Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 July 30; 130(30): 9849–9858. doi:10.1021/ja8017863.

Biomolecular Engineering by Combinatorial Design and High-throughput Screening: Small, Soluble Peptides that Permeabilize Membranes

Ramesh Rathinakumar and William C. Wimley*

Department of Biochemistry SL43, Tulane University Health Sciences Center, New Orleans LA, 70112-2699

Abstract

Rational design and engineering of membrane active peptides remains a largely unsatisfied goal. We have hypothesized that this is due, in part, to the fact that some membrane activities, such as permeabilization, are not dependent on specific amino acid sequences or specific three-dimensional peptide structures. Instead they depend on interfacial activity; the ability of a molecule to partition into the membrane-water interface and to alter the packing and organization of lipids. Here we test that idea by taking a non-classical approach to biomolecular engineering and design of membrane-active peptides. A 16,384 member rational combinatorial peptide library, containing peptides 9-15 amino acids in length, was screened for soluble members that permeabilize phospholipid membranes. A stringent, two-phase, high-throughput screen was used to identify 10 unique peptides that had potent membrane permeabilizing activity, but were also water soluble. These rare and uniquely active peptides did not share any particular sequence motif, peptide length or net charge, but instead share common compositional features, secondary structure and core hydrophobicity. We show that they function by a common mechanism that depends mostly on interfacial activity, and leads to transient pore formation. We demonstrate here that composition-space peptide libraries coupled with function-based high-throughput screens can lead to the discovery of diverse, soluble, and highly potent membrane permeabilizing peptides.

Introduction

Designing and engineering polypeptides that have specific structures or functions in biological membranes remains one of the more intractable problems in bioengineering. Although the general principles of binding, folding and self-assembly in membranes are well known^{1,2}, the details are not understood well enough for rational *de novo* design. Furthermore, examples of membrane protein structures are also relatively uncommon, and the known examples lack the high diversity of structural classes that would be useful for homology-based, or templated design.

One desirable bioengineering objective that could lead to new biosensors, antibiotics and cell penetrating peptides is the design of polypeptides that destabilize the permeability barrier of lipid bilayer membranes. For this reason, a great deal of effort has been put into trying to understand the structure-function relationships of known membrane-destabilizing peptides, and some attempts at rational design have been reported *e.g.*³⁻⁵. Despite a vast literature, compelling structure-function relationships in this field are very rare. Instead, recent literature suggests strongly that some important functions of peptides in biological membranes, such as

*Corresponding author: Phone: 504-988-7076; Fax: 504-584-2739; Email: wwimley@tulane.edu.

pore formation⁶⁻⁸, antimicrobial activity^{6,9-12} or membrane translocation of some peptides and attached cargo molecules¹³⁻¹⁶ are not dependent on specific amino acid sequences or three-dimensional peptide structures. Instead they depend on interfacial activity, which is defined here as the ability of a molecule to partition into the membrane-water interface and to alter or strain the packing and organization of the lipids. Interfacial activity depends mainly on the appropriate balance of physical-chemical interactions between and among peptides, water and membrane lipids, which depend more on the amino acid composition of a peptide than on its exact sequence¹⁷.

The hundreds of known membrane-active, antimicrobial peptides (AMP) provide many good examples in which specific sequences or three-dimensional structures are apparently not required for their biological activity^{6,9-12}. Growing evidence suggests that some cell penetrating peptides may function by a similarly non-specific mechanism¹⁸. The activity of such molecules *in vitro* and *in vivo* depends on their propensity to bind to membranes and self-assemble into peptide-lipid domains that alter membrane permeability. For antimicrobial peptides, this hypothesis explains why compelling structure-activity relationships are so difficult to find. For example, Hancock and colleagues¹⁰ made 50 random scrambled sequence variants of a potent 12-residue, membrane-permeabilizing, antimicrobial peptide named Bac2A. They found that about 50% of the scrambled sequences had antimicrobial activity that was at least as good as the parent compound, and 20% of the scrambled sequences had activity that was better than the parent peptide. Upon further analysis these authors concluded, "Sequence alignments of the scrambled Bac2A peptides failed to demonstrate any correlation between peptide sequence and activity"¹⁰. Subsequent QSAR analysis revealed several broad features that correlate with activity, including having a "hydrophobic patch" anywhere in the molecule and having a certain distance between cationic residues. These vague descriptors relate mostly to global hydrophobicity, and thus are consistent with the idea that physical chemical and interfacial properties are the critical factors for determining the biological activity of membrane-destabilizing peptides. Other studies in the literature, including our own work, strongly support this idea^{3,6,8,12,19-22}. Based on this alternative view of peptide function in membranes we hypothesize that structure-based rational design of membrane active peptides will not be effective, and that potent pore forming peptides might be more effectively selected from libraries that vary a peptides *composition* instead of peptides or libraries designed with a particular *structure* in mind. In this work, we test the hypothesis and find results that support it.

The interfacial activity of membrane-destabilizing peptides is recapitulated in synthetic bilayer vesicles^{7,23,24}, which can thus serve as model systems for membrane permeabilizing and membrane translocating peptides. We have previously used such model systems in a structure-based approach to find pore forming peptides^{7,9}. Here we take a non-classical approach to biomolecular engineering and design of membrane-active peptides by using libraries with rationally designed *composition* coupled with a function-based screening method. We have designed compositionally constrained, combinatorial peptide libraries to select peptides that are small and soluble, but which also bind to membranes and induce membrane permeability at low peptide concentration. We describe here the characteristics of the potent pore formers selected from this library and detail their mechanism of action in synthetic phospholipid bilayers. Although they share little sequence similarity in variable positions, the most active molecules share physical properties such as hydrophobicity, and they share the same secondary structure and mechanism of action. The observed mechanism is entirely consistent with having its basis in non sequence-specific interfacial activity. This information leads us to propose a new general model for the mechanism of action of these peptides in membranes. We show here that composition-space peptide libraries coupled with function-based high-throughput screens can lead to the discovery of diverse, soluble and potentially membrane-active peptides.

Materials and Methods

Reagents

Most chemicals and materials were purchased through Fischer scientific (St. Louis, MO) and Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Tentagel macrobeads and Tentagel S resin were obtained from RAPP Polymere (Tubingen, Germany). Fmoc-photolabile linker, Fmoc-amino acids and all other peptide synthesis reagents were obtained from Advanced Chemtech (Louisville, KY). TbCl_3 , DPA, ANTS, DPX, and 3 kDa and 40 kDa fluorescein labeled dextran were purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR).

Combinatorial peptide library synthesis

The combinatorial peptide library was synthesized on Tentagel NH_2 macrobeads of 50-60 mesh pore size (80,000 beads per gram) using a combination of manual and automated synthesis with an Applied Biosystems Pioneer synthesizer (Foster city, CA) as described previously^{7,9}. Active amino groups on the macrobeads were first acylated with photolabile linker followed by peptide synthesis. Combinatorial sites were synthesized by the split and pool method^{9,25}. Side-chain protecting groups were removed by Reagent R (90% (v/v) trifluoroacetic acid, 5% thioanisole, 3% ethanedithiol and 2% anisole). Residual reagent R solution was drained and beads were washed multiple times with dichloromethane and dried under nitrogen gas stream in a fume hood.

Peptide synthesis

Bulk peptide synthesis was carried out using Tentagel SAM peptide amide resin (0.2 mmole/gram) on Applied Biosystems Pioneer synthesizer by standard Fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis method^{26,27} as described in detail elsewhere^{7,9}. Identity of purified peptides were confirmed by MALDI mass spectroscopy.

Liposome preparation

Large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) of 0.1 μm diameter size were prepared by extrusion²⁸. Lipids were dried from chloroform and re-hydrated with buffer to a concentration of 100 mM lipid to maximize encapsulation. The lipid solution was subjected to least 20 repeated freeze-thaw cycles. For Tb^{3+} encapsulated in LUV, a resuspension buffer of 50 mM TbCl_3 , 100 mM sodium citrate and 10 mM TES at pH 7.0 was used. ANTS/DPX LUV were prepared with a resuspension buffer of 25 mM ANTS, 5 mM DPX, 10 mM potassium phosphate at pH 7.0. For LUV encapsulating 3 kDa and 40 kDa fluorescein labeled dextran, a resuspension buffer of 10 mg/ml labeled dextran and 10mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, was used. Following extrusion, LUV were eluted over a Sephadex G-200 gel filtration column (4cm ID, 40cm length) equilibrated with elution buffer that does not contain probe molecules to separate vesicles from external marker molecules. Lipid concentration was measured using the Bartlett assay.

High throughput screening assay

To prepare a bead-tethered library for screening, beads were spread uniformly in Petri dishes using methanol. After drying the beads completely, they were exposed to a long wavelength UV lamp (365 nm) for 5 hours to cleave the peptide from the beads giving C-terminal amides. Library beads were then separated into individual wells of 96 well plates, and then 10 μl of dry DMSO was added and the plates were incubated overnight to extract the peptides from the beads. Because we have previously found that absorption of atmospheric water into hygroscopic DMSO inhibits peptide release⁷, overnight incubation of plates was done in a dessicator closed under vacuum. Approximately 1-2 nmol of peptide was consistently released from each bead.

The high throughput screen is based on encapsulation of terbium inside phospholipid vesicles and the addition of the aromatic chelator dipicolinic acid (DPA) to the external solution. Formation of the highly luminescent Tb³⁺/DPA complex occurs only when the membranes are permeabilized²⁹. For high-throughput screening, the peptides in DMSO were ultimately diluted to 10 μM in each well with an excess of aqueous screening solution containing 500 μM large unilamellar vesicles (LUV) with Tb³⁺ encapsulated and 50 μM external dipicolinic acid (DPA). The typical lipid composition of LUV was 90% zwitterionic palmitoylcholine (POPC) and 10% anionic palmitoylphosphatidylglycerol (POPG). We tested two orders of addition. In the “direct mixing” strategy, the screening assay solution of LUV and DPA was added directly to the library peptides, extracted from the beads into 10 μl of DMSO allowing for immediate interaction of peptides with membranes. In the “pre-mixing” strategy we mixed the peptides in DMSO with buffer alone and incubated that solution for a minimum of 30 minutes to allow insoluble peptides to precipitate. Later, the screening solution containing LUV and DPA was added and incubated for another 45 minutes. We observed about 3-fold more active peptides using the direct mixing strategy, indicating that many active peptides are also insoluble. Because soluble peptides are more useful and amenable to further mechanistic studies, we screened the library using the premixing strategy at a peptide-to-lipid of approximately 1:50. The screening plates were visualized and photographed under short-wave UV light in a darkroom and wells with the brightest Tb³⁺/DPA luminescence were selected as positive for pore formation. The beads from those wells were sent to Kansas State University Biotech core labs (Manhattan, Kansas) for sequencing by Edman degradation.

Circular Dichroism

Peptides, dissolved in dilute acetic acid stock solutions, were added to 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 to bring the concentration to 50 μM. The CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco 810 spectropolarimeter (Easton, MD) between 180 and 260 nm using a quartz cuvette with 0.1 cm path length. CD spectra were measured before and after the addition of 2.5 mM LUV composed of 9:1 POPC:POPG. Spectra were corrected for the buffer background contribution and represented as mean residue molar ellipticity (Θ).

Peptide Partitioning

Peptide partitioning into lipid bilayers was monitored by fluorescence enhancement of tryptophan upon addition of liposomes. Tryptophan fluorescence was measured using excitation at 270 nm and scanning emission between 290 and 510 nm with 8 nm bandwidths on a SLM-Aminco fluorescence spectrophotometer. Emission spectra were measured using 4 μM peptide in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 titrated with LUV composed of 9:1 POPC:POPG up to a concentration of 1.2 mM lipid. Background and lipid scattering effects were subtracted. For each titration the fluorescence intensity at 330 nm (*I*) was normalized to the intensity of peptide in buffer (*I*₀). Mole fraction partition coefficients (*K*_x) were obtained by fitting to the equation

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = 1 + (I_{\max} - 1) \left(\frac{K_x [L]}{K_x [L] + [W]} \right)$$

where *I* is the fluorescence intensity, *I*₀ and *I*_{max} are the intensities before lipid addition and after saturation of peptide-lipid binding. *L* is the molar lipid concentration and *W* is the molar concentration of water (55.3 M). For any experiment, the fraction of peptide bound can be calculated by

$$\text{Fraction bound} = \frac{K_x [L]}{K_x [L] + [W]}$$

Measured partition coefficients were independent of peptide concentration, indicating “infinite dilution” conditions. K_x is thus a true partition coefficient. However we note that the contribution of electrostatic interactions to binding is influenced by experimental details such as bilayer surface charge and ionic strength^{30,31} and these partition coefficients are thus specific for our experimental conditions.

Liposome leakage assays

Four probe sets were used to characterize leakage; Tb^{3+} /DPA (see above), ANTS/DPX, an obligate fluorophore/quencher pair and two labeled dextrans of 3,000 and 40,000 g/mol. Tb^{3+} leakage was measured by the increase in fluorescence upon formation of the complex Tb^{3+} /DPA. The ANTS/DPX assay measures leakage by the relief of quenching of ANTS by co-encapsulated DPX. Fluorescein-dextran leakage was measured by relief of fluorescein self-quenching. Complete release of probes was assessed by Triton-X solubilization of liposomes. Measurements were done at 500 μ M lipid concentration and peptide concentrations from 1 to 10 μ M, or peptide:lipid (P:L) ratios from 1:500 through 1:50. Peptides in buffer were added to liposomes and mixed using a syringe followed by 30-60 minutes incubation. For all probes there is a burst of leakage that slows over 15-30 min and ceases completely after about 30 minutes. Fluorescence emission spectra were measured using excitation and emission wavelength of 270/490 nm, for Tb^{3+} /DPA and 350/510 nm for ANTS/DPX and 490/520 for the dextrans. We varied the order of addition: concentrated peptide into dilute liposomes or concentrated liposomes into dilute peptide, and found no significant difference in the amount of leakage from the vesicles. We also found no effect upon pre-incubation of peptide in buffer before addition of lipid solutions.

Time dependence of leakage of Tb^{3+} from LUV was continuously monitored for 30 minutes. Peptides were added from a stock solution to 1 ml assay solution in a quartz cuvette with a rotating stir bar. The assay solution consists of 500 μ M LUVs with entrapped $TbCl_3$ in 10mM TES and 300 mM NaCl at pH 7.0, and external DPA at 50 μ M. Different P:L ratio were obtained by varying the peptide concentration from 1 to 10 μ M. Complete release of Tb^{3+} was achieved by adding excess Triton X-100 after 25 minutes.

ANTS/DPX requenching assay

The ANTS/DPX requenching assay, described previously^{24,32,33}, was used to determine whether the mechanism of leakage induced by the peptides is all or none or graded. Here, the peptides in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 were mixed with 500 μ M LUV with entrapped dye ANTS and its quencher DPX. Peptide concentration varied from 1 to 10 μ M range to attain various P:L ratios and the leakage was allowed to proceed for 30 minutes until it had stopped. The quencher DPX was then titrated externally to quench the ANTS that had leaked out. ANTS that remains entrapped inside the LUV is not quenched by externally added DPX. Complete leakage was obtained by adding Triton X-100. The fraction of ANTS released and the quenching of the ANTS that remains entrapped within the vesicles were calculated as described previously^{24,32,33}.

Results

Combinatorial peptide library design

The 16,384-member combinatorial peptide library shown in Fig. 1 was designed to probe the sequence/composition requirements for membrane permeabilizing activity. A core segment length of nine residues was chosen to minimize complexity while encompassing a length similar to the smallest natural membrane permeabilizing peptides. Two orthogonal compositional variations were used to test the requirements for selecting soluble, potentially membrane destabilizing peptides. The core 9-residue sequence has five fixed positions, chosen

based on their hydrophobicity¹⁷ to assure that most library members will interact with membranes, and four varied positions was designed specifically to test a broad range of compositions. This library specifically tests the requirement for a strict dyad repeat of alternating polar and non-polar residues, which are always found in membrane-spanning β -sheet proteins³⁴⁻³⁶, and are sometimes, but not always, found in peptide pore formers³⁷⁻³⁹. The four combinatorial sites were substituted either with one of the polar or charged amino acids threonine, arginine, aspartic acid or asparagine or with one of the increasingly hydrophobic amino acids glycine, alanine, valine and tyrosine. Possible core segments in the library thus include highly charged dyad repeats, canonical membrane-spanning β -sheet dyad repeats³⁵ and even totally hydrophobic sequences. Tryptophan and tyrosine were placed at the ends of the core sequence to promote membrane binding⁴⁰ and to mimic membrane β -sheets³⁵. Aromatic residues, especially tyrosine and tryptophan, are abundantly present at the membrane aqueous bilayer interface of all membrane protein and membrane-active peptides^{37,40} where they contribute strongly to membrane binding^{17,40}.

The peptide library was synthesized randomly with or without the Arg-Arg-Gly- or -Gly-Arg-Arg terminal basic cassettes such that each core sequence in the library was present in four forms, one with a basic cassette at the N-terminus, one with the cassette at the C-terminus, one with basic cassettes at both termini and one with basic cassettes at neither termini. This was done to modulate the overall solubility and hydrophobicity of the peptides, and allow for the selection of more hydrophobic core peptides that would be made soluble by charged terminal segments. The carboxyl terminus is an uncharged carboxamide.

Screening for soluble membrane-destabilizing peptides

To screen the combinatorial library for potent membrane destabilizing peptides, we used a two-step, high throughput assay that selects for peptides that are soluble, but which also permeabilize membranes. For this we used a phospholipid vesicle based high throughput screen described above^{7,29}. Screening was carried out with two different stages, first selecting against insoluble peptides and then selecting the remaining peptides for membrane permeabilization. In this strategy, which we refer to as “pre-mixing”, the peptides, extracted from synthesis beads with 10 μ l of dry DMSO were then incubated with buffer solution for 30 minutes to several hours before addition of the vesicle assay solution. This facilitates the selection of only monomeric peptides or small soluble aggregates, because insoluble peptide aggregates will come out of solution.

In the high-throughput assays, pre-mixed screens were done at 1:50 P:L, where about 5% of the library caused detectable leakage and about 0.1% of the library members caused significant (> 50%) leakage from the vesicles. Potent membrane permeabilizing peptides were identified from the intensity of fluorescence. About 20,000 beads were screened from the 16,384 members of the library⁴¹.

Selection and characterization of membrane destabilizing peptides

Fourteen peptides were found to have potent membrane-destabilizing activity in the 20,000 beads screened using the premixing strategy. These peptides were identified by Edman sequencing directly from the bead. The amino acid sequences are shown in Fig. 2. Importantly, three of these sequences were independently identified more than once, indicating that there is only a very small population of potent peptides and that we have identified most of them. A conserved sequence motif or peptide length did not emerge from the screening and selection process. However there are some characteristics we can identify. Most of the potent peptides contained a single polar amino acid and three hydrophobic residues in the four varied sites. In 7 of the 10 sequences from the direct mixing screen, there is a single arginine residue (See Fig 2 and Table 1), while one sequence has aspartate and one has two threonines. Asparagine was

not observed in the pre-mixing sequences ($p=0.007$) and aspartate was observed only once ($p=0.04$). There is a large excess of valine ($p=0.0002$) such that nine of the ten direct mixing sequences contain at least one valine and five of the ten sequences contain two of them.

To assess the hydrophobicity of the selected core segments, we compared the selected peptides with the whole library using an experimentally measured membrane hydrophobicity scale. In Fig. 3 we show a histogram of the Wimley-White hydrophobicity^{1,17} of the core segment of the library with the values of the 10 selected peptides shown as points. The selected peptides are not randomly selected from the parent distribution ($p<0.001$) and are narrowly clustered ($p = 0.03$ for width only) suggesting that the selection is dominated by a balance of hydrophilicity (solubility) and hydrophobicity (membrane interaction).

Secondary structure and peptide-membrane interaction

We synthesized and purified the 10 peptides in Fig. 2 for detailed analysis. All ten peptides were readily water-soluble (>1 mM in 0.05% HOAc). We used fluorescence enhancement to measure peptide binding, expressed as mole fraction partition coefficients⁴². The peptides in solution were titrated with vesicles composed of 9:1 POPC:POPG and the tryptophan fluorescence was monitored. Fluorescence intensity increased 2 to 4 fold (Fig. 4) and the maximum emission wavelength shifted from 350 nm in buffer to about 332 nm in lipid environment, indicating a less polar environment for the Trp residue, consistent with a surface-bound, interfacial environment for the peptide⁴³. The mole fraction partition coefficients (K_x) ranged narrowly from 2×10^5 to 6×10^5 . All the selected peptides thus have good membrane binding. In this range of K_x values all peptides also have a detectable equilibrium between bound and free peptide. The pooled peptides also showed binding to the vesicles with an apparent partition coefficient that is similar to the selected peptides. However, we note that a fluorescence titration experiment in a mixed population does not give an “average” partition coefficient, but one that is weighted in favor of those members that do bind. Nonetheless, this measurement shows that the membrane permeabilizing peptides screened from the library were not selected only by membrane binding, but rather due to their differences in properties that determine their ability to permeabilize membranes.

The libraries were designed with a potential dyad repeat that could favor β -strand structure and their short length will disfavor helical structures, but the function-based selection criteria was not designed to select for any particular secondary structure. Circular Dichroism (CD) spectroscopy (Fig. 5) was used to examine the conformation of the soluble, membrane destabilizing peptides, Fig. 1. In phosphate buffer, most of the peptides had CD spectra with a weak negative band at ~ 218 nm and positive ellipticity around 200 nm, indicative of β -strand structure⁴⁴. However the molar ellipticities suggest a β -sheet content no greater than 25-30%. When 2.5 mM lipid vesicles are present, some of the of the peptides (*e.g.* YTTG*) had increased β -sheet content suggesting a slightly more ordered structure. Intramolecular β -sheet formation is unlikely in these peptides because of their short length, bulky aliphatic and aromatic residues and lack of glycine or proline, therefore we assume the β -sheets are intermolecular. The β -sheet content in buffer suggests that we are selecting for small water-soluble aggregates of peptides rather than monomeric peptides.

As a control we also studied a pool of 200 peptides randomly selected from the library. Like the selected active peptides, the CD spectra of pooled peptides had some β -strand conformation in buffer. The fact that the pooled peptides bind to membranes and have similar secondary structure as the membrane-active peptides and yet do not permeabilize membranes (discussed below) indicates that these secondary structure features are present in the library design, and were not a property that was strongly selected for in the screen.

For comparison we also show the CD spectrum of the heptapeptide Acetyl-Trp-Leu₆ which forms highly ordered, antiparallel β -sheets in membranes^{45,46}. The molar ellipticity for AcWL₆, which is nearly 100% β -sheet, has been divided by three in Fig. 5 to place it on the same scale as the library peptides suggesting that the library peptides are not very highly ordered or highly organized, even when bound to lipid membranes. We have observed this behavior in other families of membrane permeabilizing peptides, as well^{7,9}.

Peptide induced leakage of entrapped contents from vesicles

The peptides selected from the library were synthesized and purified and assayed for their ability to induce Tb³⁺ leakage from 9:1 POPC:POPG LUV in a concentration and time-dependent manner. Detectable leakage was observed down to 1:500 P:L, a concentration range below that at which many natural membrane-permeabilizing peptides are active. Time dependence of Tb³⁺ leakage showed that most of the selected peptides induce a rapid and transient leakage process occurring within the first few minutes after peptide addition (Fig. 6A). Within 10-15 minutes after peptide addition, the leakage from vesicles had ceased except for peptides *VDVY* and *VAYR* which have a slower overall time-course. Nonetheless, the leakage induced by these two peptides also stops within about 30 min. Thus for all peptides, leakage is a transient process that occurs after peptide addition and ceases with incomplete leakage (except at high peptide concentrations). A second addition of peptide caused a second burst of leakage (not shown). The random peptide pool did not cause any measurable Tb³⁺ leakage at any P:L ratio studied, despite the fact that some members of the pool bind to vesicles and have β -sheet secondary structure.

The two peptides that caused unusually slow leakage were characterized in additional experiments to determine if the slow step was membrane binding, or if it was due to events that take place on the membrane after binding. As shown in Fig. 6B, binding of these two peptides to vesicles is very rapid, occurring within a minute or so of addition, similar to the rapid binding of monomeric peptides^{46,47}. All ten of the active peptides bind with the same rapid kinetics (not shown). The slow time course of leakage *VDVY* and *VAVR* must, therefore, be due to events that take place on the membrane after binding. Most interesting is the time course of *VAYR* which shows a sigmoidal time course (*i.e.* with a lag phase). This is an unusual observation for membrane permeabilizing peptides⁴⁷ and will be the subject of future mechanistic studies. For the purposes of this work we note that the potency, general mechanism of action (below) and pore characteristics of all ten peptides selected from the library using the premixing strategy are similar.

Selectivity of membrane permeabilization

The peptides were assayed for their ability to induce leakage of different fluorescent indicators from LUV composed of 90% zwitterionic POPC and 10% anionic POPG. The Tb³⁺ leakage assay monitors the leakage of a zwitterionic citrate chelated Tb³⁺ complex and the anionic weak acid DPA. The ANTS/DPX assay uses rigid, anionic and cationic ring compounds of about 425 g/mole. The diameters of these probes are 4 to 6 Å. We also used fluorescein-labeled dextrans of either 3,000 or 40,000 g/mole which are ellipsoidal with a short axis of ~20 Å diameter⁴⁸. Active peptides induced significant leakage of the small molecule indicators as well as some leakage of the 3,000 Da dextran, but leakage of the 40,000 Da dextran was significantly lower (Table 2). Leakage of all probes occurs rapidly within the initial burst of leakage shown in Fig 6. Slow leakage of any of the probes at times greater than 1 hour did not occur. These results shows that, while there is not a strict size cutoff, the peptides can release molecules that are up to 20 Å in diameter with some selectivity based on size. This is consistent with observations made with other natural and synthetic membrane destabilizing peptides^{9, 24}.

Leakage of contents from electrostatically neutral liposomes composed of 100% of the zwitterionic lipid POPC was also examined. We found that the pore forming peptides, which are cationic and were selected using vesicles containing 10% of the anionic lipid POPG, also bind to and permeabilize zwitterionic vesicles (Table 2), although the efficiency of both processes is somewhat lower. Thus electrostatic interactions with anionic lipids do not dominate the interaction of the peptides with the bilayers and are not required for binding or interfacial activity. This observation, which has now been made in several systems^{7,9} validates the design of our high-throughput screen in which the 10 mol % concentration of anionic lipids is meant to modulate peptide interactions with bilayer, but not dominate them.

Mechanism of pore formation

To explore the mechanism of the transient leakage, we employed the “requeenching” assay³² in which the dye ANTS and the concentration-dependent quencher DPX are co-encapsulated within lipid vesicles. After leakage has taken place and has stopped, samples are titrated with external DPX to determine the fraction of ANTS that has leaked and the degree of quenching remaining inside the vesicles. This assay distinguishes between the two known mechanisms for transient, partial leakage from vesicles; “Graded” in which all the vesicles release a similar proportion of their contents; or “all-or-none” in which a fraction of the vesicles release all of their contents while the remainder release none. Both mechanisms have been observed with membrane active peptides in vesicles^{9,24,33,49}. The results of the requeenching assay are shown in Fig. 7 as a composite of the results for all 10 peptides along with the expected behavior for graded versus all or none leakage. The selected membrane permeabilizing peptides cause leakage by a predominantly all-or-none mechanism, even at very high fractional leakage. The implications of this observation are discussed below.

Discussion

Rational Combinatorial Design

Peptides that bind to and permeabilize cell membranes are important in innate immunity and defense. However, despite an extensive literature and nearly a thousand known examples⁵⁰⁻⁵², compelling structure-function relationships, rational design or engineering successes in this field are rare, comprising only a few well-studied examples. Instead, recent literature, which is rich with studies on antimicrobial “pore-forming” peptides, suggests strongly that membrane-permeabilizing activity is often not dependent on specific amino acid sequences or three-dimensional peptide structures, but instead on a peptide's interfacial activity, which depends mainly on the appropriate balance of physical-chemical interactions between and among peptides, water and membrane lipids. Based on these ideas we have hypothesized that potent membrane-destabilizing peptides can be more effectively discovered by selected from libraries that vary a peptide's *composition* rather than libraries designed with a particular *structure* or *sequence* in mind or peptides designed or engineered rationally from known active sequences.

To test this hypothesis we designed the 16,384 member combinatorial library of peptides shown in Fig. 1. A functional, two-stage, high-throughput screen was used to detect contents leakage from lipid vesicles caused by peptides that are water-soluble, without regard to peptide structure or mechanism of action. We screened 20,000 library members and identified 14 soluble and very potent membrane-destabilizing peptides, which are shown in Fig. 2. Of the 14 identified sequences three were identified multiple times independently (Fig. 2). The observation of a Poisson distribution of identified sequences shows that there are only a very small number of soluble and highly potent membrane permeabilizing peptides in the library and demonstrates that we have identified most of them. Despite the fact that we used a composition-space library with five of the nine core residues fixed, and despite the fact that the library contains many

members that are very similar in sequence and secondary structure to the active peptides, the membrane permeabilizing peptides that we identified are a unique and rare class in the library. Thus we are describing a system with very high functional specificity and high stringency.

The selected sequences share a similar core hydrophobicity (Fig. 3), and general compositional features, but do not share a particular sequence motif, even a loosely defined one. Charged residues were found at least once in every varied core position. Active peptides had all possible lengths, 9, 12 and 15 residues with terminal basic cassettes (Fig. 1) on both termini, on either terminus alone or on neither terminus. Net charge ranged from +1 to +6. A strict dyad repeat³⁴ was not observed. Importantly, core sequences identified more than once in the screen were always found with the same termini ($p=0.004$) showing that that the terminal groups are a conserved part of a particular peptide's activity. Taken together, the observation that there is a rare and extraordinary group of potentially active sequences in the library and the observation that the potent peptides do not share a similar sequence motif or length supports the idea that interfacial activity, which depends on physical-chemical interactions between peptide and lipid, is more important to function than specific peptide-peptide interaction or the formation of a specific three-dimensional structure. This idea is supported by the fact that all of the active peptides are only weakly structured in bilayers. We hypothesize that the rare, highly active peptides we have selected have the correct balance of solubility, hydrophobicity, amphipathicity, propensity to self-assemble into peptide-lipid domains and ability to promote non-bilayer phases that lead to permeation.

Mechanism of peptide activity

Peptides that permeabilize membranes based on their interfacial activity are expected to behave differently than peptides that assemble into long-lived water-filled channels across membranes. Such structures are the so-called "barrel stave" or "toroidal" peptide pores. We have shown previously that a single water-filled, transmembrane pore in a vesicle would allow for the complete release of a vesicle's contents in as little as 10 ms²⁴. However, these types of pores as equilibrium structures have been observed only for a small number of soluble, membrane permeabilizing peptides. For example, the well-studied pore-forming toxins alamethicin, from the fungus *Trichoderma viridae*, or melittin from Honey Bee (*apis mellifera*) venom, probably form long-lived transmembrane pores in at least some types of bilayers under some experimental conditions^{53,54}.

Among the 1000 or so known membrane permeabilizing peptides, such well defined, transbilayer pores are the rare exceptions, although specific pore structures are often assumed in structure-function studies. Instead, what is typically observed for natural membrane-active peptides in vesicles, and what we observe for the peptides identified in this work and in our previous work^{7,9,24,33} is the following: When peptides and vesicles are mixed at an active P:L ratio, a few hundred to a few thousand peptides bind rapidly (< 1 minute) to the outer monolayer of each lipid vesicle, resulting in a partial, transient burst of leakage of the vesicle contents, amounting to the release of a few hundred indicator molecules from each vesicle over the course of 5-30 minutes⁵⁵. Except at the highest peptide concentrations, leakage stops or slows substantially before all the vesicle contents are released. These results are not consistent with a water-filled pore structure through the membrane, or any equilibrium pore structure, which would release all of the contents. Instead, many observations are consistent with transient, peptide-induced disturbances in permeability barrier of the membrane, or perhaps a very short-lived, transient pore in a fraction of the vesicle population.

The key observation that must be explained by any proposed mechanism of action is why leakage from vesicles ceases, often with a half time of only a few minutes, despite the fact that the peptides remain bound to the bilayers and have the same secondary structure. Furthermore, the moderate binding constants (Fig. 4) and rapid on-rate for binding (Fig. 6B) mean that

peptides will rapidly equilibrate between vesicles. This type of mechanism is exemplified by the “carpet model” or “sinking raft” models of peptide permeabilization of membranes^{5,47}, which explain transient leakage by positing four stages of membrane permeabilization, as shown in Fig. 8. 1) Initial surface binding, in which monomeric peptides bind to the outer monolayer due to hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions; 2) Self-assembly of the peptides into peptide-rich domains on the outer monolayer, creating an imbalance of mass, charge or surface tension across the bilayer; 3) Formation of transient non-bilayer structures that relieve the imbalance across the bilayer by allowing transbilayer equilibration of peptide and lipid as well as release of entrapped contents and finally, 4) the equilibrium stage in which the asymmetry-driven transbilayer movement, and concomitant leakage, no longer occur because the peptide is equilibrated across the membrane. Almeida and colleagues have even suggested that transient membrane-destabilization and leakage could occur without peptide self-assembly^{47,56}.

A new model

The peptides we have described here cause leakage from membrane vesicles by an all-or-none mechanism, which is nonetheless transient and partial at most peptide concentrations. Because the vesicles are uniform⁵⁷ and every vesicle has hundreds of peptides initially bound to it, this observation means that those vesicles that do not leak their contents must achieve transbilayer equilibrium of peptide (Fig. 8) by a mechanism that does not invoke leakage. Thus, there are at least two paths by which peptides can cross the membranes to relieve the asymmetry that occurs when they initially bind. A stochastic, “catastrophic” pore event⁵⁸ that causes a vesicle to release all of its contents, and a second pathway that does not cause leakage. During the transient leakage phase of an experiment, we assume that both processes are relieving the transbilayer peptide asymmetry simultaneously (Fig. 8) therefore the probability of a pore event decreases with time until it reaches zero. Because the leakage is likely to be a cooperative event, it is reasonable for it to become more probable with increasing peptide concentration, as we observed. This model is consistent with the hypothesized overlap in mechanism between pore-forming peptides, which cross a membrane and cause leakage, and cell-penetrating peptides, which cross membranes without causing leakage⁵⁹.

Our data also provide some information about the nature of the transient “pore” state. There is a weak distinction based on the size and charge of the probe molecule being released. Between the small molecules ANTS/DPX (~425 g/mol) and Tb³⁺/DPA (100-150 g/mol) the first pair sometimes leaks to a greater extent (Table 2) despite their larger molecular weight suggesting a distinction based on charge distribution, hydrophobicity or molecular shape in addition to molecular size. We have observed the same relative difference for other families of pore forming peptides⁹. A dextran molecule of 3,000 Da is released readily, while a 40,000 Da dextran is not released as effectively. These data provide an image of a pore that can release molecules up to about 20 Å in diameter, but is also sensitive to size and charge of molecules that are only 150-425 Da, suggesting a “pore state” that is not a simple water-filled pore, but instead a complex, transient non-bilayer phase containing peptides, lipids, water and polar solutes.

Conclusion

We have designed a narrow, compositionally varied combinatorial peptide library to select soluble, membrane permeabilizing peptides by a two step, function-based high-throughput screen. The peptides identified are small and soluble, but also bind to bilayer membranes and induce membrane permeabilization at low peptide concentration. We describe here the amino acid sequences and mechanism of action of the rare, potent pore formers selected from this library. Although they share little sequence similarity in variable positions, the most active

molecules share physical properties such as core hydrophobicity, and they share the same secondary structure and mechanism of action. The observed mechanism is consistent with non-specific “interfacial activity” being the critical factor leading to potent membrane destabilizing activity. We showed here that only about 1 in 1000 peptides in the library had the requisite activity despite the library being narrowly defined. This “interfacial activity” is a complex function of a peptide's hydrophobicity, amphipathicity, and propensity to self assemble into peptide rich domains, coupled with potential for it to alter the packing and curvature of the lipids such that non-bilayer phases are promoted (Fig. 8). While one can describe these factors individually with some degree of certainty, rational engineering of such complex interfacial activity is not possible. This idea is strengthened by the fact that the library contains many peptides that are very similar to the active peptides and yet were not selected. We demonstrate here that composition-space peptide libraries coupled with function-based high-throughput screens can lead to the discovery of diverse, soluble, and highly potent interfacially active peptides.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NIH grant GM60000 and a Louisiana Board of Regents RC/EEP grant. The authors would like to acknowledge Christopher M. Bishop for peptide synthesis and purification. We sincerely thank Drs. Kalina Hristova, Paulo F. Almeida and Mikhail Merzliakov for enlightening discussions and/or for critically reading the manuscript.

Reference List

1. White SH, Wimley WC. Membrane protein folding and stability: physical principles. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 1999;28:319–365. [PubMed: 10410805]
2. White SH, Wimley WC. Hydrophobic interactions of peptides with membrane interfaces. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1998;1376(3):339–352. [PubMed: 9804985]
3. Nguyen LT, Schibli DJ, Vogel HJ. Structural studies and model membrane interactions of two peptides derived from bovine lactoferricin. *J Pept Sci* 2005;11(7):379–389. [PubMed: 15635665]
4. Kondejewski LH, Jelokhani-Niaraki M, Farmer SW, Lix B, Kay CM, Sykes BD, Hancock RE, Hodges RS. Dissociation of antimicrobial and hemolytic activities in cyclic peptide diastereomers by systematic alterations in amphipathicity. *J Biol Chem* 1999;274(19):13181–13192. [PubMed: 10224074]
5. Shai Y, Oren Z. From “carpet” mechanism to de-novo designed diastereomeric cell-selective antimicrobial peptides. *Peptides* 2001;22(10):1629–1641. [PubMed: 11587791]
6. Jin Y, Hammer J, Pate M, Zhang Y, Zhu F, Zmuda E, Blazys J. Antimicrobial activities and structures of two linear cationic peptide families with various amphipathic β -sheet and α -helical potentials. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;49(12):4957–4964. [PubMed: 16304158]
7. Rausch JM, Marks JR, Wimley WC. Rational combinatorial design of pore-forming β -sheet peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102(30):10511–10515. [PubMed: 16020534]
8. Papo N, Shai Y. Effect of drastic sequence alteration and D-amino acid incorporation on the membrane binding behavior of lytic peptides. *Biochemistry* 2004;43(21):6393–6403. [PubMed: 15157073]
9. Rausch JM, Marks JR, Rathinakumar R, Wimley WC. β -sheet pore-forming peptides selected from a rational combinatorial library: mechanism of pore formation in lipid vesicles and activity in biological membranes. *Biochemistry* 2007;46(43):12124–12139. [PubMed: 17918962]
10. Hilpert K, Elliott MR, Volkmer-Engert R, Henklein P, Donini O, Zhou Q, Winkler DF, Hancock RE. Sequence requirements and an optimization strategy for short antimicrobial peptides. *Chem Biol* 2006;13(10):1101–1107. [PubMed: 17052614]
11. Hilpert K, Volkmer-Engert R, Walter T, Hancock RE. High-throughput generation of small antibacterial peptides with improved activity. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005;23(8):1008–1012. [PubMed: 16041366]

12. Mowery BP, Lee SE, Kissounko DA, Epand RF, Epand RM, Weisblum B, Stahl SS, Gellman SH. Mimicry of antimicrobial host-defense peptides by random copolymers. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129(50):15474–15476. [PubMed: 18034491]
13. Magzoub M, Oglecka K, Pramanik A, Goran Eriksson LE, Graslund A. Membrane perturbation effects of peptides derived from the N-termini of unprocessed prion proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2005;1716(2):126–136. [PubMed: 16214105]
14. Magzoub M, Pramanik A, Graslund A. Modeling the endosomal escape of cell-penetrating peptides: transmembrane pH gradient driven translocation across phospholipid bilayers. *Biochemistry* 2005;44(45):14890–14897. [PubMed: 16274236]
15. Potocky TB, Silvius J, Menon AK, Gellman SH. HeLa cell entry by guanidinium-rich β -peptides: importance of specific cation-cell surface interactions. *ChemBiochem* 2007;8(8):917–926. [PubMed: 17503427]
16. Joliot A, Prochiantz A. Transduction peptides: from technology to physiology. *Nat Cell Biol* 2004;6(3):189–196. [PubMed: 15039791]
17. Wimley WC, White SH. Experimentally determined hydrophobicity scale for proteins at membrane interfaces. *Nature Struct Biol* 1996;3(10):842–848. [PubMed: 8836100]
18. Magzoub M, Eriksson LE, Graslund A. Comparison of the interaction, positioning, structure induction and membrane perturbation of cell-penetrating peptides and non-translocating variants with phospholipid vesicles. *Biophys Chem* 2003;103(3):271–288. [PubMed: 12727289]
19. Avrahami D, Oren Z, Shai Y. Effect of multiple aliphatic amino acids substitutions on the structure, function, and mode of action of diastereomeric membrane active peptides. *Biochemistry* 2001;40(42):12591–12603. [PubMed: 11601983]
20. Raguse TL, Porter EA, Weisblum B, Gellman SH. Structure-activity studies of 14-helical antimicrobial β -peptides: probing the relationship between conformational stability and antimicrobial potency. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124(43):12774–12785. [PubMed: 12392424]
21. Oren Z, Shai Y. Selective lysis of bacteria but not mammalian cells by diastereomers of melittin: Structure-function study. *Biochemistry* 1997;36(7):1826–1835. [PubMed: 9048567]
22. Shai Y, Oren Z. Diastereomers of cytolysins, a novel class of potent antibacterial peptides. *J Biol Chem* 1996;271(13):7305–7308. [PubMed: 8631748]
23. White SH, Wimley WC, Selsted ME. Structure, function, and membrane integration of defensins. *Cur Opin Struc Biol* 1995;5(4):521–527.
24. Wimley WC, Selsted ME, White SH. Interactions between human defensins and lipid bilayers: Evidence for the formation of multimeric pores. *Protein Sci* 1994;3(9):1362–1373. [PubMed: 7833799]
25. Lam KS, Lehman AL, Song A, Doan N, Enstrom AM, Maxwell J, Liu R. Synthesis and screening of “one-bead one-compound” combinatorial peptide libraries. *Methods Enzymol* 2003;369:298–322. [PubMed: 14722961]
26. Grant, GA. *Synthetic Peptides: A User's Guide*. WH Freeman and Company; New York: 1992.
27. Atherton, E.; Sheppard, RC. *Solid phase peptide synthesis*. IRL Press; Oxford: 1989.
28. Nayar R, Hope MJ, Cullis PR. Generation of large unilamellar vesicles from long-chain saturated phosphatidylcholines by extrusion technique. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1989;986:200–206.
29. Rausch JM, Wimley WC. A high-throughput screen for identifying transmembrane pore-forming peptides. *Anal Biochem* 2001;293(2):258–263. [PubMed: 11399041]
30. Montich G, Scarlata S, McLaughlin S, Lehrmann R, Seelig J. Thermodynamic characterization of the association of small basic peptides with membranes containing acidic lipids. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1993;1146:17–24. [PubMed: 8443223]
31. Murray D, Hermida-Matsumoto L, Buser CA, Tsang J, Sigal CT, Ben-Tal N, Honig B, Resh MD, McLaughlin S. Electrostatics and the membrane association of Src: Theory and experiment. *Biochemistry* 1998;37(8):2145–2159. [PubMed: 9485361]
32. Ladokhin AS, Wimley WC, Hristova K, White SH. Mechanism of leakage of contents of membrane vesicles determined by fluorescence reequenching. *Methods Enzymol* 1997;278:474–486. [PubMed: 9170328]
33. Ladokhin AS, Wimley WC, White SH. Leakage of membrane vesicle contents: Determination of mechanism using fluorescence reequenching. *Biophys J* 1995;69:1964–1971. [PubMed: 8580339]

34. Wimley WC. The versatile β -barrel membrane protein. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2003;13(4):404–411. [PubMed: 12948769]
35. Wimley WC. Toward genomic identification of β -barrel membrane proteins: composition and architecture of known structures. *Protein Sci* 2002;11(2):301–312. [PubMed: 11790840]
36. Schulz GE. β -Barrel membrane proteins. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2000;10(4):443–447. [PubMed: 10981633]
37. Yount NY, Yeaman MR. Multidimensional signatures in antimicrobial peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2004;101(19):7363–7368. [PubMed: 15118082]
38. Yeaman MR, Yount NY. Mechanisms of antimicrobial peptide action and resistance. *Pharmacol Rev* 2003;55(1):27–55. [PubMed: 12615953]
39. Hancock REW, Lehrer R. Cationic peptides: A new source of antibiotics. *Trends Biotech* 1998;16(2):82–88.
40. Yau WM, Wimley WC, Gawrisch K, White SH. The preference of tryptophan for membrane interfaces. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:14713–14718. [PubMed: 9778346]
41. The probability of screening a particular member of a library 'n' times, P_n , can be calculated using the Poisson equation, $P_n = e^{-\mu} (\mu^n/n!)$ μ is the number of copies of the library that has been screened overall. In this case $\mu = 20,000/16,384 = 1.25$. The probability of screening a particular member of the library *at least* once (ΣP_n for $n \neq 0$), also known as the library coverage, is 0.72
42. White SH, Wimley WC, Ladokhin AS, Hristova K. Protein folding in membranes: Determining the energetics of peptide-bilayer interactions. *Methods Enzymol* 1998;295:62–87. [PubMed: 9750214]
43. Ladokhin AS, Jayasinghe S, White SH. How to measure and analyze tryptophan fluorescence in membranes properly, and why bother? *Anal Biochem* 2000;285(2):235–245. [PubMed: 11017708]
44. Johnson WC. Protein secondary structure and circular dichroism: A practical guide. *Proteins* 1990;7:205–214. [PubMed: 2194218]
45. Bishop CM, Walkenhorst WF, Wimley WC. Folding of β -sheet membrane proteins: Specificity and promiscuity in peptide model systems. *J Mol Biol* 2001;309:975–988. [PubMed: 11399073]
46. Wimley WC, Hristova K, Ladokhin AS, Silvestro L, Axelsen PH, White SH. Folding of β -sheet membrane proteins: A hydrophobic hexapeptide model. *J Mol Biol* 1998;277:1091–1110. [PubMed: 9571025]
47. Pokorny A, Almeida PF. Kinetics of dye efflux and lipid flip-flop induced by delta-lysin in phosphatidylcholine vesicles and the mechanism of graded release by amphipathic, α -helical peptides. *Biochemistry* 2004;43(27):8846–8857. [PubMed: 15236593]
48. Bohrer MP, Deen WM, Robertson CR, Troy JL, Brenner BM. Influence of molecular configuration on the passage of macromolecules across glomerular capillary wall. *J Gen Physiol* 1979;74:583–593. [PubMed: 512632]
49. Hristova K, Selsted ME, White SH. Critical role of lipid composition in membrane permeabilization by rabbit neutrophil defensins. *J Biol Chem* 1997;272(39):24224–24233. [PubMed: 9305875]
50. Fjell CD, Hancock RE, Cherkasov A. AMPer: a database and an automated discovery tool for antimicrobial peptides. *Bioinformatics* 2007;23(9):1148–1155. [PubMed: 17341497]
51. Antimicrobial Peptides Database - <http://aps.unmc.edu/AP/main.html>
52. Antimicrobial Sequences Database. <http://www.bbcm.units.it/~tossi/amsdb.html>.
53. Huang HW, Chen FY, Lee MT. Molecular mechanism of Peptide-induced pores in membranes. *Phys Rev Lett* 2004;92(19):198304. [PubMed: 15169456]
54. Qian S, Wang W, Yang L, Huang HW. Structure of the Alamethicin Pore Reconstructed by X-ray Diffraction Analysis. *Biophys J*. 2008
55. A large unilamellar vesicle of 0.1 μm diameter has about 100,000 lipid molecules and has an internal volume of about 10^{-19} liters. Thus, an experiment at P:L = 250 and 10 mM entrapped solute will have ~400 bound peptides and ~600 probe molecules entrapped in each vesicle.
56. Yandek LE, Pokorny A, Floren A, Knoelke K, Langel U, Almeida PF. Mechanism of the cell-penetrating peptide transport 10 permeation of lipid bilayers. *Biophys J* 2007;92(7):2434–2444. [PubMed: 17218466]
57. Mayer LD, Hope MJ, Cullis PR. Vesicles of variable sizes produced by a rapid extrusion procedure. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1986;858:161. [PubMed: 3707960]

58. Gregory SM, Cavanaugh A, Journigan V, Pokorny A, Almeida PF. A quantitative model for the all-or-none permeabilization of phospholipid vesicles by the antimicrobial peptide cecropin A. *Biophys J* 2008;94(5):1667–1680168. [PubMed: 17921201]
59. To further test this correlation, we are currently screening composition-based libraries for “cell-penetrating peptides” which can cross bilayers without causing leakage.

Abbreviations

AMP	antimicrobial peptide
ANTS	8-aminonaphthalene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid
DPX	para-xylene-bis-pyridinium bromide
DPA	dipicolinic acid
DMSO	dimethylsulfoxide
CD	circular dichroism
LUV	large unilamellar vesicles
POPC	1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine
POPG	1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine

Figure 1.

The design of the rational combinatorial library used in this work. The core segment of 9 residues contains five fixed hydrophobic residues and four (O) that are varied combinatorially as indicated. The core sequences explore a region of compositional space ranging from those which are highly charged and amphipathic to sequences with a polar-nonpolar dyad repeat sequence like the membrane-spanning strands of β -barrel membrane proteins³⁵ to sequences that are totally nonpolar. Independently, the end groups were varied in the library such that the RRG- and -RRG terminal basic cassettes were randomly and independently present or absent in each peptide sequence in the library.

Figure 2.

Sequences of the soluble potent pore formers selected. About 20,000 peptides belonging to the 16,384 member library shown in Fig. 1, were screened at a 1:50 P:L ratio. The screen included a pre-incubation in buffer to select against insoluble peptides. Fourteen very potent membrane permeabilizing peptides were identified and their sequences were determined, giving 10 unique sequences. Three peptides were identified two or three times independently as indicated by the numbers in parentheses. Shorthand names, at right, contain the identity of the four varied core residues, with asterisks indicating the presence of a terminal basic cassette.

Figure 3. Hydrophobicity analysis of the selected peptides. The experimentally-determined Wimley-White membrane hydrophobicity score^{1,17} was used to show a histogram of membrane hydrophobicity of the core sequence of the whole library. At the top we show the individual scores for the potent membrane permeabilizing peptides selected (Fig. 1). The selected peptides fall into a narrow region on the more hydrophobic side of the library, and were not randomly selected from the whole distribution ($p < 0.001$).

Figure 4. Binding isotherms for peptides interacting with lipid bilayer vesicles. The fluorescence intensity of the peptide tryptophan residue at 330 nm was measured as lipid vesicles were titrated into the samples. Samples contained the peptides dissolved in buffer and measurements were made after addition of aliquots of lipid vesicles containing 90% POPC and 10% POPG. At least 10 minutes were allowed for equilibration, although steady state fluorescence was reached within 3-5 minutes. Mole fraction partition coefficients were calculated as described in the text.

Figure 5.

Secondary structure information obtained using circular dichroism (CD) spectra of the membrane permeabilizing peptides. CD spectra were measured in phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 before (thick line) and after (thin line) addition of 2.5 mM phospholipid vesicles. The “pooled” peptide sample is an equimolar mixture of 200 randomly selected peptides from the library. The peptide in the lower right corner is the heptapeptide AcWL₆ which forms highly ordered antiparallel β -sheets in bilayers^{45,46}. The mean residue ellipticity for AcWL₆ has been divided by three to bring it onto the same scale as the other peptides. The spectra for the library peptides are given as mean residue ellipticities and indicate roughly 30% β -sheet content.

Figure 6.

Peptide-induced leakage of Tb^{3+} /DPA from phospholipid vesicles. **A:** Time course of leakage. Vesicles containing Tb^{3+} were first diluted into buffer containing an excess of DPA and a baseline fluorescence intensity was established. At 2.5 minutes peptide was added from a concentrated solution to achieve P:L = 1:200. Tb^{3+} /DPA complex formation gives rise to a fluorescence intensity that is measured. At 27.5 minutes, Triton X-100 was added to release all contents from the vesicles. Eight of the ten peptides caused a rapid burst of leakage from the vesicle that was nearly complete within 10 minutes. Two of the peptides caused slower leakage, which was essentially complete by about 30 minutes. **B:** Time dependence of binding and leakage. In this panel we superimpose the time course of binding of the two unusually slow

peptides, measured by tryptophan fluorescence, and the time course of leakage measured at P:L = 1:100.

Figure 7.

Requenching assay for determining the mechanism of contents leakage from lipid bilayer vesicles. Various concentrations of the 10 membrane permeabilizing peptides were added to a constant vesicle solution with entrapped dye (ANTS) and quencher (DPX) as described in the text. After leakage had stopped (~30 min), the requenching assay³² was used to determine the fraction of ANTS that had been released (f_{out}) and the degree of quenching of the ANTS that remains entrapped within vesicles (Q_{in}). Quenching is defined as a fractional fluorescence and thus ranges from ~0.5 (initial quenching level in these vesicle preparations) to 1 (unquenched). Theoretical curves for all-or-none and for graded release are shown. These peptides cause predominantly all-or-none release in which a vesicle either releases all of its contents, or none of them.

Figure 8.

Enhanced mechanistic model for the actions of the pore forming peptides in phospholipid bilayers. We propose that an amended “carpet” or “sinking raft” model of peptide pore formation explains our observations in the following steps: **A:** Intact membranes are exposed to peptide. **B:** Peptide binds to the outer monolayer due to hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. **C:** Peptides self-assemble into peptide-lipid domains in the outer monolayers. **D, E:** Relief of the transbilayer asymmetry simultaneously through **D:** a cell-penetrating peptide pathway that causes little or no leakage and through **E:** a cooperative pore formation which causes a vesicle to lose all of its entrapped contents. **F:** the equilibrium state is reached in which the transbilayer asymmetry has been fully dissipated and pore formation no longer occurs.

Table 1

Statistics of amino acid abundance in selected peptides.

Amino Acid	Premixed Screen ^a	
	Occurrence	p-value ^b
D	1	0.040
N	0	0.007
T	2	ns ^c
R	7	ns
V	14	0.0002
A	9	ns
G	2	ns
Y	5	ns

^aThe number of each residue observed in the variable positions in the 10 most potent sequences observed in the pre-mixed screen (see text). Sequences observed multiple times (Fig. 2) are counted only once.

^bp-value is the probability that the occurrence of that residue is random and the observed number was made by chance.

^cnot significant at the p<0.05 significance level.

Table 2

Peptide induced leakage of solutes

	% Tb ³⁺ /DPA leakage						% ANTS/DPX Leakage						% Dextran Leakage					
	90%POPC + 10%POPG			100%POPC			90%POPC + 10%POPG			1:250			1:50			1:50		
	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L	P:L
<i>a</i> Peptide	1:50	1:250	1:500	1:50	1:250	1:500	1:50	1:250	1:500	1:50	1:250	1:500	1:50	1:250	1:500	1:50	1:500	1:50
Buffer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	9
VDVY	74	19	6	56	1	1	91	31	6	44	2	27	4	28	25	5	21	4
VAVY	67	51	23	26	2	0	42	22	4	28	27	4	28	25	5	21	4	2
VAVR	65	15	7	7	0	0	83	34	20	25	5	21	4	28	25	5	21	4
VAYR	93	41	20	33	0	0	67	21	9	46	21	4	28	25	5	21	4	2
*VRAA	56	9	5	9	0	0	83	34	16	41	4	28	25	5	21	4	2	2
*ARVA	89	23	11	8	0	0	73	19	17	39	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
*RVAV	67	27	16	28	1	1	67	21	10	28	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
YTTG*	70	23	19	73	9	0	46	14	5	31	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
ARYV	81	18	6	43	5	1	75	29	15	44	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
VVRG	97	32	9	50	5	0	79	31	14	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>b</i> Pool pep	3	1	0	2	0	0	37	13	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>c</i> Triton-X	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

^aComplete peptide sequences are shown in Fig. 1. Our notation shows the identity of the residues in the four varied position with asterisks denoting the presence of a terminal basic cassette.
^bThe peptide pool was generated by extracting peptides from a pool of 200 randomly selected beads from the library.
^cTriton X-100 lyses all vesicles completely. All release values are based on fluorescence intensity 30-60 minutes after peptide-induced leakage divided by intensity after Triton X-100 solubilization.