 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz), 142.0 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz), 141.7 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz), 135.1 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz), 134.0 (d, $J = 9.9$ Hz), 130.1 (d, $J = 12.5$ Hz), 126.7 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 125.5 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz), 123.6 (d, $J = 2.9$ Hz), 117.3 (d, $J = 85.1$ Hz), 84.0 (s), 83.9 (s), 27.5 (d, $J = 47.0$ Hz), 27.0 (s), 26.9 (s) ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_6\text{P}$ [$M+\bullet$]: 585.2406; found 585.2392.

5.3.7. Carbonic acid 4-{2-[4-bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-phenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (5)—To a solution of phosphonium bromide **8** (200 mg; 0.30 mmol) in THF (1.2 mL) at -78°C was added *t*-BuOK (34 mg; 0.30 mmol) and the resulting red mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **7** (88 mg; 0.25 mmol) in THF (500 μL) was added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes. Saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (5 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3×15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (5 mL), then dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % CH_2Cl_2 in hexanes) to give **Z-22** (16.5 mg; 44 %) followed by **E-22** (17.5 mg; 46 %) as clear oils. Physical data for **E-22**: $R_f = 0.23$ (silica gel, 15 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2981w, 1767s, 1582w, 1506w, 1414w, 1370w, 1253s, 1156s, 1115m, 1072w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 7.37$ (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (dd, $J = 8.4, 2.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (s, 2 H), 6.83 (s, 2 H), 6.22 (dd, $J = 17.6, 10.8$ Hz, 2 H), 5.25 (dd, $J = 17.6, 0.8$ Hz, 2 H), 5.19 (dd, $J = 10.8, 0.8$ Hz, 2 H), 1.56 (s, 9 H), 1.55 (s, 9 H), 1.53 (s, 12 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 150.8, 150.7, 144.6, 144.4, 144.3, 144.3, 142.8, 141.9, 135.9, 135.5, 129.5, 127.4, 127.3, 124.6, 123.3, 120.9, 120.9, 120.8, 113.9, 113.8, 112.7, 83.9, 82.0, 27.8, 27.7, 27.0, 26.9$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{43}\text{BrO}_8$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 659.2214; found 659.2197.

5.3.8. Carbonic acid 2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-4-(1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-sulfonylmethyl)-phenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (10)—To a solution of alcohol **26** (5.4 g; 17.5 mmol) in THF (175 mL) at 0°C was added PPh_3 (5.1 g; 19.3 mmol), 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-thiol (3.12 g; 17.5 mmol) and DEAD (3.31 mL; 21.0 mmol). After stirring for 30 minutes at 0°C saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (75 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3×100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (75 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give the sulfide (6.74 g; 77 %) as a yellow oil.

To a solution of the sulfide (5.04 g; 10.1 mmol) in EtOH (120 mL) at room temperature was added a cooled (0°C) solution of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (1.25 g; 0.10 mmol) in H_2O_2 (120 mL). The resulting yellow mixture was allowed to stir for 14 hours before being diluted with H_2O (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (40 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give sulfone **10** (5.31 g; 99 %) a white powder. $R_f = 0.44$ (silica gel, 40 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2982w, 2935w, 1763s, 1508w, 1497w, 1371m, 1356m, 1249s, 1148s, 1112s, 1046m, 1014m, 890m, 763m, 733m, 688m, 535m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 7.56$ – 7.52 (m, 1 H), 7.50– 7.47 (m, 2 H), 7.33– 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (dd, $J = 8.5, 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 1.54 (s, 9 H), 1.53 (s, 9 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 152.6, 150.2, 143.8, 142.8, 132.6, 131.3, 129.5, 129.4, 126.3, 125.4, 123.8, 123.3, 84.2, 61.4, 27.5$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{O}_8\text{S}$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 555.1520; found 555.1512.

5.3.9. 5-[3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-phenylmethanesulfonyl]-1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole (11)—To a solution of benzyl alcohol **20** (2.14 g; 5.80 mmol), Ph_3P

(1.67 g; 6.39 mmol), and 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-thiol (1.03 g; 5.80 mmol) at 0 °C in THF (58 mL) was added DEAD (3.17 mL; 6.97 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at 0 °C and then treated with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (30 mL) and diluted with Et₂O (55 mL). The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield the sulfide as a yellow oil.

A solution of the sulfide prepared above (4.47 g; 8.45 mmol) in EtOH (84.5 mL), THF (16.9 mL), and H₂O₂ (31.7 mL) was treated with ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (2.1 g; 1.69 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred overnight, then partitioned with CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) and saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (30 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 30 mL) and the combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield **11** (4.09 g; 86 %, over two steps) as a white foam. *R*_f = 0.26 (silica gel, 10 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2954w, 2930m, 2887w, 2858m, 1599w, 1577w, 1509s, 1472m, 1424m, 1346s, 1304s, 1253s, 1154s, 1137s, 985s, 905s, 780s, 761s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59–7.55 (m, 1 H), 7.52–7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.38 (m, 2 H), 6.82 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H) 6.78 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.81 (s, 2 H), 0.97 (s, 9 H), 0.96 (s, 9 H), 0.19 (s, 6 H), 0.15 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 153.0, 148.6, 147.3, 132.9, 131.3, 129.4, 125.3, 124.7, 124.1, 121.2, 117.0, 62.0, 25.8, 25.8, 18.4, 18.3, -4.1, -4.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₆H₄₀N₄O₄SSi₂ [*M* + H⁺]: 561.2381; found 561.2379.

5.3.10. Carbonic acid 4-{2-[4-bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-2-*tert*-butoxycarbonyloxy-phenyl ester *tert*-butyl ester (5**)**—To a solution of sulfone **10** (1.13 g; 2.12 mmol) in THF (20 mL) at -78 °C was added KHMDS (4.24 mL; 2.12 mmol; 0.5 M solution in toluene) and the resulting bright yellow solution was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **7** (500 mg; 1.42 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was then added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and then extracted with Et₂O (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (15 % Et₂O in hexanes) to give stilbene **5** (747 mg; 80 %; 100 % *E*) as a white powder. For physical data see 5.3.7.

5.3.11. 5-{2-[3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-2-bromo-1,3-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-allyloxy)-benzene (6**)**—To a solution of sulfone **11** (163 mg; 0.29 mmol) in DME (26 mL) at -78 °C was added KHMDS (0.6 mL; 0.30 mmol; 0.5 M solution in toluene) and the resulting bright yellow solution was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **7** (57.0 mg; 0.16 mmol) in DME (1.4 mL) was then added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (3 mL) and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 6 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (3 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (25 % benzene in hexanes) to give stilbene **6** (107 mg; 95 %; *E/Z* ca. 2:1) as a yellow oil. *R*_f = 0.57 (silica, 10 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2951m, 2930s, 2858m, 1566m, 1508s, 1472w, 1421m, 1291m, 1253m, 1128m, 1069m, 994w, 906m, 839s, 804w, 782s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.98–6.91 (m, 4 H), 6.81–6.79 (m, 1 H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (dd, *J* = 17.4, 10.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.24 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 2 H), 5.17 (d, *J* = 17.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.54 (s, 6 H), 1.53 (s, 6 H), 1.01 (s, 9 H), 0.98 (s, 9 H), 0.22 (s, 6 H), 0.21 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 154.5, 147.1, 147.0, 144.4, 136.3, 130.7, 129.0, 126.4, 121.2, 120.1, 119.2, 113.8, 113.5, 112.1, 81.9, 26.8, 26.0, 25.9, 18.4, -4.1, -4.1 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₃₆H₅₅BrO₄Si₂ [*M* + H⁺]: 687.2895; found 687.2879.

5.3.12. 5-Bromo-2-(3,4-dihydroxy-phenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-3-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]indene-4,6-diol (32)—Stilbene **31** (12.2 mg; 18.5 μmol) and Ph_3PO (0.6 mg; 5 % w/w) were dissolved in *o*-xylene (1.5 mL) and the resulting solution was subjected to microwave heating at 180 °C for 20 minutes. Alternatively, heating could be conducted conventionally with an oil bath at 165 °C for 1 hour. The cooled reaction mixture was directly loaded onto a silica gel column packed with hexanes as the eluant and the *o*-xylene was run off. The eluant was switched to 10 % Et_2O in CH_2Cl_2 and the cycloaddition product **32** (4.7 mg; 55 %) was obtained as an inseparable 5:1 mixture of diastereomers. Major diastereomer $R_f = 0.13$ (silica gel, 5 % MeOH in CH_2Cl_2); IR ν_{max} (film) 3475brs, 2956m, 2928m, 2855m, 1719w, 1600m, 1517m, 1441s, 1367m, 1285s, 1249s, 1188m, 1158m, 1105m, 1093m, 966w, 804w, 786w, 766w, 741w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 6.82$ (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (dd, $J = 8.0, 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 5.48 (s, 1 H), 5.25 (s, 1 H), 5.11 (s, 1 H), 5.00 (m, 1 H), 4.97 (s, 1 H), 3.95 (ddd, $J = 8.0, 6.0, 1.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.13 (ddd, $J = 16.0, 3.0, 1.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.08 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.01 (dd, $J = 16.5, 9.5$ Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (m, 1 H), 2.89 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (m, 1 H), 1.61 (d, $J = 1.0$ Hz, 3 H), 1.54 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 0.76 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 149.8, 149.3, 146.6, 143.2, 141.6, 134.6, 133.5, 122.8, 121.9, 120.4, 115.6, 115.1, 115.0, 97.6, 57.4, 44.7, 44.6, 38.7, 30.5, 27.3, 27.0, 25.8, 25.7, 17.5$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{27}\text{BrO}_4$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 459.1165; found 459.1145.

5.3.13. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid 5-[5-bromo-6-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-4-hydroxy-1,1-dimethyl-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]inden-2-yl]-2-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-phenyl ester (33)—To a solution of tetraol **32** (16.0 mg; 34.8 μmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (300 μL) at room temperature was added Et_3N (29 μL ; 209 μmol) followed by trimethylacetyl chloride (105 μL ; 104.5 μmol ; 1 M solution in CH_2Cl_2). After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL) and the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with aqueous HCl (1 M). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (3×2 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (1 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (10 % to 20 % Et_2O in hexanes) to afford the tris-protected compound **33** (18.6 mg; 75 %) as a white foam. $R_f = 0.23$ (silica gel, 20 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3473brs, 2962m, 2929m, 2855m, 1760s, 1505m, 1480m, 1460m, 1397s, 1368s, 1271m, 1259m, 1115s, 1029s, 890w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 7.10$ – 7.07 (m, 2 H), 6.96 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 5.56 (s, 1 H), 4.99 (m, 1 H), 4.00 (dd, $J = 7.0, 5.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.03 (dd, $J = 16.0, 4.5$ Hz, 1 H), 2.99 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.96–2.86 (m, 3 H), 2.82 (m, 1 H), 1.60 (d, $J = 1.0$ Hz, 3 H), 1.47 (s, 3 H), 1.42 (s, 9 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 0.75 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 176.0, 175.7, 175.2, 150.3, 147.9, 142.4, 142.2, 140.8, 139.7, 133.4, 130.0, 125.5, 125.4, 122.8, 122.5, 121.2, 103.2, 57.0, 44.7, 44.6, 39.4, 39.1, 38.9, 30.3, 29.7, 27.3, 25.6, 17.8$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{39}\text{H}_{51}\text{BrO}_7$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 733.2710; found 733.2724.

5.3.14. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid 5-[5-bromo-6-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-4-methoxy-1,1-dimethyl-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]inden-2-yl]-2-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-phenyl ester (34)—To a solution of phenol **33** (5.5 mg; 7.73 μmol) in DMF (250 μL) was added K_2CO_3 (5.3 mg; 38.5 μmol) followed by MeI (3.0 μL ; 46.2 μmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 hour. Upon cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL), washed with H_2O (5×1 mL), then brine (1 mL), and finally dried (MgSO_4) before removal of the solvent *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (20 % Et_2O in hexanes) to afford the methylated compound **34** (5.3 mg; 95 %) as a white foam. $R_f = 0.36$ (silica gel, 20 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 2956m, 2925m, 2860m, 1760s, 1501w, 1476w, 1458w, 1395w, 1365w, 1319w, 1260m, 1111s, 1026m, 800w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): $\delta =$

7.08 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (dd, $J = 8.0, 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (m, 1 H), 4.02 (dd, $J = 8.0, 6.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 3.04–2.87 (m, 5 H), 2.81 (m, 1 H), 1.56 (s, 3 H), 1.43 (s, 9 H), 1.42 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.06 (s, 3 H), 0.75 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 176.0, 175.7, 175.3, 155.1, 148.1, 143.2, 142.2, 140.8, 139.6, 134.5, 132.5, 129.8, 125.3, 122.8, 122.4, 121.9, 110.1, 61.1, 56.9, 44.6, 44.4, 39.4, 39.1, 39.1, 38.9, 31.0, 30.3, 27.3, 27.3, 25.8, 25.5, 17.8$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF): m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{53}\text{BrO}_7$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 725.3047; found 725.3042.

5.3.15. Artochamin H (2)—To a solution of compound **34** (4.0 mg; 5.51 μmol) in THF (100 μL) at 0 °C was added LiAlH_4 (33 μL ; 33.1 μmol ; 1 M solution in THF). The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir for 24 hours. Excess LiAlH_4 was destroyed by the addition of EtOAc and the mixture was acidified to pH 3 with aqueous HCl (1 M). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (50 % EtOAc in hexanes) to afford artochamin H (**2**) (2.0 mg; 93 %) as a clear oil. $R_f = 0.43$ (silica gel, 50 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3366s, 2955m, 2925m, 2856m, 1691m, 1599s, 1518m, 1445s, 1365m, 1347m, 1309m, 1280s, 1256s, 1191s, 1160m, 1109s, 1059m, 960w, 868m, 806m, 784m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) $\delta = 7.79$ (brs, 1 H), 7.66 (brs, 2 H), 6.79 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.78 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.31 (s, 1 H), 4.91 (m, 1 H), 3.96 (dd, $J = 7.8, 6.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (s, 3 H), 3.07 (ddd, $J = 16.8, 3.0, 1.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.01 (dd, $J = 14.4, 6.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.90 (dd, $J = 16.8, 9.6$ Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (m, 2 H), 2.75 (m, 1 H), 1.50 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.03 (s, 3 H), 0.75 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) $\delta = 159.5, 153.6, 151.1, 146.5, 145.1, 135.3, 131.3, 125.8, 124.0, 121.2, 118.2, 117.1, 116.6, 99.4, 59.6, 57.0, 46.7, 46.5, 40.1, 31.4, 28.5, 27.6, 27.2, 26.8, 18.7$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{30}\text{O}_4$ [$M-\text{H}^-$]: 393.2071; found 393.2079.

5.3.16. 2,2-Dimethyl-propionic acid 5-(5-bromo-4,6-dihydroxy-1,1-dimethyl-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]inden-2-yl)-2-(2,2-dimethyl-propionyloxy)-phenyl ester (35)—To a solution of tetraol **32** (15.0 mg; 32.7 μmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (300 μL) at room temperature was added pyridine (16.0 μL ; 196 μmol) followed by trimethylacetyl chloride (66 μL ; 66.0 μmol ; 1 M solution in CH_2Cl_2). After stirring at room temperature overnight, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL) and the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 3 with aqueous HCl (1 M). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with EtOAc (3×2 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (1 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % to 40 % Et_2O in hexanes) to afford the bis-protected compound **35** (13.1 mg; 64 %) as a white foam. $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 30 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3450brm, 2970m, 2931m, 2865w, 1758m, 1737m, 1595w, 1505w, 1480w, 1458w, 1367w, 1276w, 1259m, 1228m, 1117s, 1032w, 891w, 790w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 7.10\text{--}7.07$ (m, 2 H), 6.96 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.51 (s, 1 H), 5.26 (s, 1 H), 5.02 (m, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, $J = 7.8, 5.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.14–3.09 (m, 2 H), 3.04–2.98 (m, 3 H), 2.85 (m, 1 H), 1.62 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 3 H), 1.54 (s, 3 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.07 (s, 3 H), 0.77 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) $\delta = 176.0, 175.7, 149.9, 147.9, 146.6, 142.2, 140.7, 139.8, 133.9, 125.4, 122.8, 122.5, 121.7, 115.7, 97.8, 57.1, 44.7, 44.6, 39.1, 39.1, 39.0, 30.5, 27.3, 27.3, 27.3, 27.1, 25.9, 25.7, 17.8$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{43}\text{BrO}_6$ [$M+\text{Na}^+$]: 649.2135; found 649.2123.

5.3.17. Artochamin I (3)—To a solution of bis-phenol **35** (6.0 mg; 9.56 μmol) in DMF (300 μL) was added K_2CO_3 (6.6 mg; 47.8 μmol) followed by MeI (3.6 μL ; 57.4 μmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 hour. Upon cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL), washed with H_2O (5×1 mL), then brine (1 mL), and finally dried

(MgSO₄) before removal of the solvent *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (20 % Et₂O in hexanes) to afford the methylated compound **36** (6.0 mg; 96 %) as a foam, which was used directly in the following reaction.

To a solution of compound **36** (3.7 mg; 5.64 μmol) in THF (100 μL) at 0 °C was added LiAlH₄ (33.6 μL; 33.6 μmol; 1 M solution in THF). The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir for 24 hours. Excess LiAlH₄ was destroyed by the addition of EtOAc, and the mixture was acidified to pH 3 with aqueous HCl (1 M). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to afford artochamin I (**3**) (2.2 mg; 95 %) as a clear oil. *R*_f = 0.17 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR *v*_{max} (film) 3381brm, 2926s, 2856m, 1701w, 1600s, 1518m, 1492m, 1461s, 1451s, 1436s, 1365m, 1312s, 1282m, 1260m, 1202m, 1119s, 1086s, 1033w, 867w, 806m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ = 7.64 (brs, 2 H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.47 (s, 1 H), 4.92 (m, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 3.03 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.94–2.91 (m, 1 H), 2.88 (dd, *J* = 17.0, 9.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.84 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.76 (m, 2 H), 1.51 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.42 (s, 3 H), 1.01 (s, 3 H), 0.75 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) δ = 159.6, 156.4, 150.9, 146.6, 145.2, 135.2, 131.5, 125.6, 125.6, 121.0, 119.0, 117.1, 116.7, 95.9, 59.6, 56.5, 46.6, 46.4, 40.1, 31.7, 28.4, 27.6, 27.2, 26.8, 18.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₂₆H₃₂O₄ [*M*+H⁺]: 409.2373; found 409.2374.

5.3.19. Benzopyran 37—To a solution of the bis-protected compound **35** (8.6 mg; 13.7 μmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (350 μL) at –78 °C was added a solution of PhSeCl (3.0 mg; 13.7 μmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 μL). After 30 minutes the reaction mixture was briefly warmed to room temperature before being diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) and washed with H₂O (1 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (20 % Et₂O in hexanes) to afford the corresponding selenide (10.2 mg; 95 %) a yellow oil which was submitted to the oxidation without further analysis.

To a solution of the above selenide (10.2 mg; 13.0 μmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (200 μL) at 0 °C was added H₂O₂ (16.0 μL; 30 % aq.). The resulting biphasic mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred rapidly for 3 hours before being diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL), washed with H₂O (1 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (20 % Et₂O in hexanes) to afford benzopyran **37** (7.3 mg; 89 %) as a white foam. *R*_f = 0.46 (silica gel, 20 % Et₂O in hexanes); IR *v*_{max} (film) 3483brw, 2956m, 2929m, 2860m, 1716m, 1596w, 1505w, 1479w, 1462w, 1393w, 1367w, 1259w, 1226w, 1201w, 1120s, 1029w, 943w, 895w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.10 (m, 2 H), 6.98 (m, 1 H), 5.92 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.61 (s, 1 H), 5.36 (d, *J* = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.98 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.12 (dd, *J* = 16.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.02 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (dd, *J* = 16.5, 9.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (m, 1 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 0.77 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 176.1, 175.9, 149.3, 148.3, 144.2, 142.2, 140.7, 139.7, 135.7, 128.3, 125.5, 125.3, 123.0, 122.7, 122.4, 119.5, 110.1, 97.7, 56.7, 45.0, 43.4, 39.2, 39.2, 34.2, 30.4, 30.3, 29.7, 28.1, 27.5, 27.3, 27.3, 27.1, 25.8, 16.2 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) *m/z* calcd. for C₃₄H₄₁BrO₆ [*M*+H⁺]: 625.2159; found 625.2141.

5.3.20. Methylbenzopyran 38—To a solution of pyran **37** (5.0 mg; 7.99 μmol) in DMF (150 μL) was added K₂CO₃ (5.5 mg; 40.0 μmol) followed by MeI (3.0 μL; 48.0 μmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 hour. Upon cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (2 mL), washed with H₂O (5 × 1 mL), then brine (1 mL) and finally dried (MgSO₄) before removal of the solvent *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (10 % Et₂O in hexanes) to afford the methylated compound **38** (4.8 mg; 94

%) as a foam. $R_f = 0.36$ (silica gel, 20 % Et₂O in hexanes); IR $\nu_{\max}$ (film) 2962m, 2933m, 2870w, 1760s, 1590w, 1505w, 1480w, 1460w, 1417w, 1397w, 1367w, 1258m, 1119s, 1097s, 1029w, 993w, 891w cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 7.12$ –708 (m, 2 H), 6.99 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 5.93 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.45 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (dd, $J = 8.4, 5.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.17 (dd, $J = 16.8, 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 3.07 (dd, $J = 16.8, 9.6$ Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (d, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (m, 1 H), 1.45 (s, 3 H), 1.39 (s, 3 H), 1.36 (s, 9 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H), 1.07 (s, 3 H), 0.76 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 176.1, 175.9, 153.1, 149.9, 144.1, 142.2, 140.7, 139.7, 135.8, 130.1, 129.8, 125.5, 125.4, 122.9, 122.5, 119.4, 114.2, 103.9, 59.9, 56.7, 44.9, 43.3, 39.2, 39.1, 34.7, 34.2, 30.9, 30.3, 28.1, 27.6, 27.3, 27.3, 27.1, 25.8, 14.1$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₃₅H₄₃BrO₆ [$M+H^+$]: 639.2316; found 639.2319.

5.3.21. Artochamin J (4)—To a solution of methylbenzopyran **38** (4.3 mg; 6.72 μ mol) in THF (100 μ L) at 0 °C was added LiAlH₄ (40 μ L; 40.2 μ mol; 1 M solution in THF). The resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir for 24 hours. Excess LiAlH₄ was destroyed by the addition of EtOAc and the mixture was acidified to pH 3 with aqueous HCl (1 M). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 $\times$ 2 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (1 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (40 % EtOAc in hexanes) to afford artochamin J (**4**) (2.5 mg; 94 %) as a clear oil. $R_f = 0.32$ (silica gel, 40 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR $\nu_{\max}$ (film) 3387s, 2951s, 2926s, 2855m, 1706w, 1605s, 1519m, 1483m, 1461m, 1440m, 1364m, 1317m, 1259m, 1199m, 1124s, 1065w, 1019w, 910w, 857w, 802m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) $\delta = 7.72$ (brs, 2 H), 6.81 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (dd, $J = 7.8, 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.20 (s, 1 H), 6.00 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.37 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (dd, $J = 7.8, 6.6$ Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H), 3.01 (dd, $J = 16.8, 1.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.89–2.76 (m, 2 H), 2.75 (m, 1 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (s, 3 H), 0.76 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, (CD₃)₂CO) $\delta = 157.4, 154.7, 146.6, 145.8, 144.4, 133.8, 128.1, 125.3, 120.7, 120.0, 115.9, 115.9, 110.5, 98.6, 76.5, 58.3, 55.6, 45.8, 44.5, 39.4, 30.8, 28.4, 27.8, 27.4, 26.1$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₂₈O₄ [$M+H^+$]: 393.2060; found 393.2062.

5.3.22. 5-{2-[3,4-Bis-(*tert*-butyl-dimethyl-silanyloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-2-bromo-4,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzene-1,3-diol (39)—Stilbene **6** (10.0 mg; 14.5 μ mol) was dissolved in *o*-xylene (1.0 mL) and the resulting solution was subjected to microwave heating at 180 °C for 5 minutes. The reaction mixture was then directly loaded onto a silica gel column packed with hexanes as the eluant and the *o*-xylene was run off. The eluant was switched to 2 % EtOAc in hexanes and the Claisen product **39** (9.5 mg; 95 %) was obtained as a yellow oil. $R_f = 0.11$ (silica gel, 4 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}$ 3423brm, 2956m, 2929m, 2858m, 1569m, 1507s, 1472w, 1440w, 1299s, 1252s, 1151m, 1123m, 1091m, 981m, 905s, 837s, 805s, 732s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 6.93$ –6.90 (m, 2 H), 6.82 (d, $J = 16.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.36 (d, $J = 16.8$ Hz, 1 H), 5.54 (s, 2 H), 5.18 (m, 2 H), 3.42 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 4 H), 1.70 (s, 6 H), 1.68 (s, 6 H), 1.00 (s, 9 H), 0.99 (s, 9 H), 0.22 (s, 6 H), 0.21 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 149.1, 146.9, 146.8, 1138.4, 134.7, 132.8, 130.9, 125.5, 123.7, 122.7, 121.0, 119.8, 118.9, 118.8, 98.7, 30.3, 27.4, 25.9, 25.8, 18.5, 18.4, 18.0$ ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₃₆H₅₅BrO₄Si₂ [$M+H^+$]: 687.2895; found 687.2896.

5.3.23. Artochamin F (1)—A solution of Claisen product **39** (10.0 mg, 14.5 μ mol) and Bu₃SnH (8.0 μ L, 30.0 μ mol) in benzene (200 μ L) at room temperature was stirred for 30 minutes. Then a catalytic amount of AIBN was added and the mixture was heated at reflux for one hour. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give the de-brominated material (8.0 mg, 88 %) as a brown oil. $R_f = 0.22$ (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}$

3427brs, 2929s, 2858s, 1594s, 1508s, 1472m, 1301s, 1253s, 1160m, 1124m, 1085m, 981m, 907s, 839s, 781s cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.93–6.91 (m, 2 H), 6.86 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.33 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.21–5.19 (m, 2 H), 5.07 (s, 2 H), 3.37 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 4 H), 1.73 (s, 12 H), 1.54 (s, 18 H), 1.00 (s, 6 H), 0.99 (s, 6 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 154.7, 146.8, 139.0, 134.5, 133.8, 131.0, 125.5, 124.6, 122.8, 121.0, 119.7, 118.9, 117.7, 102.7, 30.3, 26.9, 25.9, 25.8, 18.5, 18.4, 18.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{56}\text{O}_4\text{Si}_2$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 609.3790; found 609.3780.

To a solution of bis-silyl ether **39** (8.0 mg; 13.1 μmol) in THF (1.0 mL) at room temperature was added $\text{HF}\cdot\text{NEt}_3$ (13 μL ; excess) and the reaction mixture was stirred for two hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (3 mL), extracted with EtOAc (3×4 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (3 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo* to yield artochamin F (**1**) (4.6 mg; 92 %) as a white foam. R_f = 0.14 (silica gel, 35 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3358brs, 2951m, 2925s, 2855m, 1718m, 1602m, 1512w, 1444s, 1260s, 1088s, 802m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) δ = 7.90 (brs, 1 H), 7.88 (brs, 1 H), 7.78 (s, 1 H), 7.04 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.91 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.84 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (s, 1 H), 6.36 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (brt, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.32 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.64 (brs, 6 H), 1.62 (brs, 6 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) δ = 154.7, 146.5, 146.2, 140.8, 134.9, 131.6, 130.2, 126.2, 125.5, 120.0, 118.8, 116.6, 114.0, 102.6, 27.2, 26.3, 18.6 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_4$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 381.2060; found 381.2043.

5.3.24. 2-(3,4-Dihydroxy-phenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-3-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]indene-4,6-diol (40)—Artochamin F (**1**) (5.0 mg; 13.1 μmol) was dissolved in *o*-xylene (0.5 mL) and the resulting solution was subjected to microwave heating at 180 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was then purified by preparatory thin-layer chromatography (50 % EtOAc in hexanes, few drops AcOH) to yield cycloadduct **40** (4.0 mg, 82 %) as a clear oil. R_f = 0.11 (silica gel, 55 % EtOAc in hexanes + 0.5 % AcOH); IR ν_{max} (film) 3364brs, 2956m, 2925s, 2856w, 2504brw, 1697s, 1602s, 1516s, 1440s, 1365s, 1255s, 1193m, 1160m, 1109m, 1088s, 971w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) δ = 7.67 (s, 1 H), 7.66 (s, 1 H), 7.41 (brs, 1 H), 6.77 (s, 1 H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (s, 1 H), 4.97 (brt, 1 H), 3.89 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.01–2.98 (m, 2 H), 2.87–2.79 (m, 3 H), 2.70 (brt, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (brs, 3 H), 1.38 (brs, 3 H), 0.99 (brs, 3 H), 0.71 (brs, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) δ = 156.6, 153.5, 151.2, 146.5, 145.1, 135.4, 131.2, 126.0, 123.3, 121.2, 117.1, 116.6, 103.0, 59.6, 46.7, 46.6, 40.0, 29.7, 28.5, 27.6, 27.2, 26.8, 18.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{28}\text{O}_4$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 381.2060; found 381.2051.

5.3.25. 5-Bromo-2-(3,4-dihydroxy-phenyl)-1,1-dimethyl-3-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-2,2a,7,7a-tetrahydro-1H-cyclobuta[a]indene-4,6-diol (32)—To a solution of bis-silyl ether **39** (82.2 mg; 0.12 mmol) in THF (500 μL) at 0 $^\circ\text{C}$ was added $\text{HF}\cdot\text{py}$ (200 μL ; excess) and the reaction mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and stirred for two hours. The reaction was diluted with Et_2O (20 mL) and then washed successively with saturated aqueous CuSO_4 (3×5 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (2×5 mL) and brine (5 mL). The organic layer was then dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was passed through a short plug of silica gel (30 % EtOAc in hexanes) to give diol **31** (52 mg; 95 %) as a clear oil, which was used directly in the following reaction. R_f = 0.20 (silica gel, 30 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR ν_{max} (film) 3440brs, 2974m, 2913m, 1595m, 1574m, 1515m, 1436s, 1404w, 1375w, 1348w, 1315w, 1283s, 1254s, 1191m, 1151m, 1090s, 1029w, 957w, 908w, 874w, 814w, 786m, 661w cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.68 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.56–6.52 (m, 3 H), 6.33 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.66 (s, 2 H), 5.56 (brs, 1 H), 5.47 (brs, 1 H), 5.00–4.96 (m, 2 H), 3.30 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.68 (s, 6 H), 1.60 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 6 H), ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 149.5, 143.4, 142.8, 137.8, 133.2, 131.2, 130.1, 125.5, 122.5, 122.1,

118.1, 115.2, 115.0, 98.6, 27.4, 25.7, 17.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $C_{24}H_{27}BrO_4$ [$M+H^+$]: 459.1165; found 459.1166.

To a solution of stilbene **31** (5.9 mg; 12.8 μ mol) in $CDCl_3$ (400 μ L) was added a solution of DDQ (4.4 mg; 19.2 μ mol) in $CDCl_3$ (200 μ L) at ambient temperature. After 5 minutes the mixture was filtered through cotton wool and the 1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded. 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.27 (dd, J = 12.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.50 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.46 (s, 1 H), 5.34 (s, 1 H), 5.10–5.07 (m, 1 H), 3.50–3.46 (m, 1 H), 3.44 (dd, J = 3.5, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.39 (dd, J = 16.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.16 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.12 (dd, J = 13.0, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.35 (ddd, J = 13.0, 5.0, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.13 (t, J = 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.79 (s, 3 H), 1.71 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 193.6, 190.0, 149.4, 148.9, 148.1, 144.5, 136.3, 133.6, 128.3, 128.1, 127.2, 121.3, 119.8, 117.3, 100.4, 62.3, 46.7, 44.5, 30.0, 28.4, 27.0, 25.7, 25.4, 18.0 ppm.

The crude reaction mixture from above was concentrated *in vacuo*, then re-dissolved in *o*-xylene (2 mL) and heated at 180 °C for 30 minutes by microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by column chromatography (10 % Et_2O in CH_2Cl_2) to give cyclobutane **32** (2.4 mg; 40 %, 2 steps), which displayed identical physical properties to those reported above.

5.3.26. 5-(Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-ylmetanesulfonyl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (56)—To a solution of 1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole-5-thiol (432 mg; 2.42 mmol) in dry THF (9.0 mL) was added Et_3N (0.42 mL; 3.03 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 40 minutes, 5-bromomethyl-benzo[1,3] dioxole **55** (651 mg; 3.03 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight, then diluted with water (20 mL), and extracted with Et_2O (3×20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried ($MgSO_4$) and concentrated *in vacuo* to give the crude thioether.

m-CPBA (251 mg; 1.12 mmol; 77 % w/w) was added in small portions to a solution of the crude thioether (100 mg; 0.32 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (2.0 mL) at 0 °C, and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (2×5 mL) and saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$ (3×2 mL). The organic layer was then dried ($MgSO_4$) and the solvent removed *in vacuo* to yield **56** (107 mg, 97 %) as a white foam. R_f = 0.30 (silica gel, 30 % $EtOAc$ in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 3072w, 2997w, 2912w, 1765w, 1595w, 1489s, 1445s, 1339s, 1245s, 1216w, 1154s, 1134m, 1101m, 1015m, 1035s, 925s, 812s, 761s cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.56 (tt, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.42 (m, 2 H), 6.80 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.77 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.94 (s, 2 H), 4.83 (s, 2 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ = 152.9, 148.9, 148.1, 132.7, 131.2, 129.3, 125.7, 125.1, 117.6, 111.4, 108.6, 101.5, 62.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $C_{15}H_{12}N_4O_4S$ [$M+H^+$]: 345.0652; found 345.0656.

5.3.27. 5-{2-[4-Bromo-3,5-bis-(1,1-dimethyl-alloxy)-phenyl]-vinyl}-benzo[1,3]dioxole (57)—To a solution of sulfone **56** (87.7 mg; 0.26 mmol) in THF (2.6 mL) at -78 °C was added $KHMDS$ (540 μ L; 0.27 mmol, 0.5 M solution in toluene) and the resulting bright yellow solution was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **7** (50 mg; 0.14 mmol) in THF (1.4 mL) was then added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$ (10 mL) and then extracted with Et_2O (3×15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried ($MgSO_4$) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5 to 10 % Et_2O in hexanes) to give stilbene **57** (57 mg; 85 %, 2:1 *E:Z*) as a white powder. R_f = 0.15 (silica, 10 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2981m, 2931w, 1578m, 1560s, 1503s, 1489s, 1445s, 1413s, 1378m, 1363m, 1331w, 1252s, 1235m, 1196w, 1161w, 1128s, 1069m, 1039s, 1003w, 956m, 930m, 858w, 823w, 798w cm^{-1} ; 1H NMR (500

MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (s, 2 H), 6.91 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.81 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.73 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.23 (dd, J = 17.5, 11.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.97 (s, 2 H), 5.52 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.18 (dd, J = 17.5, 1.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.53 (s, 12 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 154.6, 148.2, 147.4, 144.4, 136.0, 131.5, 128.7, 126.6, 121.6, 113.7, 113.6, 112.1, 108.4, 105.5, 101.2, 81.8, 26.8 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₂₇BrO₄ [$M+H^+$]: 471.1165; found 471.1144.

5.3.28. 5-(2-Benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-vinyl)-2-bromo-4,6-bis-(3-methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzene-1,3-diol (58)—Stilbene **57** (15 mg; 25.3 μ mol) was dissolved in *o*-xylene (2 mL) and the resulting solution was subjected to microwave heating at 180 °C for 5 minutes. The reaction mixture was then directly loaded onto a silica gel column packed with hexanes as the eluant and the *o*-xylene was run off. The eluant was switched to 10–30 % Et₂O in hexanes and the Claisen product **58** (10 mg; 65 %) was obtained as a white powder. R_f = 0.21 (silica, 20 % Et₂O in hexanes); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}$ 3452brm, 2956w, 2920w, 2855w, 1723w, 1568w, 1502m, 1487s, 1444s, 1365w, 1314w, 1253s, 1194m, 1155m, 1086m, 1040m, 975m, 933m, 869w, 801m cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.02 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.41 (d, J = 16.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.98 (s, 2 H), 5.54 (s, 2 H), 5.17 (m, 2 H), 3.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 4 H), 1.71 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 6 H), 1.69 (s, 6 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 149.1, 148.1, 147.4, 138.2, 134.6, 132.8, 131.7, 124.0, 122.7, 121.3, 118.9, 108.5, 105.4, 101.1, 98.8, 27.4, 25.8, 18.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₂₅H₂₇BrO₄ [$M-H^-$]: 469.1009; found 469.1014.

5.3.29. 5-(4-Methoxy-phenylmethanesulfonyl)-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole (60)—To a solution of *p*-methoxybenzyl alcohol (500 mg; 3.62 mmol), Ph₃P (1.04 g; 3.98 mmol), and 1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole-5-thiol (645 mg; 3.62 mmol) at 0 °C in THF (36 mL) was added DEAD (2.0 mL; 4.34 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at 0 °C, treated with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL) and diluted with Et₂O (20 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 $\times$ 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (15 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (15 % EtOAc in hexanes) to yield the corresponding sulfide (975 mg; 90 %) as a yellow oil.

A solution of the sulfide from above (975 mg, 3.27 mmol) in EtOH (33 mL), THF (6.5 mL), and H₂O₂ (12.6 mL) was treated with ammonium molybdate (808 mg; 0.65 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred overnight and then partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) and saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 $\times$ 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and then concentrated *in vacuo* to yield sulfone **60** (1.09 g; 100 %) as a white solid. R_f = 0.26 (silica gel, 20 % EtOAc in hexanes); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}$ 2970w, 2913w, 1611m, 1512m, 1493m, 1463w, 1446w, 1352s, 1307m, 1251s, 1180m, 1154s, 1137s, 1025s, 878m, 849m, 838m, 754s, 684s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56 (m, 1 H), 7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.87 (s, 2 H), 3.80 (s, 3 H) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 160.8, 152.9, 132.9, 131.3, 129.3, 125.2, 116.3, 114.6, 61.8, 55.4 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄N₄O₃S [$M+H^+$]: 331.0859; found 331.0859.

5.3.30. 2-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyl)-benzaldehyde (61)—Aldehyde **61** was prepared according to the literature and displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴⁵

5.3.31. 1-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyl)-2-(4-methoxystyryl)-benzene (62)—To a solution of sulfone **60** (228 mg; 0.69 mmol) in THF (70 mL) at -78 °C was added KHMDS (1.4 mL; 0.69 mmol, 0.5 M solution in toluene) and the resulting solution was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **61** (100 mg; 0.57 mmol) in THF (6.0 mL) was then added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched

by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (30 mL) and then extracted with Et_2O (3×40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (2 % Et_2O in hexanes) to give stilbene **62** (118 mg, 74 %, *E/Z* 4:1) as a yellow oil. $R_f = 0.08$ (silica gel, 10 % CH_2Cl_2 in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2911w, 2854w, 2835w, 1605s, 1509s, 1450m, 1440m, 1294m, 1247s, 1173s, 1033s, 960s, 816s, 746s cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.58 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.44 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2 H), 7.23 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.21–7.18 (m, 3 H), 6.94 (d, $J = 16.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.91 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2 H), 5.29–5.26 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 3.46 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 1.77 (s, 3 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 159.2, 139.1, 132.2, 130.6, 129.6, 129.3, 127.7, 127.3, 126.2, 125.5, 124.4, 123.0, 114.1, 55.3, 32.4, 25.7, 18.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 278.1706; found 279.1706.

5.3.32. (4-Nitro-benzyl)-triphenylphosphonium bromide (64)—Phosphonium bromide **64** was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co.

5.3.33. 1-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyl)-2-(4-nitrostyryl)-benzene (65)—To a solution of (4-nitrobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide (**64**) (329 mg; 0.69 mmol) in THF (7.0 mL) at 0 °C was added *n*-BuLi (274 μL ; 0.69 mmol; 2.5 M solution in hexanes) dropwise, and the resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The bright red solution was re-cooled to 0 °C and a solution of aldehyde **61** (100 mg; 0.57 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added *via* cannula, and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with addition of water (3 mL) and then extracted with Et_2O (3×10 mL). The organic phase was washed with brine (3 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (25 % benzene in hexanes) to yield stilbene **65** (113 mg; 67 %, *E/Z* 1:1). $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 30 % benzene in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2968w, 2926w, 2852w, 1593s, 1513s, 1449w, 1338s, 1182w, 1109m, 965w, 856m, 756m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.23 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 2 H), 7.63–7.60 (m, 3 H), 7.55 (d, $J = 19.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.28 (m, 1 H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.03 (d, $J = 19.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.27–5.24 (t, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.49 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2 H), 1.78 (s, 3 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 144.2, 140.1, 135.0, 132.6, 131.2, 129.7, 128.8, 127.6, 126.9, 126.5, 125.9, 124.2, 123.4, 122.8, 32.4, 25.7, 18.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_2$ [$M+\text{H}^+$]: 294.1488; found 294.1480.

5.3.34. 1-Phenyl- 5-phenylmethanesulfonyl-1H-tetrazole (67)—Sulfone **67** was prepared according to the literature and displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴⁶

5.3.35. 1-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyl)-2-styryl-benzene (68)—To a solution of sulfone **67** (310 mg; 1.03 mmol) in THF (103 mL) at -78 °C was added KHMDS (2.2 mL; 1.1 mmol, 0.5 M solution in toluene) and the resulting solution was stirred for 30 minutes. A solution of aldehyde **61** (100 mg; 0.57 mmol) in THF (6.0 mL) was then added *via* cannula and the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (30 mL) and then extracted with Et_2O (3×40 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (2 % Et_2O in hexanes) to give stilbene **68** (136 mg; 95 %, *E/Z* 4:1) as a yellow oil. $R_f = 0.28$ (silica gel, 2 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 3025w, 2967w, 2912w, 2854w, 1599m, 1577w, 1494m, 1480w, 1449m, 1375m, 959s, 757s, 689s cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.62–7.61 (m, 1 H), 7.51 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2 H), 7.40–7.37 (m, 2 H), 7.37 (d, $J = 15.6$ Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.27 (m, 1 H), 7.23–7.21 (m, 3 H), 6.99 (d, $J = 16.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.28 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2 H), 1.77 (s, 3 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 139.4, 137.7, 136.1, 132.3, 130.0, 129.3,

128.7, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 126.6, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 125.7, 123.0, 32.4, 25.7, 18.0 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $C_{19}H_{20}$ [$M+H^+$]: 248.1638; found 249.1632.

5.3.36. [4-[(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-benzyl]triphenylphosphonium bromide (70)—Phosphonium bromide **70** was prepared according to the literature and displayed identical physical properties to those reported.⁴⁷

5.3.37. 1-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyl)-2-styryl-benzene (71)—To a solution of phosphonium bromide **70** (388 mg; 0.69 mmol) in THF (10.0 mL) at $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ was added *n*-BuLi (275 μL ; 0.69 mmol; 2.5 M solution in hexanes) dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. To the bright red solution was added a solution of aldehyde **61** (100 mg; 0.57 mmol) in THF (2 mL) *via* cannula, and the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (10 mL) and then extracted with Et_2O ($3 \times 20\text{ mL}$). The organic phase was washed with brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5 % CH_2Cl_2 in hexanes) to yield first the *cis*- and then the *trans*-stilbenes (206 mg; 92 %; *E/Z* 1:1). $R_f = 0.20$ (silica gel, 5 % CH_2Cl_2 in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 2956w, 2929w, 2857w, 1602m, 1507s, 1471w, 1463w, 1449w, 1390w, 1376w, 1362w, 1254s, 1168m, 1101w, 1048w, 1007w, 961w, 909s, 837s, 821s, 803m, 779s, 749m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.61–7.59 (m, 1 H), 7.41 (d, $J = 8.5\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 7.26 (d, $J = 16.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 3 H), 6.95 (d, $J = 16.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 6.86 (d, $J = 8.5\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 5.31 (m, 1 H), 3.48 (d, $J = 7.0\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 1.79 (s, 3 H), 1.77 (d, $J = 1.0\text{ Hz}$, 3 H), 1.03 (s, 9 H), 0.25 (s, 6 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 155.4, 139.2, 136.4, 132.2, 131.1, 130.1, 129.7, 129.3, 127.7, 127.3, 126.3, 125.5, 124.5, 123.1, 120.3, 32.4, 25.7, 25.7, 18.2, 18.0, -4.4 ppm ; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{34}\text{OSi}$ [$M+H^+$]: 379.2452; found 379.2458.

To a solution of *trans*-stilbene from above (59 mg; 0.16 mmol) in THF (500 μL) at $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ was added $\text{HF}\cdot\text{py}$ (300 μL ; excess) and the resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for an additional 2 hours. The reaction was diluted with Et_2O (20 mL) and then washed successively with saturated aqueous CuSO_4 ($3 \times 3\text{ mL}$), saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 ($2 \times 3\text{ mL}$) and brine (3 mL). The organic layer was then dried (MgSO_4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30 % Et_2O in hexanes) to give stilbene **71** (43 mg; 95 %) as a white powder. $R_f = 0.24$ (silica gel, 30 % Et_2O in hexanes); IR (film) ν_{max} 3362brm, 3025w, 2968w, 2912w, 1607m, 1591m, 1510s, 1481w, 1449m, 1375m, 1238s, 1171s, 1103m, 1047m, 963m, 923w, 856w, 838w, 815m, 777w, 750m cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.61–7.59 (m, 1 H), 7.42 (d, $J = 8.5\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 7.25 (d, $J = 16.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 3 H), 6.95 (d, $J = 16.0\text{ Hz}$, 1 H), 6.85 (d, $J = 8.5\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 5.30 (m, 1 H), 5.04 (brs, 1 H), 3.50 (d, $J = 7.0\text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 1.79 (s, 3 H), 1.76 (s, 3 H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl_3) δ = 155.1, 139.2, 136.3, 132.2, 130.8, 129.5, 129.3, 127.9, 127.4, 126.3, 125.5, 124.5, 123.0, 115.6, 32.3, 25.7, 17.7 ppm; HRMS (ESI TOF) m/z calcd. for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}$ [$M+H^+$]: 265.1587; found 265.1587.

4. Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr. D. H. Huang, Dr. G. Siuzdak and Dr. R. J. Chadha for assistance with NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and x-ray crystallography, respectively. We also wish to thank Dr. W. Robert Tao for helpful discussions. Financial support for this work was provided by grants from the National Institutes of Health (USA) and the National Science Foundation (No. 06032187), the Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, and a National Science Foundation predoctoral fellowship (to C.F.G.).

6. References

1. For recent reviews on cascade reactions in total synthesis, see: (a) Nicolaou KC, Edmonds DJ, Bulger PG. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006;45:7134–7186. (b) Nicolaou KC, Montagnon T, Snyder SA.

- Chem. Commun 2003;551–564.564 (c)Nicolaou KC, Snyder SA. Actualite Chimique 2003;4–5:83–88.88 (d)Tietze LF. Chem. Rev 1996;96:115–136.136 [PubMed: 11848746] (e)Tietze LF, Beifuss U. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1993;32:131–163.163 (f)Pellissier H. Tetrahedron 2006;62:1619–1665.1665 (g)Pellissier H. Tetrahedron 2006;62:2142–2173.2173 (h)Bunce RA. Tetrahedron 1995;51:13103–13159.13159 (g)Tietze LF, Brasche G, Gericke K. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis 2006WeinheimWiley-VCH:631. (h)Hao T-L. Tandem Organic Reactions 1992New YorkWiley:502.
2. Robinson R. J. Chem. Soc. Trans 1917:762–768.
3. (a) Johnson WS, Gravestock MB, McCarry BE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1971;93:4332–4334. [PubMed: 5131151] (b) Gravestock MB, Johnson WS, McCarry BE, Parry RJ, Ratcliffe BE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1978;100:4274–4282.
4. (a) Bandaranayake WM, Banfield JE, Black DStC. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun 1980;19:902–903. (isolation) (b) Nicolaou KC, Petasis NA, Zipkin RE, Uenishi J. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1982;104:5555–5557. (c) Nicolaou KC, Petasis NA, Uenishi J, Zipkin RE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1982;104:5557–5558. (d) Nicolaou KC, Zipkin RE, Petasis NA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1982;104:5558–5560. (e) Nicolaou KC, Petasis NA, Zipkin RE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1982;104:5560–5562.(total synthesis)
5. For total syntheses, see (a)Nicolaou KC, Simonsen KB, Vassilikogiannakis G, Baran PS, Viladi VP, Pitsinos EN, Couladouros EA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1999;38:3555–3559.3559 (b)Nicolaou KC, Vassilikogiannakis G, Simonsen KB, Baran PS, Zhong Y-L, Viladi VP. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000;122:3071–3079.3079 (c)Barnes-Seeman D, Corey EJ. Org. Lett 1999;1:1503–1504.1504 [PubMed: 10825998].; for biosynthetic proposals for the oxidative dimerization route to the bisorbicillinoids, see: (d)Abe N, Murata T, Hirota A. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem 1998;62:2120–2126.2126 (e)Nicolaou KC, Jautelat R, Vassilikogiannakis G, Baran PS, Simonsen KB. Chem. Eur 1999;5:3651–3665.3665
6. (a)Nicolaou KC, Baran PS, Zhong Y-L, Fong KC, He Y, Yoon WH, Choi H-S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1999;38:1669–1675.1675 (b)Nicolaou KC, Baran PS, Zhong Y-L, Fong KC, He Y, Yoon WH, Choi H-S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1999;38:1676–1678.1678 (c)Nicolaou KC, Jung J-K, Yoon WH, He Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000;39:1829–1832.1832 (d)Nicolaou KC, Jung J-K, Yoon WH, Fong KC, Choi H-S, Zhong Y-L, Baran PS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:2183–2189.2189 [PubMed: 11878972] (e)Nicolaou KC, Baran PS, Zhong Y-L, Fong KC, Choi H-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:2190–2201.2201 [PubMed: 11878973] (f)Nicolaou KC, Zhong Y-L, Baran PS, Jung J-K, Choi H-S, Yoon WH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:2202–2211.2211 [PubMed: 11878974]; the Shair group has also completed a total synthesis featuring a cascade reaction, see: (g)Chen C, Layton ME, Sheehan SM, Shair MD. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000;122:7424–7425.7425
7. (a) Nicolaou KC, Vassilikogiannakis G, Mägerlein W, Kranich R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2001;40:2482–2486. (b) Nicolaou KC, Vassilikogiannakis G, Mägerlein W, Kranich R. Chem. Eur. J 2001;7:5359–5371. (c) Boezio AA, Jarvo ER, Lawrence BM, Jacobsen ER. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2005;44:6046–6050. (d) Harrowven DC, Pascoe DD, Demurtas D, Bourne HO. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2005;44:1221–1222. (e) Davies HML, Dai X, Long MS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:2485–2490. [PubMed: 16478205] (f) Kim AI, Rychnovsky SD. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2003;42:1267–1270.
8. (a) Nicolaou KC, Gray DLF. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2001;40:761–763. (b) Nicolaou KC, Gray DLF. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:607–612. [PubMed: 14719960]
9. For the first total synthesis of diazonamide, see: (a)Nicolaou KC, Bella M, Chen DY-K, Huang X, Ling T, Snyder SA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2002;41:3495–3499.3499 (b)Nicolaou KC, Chen DY-K, Huang X, Ling T, Bella M, Snyder SA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:12888–12896.12896 [PubMed: 15469286]; for the second total synthesis of diazonamide, see: (c)Nicolaou KC, Bheema Roa P, Hao J, Reddy MV, Rassias G, Huang X, Chen DY-K, Snyder SA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2003;42:1753–1758.1758 (d)Nicolaou KC, Hao J, Reddy MV, Bheema Roa P, Rassias G, Snyder SA, Huang X, Chen DY-K, Brenzovich WE, Giuseppone N, Giannakakou P, O’Brate A. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:12897–12906.12906 [PubMed: 15469287]
10. For the total synthesis of the proposed structure of diazonamide A, see: (a)Li J, Jeong S, Esser L, Harran PG. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2001;40:4765–4770.4770 (b)Li J, Burgett AWG, Esser L, Amezcua C, Harran PG. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2001;40:4770–4773.4773; (c) for total synthesis of the corrected structure, see: (c)Burgett AWG, Li Q, Wei Q, Harran PG. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2003;42:4961–4966.4966

11. Nicolaou KC, Sasmal PK, Xu H, Namoto K, Ritzén A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2003;42:4255–4299. Nicolaou KC, Sasmal PK, Xu H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:5493–5501. [PubMed: 15113221]
12. Nicolaou KC, Nevalainen M, Safina BS, Zak M, Bulat S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2002;41:1941–1945. (b) Nicolaou KC, Safina BS, Zak M, Estrada AA, Lee SH. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:5087–5092. (c) Nicolaou KC, Safina BS, Zak M, Lee SH, Estrada AA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:5092–5097. (d) Nicolaou KC, Safina BS, Zak M, Estrada AA, Lee SH, Nevalainen M, Bella M, Estrada AA, Funke C, Zécri FJ, Bulat S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:11159–11175. [PubMed: 16076224] (e) Nicolaou KC, Zak M, Safina BS, Estrada AA, Lee SH, Nevalainen M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:11176–11183. [PubMed: 16076225]
13. For the total synthesis and structural revision of azaspiracid-1, see: (a) Nicolaou KC, Pihko PM, Diedrichs N, Zou N, Bernal F. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2001;40:1262–1265.1265 (b) Nicolaou KC, Qian W, Bernal F, Uesaka N, Pihko PM, Hinrichs J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2001;40:4068–4071.4071 (c) Nicolaou KC, Li Y, Uesaka N, Koftis TV, Vyskocil S, Ling T, Govindasamy M, Qian W, Bernal F, Chen DY-K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2003;42:3643–3648.3648 (d) Nicolaou KC, Chen DY-K, Li Y, Ling T, Vyskocil S, Koftis TV, Govindasamy M, Uesaka N. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2003;42:3649–3653.3653 (e) Nicolaou KC, Vyskocil S, Koftis TV, Yamada YMA, Ling T, Chen DY-K, Tang W, Petrovic G, Frederick MO, Li Y, Satake M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:4312–4318.4318 (f) Nicolaou KC, Koftis TV, Vyskocil S, Petrovic G, Ling T, Yamada YMA, Tang W, Frederick MO. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2004;43:4318–4324.4324 (g) Nicolaou KC, Pihko PM, Bernal F, Frederick MO, Qian W, Uesaka N, Diedrichs N, Hinrichs J, Koftis TV, Loizidou E, Petrovic G, Rodriguez M, Sarlah D, Zou N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:2244–2257.2257 [PubMed: 16478178] (h) Nicolaou KC, Chen DY-K, Li Y, Uesaka N, Petrovic G, Koftis TV, Bernal F, Frederick MO, Govindasamy M, Ling T, Pihko PM, Tang W, Vyskocil S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:2258–2267.2267 [PubMed: 16478179] (i) Nicolaou KC, Koftis TV, Vyskocil S, Petrovic G, Tang W, Frederick MO, Chen DY-K, Li Y, Ling T, Yamada YMA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:2258–2267.2267 [PubMed: 16478179]
14. For the total synthesis and structural confirmation of azaspiracids-2 and -3, see (a) Nicolaou KC, Frederick MO, Petrovic G, Cole PM, Loizidou EZ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006;45:2609–2615.2615 (b) Nicolaou KC, Frederick MO, Loizidou E, Petrovic G, Cole KP, Koftis TV, Yamada Y, Yoichi MA. *Chem. Asian J* 2006;1:245–263.263 [PubMed: 17441061]
15. For the total synthesis of rugolosin, and related natural products, see: (a) Nicolaou KC, Papageorgiou CD, Piper JL, Chadha RK. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2005;44:5846–5851.5851 (b) Nicolaou KC, Lim YH, Papageorgiou CD, Piper JL. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2005;44:7917–7921.7921 (c) Nicolaou KC, Lim YH, Piper JL, Papageorgiou CD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2007;129:4001–4013.4013 [PubMed: 17355133] (d) Snider BB, Gao X. *J. Org. Chem* 2005;70:6863–6869.6869 [PubMed: 16095306]
16. Nicolaou KC, Sarlah D, Shaw DM. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2007;46:4708–4711.
17. Chen C-C, Huang Y-L, Ou J-C. *J. Nat. Prod* 1993;56:1594–1597.
18. Hakim EH, Asnizar, Yurnawilis, Aimi N, Kitajima M, Takayama H. *Fitoterapia* 2002;73:668–673. [PubMed: 12490227]
19. Fernando MR, Nalinie Wickramasinghe SMD, Thabrew MI, Ariyananda PL, Karunanayake EH. *Ethnopharmacol* 1991;31:277–282.
20. Wang YH, Hou AJ, Chen L, Chen DF, Sun HD, Zhao QS, Bastow KF, Nakanish Y, Wang XH, Lee KH. *J. Nat. Prod* 2004;67:757–761. [PubMed: 15165133]
21. Boonlaksiri C, Oonanant W, Kongsaree P, Kittakoop P, Tanticharoen M, Thebtaranonth Y. *Phytochemistry* 2000;54:415–417. [PubMed: 10897483]
22. Wang YH, Hou AJ, Chen L, Chen DF, Sun HD, Zhao QS, Bastow KF, Nakanish Y, Wang XH, Lee KH. *J. Nat. Prod* 2004;67:757–761. [PubMed: 15165133]
23. Wang YH, Hou AJ, Chen DF, Weiller M, Wendel A, Staples RJ. *Eur. J. Org. Chem* 2006;15:3457–3463.
24. For related formal cycloaddition reactions involving a benzopyran and a prenyl group, see: (a) Mondal M, Puranik VG, Argade NP. *J. Org. Chem* 2007;72:2068–2076.2076 [PubMed: 17298098]; for a related formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction involving an allylic cation and a benzopyran, see: (b) Kurdyumov AV, Hsung RP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2006;128:6272–6273.6273 [PubMed: 16683764]; The [2+2] cycloaddition chemistry of allenes and ketenes has been studied in great detail, see: (c) Ohno H, Mizutani T, Kadoh Y, Aso A, Miyamura K, Fujii N, Tanaka T. *J. Org. Chem* 2007;72:4378–4389.4389 [PubMed: 17489635] (d) Murakami M, Matsuda T, Krause N, Hashmi ASK. *Modern*

- Allene Chemistry 2004; Vol. 2 Weinheim Wiley-VCH: 727–815.815 (e) Wang X, Houk KN. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1990; 112: 1754.
25. For a preliminary communication of this work, see: Nicolaou KC, Lister T, Denton RM, Gelin CF. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007
26. Godfrey JD Jr, Mueller RH, Sedergran TC, Soundararajan N, Colandrea V. Tetrahedron Lett 1994; 35: 6405–6408.6408. This copper-catalyzed protocol was found to be superior to procedures involving *in situ* generation of dimethylpropynyltriflate.
27. Prepared from the commercially available acid, see: Wang Q, Huang Q, Chen B, Lu J, Wang H, She X, Pan X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2006; 45: 3651–3653.3653
28. For other synthetic applications of the microwave-promoted Claisen rearrangement, see: (a) Davis CJ, Moody CJ. Synlett 2002; 11: 1874–1876.1876 (b) Davis CJ, Hurst TE, Jacob AM, Moody CJ. J. Org. Chem 2005; 70: 4414–4422.4422 [PubMed: 15903320] (c) Durand-Reville T, Gobbi LB, Gray BL, Ley SV, Scott JS. Org. Lett 2002; 4: 3847–3850.3850 [PubMed: 12599474]
29. For Wittig approaches to oxygenated stilbenes, see: (a) Harrowven DC, Pascoe DD, Guy IL. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2007; 46: 425–428.428 (b) Li W, Li H, Li Y, Hou Z. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2006; 45: 7609–7611.7611 (c) Gao M, Wang M, Miller KD, Sledge GW, Hutchins GD, Zheng Q-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2006; 16: 5767–5772.5772 [PubMed: 16950622]; for an interesting alternative to the Wittig reaction, see: (d) An interesting alternative approach to stilbenes was recently described, see: (d) Robinson JE, Taylor RJK. Chem. Commun 2007; 1617–1619.1619
30. The use of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethylphenyl)sulfones) in the Julia–Kocienski olefination with aromatic aldehydes has been reported, see: Alonso DA, Fuensanta M, Najera C, Varea M. J. Org. Chem 2005; 70: 6404–6416.6416 [PubMed: 16050703]
31. Blakemore PR, Cole WJ, Kocienski PJ, Morley A. Synlett 1998: 26–28.
32. CCDC-648446 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. This data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or deposit@ccdc.cam.co.uk).
33. A Discovery System model number 908005 was used for all microwave reactions.
34. (a) Nicolaou KC, Pfefferkorn JA, Cao G-Q. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000; 39: 734–739. (b) Nicolaou KC, Cao G-Q, Pfefferkorn JA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2000; 39: 739–743. (c) Nicolaou KC, Pfefferkorn JA, Roecker AJ, Cao G-Q, Barluenga S, Mitchell HJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2000; 122: 9939–9953.
35. We are grateful to Dr. Ai-Jun Hou for kindly providing the ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra of natural artochamins F, H, I, and J for comparison purposes.
36. (a) Woodward RB, Hoffmann R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 1969; 8: 781–853. Woodward, RB.; Hoffman, R. The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry. New York: Academic Press; 1970. Fleming, I. Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions. Wiley: Chichester; 1976. (d) Houk KN, Yi L, Evanseck JD. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1992; 31: 682. (e) Houk KN, González J, Li Y. Acc. Chem. Res 1995; 28: 81.
37. Eicken C, Krebs B, Sacchetti JC. Current Opin. Struct. Biol 1999; 9: 677–683.
38. Saeed M, Rogan E, Cavalieri E. Tetrahedron Lett 2005; 46: 4449–4451.
39. Kelly JW, Robinson PL, Evans SA. J. Org. Chem 1986; 51: 4473–4475.
40. Lagier CM, Scheler U, Mc George G, Gonzalez M, Alejandro CO, Harris RK. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 1996; 2: 1325–1329.
41. Bidan TA. Russian J. Gen. Chem 2001; 71: 1545–1546.
42. Cardona ML, Fernandez MI, Garcia MB, Pedro JR. Tetrahedron 1986; 42(10): 2725–2730.
43. Berkowitz DB, Smith MK. J. Org. Chem 1995; 60: 1233–1238.
44. Singh SB, Pettit GR. J. Org. Chem 1989; 54(17): 4105–4114.
45. Padwa A, Lipka H, Watterson SH, Murphree SS. J. Org. Chem 2003; 68: 6238–6250. [PubMed: 12895056]
46. de Fátima Â, Kohn LK, Antônio MA, de Carvalho JE, Pilli RA. Bioorg. Med. Chem 2005; 13: 2927–2933. [PubMed: 15781402]
47. Olszewski JD, Marshalla M, Sabat M, Sundberg RJ. J. Org. Chem 1994; 59: 4285–4296.

Figure 1.
X-Ray derived ORTEP drawing of stilbene **5**. Thermal ellipsoids at the 30 % probability level.

Figure 2.
Principal ROE interactions in synthetic artochamin H (2).

Scheme 1.

Structures and retrosynthetic analysis of artochamins F, H, I, and J (1-4).

Scheme 2.

Construction of aldehyde **17**. Reagents and conditions. a) methyl 1,1-dimethyl-2-propynyl carbonate (3.0 equiv), CuCl_2 (0.01 equiv), DBU (3.0 equiv), CH_3CN , 0°C , 14 h; b) H_2 (balloon), Lindlar catalyst (10 % w/w), quinoline (0.5 equiv), EtOAc, 23°C , 3 h; c) μ -wave, DMF, 180°C , 5 min; d) K_2CO_3 (5.0 equiv), MeI (6.0 equiv), DMF, 100°C , 1 h; e) LiAlH_4 (10 equiv), THF, 50°C , 14 h; f) DMP (1.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 23°C , 30 min; DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide; TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl; DMP = Dess–Martin periodinane (1,1,1-triacetoxy-1,1-dihydro-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one).

Scheme 3.

Construction of phosphonium salt **9**. Reagents and conditions. a) TBSCl (2.0 equiv), imidazole (3.0 equiv), DMF, 23 °C, 12 h; b) NaBH_4 (1.0 equiv), EtOH, 0 $\rightarrow$ 23 °C, 10 min; c) PBr_3 (0.5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 30 min; d) PPh_3 (1.0 equiv), toluene, 110 °C, 3 h.

Scheme 4.

Wittig reaction-based construction of stilbene **23** and synthesis of artochamin I (**3**). Reagents and conditions. a) **9** (1.2 equiv), $n\text{BuLi}$ (1.2 equiv), $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 30 min; then **17** $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 30 min; b) $\text{HF}\cdot\text{py}$ (excess), THF, $0 \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 2 h; c) μ -wave, DMF, $180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 20 min; py = pyridine.

Scheme 5.

Construction of aldehyde **7**. Reagents and conditions. a) LiAlH₄ (1.2 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 10 min; b) DMP (1.2 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 23 °C, 30 min.

Scheme 6.

Wittig reaction-based construction of stilbene **5**. Reagents and conditions. a) Boc_2O , (2.0 equiv), 4-DMAP (0.05 equiv), $i\text{Pr}_2\text{NEt}$ (0.1 equiv), THF, 23 °C, 2 h; b) NaBH_4 , (1.0 equiv), EtOH, 0 $\rightarrow$ 23 °C, 10 min; c) PBr_3 (2.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 °C, 1 h; d) PPh_3 (1.5 equiv), toluene, 60 °C, 14 h; e) **8** (1.2 equiv), $t\text{BuOK}$ (1.2 equiv), -78°C , 30 min; then **7**, $-78^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow 23^\circ\text{C}$, 30 min; DMAP = 4-dimethylaminopyridine.

Scheme 7.

Julia–Kociński reaction-based construction of stilbenes **5** and **6**. Reagents and conditions. a) 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-thiol (1.0 equiv), DEAD (1.2 equiv), PPh₃ (1.1 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 30 min; b) ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate (0.1 equiv), 30 % aqueous H₂O₂ (20 equiv), EtOH, 0 → 23 °C, 14 h; c) **10** or **11** (1.5 equiv), KHMDS (1.5 equiv), –78 °C, 30 min; then **7** (1.0 equiv), THF, –78 → 23 °C; Boc = *tert*-butylcarbonate; KHMDS = potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl.

Scheme 8.

Cascade-based synthesis of artochamin ring framework **32**. Reagents and conditions. a) μ -wave, Ph_3PO (5 % wt.), *o*-xylene, 180 °C, 20 min.

Scheme 9.

Total synthesis of artochamins H–J (2–4). Reagents and conditions. a) PivCl (3.0 equiv), Et_3N (6.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 23 °C, 30 min ; b) PivCl (2.0 equiv), py (6.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 23 °C, 14 h; c) PhSeCl (1.0 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , $-78 \rightarrow 23$ °C, 30 min; then H_2O_2 (20 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 , 0 $\rightarrow$ 23 °C, 3 h; d) K_2CO_3 (5.0 equiv), MeI (6.0 equiv), DMF, 100 °C, 1 h; e) LiAlH_4 (6.0 equiv), THF, 0 $\rightarrow$ 23 °C, 24 h; Piv = trimethylacetyl; py = pyridine.

Scheme 10.

Total synthesis of artochamin F (**1**). Reagents and conditions. a) *o*-xylene, μ -wave, 180 °C, 5 min; b) AIBN (0.1 equiv), $n\text{Bu}_3\text{SnH}$ (2.0 equiv), benzene, reflux, 1 h; c) $\text{HF} \cdot \text{Et}_3\text{N}$ (5.0 equiv), THF, 23 °C, 1 h; d) *o*-xylene, μ -wave, 180 °C, 10 min. AIBN = azobisisobutyronitrile.

Scheme 11.

Potential mechanistic pathways for the formal [2+2] cycloaddition reaction.

Scheme 12.

Postulated redox-based mechanistic pathway for the biogenesis of artochamins H–J from stilbene precursors.

Scheme 13.

Potential role of Ph_3PO in the generation of *o*-quinone intermediate **49**.

Scheme 14.

Spectroscopic observation of Diels–Alder adduct **50** from direct oxidation of stilbene **31** and its conversion to cyclobutane **32**. Reagents and conditions. a) DDQ (1.5 equiv), CDCl_3 , 23 °C, 5 min; b) μ -wave, *o*-xylene, 180 °C, 30 min. DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-1,4-benzoquinone.

Scheme 15.

Construction and microwave irradiation of methylene acetal stilbene **58**. Reagents and conditions. a) 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazole-5-thiol (1.0 equiv), Et₃N (1.25 equiv), THF, 23 °C; then **55** (1.25 equiv), reflux, 14 h; b) thioether (1.0 equiv), *m*-CPBA (3.5 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, 0 → 23 °C, 24 h; c) **56** (1.8 equiv), KHMDS (1.8 equiv), −78 °C, 30 min; then **7** (1.0 equiv), THF, −78 → 23 °C, 1 h, *E:Z* ca. 2:1; d) *o*-xylene, μ -wave, 180 °C, 5 min; e) *o*-xylene, μ -wave, 180 °C, > 30 min *m*-CPBA = 3-chloroperbenzoic acid.

Scheme 16.

Construction and microwave irradiation of stilbenes **62**, **65**, **68** and **71**. Reagents and conditions. a) **60** or **67** (1.8 equiv), KHMDS (1.8 equiv), $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 30 min; then **61** (1.0 equiv), THF, $-78 \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 1 h, $E:Z$ ca. 4:1 for **62** and $E:Z$ ca. 4:1 for **68**; b) *o*-xylene, μ -wave, $180\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, > 30 min; c) **64** or **70** (1.2 equiv), *n*BuLi (1.2 equiv), THF, $0 \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 30 min; then **58** (1.0 equiv), THF, $0 \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 14 h, $E:Z$ ca. 1:1 for **65** and **71**; d) HF $\cdot$ py (excess), THF, $0 \rightarrow 23\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, 2 h.