Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2008 November ; 17(11): 3062–3068. doi:
10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0558.

Tooth loss and lack of regular oral hygiene are associated with higher risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Christian C. Abnet¹, Farin Kamangar¹, Farhad Islami^{2,3}, Dariush Nasrollahzadeh³, Paul Brennan³, Karim Aghcheli², Shahin Merat², Akram Pourshams², Haj Amin Marjani², Abdolhakim Ebadati², Masoud Sotoudeh², Paolo Boffetta³, Reza Malekzadeh², and Sanford M. Dawsey¹

¹Nutritional Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, United States of America

²Digestive Disease Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

Abstract

We tested the association between tooth loss and oral hygiene and the risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) in people living in a high risk area of Iran. We used a case-control study of pathologically-confirmed ESCC cases (N=283) and controls (N=560) matched on sex, age, and neighborhood. Subjects with ESCC had significantly more decayed, missing, or filled teeth with a median (interquartile range) of 31 (23-32) compared to controls 28 (2-32) (P=0.0045). And subjects with ESCC were significantly more likely than controls to fail to practice regular oral hygiene, 78% versus 58%. In multivariate adjusted conditional logistic regression models having 32 decayed, missing, or filled teeth compared to ≤15 conferred an OR (95% CI) of 2.10 (1.19-3.70). Compared to daily tooth brushing, practicing no regular oral hygiene conferred an OR (95% CI) of 2.37 (1.42-3.97). Restricting the analysis to subjects that had never smoked tobacco did not materially alter these results. We found significant associations between two markers of poor oral hygiene, a larger number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth and lack of daily tooth brushing, and risk of ESCC in a population at high risk for ESCC where many cases occur in never smokers. Our results are consistent with several previous analyses in other high risk populations.

Keywords

Tooth loss; tooth brushing; esophagus; squamous; cancer

INTRODUCTION

Esophageal cancer causes over 380,000 deaths per year and ranks as the sixth leading cause of cancer death worldwide, just after breast cancer (1). Two primary cell types, squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, account for most cases. Although the rates of esophageal adenocarcinoma have risen rapidly in the United States (2) and elsewhere, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) still accounts for 80% of all esophageal cancer cases. Many of these cases occur in high-risk areas with sharp geographic boundaries within China, Iran, some parts of Central Asia, South Africa, Kenya, and a small region spanning several countries

Corresponding author: Christian Abnet, PhD, MPH, Investigator, Nutritional Epidemiology Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Executive Plaza South, Room 320, 6120 Executive Blvd, MSC 7232, Rockville, MD 20852, V: (301) 594-1511, F: (301) 496-6829, abnetc@mail.nih.gov.

in South America. In some areas, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma can cause more than 1 in 10 deaths in a population. Studies of ESCC in economically developed countries, such as the US, point to smoking tobacco, heavy alcohol drinking, poor diets deficient in fresh fruits and vegetables, and low socioeconomic status as the main etiologic factors (3). Smoking tobacco and heavy alcohol consumption do not explain the incidence rates in the high-risk regions because these habits are less common and less intensely practiced in these high-risk areas compared to the low risk areas (4,5). Also, in high-risk areas the incidence rates are similar in men and women despite differences in the rates of tobacco smoking and alcohol drinking (5,6). Therefore, it is important to consider a wide range of etiologic factors to explain the high rates of cancer in these populations.

Epidemiologic studies have associated tooth loss and/or periodontal disease with higher risk of cancer at several sites including the oral cavity (7), stomach (8-10), pancreas (11,12), and lung (13,14). Studies from China in the 1970s led to the hypothesis that higher risk of ESCC could also result from poor oral health, poor oral hygiene practices, or tooth loss (15). Several recent studies found significant associations between tooth loss and ESCC in populations with varying rates of this tumor from China (8,9), Latin America (16), Eastern Europe (16), and Japan (17), while one other study found no significant association (10). Furthermore, two studies (18,19) have shown a significant association between tooth loss and esophageal squamous dysplasia, the precursor lesion for ESCC (20). Regular oral hygiene, which may prevent caries, periodontal disease, and tooth loss, conferred lower risk of ESCC in one published study (7), but not another (16). Confounding from tobacco smoking (13) is an important concern, but several biologic mechanisms have been suggested to explain these associations including the production of carcinogenic by-products by oral pathogens.

The population of Golestan province, Iran has high rates of ESCC (21,22) and low rates of tobacco smoking (23). Tobacco smoking is not an important risk factor for ESCC in the population (5,24), which makes it a good place to study the association between tooth loss and ESCC with little concern for confounding by tobacco smoking. We undertook just such an analysis in a case-control study of ESCC conducted in Golestan province.

METHODS

Case and control selection

The study area included five counties in eastern Golestan province. Cases were recruited at Atrak Clinic, which is located in Gonbad City and is the only specialized clinic for upper gastrointestinal tract cancers in this area. Physicians in the study catchment area were asked to refer their patients suspected of having upper gastrointestinal tract cancers to Atrak Clinic. Cases were recruited from December 2003 to June 2007. Other eligibility criteria included being 18 years of age or older, residing in the study area at the time of registration, and having no history of concurrent cancer in other organs or history of previous cancer in any organ. All the patients underwent video esophagogastroduodenoscopy at Atrak Clinic, and fixed biopsy samples were sent to the Tehran University Digestive Disease Research Center (DDRC) in Tehran, where they were examined by experienced pathologists. All cases were histopathologically confirmed as invasive ESCC. By comparing our recruitment to a newly established cancer registry, we estimate that approximately 70% of all incident ESCC cases in this the catchment area were referred to Atrak Clinic, all of whom participated in this study. For each case subject, we attempted to select two population-based control subjects, individually matched to the case for neighborhood of residence, age (± 2 years), and gender, using the annually-updated family health census that is conducted by the Iranian Primary Health Care System. For rural patients, in each village our interview group identified all of the potentially eligible controls and randomly selected one to interview. If the first person could not be interviewed for any reason, the second person on the list was invited, and so forth. In

urban areas, a list of the eligible controls was ordered by geographic proximity to the case's residence, with the list starting from the eligible person living closest to the case's residence. If the first person was repeatedly not available for interview, then the second closest person was invited, and so forth. Seventy-seven percent of the controls enrolled in this study were the first randomly selected person and 11% and 3%, respectively, were the second and third choices. For nearly all controls, the reason for not participating in the study was the absence of the selected potential control subject from the home at the time of each attempted contact. In total, we collected 300 ESCC cases and 571 matched controls, but due to missing data for our main exposures we here analyze 283 cases and 560 controls.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Digestive Disease Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and the US National Cancer Institute.

Physical exam and questionnaire

Two trained interviewers, a nurse and a physician, administered a structured questionnaire. Face-to-face interviews were conducted for all cases and controls and no proxies were used. Each case subject was interviewed on the day of diagnostic endoscopy at the Atrak Clinic. Rural control subjects were interviewed in the village health houses. Urban control subjects were interviewed in urban health centers except those selected from Gonbad city, who were interviewed at a DDRC research facility.

The questionnaires collected detailed information on age, sex, education, ethnicity, place of residence, number of appliances (an indicator of socioeconomic status), and other potential confounders of interest. Dietary data were collected using a food frequency questionnaire specifically designed for this population (25). The questionnaire included questions on life-long history of tobacco use. Ever-cigarette-smokers were those who had smoked at least weekly for a period of 6 months or more. Ever-tobacco-users were individuals who had smoked cigarettes or used nass, hookah or a pipe at least weekly for at least 6 months. The questionnaire also included questions on opium use. Opium users were defined as subjects who had consumed opium at least once per week for a minimum of 6 months. Overall, the reliability of the questionnaire and the validity of the questions on opium are very good (26) including the reliability for tooth counts that had a percent agreement of 88.3% and a kappa of 0.86 for repeated examinations of 130 subjects two months apart (23).

Oral health variable construction

The trained medical personnel counted each patient's teeth, recorded the number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth (the sum of which was the DMFT score) and recorded the age of first adult tooth loss, oral hygiene habits (tooth brushing or rinsing the teeth with salt water), and denture use. Tooth loss, DMFT, and age of first tooth loss all had multiple modes, and categories were created that split these variables into approximately equal groups. The results of the analysis were not sensitive to choice of cutoff points for these categories. All oral hygiene practices other than at least daily brushing were lumped into a single category due to small numbers.

Statistical methods

We tested for differences between cases and controls in the distributions of covariates using Pearson Chi-squared tests for dichotomous variables, Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Squared tests for trend if there were more than two categories, and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for continuous variables. Multivariate linear regression was used to test for predictors of tooth loss. Qualitatively similar results were produced when we substituted the category of tooth loss for the actual number of teeth retained in the linear regression. Conditional logistic regression

models were used to calculate unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). By design, case and control subjects were matched for age, sex, and place of residence. Models were further adjusted for use of tobacco, opium, or both, alcohol drinking, vegetable intake, number of appliances owned and education (as markers of socioeconomic status) and ethnicity (Turkmen versus non-Turkmen). P-values for trend were obtained by assigning ordinal values to each category. Two-sided *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical analyses were done using SAS Software, version 9.1 (SAS Corporation, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents the subject characteristics by case status. The study design matched case and control subjects on sex, age, and neighborhood, which induced a degree of matching on ethnic group, so we saw no differences in these factors. We found a significant difference between ESCC cases compared to controls in that a higher percentage of cases reported ever using tobacco and/or opium.

We fit a linear regression model using only the control subjects to assess what confounders we should consider when building our multivariate models for tooth loss and the results are given in Table 2. As expected, age had the strongest association with number of teeth and the model predicted that for every three years of age after 65 the subject would lose another tooth. This model had only two other significant predictors of tooth loss, sex and alcohol drinking. It predicted that women would have lost 1.69 more teeth than men and that alcohol drinkers would have lost 4.84 more teeth on average compared to never drinkers. Since only 2% of cases and 3% of controls reported alcohol consumption, the beta coefficient for alcohol had wide confidence intervals. The total model r^2 was 18%, little more than the 15% found when using age alone in the model, so the model had low explanatory power.

Table 3 presents the differences in oral health variable distributions by case status. The median number of teeth lost in subjects diagnosed with ESCC differed significantly from the median number in controls, 29 in cases and 25 in controls ($P=0.0045$), and there was a significant trend across categories of tooth loss. We calculated the DMFT score and found that this median differed significantly as well, 31 in cases and 28 in controls. The age of first adult tooth loss also differed significantly when tested as a trend across categories, but the medians did not differ significantly, 27 years of age versus 30 in cases and controls respectively. We found a significant difference in oral hygiene habits, with 78% of cases and 58% of controls reporting no regular oral hygiene practices such as tooth brushing or rinsing with salt water. We found no difference in the percent of subjects using dentures, 34 % of both cases and controls.

Table 4 presents age- and sex-adjusted and multivariate-adjusted models for the association between tooth loss and ESCC. Increasing tooth loss led to increasing risk of ESCC, and edentulous subjects had an OR (95% CI) of 1.90 (1.12-3.23) compared to subjects that had lost fewer than 13 teeth. Since we found few predictors of tooth loss (Table 2) the multivariate adjusted models were quite similar to the crude models and for edentulous subjects the OR (95% CI) changed only to 1.79 (1.03-3.13). Using DMFT produced similar results and the ORs became smoothly monotonic across categories, with ORs of 1.31, 1.62, 1.89, and 2.10 and a *P* of 0.0058 for the trend test.

We also estimated the effects of age of first adult tooth loss. We only present OR (95% CI) adjusted for all considered factors, including the number of teeth, because the age of first tooth loss strongly correlates with the number of teeth lost. We found increasing risk of cancer with lower age of first tooth loss up to the second lowest category, ages 20-25, with an OR (95% CI) of 1.57 (1.02-2.43). The category which included subjects who began losing adult teeth

below the age of 20 showed no significant association with ESCC risk, with an OR (95% CI) of 1.27 (0.73-2.19).

Compared to daily tooth brushing, subjects who did not practice any oral hygiene had significantly increased risk of having ESCC, with an OR (95% CI) of 2.37 (1.42-3.97). Subjects who brushed less than daily or who used other oral hygiene methods (rinsing with salt water, etc.) did not differ in their risk of ESCC from those who brushed daily.

As shown in Table 2 tobacco use had no significant association with tooth loss in our population, but to further remove the potential for confounding by tobacco use, we recalculated each of our models after excluding subjects that reported ever using tobacco. This reduced the power of our tests, but we found no meaningful changes in our results (Table 4). Alcohol use was uncommon and not associated with ESCC in this population and we found no meaningful changes to our results after removing subjects that reported ever consuming alcohol (Table 4).

Finally, we fit a single model that included DMFT category, age of first adult tooth loss, and oral hygiene practices and found that each of these three exposures retained independent associations with risk of ESCC. Compared to those in the lowest category, subject with a DMFT of 32 had an OR (95% CI) of 2.15 (1.19-3.91) and those never performing regular oral hygiene had an OR (95% CI) of 2.31 (1.38-3.89), but the OR (95% CI) for an age of first loss of 20-25 dropped to 1.36 (0.86-2.14).

DISCUSSION

We used a case-control study conducted in Golestan Province, Iran, a high-risk area for ESCC, to test for an association between tooth loss or oral hygiene practices and ESCC. We found that increasing numbers of teeth lost led to a progressively higher predicted risk of ESCC. Subjects with no teeth had nearly a 2-fold higher risk of having ESCC compared to subjects who lost fewer than 13 teeth. This pattern held true and became monotonic when using the number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth instead of simply missing teeth as our measure of exposure. Compared to people who reached the age of forty before losing any adult teeth, those losing teeth earlier had a higher risk of ESCC, but this association was not significant in a complete model using all oral health indicators in a single model. We also found that failure to use any routine oral hygiene practices led to a greater than 2-fold higher risk of ESCC compared to people who brushed their teeth daily. All of these findings were re-tested and found to be materially unchanged in subjects who reported never having used any tobacco products or any alcoholic beverages. Furthermore, the associations between tooth loss, DMFT, or oral hygiene and ESCC were stronger than the association between ever using tobacco and ESCC, OR (95% CI) = 1.47 (0.98 – 2.21) (24). Thus no level of correlation between tobacco use and the tooth loss or oral hygiene exposures could explain the associations between the latter variables and ESCC, since any association due to confounding by tobacco use would have to be of lower magnitude than the primary association between tobacco use and ESCC.

At least five studies (8,9,16,17,19,27) have reported significant associations between tooth loss and ESCC or its precursor lesion, and the only published study that did not show a significant association had low power, with only 49 cases (10). These results have come from studies conducted in different ethnic groups and different cultures with different underlying rates of ESCC and different primary risk factors for the disease. Our results are consistent with these previous reports.

Smoking tobacco can cause periodontal disease and subsequent tooth loss (28) and ESCC as well as lung and other cancers. As noted in several previous studies (13,14), confounding by tobacco smoking could create the appearance of an association between tooth loss and ESCC that has no other basis. Hujoel and others published a detailed analysis of this possibility in the

case of lung cancer and suggested that the association between tooth loss and lung cancer was not present in never tobacco smokers, and any association in tobacco smokers could be due to insufficient control for confounding by smoking (29). Another recent analysis found that periodontal disease conferred non-significantly increased risk of lung cancer in never tobacco smokers, but was still associated with a 21% increased risk of total cancer (14). The potential for confounding by tobacco smoking remains an important concern, but we should judge its relevance within the context of each individual study. We did not see a strong association between tobacco and tooth loss in Golestan province, so confounding by tobacco smoking can't explain our findings. We also adjusted for other important potential confounders, such as opium use (24) and socioeconomic status. But, despite these arguments we acknowledge that all findings from observational studies can result from unmeasured or poorly controlled confounders.

We have previously described several hypotheses to explain the association between tooth loss and ESCC (9,16). As noted above, confounding by other behavioral risk factors can never be totally ruled out in a case-control study, and neither can confounding by genetics. For example, a genetic profile that predisposes an individual to react poorly to inflammation induced by oral pathogens and thus lose teeth may also lead to a poor reaction to other inflammatory stimuli and thus increase risk of cancer. In this case, no mechanistic connection between tooth loss and ESCC would exist; these conditions would just be two independent sequelae of the same underlying genetic predisposition. Poor diet leading to both tooth loss and ESCC or poor chewing ability leading to swallowing of large, irritating boluses of food are other possible but less likely explanations for the association between tooth loss and ESCC (9). A last alternative, which we favor, is that the some oral pathogens may cause periodontal disease and some may produce carcinogens or carcinogen precursors that affect the esophagus. For example, previous studies have shown that oral bacteria can convert ethanol or dietary sugars into acetaldehyde (30,31), a known carcinogen. In addition, some bacteria can reduce nitrate to nitrite (32), which can then combine spontaneously with other dietary components to form nitrosamines, and some nitrosamines are esophageal-specific carcinogens (33).

Our study also evaluated another related exposure, oral hygiene practices, which has been examined in only a few previous studies (7,16). Lack of regular oral hygiene practices conferred more than a 2-fold increased risk of ESCC. This is notable for three reasons. First, oral hygiene showed no association with tobacco or opium consumption, so this exposure is not susceptible to confounding by tobacco or opium use. Second, it is consistent with our proposed mechanism that oral pathogens produce carcinogenic products that directly affect the esophageal mucosa. And third, it suggests that a simple intervention, teaching routine oral hygiene, may lead to lower risk of ESCC, in addition to being unambiguously beneficial in its own right.

Our study has several strengths. We used trained medical personnel to conduct interviews and count the number of teeth present and the number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth. We collected extensive information on potential confounders. And we had sufficient power to examine the questions of interest. On the other hand, our examination of tooth loss predictors suggests that there are many causes of tooth loss that we did not assess, and one of these undefined factors may be an important unmeasured confounder.

We found that higher numbers of teeth lost or damaged, as represented by the number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth (the DMFT score) and lack of regular oral hygiene practices had independent associations with higher risk of ESCC. These findings concur with similar results in other independent studies. These consistent results suggest that studies are warranted to evaluate the underlying mechanism of this association. They also suggest that teaching the practice of regular oral hygiene should be a priority for public health campaigns in high-risk

areas for ESCC, because it would be directly beneficial to people now and may reduce the risk of developing ESCC in the future.

Acknowledgements

Financial support: This study was supported by Grant no. 82-603 from Digestive Disease Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and by the intramural funds of the National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Ferlay, J.; Bray, F.; Pisani, P.; Parkin, DM. GLOBOCAN 2002: Cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence Worldwide, Version 2.0. IARC CancerBase No.5. IARC Press; Lyon: <http://www-depdb.iarc.fr/globocan2002.htm>
2. Brown LM, Devesa SS. Epidemiologic trends in esophageal and gastric cancer in the United States. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* 2002;11:235–56. [PubMed: 12424848]
3. Engel LS, Chow WH, Vaughan TL, et al. Population attributable risks of esophageal and gastric cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:1404–13. [PubMed: 13130116]
4. Tran GD, Sun XD, Abnet CC, et al. Prospective study of risk factors for esophageal and gastric cancers in the Linxian general population trial cohort in China. *Int J Cancer* 2004;113:176–81.
5. Islami F, Kamangar F, Aghcheli K, et al. Epidemiologic features of upper gastrointestinal tract cancers in Northeastern Iran. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90:1402–6. [PubMed: 15054463]
6. Ke L. Mortality and incidence trends from esophagus cancer in selected geographic areas of China circa 1970–90. *Int J Cancer* 2002;102:271–4. [PubMed: 12397650]
7. Zheng TZ, Boyle P, Hu HF, et al. Dentition, oral hygiene, and risk of oral cancer: a case-control study in Beijing, People's Republic of China. *Cancer Causes Control* 1990;1:235–41. [PubMed: 2102296]
8. Abnet CC, Qiao Y-L, Mark SD, et al. Prospective study of tooth loss and incident esophageal and gastric cancers in China. *Cancer Causes Control* 2001;12:847–54. [PubMed: 11714113]
9. Abnet CC, Qiao YL, Dawsey SM, et al. Tooth loss is associated with increased risk of total death and death from upper gastrointestinal cancer, heart disease, and stroke in a Chinese population-based cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 2005;34:467–74. [PubMed: 15659476]
10. Abnet CC, Kamangar F, Dawsey SM, et al. Tooth loss is associated with increased risk of gastric non-cardia adenocarcinoma in a cohort of Finnish smokers. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2005;40:681–7. [PubMed: 16036528]
11. Michaud DS, Joshipura K, Giovannucci E, Fuchs CS. A prospective study of periodontal disease and pancreatic cancer in US male health professionals. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:171–5. [PubMed: 17228001]
12. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Dodd KW, Blaser MJ, et al. Tooth loss, pancreatic cancer, and *Helicobacter pylori*. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003;78:176–81. [PubMed: 12816788]
13. Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, Weiss NS. An exploration of the periodontitis-cancer association. *Ann Epidemiol* 2003;13:312–6. [PubMed: 12821269]
14. Michaud DS, Liu Y, Meyer M, Giovannucci E, Joshipura K. Periodontal disease, tooth loss, and cancer risk in male health professionals: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:550–8. [PubMed: 18462995]
15. Yang CS. Research on esophageal cancer in China: a review. *Cancer Res* 1980;40:2633–44. [PubMed: 6992989]
16. Guha N, Boffetta P, Wunsch F V, et al. Oral health and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and esophagus: results of two multicentric case-control studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;166:1159–73. [PubMed: 17761691]
17. Hiraki A, Matsuo K, Suzuki T, Kawase T, Tajima K. Teeth loss and risk of cancer at 14 common sites in Japanese. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:1222–7. [PubMed: 18483345]
18. Sepehr A, Kamangar F, Fahimi S, et al. Poor oral health as a risk factor for esophageal squamous dysplasia in northeastern Iran. *Anticancer Research* 2005;25:543–6. [PubMed: 15816626]

19. Wei W-Q, Abnet CC, Lu N, et al. Risk factors for oesophageal squamous dysplasia in adult inhabitants of a high risk region of China. *Gut* 2005;54:759–63. [PubMed: 15888779]
20. Wang GQ, Abnet CC, Shen Q, et al. Histological precursors of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma: results from a 13 year prospective follow up study in a high risk population. *Gut* 2005;54:187–92. [PubMed: 15647178]
21. Kamangar F, Malekzadeh R, Dawsey SM, Saidi F. Esophageal cancer in Northeastern Iran: a review. *Arch Iran Med* 2007;10:70–82. [PubMed: 17198458]
22. Semnani S, Sadjadi A, Fahimi S, et al. Declining incidence of esophageal cancer in the Turkmen Plain, eastern part of the Caspian Littoral of Iran: a retrospective cancer surveillance. *Cancer Detect Prev* 2006;30:14–9. [PubMed: 16495018]
23. Pourshams A, Saadatian-Elahi M, Nouraei M, et al. Golestan cohort study of oesophageal cancer: feasibility and first results. *Br J Cancer* 2004;92:176–81. [PubMed: 15597107]
24. Nasrollahzadeh D, Kamangar F, Aghcheli K, et al. Opium, tobacco and alcohol use in relation to esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a high-risk area of Iran. *British Journal of Cancer* 2008;98:1857–63. [PubMed: 18475303]
25. Malekshah AF, Kimiagar M, Saadatian-Elahi M, et al. Validity and reliability of a new food frequency questionnaire compared to 24 h recalls and biochemical measurements: pilot phase of Golestan cohort study of esophageal cancer. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2006;60:971–7. [PubMed: 16465196]
26. Abnet CC, Saadatian-Elahi M, Pourshams A, et al. Reliability and validity of opiate use self-report in a population at high risk for esophageal cancer in Golestan, Iran. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2004;13:1068–70. [PubMed: 15184266]
27. Sepehr A, Kamangar F, Abnet CC, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in three Iranian populations with different risks of esophageal cancer, an ecologic comparison. *Cancer Lett* 2004;213:195–202. [PubMed: 15327835]
28. Pihlstrom BL, Michalowicz BS, Johnson NW. Periodontal diseases. *Lancet* 2005;366:1809–20. [PubMed: 16298220]
29. Hujoel PP, Drangsholt M, Spiekerman C, Weiss NS. An exploration of the periodontitis-cancer association. *Ann Epidemiol* 2003;13:312–6. [PubMed: 12821269]
30. Salaspuro MP. Acetaldehyde, microbes, and cancer of the digestive tract. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2003;40:183–208. [PubMed: 12755455]
31. Salaspuro MP. Alcohol consumption and cancer of the gastrointestinal tract. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2003;17:679–94. [PubMed: 12828962]
32. Shapiro KB, Hotchkiss JH, Roe DA. Quantitative relationship between oral nitrate-reducing activity and the endogenous formation of N-nitrosoamino acids in humans. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1991;29:751–5. [PubMed: 1761254]
33. Mirvish SS. Role of N-nitroso compounds (NOC) and N-nitrosation in etiology of gastric, esophageal, nasopharyngeal and bladder cancer and contribution to cancer of known exposures to NOC. *Cancer Lett* 1995;93:17–48. [PubMed: 7600541]

Abbreviations

ESCC

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Table 1

Subject characteristics by case status in the GEMINI case-control study of ESCC

Variables	ESCC Cases	Controls	P-value [*]
N	283	560	
Age, years, median (IQR)	65 (56-73)	65 (57-72)	0.81
Sex			0.70
males, N (%)	142 (50%)	273 (49%)	
females, N (%)	141 (50%)	287 (51%)	
Place of residence			0.77
Rural, N (%)	206 (73%)	413 (74%)	
Urban, N (%)	77 (27%)	147 (26%)	
Turkmen ethnic group, yes, N (%)	160 (57%)	307 (55%)	0.64
Ever use alcohol, yes, N (%)	7 (2%)	15 (3%)	0.86
Ever use tobacco or opium			0.0002
Neither, N (%)	156 (55%)	392 (70%)	
Ever use tobacco, N (%)	41 (14%)	64 (11%)	
Ever use opium, N (%)	29 (10%)	34 (6%)	
Ever use tobacco and opium, N (%)	57 (20%)	70 (13%)	

* P-values come from Chi-square tests for categorical variables (Chi-square for trend in variables with more than two categories) and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for continuous variables

Table 2

Predictors of the number of teeth lost using a linear regression model in controls from the GEMINI case-control study of ESCC

Variable	Beta	P-value
Intercept *	17.95	<0.0001
Age [†] , 1 years	0.36	<0.0001
Sex, female	1.69	0.04
Place of residence, rural	1.33	0.14
Ethnicity, Turkmen	0.92	0.22
Ever use alcohol	4.84	0.042
Never use tobacco nor opium	referent	--
Ever user tobacco	0.15	0.90
Ever use opium	1.08	0.48
Ever use tobacco and opium	1.59	0.20
Education level, no formal school	referent	--
Education level, any formal school	-0.51	0.65
Number of appliances	0.03	0.69
Fruit and vegetable intake, 100 grams/day	0.10	0.28

* Total r^2 for this model is 18%

[†] Age was modeled relative to age 65, the baseline median

Table 3

Oral health variables by case status in the GEMINI case-control study of ESCC

	ESCC Cases	Controls	P-value [*]
Number of subjects	283	560	
Number of adult teeth lost, median (IQR)	29 (18-32)	25 (16-32)	0.0064
Tooth loss category 1 (≤ 12), N (%)	39 (14%)	93 (17%)	0.026
Tooth loss category 2 (13-18), N (%)	34 (12%)	88 (16%)	
Tooth loss category 3 (19-24), N (%)	44 (16%)	90 (16%)	
Tooth loss category 4 (25-31), N (%)	48 (17%)	99 (18%)	
Tooth loss category 5 (32), N (%)	118 (42%)	190 (34%)	
Number of decayed teeth, median (IQR)	0 (0-3)	0 (0-3)	0.70
Number of filled teeth, median (IQR) [†]	0 (0-0)	0 (0-0)	0.60
DMFT [‡] , median (IQR)	31 (23-32)	28 (2-32)	0.0045
DMFT category 1 (≤ 15), N (%)	33 (12%)	102 (18%)	0.0034
DMFT category 2 (16-22), N (%)	35 (12%)	85 (15%)	
DMFT category 3 (23-26), N (%)	31 (11%)	69 (12%)	
DMFT category 4 (26-31), N (%)	55 (19%)	82 (15%)	
DMFT category 5 (32), N (%)	129 (46%)	222 (40%)	
Age of first adult tooth loss, median (IQR)	27 (20-35)	30 (20-40)	0.056
Age at first loss category 1 (≥ 40), N (%)	54 (19%)	143 (26%)	0.041
Age at first loss category 2 (31-39), N (%)	26 (9%)	65 (12%)	
Age at first loss category 3 (26-30), N (%)	67 (24%)	115 (21%)	
Age at first loss category 4 (20-25), N (%)	94 (15%)	152 (27%)	
Age at first loss category 5 (<20), N (%)	42 (15%)	85 (15%)	
Frequency of tooth brushing			
Daily, N (%)	37 (13%)	117 (21%)	<0.0001
Less than daily, N (%)	24 (8%)	119 (21%)	
Never, N (%)	222 (78%)	324 (58%)	
Any denture use, yes, N (%)	97 (34%)	192 (34%)	1.00

^{*} P-values come from Chi-square tests for categorical variables (Chi-square for trend in variables with more than two categories) and Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests for continuous variable

[†] Only 13 controls and 5 cases had any filled teeth.

[‡] DMFT is the number of decayed, missing, or filled teeth

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association between tooth variables and ESCC in the GEMINI case-control study

Table 4

Number of teeth lost No. cases:controls	≤12 39:93		13-18 34:88		19-24 44:90		25-31 48:99		32 118:190	
	Reference	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	P _{trend}
Sex & Age Adjusted	1.0	1.08	0.61-1.90	1.51	0.86-2.66	1.32	0.75-2.34	1.90	1.12-3.23	0.011
Fully Adjusted*	1.0	1.08	0.59-1.98	1.46	0.81-2.65	1.02	0.56-1.87	1.79	1.03-3.13	0.044
Never Tobacco Users – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.94	0.49-1.79	1.38	0.72-2.62	0.95	0.48-1.86	1.50	0.84-2.65	0.14
Never Alcoholic Beverage Drinkers – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.89	0.51-1.58	1.24	0.71-2.15	1.09	0.63-1.90	1.59	0.97-2.59	0.027
Decayed, missing, or filled teeth	≤15		16-22		23-26		26-31		32	
No. cases:controls	33:102		35:85		31:69		55:82		129:222	
Sex & Age Adjusted	Reference	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	P _{trend}
Fully Adjusted*	1.0	1.41	0.77-2.57	1.62	0.88-2.99	2.29	1.29-4.06	2.22	1.30-3.79	0.0012
Never Tobacco Users – Fully Adjusted	1.0	1.31	0.70-2.46	1.62	0.85-3.09	1.89	1.03-3.46	2.10	1.19-3.70	0.0058
Never Alcoholic Beverage Drinkers – Fully Adjusted	1.0	1.17	0.60-2.28	1.40	0.69-2.87	2.14	1.09-4.19	1.78	1.01-3.14	0.019
Age at first adult tooth loss	1.0	1.27	0.71-2.27	1.60	0.87-2.96	2.22	1.25-3.95	1.95	1.18-3.22	0.0035
No. cases:controls	≥40 [†] 54:143		31-39 26:65		26-30 67:115		20-25 94:152		<20 42:85	
Fully Adjusted*	Reference	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	P _{trend}
Never Tobacco Users – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.96	0.52-1.76	1.62	1.01-2.60	1.57	1.02-2.43	1.27	0.73-2.19	0.057
Never Alcoholic Beverage Drinkers – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.97	0.48-1.98	1.49	0.85-2.61	1.81	1.07-3.07	1.68	0.88-3.21	0.015
Frequency of tooth brushing	1.0	1.00	0.56-1.78	1.48	0.94-2.34	1.64	1.06-2.54	1.31	0.77-2.23	0.046
No. cases:controls	Daily 37:117		Less than daily [‡] 24:119		Never 222:324					
Sex & Age Adjusted	Reference	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI					P _{trend}
Fully Adjusted*	1.0	0.66	0.35-1.22	2.49	1.58-3.95					<0.0001
Never Tobacco Users – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.60	0.32-1.16	2.37	1.42-3.97					<0.0001
Never Alcoholic Beverage Drinkers – Fully Adjusted	1.0	0.71	0.36-1.44	2.53	0.47-4.36					<0.0001
	1.0	0.58	0.32-1.07	2.15	1.36-3.39					<0.0001

* Adjusted for age, sex, place of residence, ethnicity, alcohol drinking, use of tobacco, opium, or both, education in three categories, number of appliances, and fruit and vegetable intake.

[†] Includes subjects who have not lost any adult teeth

[‡] Includes subjects who described different types of tooth washing (e.g. rinsing with salt water) without brushing