Published in final edited form as:

Neurobiol Aging. 2008 December ; 29(12): 1894–1901. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2007.04.028.

Cannabinoid receptor stimulation is anti-inflammatory and improves memory in old rats

Yannick Marchalant^a, Francesca Cerbai^a, Holly M Brothers^a, and Gary L Wenk^a

*a*Department of psychology, psychology building, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, 43210 USA.

Abstract

The number of activated microglia increase during normal aging. Stimulation of endocannabinoid receptors can reduce the number of activated microglia, particularly in the hippocampus, of young rats infused chronically with lipopolysaccharide (LPS). In the current study we demonstrate that endocannabinoid receptor stimulation by administration of WIN-55212-2 (2 mg/kg/day) can reduce the number of activated microglia in hippocampus of aged rats and attenuate the spatial memory impairment in the water pool task. Our results suggest that the action of WIN-55212-2 does not depend upon a direct effect upon microglia or astrocytes but is dependent upon stimulation of neuronal cannabinoid receptors. Aging significantly reduced cannabinoid type 1 receptor binding but had no effect on cannabinoid receptor protein levels. Stimulation of cannabinoid receptors may provide clinical benefits in age-related diseases that are associated with brain inflammation, such as Alzheimer's disease.

Keywords

cannabinoid receptors; inflammation; activated microglia; aging; spatial memory

1. Introduction

Microglial cells play a pivotal role as immune effectors in the central nervous system and may participate in the initiation and progression of neurological disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease and multiple sclerosis by releasing cytotoxic proteins, reactive oxygen species or complement [1,15]. Recent evidence suggests that the aging process induces morphological changes in microglia [36], and treatment with NSAIDs does not reduce microglia activation in old rats, in contrast to their effectiveness in young rats [12], thus raising the possibility of microglial senescence [26,36].

The endocannabinoid system may regulate many aspects of the brain's inflammatory response, including the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and modulation of microglial activation

Corresponding author: Dr. Gary L. Wenk, Department of Psychology, Ohio State University, Columbus Ohio, 43210., Tel# + 1 614-688-3404, Fax# + 1 614-688-4733, Email: wenk.6@osu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosure Statement :

None of the authors have conflicts of interest.

We certify that the experiments were carried out in accordance with the National Institute of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 80-23) revised 1996. We also certify that the formal approval to conduct the experiments has been obtained from the animal subjects review board from Ohio State University.

[17,19]. The endocannabinoid system is comprised of two G-protein-coupled receptors designated as CB1 and CB2 [27]; although not all endocannabinoid effects can be explained only by these two receptors [2]. CB1 receptors are expressed in the brain and are responsible for most of the behavioral effects of exogenous cannabinoids [27,39]. CB2 receptors are expressed by immune and hematopoietic cells peripherally [2], and may be expressed on neurons in the brainstem and the brain [3,9,24,40] although their presence in the brain is controversial [21]. Two endogenous ligands for these receptors, arachidonylethanolamine and 2-arachidonoylglycerol [35], influence immune responses by inhibiting cytokine release and other anti-inflammatory actions [16,17]. In vitro, microglia expresses CB receptors and release cytokines in response to exposure to LPS or beta-amyloid protein [7,30,33]. Astrocytes may also synthesize and release endocannabinoids [42]. Although stimulation of CB1 receptors, e.g. by administration of Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol, can impair performance in rats, mice or monkeys [5], we previously demonstrated that stimulation of the CB1/2 receptors using a low dose of (R)-(+)-[2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinylmethyl)-pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4benzoxazin-6-yl]-1-naphthalenyl-methanone mesylate (WIN-55,212-2) significantly reversed the LPS-induced microglia activation in young rats without attenuating the neuroinflammation-induced performance impairment observed in the water pool task [19]. Because normal aging is associated with increased levels of microglial activation, in the current study, we investigated for anti-inflammatory and memory enhancing effects of CB1/2 receptor stimulation in normal aged rats.

2 Methods

2.1 Subjects and surgical procedures

Eighteen young (3 months old) and twenty-four old (23 months old) male F-344 rats (Harlan Sprague-Dawley, Indianapolis, IN) were singly housed in Plexiglas cages with free access to food and water. The rats were maintained on a 12/12-h light-dark cycle in a temperature-controlled room (22°C) with lights off at 0800. All rats were given health checks, handled upon arrival and allowed at least one week to adapt to their new environment prior to surgery.

WIN-55,212-2 (Sigma, St-Louis, MO, 0.5 mg/kg/day or 2mg/kg/day n=28) or the vehicle (dimethylsulfoxide (100%) DMSO Sigma, St-Louis, MO n=14) were chronically infused for 21 days subcutaneously using an osmotic minipump (Alzet, Cupertino, CA, model 2ML4, to deliver 2.5 μ l/h). Rats were assigned to one of the following six groups: young + vehicle (n=6), old + vehicle (n=8), young + WIN 0.5 mg/kg/day (n=6), old + WIN 0.5mg/kg/day (n=8), young + WIN 2 mg/kg/day (n=6), old + WIN 2 mg/kg/day (n=8). Behavioral testing began on day 14 post surgery.

2.2 Water Pool Testing

Spatial learning ability was assessed using a 170 cm diameter water maze with grey walls. The water was maintained at 26–28°C. The pool was in the center of a room with multiple visual stimuli on the wall as distal cues, and a chair and a metal board against the wall of the pool as proximal cues. The circular escape platform was 10 cm in diameter. For the spatial (hidden-platform) version of the water task, a circular escape platform was present in a constant location, submerged about 2.5 cm below the water surface. The rats were tracked using Noldus Ethovision 3.1 tracking and analysis system (Noldus, Leesburg, VA).

Each rat performed three training blocks per day (two training trials per block) for 4 days (24 trials total), with a 60-min inter-block interval. On each trial, the rat was released into the water from one of seven locations spaced evenly at the side of the pool, which varied randomly from trial to trial. After the rat found the escape platform or swam for a maximum of 60 sec, it was allowed to remain on the platform for 30 sec. To control for possible drug-induced deficits in

visual acuity and swimming ability, the same rats were also tested on a second version of this task. In this version, a visible platform raised 2 cm above the surface of the water was moved randomly to one of four locations in the tank after each trial. A total of 4 visible-platform trials were performed. The results were analyzed by ANOVA followed by post hoc comparisons according to the method of Fisher.

2.3 Histological procedures

After behavioral testing was completed, each rat was deeply anesthetized with isoflurane and prepared for a transcardiac perfusion of the brain with cold saline containing 1 U/ml heparin, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. The brains were then post-fixed one hour in the same fixative and then stored (4°C) in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), pH 7.4. Free-floating coronal sections (40 µm) were obtained using a vibratome from perfused tissues for staining with standard avidin/biotin peroxidase or fluorescence labeling methods. The monoclonal antibody OX-6 (final dilution 1:400, Pharmigen, San Diego, CA) was used to visualize activated microglia cells. This antibody is directed against Class II major histocompatibility complex (MHC II) antigen. After quenching endogenous peroxidase/ activity and blocking nonspecific binding, the sections were incubated (4°C) overnight with the primary antibody (OX-6). Thereafter, the sections were incubated for 2h (22°C) with the secondary monoclonal antibody, rat adsorbed biotinylated horse anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (final dilution 1:200, Vector, Burlingame, CA). Sections were then incubated for 1h (22°C) with avidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (Vectastain, Elite ABC kit, Vector, Burlingame, CA). After washing again in PBS, the sections were incubated with 0.05% 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Vector, Burlingame, CA) as chromogen. The reaction was stopped by washing the section with PBS. No staining was detected in the absence of the primary or secondary antibodies. Sections were mounted on slides, air-dried and coverslipped with cytooseal (Allan Scientific, Kalamazoo, MI) mounting medium. The location of immunohistochemically-defined cells was examined by light microscopy. Quantification of cell density in the reconstructed hippocampal coronal sections was assessed with MetaMorph imaging software (Universal Image Corporation, West Chester, PA). Briefly, areas of interest were determined as previously reported in detail [31], their surface measured, and the immunoreactive cells numerated, allowing us to determine a number of immunoreactive cells by millimeter square in the areas of interest.

2.4 Double immunofluorescence staining

Free floating sections were mounted on slides and air-dried. The tissues were then processed as described previously [31]. Briefly, after washing in TBS solution the polyclonal rabbit anti-CB1 (Sigma, St-Louis, MO, dilution 1:500) was applied. After 24 h of incubation at 4°C, the sections were incubated for 2 h at room temperature with the secondary anti-rabbit biotinylated antibody (Vector, Burlingame, CA), followed by incubation with Avidin+Biotin amplification system (Vector, Burlingame, CA) for 45 minutes. The staining was visualized using the TSA fluorescence system CY3 (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Emeryville, CA). After washing in TBS solution, the tissues were quenched and blocked again and incubated with either of the following: a monoclonal antibody to MHC-II (OX-6, Pharmigen, San Diego, CA, final dilution 1:400), the monoclonal antibody anti-GFAP (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, final dilution 1:500), the monoclonal antibody anti-neuronal nuclei (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, final dilution 1:500), the monoclonal antibody OX-42 (BD Pharmingen, San Jose, CA final dilution 1:400) or the monoclonal antibody NMDA-R1 (Chemicon, Temecula, CA, final dilution 1:250) for 24h at 4°C. Before applying the biotinylated monoclonal secondary rat absorbed antibody (Vector, Burlingame, CA) for 2 h, the tissue was incubated with Avidin Biotin Blocking Kit (Vector, Burlingame, CA) for 30 min to block cross reaction with the primary staining. Following treatment with an Avidin+Biotin amplification system (Vector, Burlingame, CA), the staining was visualized with a TSA fluorescence system CY5 (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Emeryville,

CA) and the nuclei were counterstained with Sytox-Green (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). No staining was detected in the absence of the primary or secondary antibodies.

2.5 Western blots

Western immunoblotting was carried out as described in Giovannini et al. [8]. Briefly, rats were sacrificed, areas of interest microdissected and transferred to ice-cold microcentrifuge tubes and kept at -70°C until use. On experiment day, tissues were homogenized on ice directly into the Eppendorf tube (20 strokes, 1 stroke/s) using the lysis buffer (composition (mM): 50 Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 NaCl, 10 EGTA, 5 EDTA, 2 sodium pyrophosphate, 4 para-nitrophenylphosphate, 1 sodium orthovanadate, 1 phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 20 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ leupeptin and 30 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ aprotinin, 0.1% SDS). Immediately after homogenization the quantity of protein was determined using Bio-Rad Protein Assay reagent (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). An appropriate volume of 2 $\times$ loading buffer was added to the homogenates, and samples were boiled for 5 min. Samples (40 μg of proteins per well) were loaded onto a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and resolved by standard electrophoresis. The proteins were then transferred electrophoretically onto nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C extra; Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL, USA) using a transfer tank kept at 4°C , with a constant current of 12 mA. Membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with a blocking buffer (BB, 5% non-fat dry milk in TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20, TBS-T), then probed for 2 h at room temperature using primary antibody for CB1 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:500; Sigma, Saint-louis, MO, USA). After washing in TBS-T (three washes, 15 min each), the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:7500; Pierce, Rockeford, Illinois, U.S.A.), and proteins were visualized using chemiluminescence (Super Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate, Pierce, Rockeford, Illinois, U.S.A.). In order to normalize the values of CB1, we used actin. Membranes were stripped by Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (Pierce, Rockeford, Illinois, U.S.A.) (15 min, room temperature), blocked in BB for 1 h at room temperature and probed for 2 h at room temperature using antibodies for actin (1:10000; NEB), incubated in the secondary antibody and developed. All primary antibodies were dissolved in BB, while secondary antibodies were dissolved in TBS-T. After development of the film, the bands were acquired as TIFF files, and the density of the bands was quantified by a densitometric analysis performed using Quantity One for Windows (Biorad, Beverly, MA, USA) software. CB1 values were expressed as percentage of actin run in the same Western blot analysis.

2.6 [^3H] SR141716A in vitro binding

Each rat was briefly anesthetized using isoflurane gas and then quickly sacrificed by decapitation. The brains were quickly removed and bilateral samples of hippocampus were dissected as described previously [43] and quickly frozen on dry ice and then stored (-70°C) until assayed for [^3H] SR141716A binding to CB1 receptors according to the method of Steffens et al., [34]. Membrane fractions were prepared from the tissues by Homogenization in 10 volumes of the following buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1.0 mM EGTA, 3 mM MgCl_2 , 0.1% bovine serum albumin, pH 7.4), repeated centrifugation (1,000 $\times$ g for 10 min @ 4°C followed by 10,000 $\times$ g for 10 min @ 4°C). The supernatant was discarded and the remaining pellet was resuspended (10 vols) in the same buffer. The assays were conducted in an incubation volume of 500 μl containing [^3H] SR141716A (4.0 ηM , 55 Ci/mmol, NEN) and 80–100 μg of membrane protein. Incubation was carried out at 30°C for 60 minutes and terminated by dilution with 4 ml of ice-cold homogenizing buffer followed immediately by filtration using a Brandell 24-well harvester through GF/C filters that had been presoaked in 0.03% polyethylenimine, pH 7.0. Bound radioactivity was determined with a Tri-Carb 1800TR liquid scintillation analyser (Packard). Specific binding was defined as total binding minus binding in the presence of the CB₁ receptor antagonist *N*-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4-

dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (AM251, 1 μ M). Differences in the number of receptor binding sites were analyzed by ANOVA.

3. Results

Chronic infusion of DMSO and WIN-55212-2 were well tolerated by all rats.

3.1 Behavior

An ANOVA performed on the latency results obtained in the water maze task revealed a significant effect of testing day ($F_{5, 134}=20.709$, $p<0.0001$, See Figure 1), age ($F_{1, 196}=13.4$, $p<0.0001$ across all days of testing), and drug treatment ($F_{5, 192}=16.9$, $p<0.0001$ for days 2–4). For young rats, there was a significant interaction between treatment and testing day ($F_{2, 381}=6.691$, $p=0.0014$). On days 2 and 3 the performance of young rats given the low dose of WIN was significantly ($p<0.05$) worse as compared to young rats given the vehicle. In contrast, on day 4, the performance of young rats given the high dose of WIN was significantly ($p<0.05$) better as compared to the young rats given the vehicle. For old rats, there was a significant interaction between treatment and testing day ($F_{2, 405}=13.999$, $p<0.0001$). The performance of old rats given the high dose of WIN was significantly better ($p<0.05$) as compared to the old rats given the vehicle. The performance of old rats given the low dose of WIN did not differ from the old rats given the vehicle. For young rats, WIN treatment did not impair performance during the probe trial (platform removed, percentage of time spent in platform quadrant: vehicle, $35\%\pm3.2$; WIN 0.5, $48.6\%\pm4$; WIN 2, $38.2\%\pm8.5$). For old rats, the high WIN dose (2 mg/kg/day) significantly improved performance during the probe trial ($44.5\%\pm9.2$ vs $26.4\%\pm1.8$ for vehicle group).

3.2 Histology

Immunostaining (Figure 2A) revealed activated microglia (OX-6-immunopositive) cells distributed throughout the hippocampus of old rats (Figure 2A d). The activated microglia had a characteristic bushy morphology with increased cell body size and contracted and ramified processes (Figure 2A d). Young rats (with vehicle, WIN 0.5 or WIN 2, Figure 2A a–c) had very few, mildly activated microglia evenly scattered throughout the brain, consistent with results from previous studies (Hauss-Wegrzyniak et al., 1998; Marchalant et al., 2007). The highest dose of WIN reduced the number of immunostained microglia within the hippocampus of old rats (Figure 2A d–f).

3.3 Regional microglia cell counts

The number of activated microglia per millimeter square was determined in 4 different area of interest: dentate gyrus (DG), CA3 and CA1 regions of the hippocampus and the entorhinal cortex (EC) (Figure 2B). These brain regions were examined because of their importance for spatial learning [22]. An ANOVA revealed an overall main effect of age on the number of immunostained microglia ($F_{1, 61}=7.626$, $p<0.0076$) with a significant increase due to age in the dentate gyrus ($F_{1, 13}=9.766$, $p=0.008$) and in CA3 ($F_{1, 13}=24.931$, $p=0.0002$). The highest dose of WIN reduced the number of immunostained microglia in the CA3 ($F_{5, 9}=15.303$, $p=0.0004$).

3.4 CB1 receptors

CB1 immunoreactivity was found in the hippocampus, striatum, amygdala as well as in the somatosensory, cingulate and entorhinal cortices; the distribution of these immunoreactive cells did not vary across age or treatment groups and was consistent with previous reports [39,14,19]. The quantity of CB1 protein present in the hippocampus determined by western blotting technique (Figure 3) also did not vary significantly across age or treatment groups

($F_{5,79}=0.516$, $p=0.7631$). In contrast, an ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of age ($F_{1,20}=6.13$, $p<0.05$) upon the number of [^3H] SR141716A binding sites in the hippocampus (table 1), but no main effect of drug treatment ($F_{5,16}=1.161$, $p=0.37$).

3.5 No co-localization between CB1 receptors and resting or activated microglial cells

Double-immunofluorescence staining for CB1 receptors and resting (OX-42, Fig. 4E, 4e) or activated microglial (OX-6, Figure 4A, 4a) performed on the brains of all groups did not show any co-localization. These results suggest that CB1 receptors are not present on microglial cells in aged rats or following a treatment with a CB₁ agonist.

3.6 No co-localization between CB1 and astrocytes

Double-immunofluorescence staining for CB1 receptors and GFAP-positive astrocytes performed on the brains of all groups did not show any co-localization (Figure 4C, 4c). These results suggest that CB1 are not present on astrocytes in aged rats or following treatment with a CB₁ agonist.

3.7 Co-localization between CB1 and neurons

Double-immunofluorescence staining for CB1 receptors and neurons performed on the brains of all groups demonstrated a strong spatial co-localization of CB1 receptors and the soma of neurons (Figure 4B, 4b). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that CB1 receptors are closely associated with neurons in the regions of interest and particularly within the CA3 region of the hippocampus. Moreover double-immunofluorescence staining for CB1 and NMDA-R1 performed on the brains of all groups demonstrated a strong spatial co-localization of CB1 receptors and NMDA-R1 receptors on neuronal cell bodies and dendritic processes (Figure 4D, 4d). These results suggest that CB1 receptors are closely associated with glutamatergic receptors in the regions of interest and particularly in the CA3 region of the hippocampus.

4. Discussion

The results demonstrate that a CB₁/CB₂ receptor agonist, WIN-55212-2, can effectively reduce microglial cell activation associated with normal aging. The effects of this drug were not dependent upon direct CB₁ receptor stimulation on microglia or astrocytes, were region dependant and significantly attenuated the aged-associated impairment in a spatial memory task. No significant changes were observed in the protein expression of the CB₁ receptor in the hippocampus, with the exception of a decrease in CB₁ receptor density in aged rats as compared to young rats that is consistent with a previous report [4]. CB receptor expression is altered (diminution of CB₁-immunoreactive neurons) in AD [30]. Endocannabinoids may be neuroprotective via their ability to modulate inflammatory response of neurons and glia to β -amyloid, control the release of TNF- α , nitric oxide and glutamate and reduce calcium influx via NMDA channels [16,17,19,28,30,37,41]. Cannabinoids can attenuate oxidative stress and its subsequent toxicity [10] or induce the expression of brain-derived neurotrophic factor following the infusion of kainic acid [20]. Interestingly, WIN 55,212-2 can inhibit the IL-1 signaling pathway in human astrocytes through a pathway that may not involve CB₁ or CB₂ cannabinoid receptors [6]. We previously demonstrated that WIN 55,212-2 administration can reduce LPS-induced chronic neuroinflammation in young rats [19].

The mechanism underlying the effect of WIN 55,212-2 treatment upon microglial activation is unknown but is likely indirect. Our results suggest that CB₁ receptors are not co-localized with microglia (in their resting or activated state) or GFAP-positive astrocytes within the hippocampus of normal aged rats. CB₁ receptors were co-localized with NMDA receptors on neurons (Figure 3) in the CA3 region of the hippocampus in young and aged rats. We have

recently shown that selective antagonism of NMDA receptors can also reduce microglia activation [32] similar to that reported in the current study suggesting an indirect influence of CB receptors upon microglia activation that might be linked to the modulation of glutamate synaptic transmission or neuronal activity. We speculate that the activation of endocannabinoid receptors in the presence of brain inflammation may restore a proper calcium influx via NMDA channels in a manner similar to that described for the NMDA channel antagonist memantine [32,44]. Activated microglial cells also increase their expression of GABA-b receptors; stimulation of GABA-b receptors can reduce microglia activation [18] and reduce the release of glutamate and GABA in the entorhinal cortex [38]. Therefore, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that CB receptors on hippocampal neurons modulate glutamatergic and GABAergic function [13,14,37] and this leads to reduced microglia activation. This mechanism may underlie the neuroprotective effects of cannabinoids [23,25,29].

Importantly, the benefits of cannabinoid receptor stimulation occurred at a dose that did not impair performance in a spatial memory task, indeed the performance of aged rats was significantly improved. This finding is particularly relevant for elderly for patients suffering with diseases associated with brain inflammation, e.g. AD, Parkinson's disease or multiple sclerosis. The current report is the first to our knowledge to demonstrate the anti-inflammatory actions of cannabinoid therapy in aged animals and strongly advocate an cannabinoid-based therapy for neuroinflammation-related diseases [17], as well as a potential tool to reduce the impairment in memory processes occurring during normal aging.

List of abbreviations

Δ^9 -THC, Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol
aCSF, artificial cerebral spinal fluid
AD, Alzheimer's disease
CB1, cannabinoid receptor 1
CB2, cannabinoid receptor 2
CB_r, cannabinoid receptors
DG, Dentate gyrus
EC, Entorhinal cortex
LPS, Lipopolysaccharide
NMDA, N-methyl-d-aspartate
PBS, phosphate buffer saline
TBS, Tris buffer saline
WIN-55212-2, (R)-(+)-[2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinylmethyl)-pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoxazin-6-yl]-1-naphthalenyl-methanone mesylate

Acknowledgements

Supported by the U.S. Public Health Service, AG10546

REFERENCES

1. Akiyama H, Barger S, Barnum S, Bradt B, Bauer J, Cooper NR, Eikelenboom P, Emmerling M, Fiebich B, Finch CE, Frautschy S, Griffin WST, Hampel H, Landreth G, McGeer PL, Mrak R, MacKenzie I, O'Banion K, Pachter J, Pasinetti G, Plata-Salaman C, Rogers J, Rydel R, Shen Y, Streit W, Strohmeyer R, Tooyoma I, Van Muiswinkel FL, Veerhuis R, Walker D, Webster S, Wegrzyniak B, Wenk G, Wyss-Coray A. Inflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2000;21:383–421. [PubMed: 10858586]
2. Begg M, Pacher P, Batkai S, Osei-Hyiaman D, Offertaler L, Mo FM, Liu J, Kunos G. Evidence for novel cannabinoid receptors. *Pharmacol Ther* 2005;2:133–145. [PubMed: 15866316]

3. Benito C, Nunez E, Tolon RM, Carrier EJ, Rabano A, Hillard CJ, Romero J. Cannabinoid CB2 receptors and fatty acid amide hydrolase are selectively overexpressed in neuritic plaque-associated glia in Alzheimer's disease brains. *J Neurosci* 2003;23:11136–11141. [PubMed: 14657172]
4. Berrendero F, Romero J, Garcia-Gil L, Suarez I, De la Cruz P, Ramos JA, Fernandez-Ruiz JJ. Changes in cannabinoid receptor binding and mRNA levels in several brain regions of aged rats. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1998;1407:205–214. [PubMed: 9748581]
5. Castellano C, Rossi-Arnaud C, Cestari V, Costanzi M. Cannabinoids and memory: animal studies. *Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord* 2003;2:389–402. [PubMed: 14683467]
6. Curran NM, Griffin BD, O'Toole D, Brady KJ, Fitzgerald SN, Moynagh PN. The synthetic cannabinoid R(+)-WIN 55,212-2 inhibits the interleukin-1 signaling pathway in human astrocytes in a cannabinoid receptor-independent manner. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:35797–35806. [PubMed: 16105834]
7. Facchinetti F, Del Giudice E, Furegato S, Passarotto M, Leon A. Cannabinoids ablate release of TNFalpha in rat microglial cells stimulated with lypopolysaccharide. *Glia* 2003;41:161–168. [PubMed: 12509806]
8. Giovannini MG, Blitzer RD, Wong T, Asoma K, Tsokas P, Morrison JH, Iyengar R, Landau EM. Mitogen-activated protein kinase regulates early phosphorylation and delayed expression of Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II in long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 2001;21:7053–7062. [PubMed: 11549715]
9. Gong JP, Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Liu QR, Tagliaferro PA, Brusco A, Uhl GR. Cannabinoid CB2 receptors: immunohistochemical localization in rat brain. *Brain Res* 2006;1071:10–23. [PubMed: 16472786]
10. Hampson AJ, Grimaldi M. Cannabinoid receptor activation and elevated cyclic AMP reduce glutamate neurotoxicity. *Eur J Neurosci* 2001;13:1529–1536. [PubMed: 11328347]
11. Hauss-Wegrzyniak B, Dobrzanski P, Stoehr JD, Wenk GL. Chronic neuroinflammation in rats reproduces components of the neurobiology of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res* 1998;780:294–303. [PubMed: 9507169]
12. Hauss-Wegrzyniak B, Vraniak P, Wenk GL. The effects of a novel NSAID upon chronic neuroinflammation are age dependent. *Neurobiol Aging* 1999;20:305–313. [PubMed: 10588578]
13. Katona I, Sperlagh B, Sik A, Kafalvi A, Vizi ES, Mackie K, Freund TF. Presynaptically located CB1 cannabinoid receptors regulate GABA release from axon terminals of specific hippocampal interneurons. *J Neurosci* 1999;9:4544–4558. [PubMed: 10341254]
14. Katona I, Urban GM, Wallace M, Ledent C, Jung KM, Piomelli D, Mackie K, Freund TF. Molecular composition of the endocannabinoid system at glutamatergic synapses. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:5628–5637. [PubMed: 16723519]
15. Kim SU, de Vellis J. Microglia in health and disease. *J Neurosci Res* 2005;81:302–313. [PubMed: 15954124]
16. Klein TW, Newton C, Larsen K, Lu L, Perkins I, Nong L, Friedman H. The cannabinoid system and immune modulation. *J Leukoc Biol* 2003;74:486–496. [PubMed: 12960289]
17. Klein TW. Cannabinoid-based drugs as anti-inflammatory therapeutics. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2005;5:400–411. [PubMed: 15864274]
18. Kuhn SA, van Landeghem FK, Zacharias R, Farber K, Rappert A, Pavlovic S, Hoffmann A, Nolte C, Kettenmann H. Microglia express GABA(B) receptors to modulate interleukin release. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 2004;25:312–322. [PubMed: 15019947]
19. Marchalant Y, Rosi S, Wenk GL. Anti-inflammatory property of the cannabinoid agonist WIN-55212-2 in a rodent model of chronic brain inflammation. *Neurosci* 2007;144:1516–1522.
20. Marsicano G, Goodenough S, Monory K, Hermann H, Eder M, Cannich A, Azad SC, Cascio MG, Gutiérrez SO, van de Stelt M, López-Rodríguez ML, Casanova E, Schütz G, Zieglgänsberger W, Di Marzo V, Behl C, Lutz B. CB1 cannabinoid receptors and on-demand defense against excitotoxicity. *Science* 2003;302:84–88. [PubMed: 14526074]
21. Munro S, Thomas KL, Abu-Shaar M. Molecular characterization of a peripheral receptor for cannabinoids. *Nature* 1993;365:61–65. [PubMed: 7689702]
22. Nadel L, Land C. Memory traces revisited. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2000;1:209–212. [PubMed: 11257909]

23. Nagayama T, Sinor AD, Simon RP, Chen J, Graham SH, Jin K, Greenberg DA. Cannabinoids and neuroprotection in global and focal cerebral ischemia and in neuronal cultures. *J Neurosci* 1999;19:2987–2995. [PubMed: 10191316]
24. Onaivi ES, Ishigurao H, Gong J, Patel S, Perchuk A, Meozzi P, Myers L, Mora Z, Tagliaferro P, Gardner E, Brusco A, Akinshola BE, Liu Q, Hope B, Iwasaki S, Arinami T, Teasenfiz L, Uhl GR. Discovery of the Presence and Functional Expression of Cannabinoid CB2 Receptors in Brain. *Ann NYAS* 2006;1074:514–536.
25. Parmentier-Batteur S, Jin K, Mao XO, Xie L, Greenberg DA. Increased severity of stroke in CB1 cannabinoid receptor knock-out mice. *J Neurosci* 2002;22:9771–9775. [PubMed: 12427832]
26. Perry VH, Matyszak MK, Fearn S. Altered antigen expression of microglia in the aged rodent CNS. *Glia* 1993;7:60–67. [PubMed: 8423063]
27. Pertwee RG. Pharmacological actions of cannabinoids. *Handbook Exp Pharmacol* 2005;168:1–51.
28. Piomelli D. The molecular logic of endocannabinoid signaling. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2003;4:873–884. [PubMed: 14595399]
29. Pryce G, Ahmed Z, Hankey DJ, Jackson SJ, Croxford JL, Pocock JM, Ledent C, Petzold A, Thompson AJ, Giovannoni G, Cuzner ML, Baker D. Cannabinoids inhibit neurodegeneration in models of multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 2003;126:2191–2202. [PubMed: 12876144]
30. Ramirez BG, Blazquez C, Gomez del Pulgar T, Guzman M, de Ceballos ML. Prevention of Alzheimer's disease pathology by cannabinoids: neuroprotection mediated by blockade of microglial activation. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:1904–1913. [PubMed: 15728830]
31. Rosi S, Ramirez-Amaya V, Vazdarjanova A, Worley PF, Barnes CA, Wenk GL. Neuroinflammation alters the hippocampal pattern of behaviorally induced Arc expression. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:723–731. [PubMed: 15659610]
32. Rosi S, Vazdarjanova A, Ramirez-Amaya V, Worley PF, Barnes CA, Wenk GL. Memantine protects against LPS-induced neuroinflammation, restores behaviorally-induced gene expression and spatial learning in the rat. *Neurosci* 2006;142:1303–1315.
33. Sheng WS, Hu S, Min X, Cabral GA, Lokensgard JR, Peterson PK. Synthetic cannabinoid WIN55,212-2 inhibits generation of inflammatory mediators by IL-1 β -stimulated human Astrocytes. *Glia* 2005;49:211–219. [PubMed: 15390091]
34. Steffens M, Zentner J, Honegger J, Feuerstein TJ. Binding affinity and agonist activity of putative endogenous cannabinoids at the human neocortical CB $_1$ receptor. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2005;69:169–178. [PubMed: 15588725]
35. Stella N. Cannabinoid signaling in glial cells. *Glia* 2004;48:267–277. [PubMed: 15390110]
36. Streit WJ. Microglial senescence: does the brain's immune system have an expiration date? *Trends Neurosci* 2006;29:506–510. [PubMed: 16859761]
37. Takahashi KA, Castillo PE. The CB1 cannabinoid receptor mediates glutamatergic synaptic suppression in the hippocampus. *Neurosci* 2006;139:795–802.
38. Thompson SE, Ayman G, Woodhall GL, Jones RS. Depression of Glutamate and GABA Release by Presynaptic GABA(B) Receptors in the Entorhinal Cortex in Normal and Chronically Epileptic Rats. *Neurosignals* 2007;5:202–215.
39. Tsou K, Brown S, Sanudo-Pena MC, Mackie K, Walker JM. Immunohistochemical distribution of cannabinoid CB1 receptors in the rat central nervous system. *Neurosci* 1998;83:393–411.
40. Van Sickle MD, Duncan M, Kingsley PJ, Mouihate A, Urbani P, Mackie K, Stella N, Makriyannis A, Piomelli D, Davison JS, Marnett LJ, Di Marzo V, Pittman QJ, Patel KD, Sharkey KA. Identification and functional characterization of brainstem cannabinoid CB2 receptors. *Science* 2005;310:329–332. [PubMed: 16224028]
41. Waksman Y, Olson J, Carlisle SJ, Cabral GA. The central cannabinoid receptor (CB1) mediates inhibition of nitric oxide production by rat microglial cells. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1999;288:1357–1366. [PubMed: 10027878]
42. Walter L, Franklin A, Witting A, Moller T, Stella N. Astrocytes in culture produce anandamide and other acylethanolamides. *J Biol Chem* 2002;277:20869–20876. [PubMed: 11916961]
43. Wenk GL, Barnes CA. Regional changes in the hippocampal density of AMPA and NMDA receptors across the lifespan of the rat. *Brain Res* 2000;885:1–5. [PubMed: 11121523]

44. Wenk GL, Parson C, Danysz W. Potential role of NMDA receptors as executors of neurodegeneration resulting from diverse insults – focus on memantine. *Behav Pharmacol* 2006;17:411–424. [PubMed: 16940762]

Figure 1.

Water maze performance. During day 2 and 3, young rats receiving the 0.5 mg/kg WIN dose (open circle) performed significantly worse than rats in the other two young groups (open triangle and open square) ($\dagger p < 0.05$). On day 4, the young rats receiving the 2 mg/kg WIN dose (open square) performed significantly better than the other two groups of young rats (open triangle and circle, $\dagger p < 0.05$). As previously described (Haussewegrzyniak et al., 2000), old rats were significantly impaired as compared to young rats ($* p < 0.05$). WIN 55212-2 2 mg/kg (closed square) improved performance of age rats (closed triangle, $\dagger p < 0.05$).

Figure 2.

(A.) Activated microglia in the CA3 region. Note the diminution of activated microglia cells in this region of rats treated with 2 mg/kg/day of WIN 55212-2 (f), as compared to the normal aged rats (d). Scale bar: 100µm (B.) Density of activated microglial cells in different areas of interest. Microglia density in the dentate gyrus and CA3 of aged animal were significantly different from their young control group (* $p < 0.05$). The infusion of the highest dose (2 mg/kg/day) of WIN 55212-2 reversed partially the aged induction of activated microglia († $p < 0.05$). No significant effect of aging or treatment was found for either CA1 or the entorhinal cortex.

Figure 3. Protein expression of CB1 receptors in the hippocampus. No changes in protein expression were found between groups for CB1 receptors in the hippocampus of rats.

Figure 4.

Immunoreactivity (IR) of CB1 in the CA3 region of the hippocampus. All scale bars: 50 μ m. (A) CB1-IR (red) do not co-localized with OX-6-IR (green), as magnified in (a). (B) CB1-IR (green) and NeuN-IR (red) do co-localize, as magnified in (b). (C) CB1-IR (green) and GFAP-IR (red) do not co-localize as magnified in (c). (D) CB1-IR (green) and NMDA-R1-IR (red) do co-localize as magnified in (d). (E) CB1-IR (green) and cd11b-IR (red) do not co-localize as magnified in (e). CB1-IR thus seems to be located only within neurons in the hippocampus, particularly with neurons expressing NMDA receptors. These photomicrographs are representative of the staining observed in all groups.

TABLE 1

Hippocampal CB1 receptor binding assay

fmol/mg of protein $\pm$ SD	Vehicle	WIN 0.5mg/kg/day	WIN 2mg/kg/day
Young (3months)	<i>10.089$\pm$2.715</i>	<i>8.548$\pm$4.931</i>	<i>9.322$\pm$3.343</i>
Old (23 months)	<i>5.995$\pm$2.259</i>	<i>5.038$\pm$3.817</i>	<i>6.631$\pm$3.644</i>

An overall aging effect (young vs aged rats) was observed ($p<0.05$). No other significant differences between groups were observed.