Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2007 January 18; 9(2): 307–310. doi:10.1021/ol0628098.

Synthesis of 2-*epi*-Amphidinolide E:

An Unexpected and Highly Selective C(2) Inversion During an Esterification Reaction

Porino Va and William R. Roush

Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Scripps Florida, Jupiter, Florida 33458

Abstract

A synthesis of 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E (1) has been accomplished via an unexpected and highly diastereoselective C(2) stereochemical inversion during the modified Yamaguchi esterification of alcohol 4b and Fe(CO)₃-complexed dienic acid 7.

The amphidinolides are a family of structurally diverse macrolides isolated from the dinoflagellate *Amphidinium* sp, many of which display impressive anti-tumor activity.¹ As a consequence, the amphidinolides have attracted considerable interest as targets for synthesis and biological evaluation. Total syntheses of amphidinolides A,² J,³ K,⁴ P,⁵ T,⁶ W,⁷ X⁸ and Y⁹ have been reported.

Amphidinolide E¹⁰ (2) is a 19-membered macrolactone featuring an embedded *cis*-tetrahydrofuran. While this structural motif is common within the amphidinolide family, the C(1)-C(6) α -chiral, $\beta,\gamma,\delta,\epsilon$ -dienoate moiety of amphidinolide E is not found in any of the other amphidinolides. Amphidinolide E is cytotoxic to murine lymphoma L1210 and human epidermoid carcinoma KB cells, IC₅₀ = 2.0 and 10.0 μ g/mL, respectively.¹ Lee has reported the total synthesis of amphidinolide E.¹¹ Furthermore, Gurjar¹² and Marshall¹³ have published studies toward amphidinolide E (2).

We recently reported a total synthesis of amphidinolide E (2) via the ring closing metathesis of polyene 3a (Figure 1).¹⁴ Installation of the C(1)-C(6) α -chiral, unconjugated dienate via direct esterification of hindered alcohol 4a (and closely related analogs) even in the presence of large excesses (10-20 equiv) of acid 5 proved to be extremely challenging. Only trace amounts of the corresponding ester were isolated under a variety of conditions. Furthermore, acid 5 was typically recovered as the fully conjugated, diene migrated carboxylic acid species.¹⁴

Ultimately, we found that use of (CO)₃Fe-complexed acid 6,^{14, 15} a “diene protected” analog of 5, resulted in an efficient esterification of 4b under the modified Yamaguchi conditions¹⁶ (reaction pathway A, Scheme 1). Subsequent oxidative decomplexation afforded polyene 3a, which was smoothly elaborated to amphidinolide E.

Surprisingly, when acid 7, the (CO)₃Fe-diene diastereomer of 6, was used in the same esterification-decomplexation sequence, polyene 3a was not observed (reaction pathway B, Scheme 1). Instead, a product (3b) with a very similar ¹H NMR spectrum to polyene 3a was isolated. It was subsequently determined that this product was the C(2) stereochemically inverted isomer of 3a, namely polyene 3b.¹⁷

The stereochemical assignment of C(2) of **3b** was made on the basis of the data summarized in Table 1. Compounds **6**, **7**, **8**, and **9** were transformed into diene **10**, whose optical rotations were compared with material independently synthesized from commercially available methyl (*S*)-(+)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate.¹⁸ Esters **8** and **9** are the immediate precursors of **3a** and **3b**, respectively. The C(2) stereochemistry of acids **6** and **7** was verified to be *2S* before being subjected to the esterification reaction (entries 1 & 2, Table 1). Furthermore, the *2S* stereochemistry was confirmed for amphidinolide E precursor **8** (prepared via the esterification reaction of **4b** using acid **6**, entry 3, Table 1).¹⁴ On the other hand, the data in entry 4 leave no doubt that inversion at C2 occurs when acid **7** is used in the esterification reaction of **4b** (entry 4, Table 1).

Closure of the 19-membered macrocycle in **11** was accomplished in 60% yield via ring closing metathesis with 20 mol % of Grubbs' first generation catalyst (Scheme 2). In addition, an inseparable mixture of enyne metathesis products was also isolated in 15% yield. Use of Grubbs' second generation¹⁹ or the Grubbs-Hoveyda¹⁹ catalysts resulted in only trace amounts of macrocycle **11** and significant decomposition of polyene **3b**. Stannylaluminum-protonolysis²⁰ of alkyne **11** afforded vinylstannane **12** (51% yield). Treatment of vinylstannane **12** with *N*-iodosuccinimide yielded vinyl iodide **13** (93% yield). Acidic hydrolysis of the triethylsilylether and acetonide protecting groups in **13** afforded an inseparable 10:1 mixture of the desired C(18) and undesired C(17) lactone regioisomers. This is in contrast with our synthesis of amphidinolide E, in which case the analogous deprotection step provided only the 19-membered lactone.¹⁴ Stille²¹ cross coupling of the crude mixture of vinyl iodides with vinylstannane **14**¹² followed by HPLC purification afforded 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E in 34% yield from **13**. Biological data for 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E will be reported in due course.

The striking diastereoselectivity (dr = 20:1) for the formation of the C(2)-epimers of **8** and **9** prompted further studies to discern the role, if any, that the alcohol plays in influencing the diastereoselectivity of these esterification reactions. Table 2 summarizes the diastereoselectivities and yields obtained for the esterification reactions of three different alcohols with acids **6** and **7**. Esterification of the sterically unhindered, primary alcohol 3-phenylpropanol afforded a 1:1 C(2)-diastereomeric mixture with both acids **6** and **7** (entries 1 & 2, Table 2).²² In contrast, esterification of the hindered primary alcohol, 2,2-dimethylpropanol, with acid **6** yielded a single isomer **17**²³, but a 1:1 mixture of *ent*-**17** and **18** was obtained when acid **7** was used (entries 3 & 4).²² Interestingly, coupling of 3-pentanol and acid **7** afforded a 5:1 mixture favoring **20** (entry 6), whereas **19**²⁴ was obtained exclusively from the coupling of **6** and 3-pentanol (entry 5). Ester **20** is the enantiomer of **19**, and can be obtained only if inversion of C(2) of **7** occurs during the esterification reaction.

We hypothesize that ketene intermediates may be involved in these esterification reactions (Figure 2). Ketenes are known to be generated from active ester intermediates in esterifications of carboxylic acids with acidic alpha protons.²⁴ Subsequent addition of the alcohol to ketene **22** and reformation of the C(2) stereocenter may occur diastereoselectively via protonation anti to the (CO)₃Fe unit in the lowest energy conformation **23**. Alternatively, DMAP could add to the ketene intermediate.²⁵ Subsequent diastereoselective protonation of the enolate **24** by ROH would provide the acyl pyridinium salt **25**.

The rate of ketene formation could well be different for the two epimeric Fe(CO)₃ complexed dienolic acids **6** and **7**. In addition, unhindered alcohols (e.g., 3-phenylpropanol) could react with the active ester intermediates generated from **6** and **7** at rates competitive with ketene formation. Consequently, high diastereoselectivity is realized in these esterification reactions only with hindered, less reactive secondary alcohols (e.g., **4b** and 3-pentanol) for which the

rate of direct esterification from the initially formed active ester intermediate is substantially slower than the rates of ketene formation from **6** and **7**.

An alternative mechanism is also plausible based on the work of Donaldson.¹⁵ Donaldson has demonstrated that the methyl ester corresponding to **7** rapidly epimerizes under basic conditions, with an equilibrium ratio of approximately 1.4 : 1 at C(2). Donaldson also demonstrated that the diastereomeric methyl ester **21** (R = Me) undergoes hydrolysis faster than the methyl ester of **7**.¹⁵ Accordingly, it is conceivable that a rapid C(2) epimerization of the active esters generated from **6** and **7** followed by dynamic kinetic resolution of this mixture could also play a role in some of the reactions reported herein. However, the fact that both **6** and **7** display C(2) epimerization without significant asymmetric induction in esterification with simple alcohols (e.g., Table 2, entries 1, 2, and 4), and the fact that **6** and **7** display strikingly different behavior in esterification reactions with neopentanol (entries 6 and 7), leads us to favor the ketene reaction pathway in competition with direct esterification of the active ester intermediates (but without dynamic resolution) to rationalize the stereoselectivity observed these reactions, and especially in those cases that proceed with excellent asymmetric induction (e.g., **8** and **9**, and entries 3, 5, and 6 of Table 2).

In summary, we have synthesized 2-*epi*-amphidinolide **E** via an unexpected and highly diastereoselective C(2) stereochemical inversion that occurs during the modified Yamaguchi esterification reaction of **4b** and **7**. A mechanistic rationale is presented that implicates diastereoselective protonation of enol (**23**) or enolate (**24**) intermediates derived from addition of the alcohol to the ketene intermediate **22**.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM 38436).

References

- 1(a). For reviews on the amphidinolides: Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M. Chem. Rev 1993;93:1753. (b) Chakraborty TK, Das S. Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents 2001;1:131. (c) Kobayashi J, Shimbo K, Kubota T, Tsuda M. Pure Appl. Chem 2003;75:337. (d) Kobayashi J, Tsuda M. Nat. Prod. Rep 2004;21:77. [PubMed: 15039836]
- 2(a). Lam HW, Pattenden G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl 2002;41:508. [PubMed: 12491395] (b) Maleczka RE Jr, Terrell LR, Geng F, Ward JS III. Org. Lett 2002;4:2841. [PubMed: 12182569] (c) Trost BM, Chisholm JD, Wroblewski SJ, Jung M. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:12420. [PubMed: 12381177] (d) Trost BM, Harrington PE. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:5028. [PubMed: 15099060] (e) Trost BM, Wroblewski ST, Chisholm JD, Harrington PE, Jung M. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:13589. [PubMed: 16190724] (f) Trost BM, Harrington PE, Chisholm JD, Wroblewski ST. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:13598. [PubMed: 16190725]
3. Williams DR, Kissel WS. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998;120:11198.
4. Williams DR, Meyer KG. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:765. [PubMed: 11456603]
- 5(a). Williams DR, Myers BJ, Mi L. Org. Lett 2000;2:945. [PubMed: 10768193] (b) Trost BM, Papillon JPN. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:13618. [PubMed: 15493910] (c) Trost BM, Papillon JPN, Nussbaumer T. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:17921. [PubMed: 16351124]
- 6(a). Fürstner A, Aissa C, Riveiros R, Ragot J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl 2002;41:4763. [PubMed: 12481352] (b) Aissa C, Riveiros R, Ragot J, Fürstner A. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:15512. [PubMed: 14664598] (c) Ghosh AK, Liu C. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2003;125:2374. [PubMed: 12603108] (d) Ghosh AK, Liu C. Strategies Tactics Org. Synth 2004;5:255. (e) Colby EA, O'Brien

- KC, Jamison TF. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:998. [PubMed: 14746449] (f) Colby EA, O'Brien KC, Jamison TF. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:4297. [PubMed: 15783211] (g) O'Brien KC, Colby EA, Jamison TF. Tetrahedron 2005;61:6243. (h) Deng L-S, Huang X-P, Zhao G. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:4625. [PubMed: 16749797]
- 7(a). Ghosh AK, Gong G. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:3704. [PubMed: 15038710] (b) Ghosh AK, Gong G. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:1085. [PubMed: 16438525]
8. Lepage O, Kattnig E, Fürstner A. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:15970. [PubMed: 15584724]
9. Fürstner A, Kattnig E, Lepage O. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:9194. [PubMed: 16834393]
- 10(a). Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M, Murayama T, Takamatsu M, Iwamura M, Ohizumi Y, Sasaki T. J. Org. Chem 1990;55:3421. (b) Kubota T, Tsuda M, Kobayashi J. i. J. Org. Chem 2002;67:1651. [PubMed: 11871898]
11. Kim CH, An HJ, Shin WK, Yu W, Woo SK, Jung SK, Lee E. Angew. Chem Int. Ed 2006;45:ASAP
12. Gurjar MK, Mohapatra S, Phalgune UD, Puranik VG, Mohapatra DK. Tetrahedron Lett 2004;45:7899.
13. Marshall JA, Schaaf G, Nolting A. Org. Lett 2005;7:5331. [PubMed: 16268571]
14. Va P, Roush WR. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:ASAP.
- 15(a). Donaldson WA, Craig R, Spanton S. Tetrahedron Lett 1992;33:3967. (b) Wasicak JT, Craig RA, Henry R, Dasgupta B, Li H, Donaldson WA. Tetrahedron 1997;53:4185.
16. Hikota M, Sakurai Y, Horita K, Yonemitsu O. Tetrahedron Lett 1990;31:6367.
17. Chronologically, polyene **3b** was synthesized prior to **3a** and was elaborated to 2-*epi*-amphidinolide E. We initially suspected that the stereochemistry of natural amphidinolide E may have been misassigned. It ultimately became apparent that a stereochemical inversion had occurred at C(2) in the esterification of **4b** and **7** after we repeated Kobayashi's stereochemical assignments for amphidinolide E by using advanced intermediates such as **11** as correlation compounds. Details of these stereochemical assignments will be reported in a full paper.
18. Diene **10** was independently synthesized in 5 steps from methyl (*S*)-(+)-3-hydroxy-2-methylpropionate, see Supporting Information.
- 19(a). For reviews of olefin metathesis: Gradillas A, Perez-Castells J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2006;45:6086. (b) Nicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2005;44:4490. (c) Fürstner A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2000;39:3012.
20. Sharma S, Oehlschlager AC. J. Org. Chem 1989;54:5064.
- 21(a). Stille JK, Groh BL. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1987;109:813. (b) Han X, Stoltz BM, Corey EJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:7600.
22. A 1:1 mixture of C(2)-epimers was also obtained in the esterification of 3-phenylpropanol and acid **6** using EDCI-MeI and DMAP in CH₂Cl₂. The reaction does not proceed without DMAP.
23. The C(2) stereochemistry of **17** and **19** was confirmed via a three step transformation to (+)-(*R*)-**10**: 1) DIBAL, MePh, -78 °C; 2) TBSCl, imidazole, CH₂Cl₂; 3) CAN, acetone, 0 °C.
- 24(a). Nahmany M, Melman A. Org. Lett 2001;3:3733. [PubMed: 11700125] (b) Shelkov R, Nahmany M, Melman A. J. Org. Chem 2002;67:8975. [PubMed: 12467417]
- 25(a). Hodous BL, Ruble JC, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:2637. (b) Wiskur SL, Fu GC. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:6176. [PubMed: 15853315]

Figure 1.
Strategy for the synthesis of amphidinolide E (1)

Figure 2.
Proposed Esterification Pathway

Scheme 1.
Divergent Reaction Pathways for Acids **6** and **7**

Scheme 2.
Completion of Synthesis of 2-*epi*-Amphidinolide E (**1**)

Table 1Stereochemical Correlations Implicating Inversion at C(2) During the Esterification Reaction Leading to **3b** (via **9**)

entry	starting material	steps	overall yield	product [(+)-or(-)- 10]
1	6	A	84%	(+)-(R)- 10
2	7	A	68%	(+)-(R)- 10
3	8	B	56%	(+)-(R)- 10
4	9	C	61%	(-)-(S)- 10

Steps A: 1) BH_3 -DMS, THF; 2) TBSCl, imidazole, CH_2Cl_2 ; 3) CAN, acetone, 0 °C. Steps B: 1) DIBAL, MePh, -78 °C; 2) TBSCl, imidazole, CH_2Cl_2 ; 3) CAN, acetone, 0 °C

Table 2
Esterification Reactions of Acids **6** and **7** with Primary and Secondary Alcohols

entry	alcohol	acid	product	dr	% yield
1		6		1:1	99%
2		7		1:1	99%
3		6		>20:1	79%
4		7		1:1	69%
5		6		>20:1	99%
6		7		5:1	99%

Esterification conditions: alcohol (1 equiv), acid (1.3 equiv), 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, Et₃N, DMAP, THF, 0 to 25 °C.