Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 January 16; 130(2): 481–490. doi:10.1021/ja0714585.

³¹P Magic Angle Spinning NMR Spectroscopy of Paramagnetic Rare Earth-Substituted Keggin and Wells-Dawson Solids

Wenlin Huang¹, Mark Schopfer¹, Cheng Zhang², Robertha C. Howell², Louis Todaro², Becky A. Gee³, Lynn C. Francesconi^{2,*}, and Tatyana Polenova^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716

²Department of Chemistry, City University of New York-Hunter College, 695 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10021

³Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Long Island University-Brooklyn Campus, 1 University Plaza, Brooklyn, NY 11201

Abstract

Paramagnetic rare-earth elements have been examined as NMR structural probes in polyoxoanionic solids, which have a variety of applications as luminescent materials that are usually disordered and therefore intractable by traditional structural methods. Thirteen Keggin and Wells-Dawson polyoxotungstates containing substitutions with lanthanides of different effective magnetic moments have been examined by ³¹P magic angle spinning NMR spectroscopy. The electron-nuclear dipolar interaction dominating the spinning sideband envelopes is determined by the lanthanide's magnetic moment and was found to be a sensitive probe of the nature of the polyoxoanion, of the positional isomerism, and of the ion stoichiometry. Electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropies computed based on the point-dipole approximation are generally in good agreement with the experimental results. The choice of a specific lanthanide as a structural probe can be tailored to the desired distance range between the phosphorus atoms and the paramagnetic centers to be probed. This approach is expected to be particularly useful in the paramagnetic polyoxoanionic materials lacking long-range order.

Keywords

solid-state NMR; Magic Angle spinning; MAS; ³¹P; polyoxometalate; POM; lanthanide; rare earth; Keggin; Wells-Dawson; phosphorus; paramagnetic; polyoxoanion; oxotungstate; electron-nuclear dipole-dipole coupling; chemical-shielding anisotropy; X-ray crystallography

Introduction

Lanthanide-containing solid-state materials have found numerous applications in catalysis, as electroluminescent optical devices and probes, lasers, and luminophores for displays.^{1,2} Many of these materials do not have long-range order in the solid state, and high-resolution structural information cannot be obtained by conventional structural methods. Polyoxometalates are one

*To whom the correspondence should be addressed: a) Tatyana Polenova, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE19716, e-mail: tpolenov@udel.edu, Tel. (302) 831-1968, FAX (302) 831-6335; b) Lynn C. Francesconi, Department of Chemistry, Hunter College, 695 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10021, e-mail: lfrances@hunter.cuny.edu, Phone (212) 772-5353, FAX (212) 772-5332

BRIEFS Rare earth-substituted paramagnetic Keggin and Wells-Dawson oxotungstates have been addressed. The electron-nuclear dipolar coupling tensors are sensitive probe of the mutual arrangement of paramagnetic centers in the crystal lattice. ³¹P solid-state NMR spectroscopy provides a quick and sensitive probe of the local environment in these solids.

class of attractive frameworks for design of solid-state materials due their ordered geometric structures, diverse electronic properties, and the possibility for incorporation of various metal ions to tune their catalytic, magnetic, electronic or photochemical properties for specific applications. For example, lanthanides can be introduced into the oxoanion core of Keggin and Wells-Dawson compounds in a controlled way to produce structures corresponding to different positional isomers and to different stoichiometries.³⁻⁸

Indeed, recent investigations have demonstrated that lanthanide-substituted polyoxotungstates prepared via molecular assembly by Langmuir-Blodgett techniques, or fabricated using a variety of techniques as hybrid organic-inorganic functional materials show a broad charge transfer band from the W-O framework or from organic ligands, and effective energy transfer to lanthanide ions.⁹⁻¹⁸ At the same time, crystallographic studies of lanthanide oxopolytungstates have indicated that a polymeric frame network forms in the solids in which the lanthanide ions act as a linker for the construction of 2-D or 3-D networks.¹⁹⁻²⁴ These discoveries of the promising luminescent characteristics and of the diverse architectures of lanthanide polyoxometalates establish the groundwork for the design of solid luminescent materials using rare-earth substituted oxotungstates.

Luminescence properties of hybrid organic/inorganic thin films are known to differ from those of the neat polyoxometalate compounds.^{11,25} The local structure of the lanthanide polyoxoanion may be altered, possibly because of the oxoanion-organic matrix and cation interactions. The local geometry of polyoxoanions in thin films and the nature of their interactions with the organic matrix and with counter ions are not understood. Lack of long-range order in these hybrid materials prevents their analysis by X-ray crystallography, while fluorescence and luminescence spectra lack the required site resolution. Characterization of the local geometry is necessary to be able to design luminescent polyoxometalate materials with desired characteristics, and therefore alternative probes that would possess the necessary site resolution are needed.

Additionally and importantly, polyoxoanionic solids represent excellent models of disordered metal oxide-based materials.²⁶⁻²⁹ The structural and electronic diversity of Keggin and Wells-Dawson polyoxometalates discussed above, in conjunction with the availability of high-resolution X-ray data for the crystalline representatives of these families have already yielded important insights into how to tune the macroscopic materials properties via controlled substitution with different elements.^{3,7,21,30-32} Furthermore, crystalline polyoxometalate solids are indispensable for design and testing of novel probes for structural studies of disordered materials.

Our recent work explored the utility of Eu(III) as a paramagnetic NMR structural probe in europium-substituted Keggin and Wells-Dawson solids.^{33,34} The strong anisotropic electron-nuclear dipolar interaction was found to dominate the ³¹P magic angle spinning (MAS) spectra in these compounds, and to be a useful and quantitative probe of local geometry. Other nuclear probes (e.g., ¹³C, ¹H, ²H, ⁶Li, ⁷Li) have also been reported to elicit information on local structure in different inorganic solids.³⁵⁻⁴³

In the present study, we identify the general utility of paramagnetic lanthanides as local geometry probes in an extensive series of oxotungstates substituted with different rare earth elements, and of the two most prevalent structural families, Keggin and Wells-Dawson. The geometric information is extracted by analysis of anisotropic electron-nuclear dipolar interaction between ³¹P (used as a nuclear reporter) and the paramagnetic rare-earth centers. This interaction is measured experimentally by the ³¹P MAS NMR spectroscopy. We examine the magnitude of the electron-nuclear dipolar coupling as a function of the lanthanide magnetic moment, in different positional isomers, and in solids of different stoichiometries. Our results

indicate that the electron-nuclear dipolar tensor, which is a distance-dependent quantity, reports on the mutual arrangements of the paramagnetic centers in the crystal lattice of the polyoxoanionic solids. These in turn are dictated by the anion stoichiometry and by which specific positional isomer is addressed. Furthermore, a specific paramagnetic lanthanide can be chosen as a structural probe based on its effective magnetic moment, which is an inherent property of a particular lanthanide element. The lanthanide's effective magnetic moment determines the strength of the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction (that is also distance dependent), and thus the choice of a lanthanide possessing a particular magnetic moment as a structural reporter can serve to tune the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction to the specific phosphorus-lanthanide distance range to be probed. ^{31}P solid-state NMR spectroscopy thus provides a sensitive probe of local environments in these solids. This approach is applicable to nuclei other than ^{31}P and inorganic solids other than polyoxometalates, and we anticipate that it will be particularly useful for noncrystalline materials lacking long-range order.

Experimental Section

Materials and Syntheses

All chemicals were obtained from Aldrich or Fisher and used without further purification: sodium tungstate dihydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{WO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$), lanthanide (III) chlorides hydrates ($\text{LnCl}_3 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Ln} = \text{La}, \text{Ce}, \text{Pr}, \text{Nd}, \text{Eu}, \text{Gd}, \text{Tb}, \text{Dy}, \text{Er}, \text{Lu}$ and Y) were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals. Hydrochloric acid, lithium acetate, potassium chloride, ammonium chloride, phosphoric acid (85%), sulfuric acid, and bromine were purchased from Fisher Scientific.

The rare earth-substituted polyoxometalate complexes include two types of Wells-Dawson solids as well as a set of tetrameric Keggin oxotungstates with trivalent lanthanide ions incorporated into the oxoanion core: $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{La}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 4\text{KCl} \cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**I**), $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Nd}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 4\text{KCl} \cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**II**), $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Er}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 4\text{KCl} \cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**III**), $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Ce}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IV**), $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Nd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**V**), $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Pr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VI**), and $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Lu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VII**). The rare earth substituted tetrameric Keggin solids include $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Y}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VIII**), $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Er}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IX**), $(\text{NH}_4)_{22}[(\text{Eu}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4(\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4)] \cdot 44\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**X**), $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Gd}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**XI**), $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Tb}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**XII**), and $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Dy}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**XIII**). Synthesis of these compounds and their X-ray crystal structures (except for the X-ray structure of **IX** reported herein) have been reported recently.^{3,6,7,44} The schematic representations of the individual anions are shown in Figure 1.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction of

$\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Er}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4] \cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH}) \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (IX**)**—The intensity data for **IX** were measured on an Bruker-Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer (graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$ φ - ω scans) at 100 (1) K. The data were corrected for absorption. The crystal of **IX**, with approximate dimensions $0.16 \times 0.20 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$, were monoclinic with space group $C2/c$. The final unit-cell constants of **IX** were $a = 22.090(4)$, $b = 34.128(7)$, $c = 26.569(5) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 96.11(3)^\circ$, $V = 19916(17) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$, $\rho = 4.579 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$, $\mu = 28.46 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, formula weight = 13730.34. The structure of **IX** was solved with SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 with SHELXL-97. The hydrogen atoms of the solvent atoms were not included in the structure-factor calculations. Some of the water molecules reported in the formula may actually be hydroxyl groups. The final discrepancy indices for the 22877 reflections ($\theta < 27.45^\circ$) were $R = 0.0621$ (calculated on F)

and $R_w = 0.1336$ (calculated on F^2) with 1336 parameters varied. The final difference map peaks ($< 4.3 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$) were near the tungsten and erbium atoms. The summary of crystal structure and structure refinement parameters is presented in Table 1S of the supporting information.

Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy— ^{31}P solid-state NMR spectra were acquired at 162.028 MHz (9.4 T) on a Tecmag Discovery spectrometer using a 4 mm Varian T3 probe. 30–40 mg of sample were packed into zirconium oxide rotors for data collection. The magic angle was adjusted using NaNO_3 (by detecting the ^{23}Na MAS signal). ^{31}P NMR spectra were collected at several different spinning frequencies ranging from 1 to 17 kHz. A single $3 \mu\text{s}$ pulse ($\gamma H_1/2\pi = 83.3 \text{ kHz}$) was employed; the pulse delays of 3 s and 60 s were used for paramagnetic and diamagnetic lanthanide compounds, respectively. The MAS spinning frequency was controlled to within $\pm 2 \text{ Hz}$. A total of 1024 complex data points were acquired. The data were processed by Fourier transformation and baseline correction using the MestRe-C23 NMR data processing software.⁴⁵ The spectra of Er-substituted compounds **III** and **IX** were processed with linear prediction of the first 40–50 points of the FID, followed by Fourier transformation and baseline correction. Isotropic chemical shifts are reported with respect to the 85% H_3PO_4 used as an external reference.

Simulations of the NMR Spectra—Numerical simulations of the experimental ^{31}P solid-state NMR spectra were performed on a 1.1 GHz Pentium-4 PC under the Linux environment using the SIMPSON software package.⁴⁶ The independent parameters describing the CSA tensor anisotropies and calculations of the shielding tensors were also performed using the Herzfeld-Berger algorithm⁴⁷ implemented in the HBA 1.4.4 program.⁴⁸

Calculation of Electron-Nuclear Dipolar Coupling Tensors—The dipolar coupling tensors between the phosphorus atom and the paramagnetic nuclei in **II-V**, **IX** and **X** were calculated as described in our recent report,³³ using a home-written program in Mathematica 5.0 (Wolfram, Inc.). The experimental crystallographic coordinates and effective magnetic moments were utilized. For the sake of clarity, here we present a brief summary of the salient points considered in the calculations.

The Hamiltonian for a spin-1/2 nucleus in a paramagnetic system is

$$H = H_{\text{Zeeman}} + H_{\text{RF}} + H_{\text{DIP}}^{\text{paramagn}} + H_{\text{CSA}} + H_{\text{FC}} + H_{\text{DIP}} \quad (1)$$

The terms in the Hamiltonian represent the Zeeman, the radiofrequency field, the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction, the chemical shift anisotropy, the Fermi contact shift, and the nuclear dipolar interaction.

The electron-nuclear dipolar interaction is expressed as

$$H_{\text{DIP}}^{\text{paramagn}} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \bar{\mu}_e \cdot \tilde{D}_{en} \cdot \mu_n \quad (2)$$

where μ_0 is the magnetic permeability, $\bar{\mu}_e$ is the thermally averaged electronic magnetic moment, $\tilde{D}_{en}$ is the electron-nuclear dipolar coupling tensor, and μ_n is the nuclear magnetic moment.

The electron-nuclear dipolar coupling tensor $\tilde{D}_{en}$ is expressed in a matrix representation:⁴¹

$$\tilde{D}_{en} = \frac{1}{r^3} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 3e_\alpha e_\beta) \quad (3)$$

r is the electron-nuclear distance, $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker delta, e_α and e_β are the (x,y,z) components of the electron-nuclear dipolar vector.

In the rare-earth substituted polyoxoanionic solids under investigation, the electron-nuclear dipolar coupling matrices arising from the interactions of ^{31}P with multiple rare-earth sites, have to be considered. It has been shown previously^{38,41} that to a good approximation the local fields on the nucleus are independent contributions of the individual electrons. Thus the total electron-nuclear dipolar Hamiltonian can be obtained by summing up the dipolar matrices corresponding to the individual electron-nuclear spin pairs:

$$H_{DIP}^{paramagan} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \bar{\mu}_e \cdot \left(\sum_i \tilde{D}_{en,i} \right) \cdot \mu_n \quad (4)$$

The summed dipolar coupling tensor is transformed to its principal axes system by diagonalization of the corresponding matrix, to obtain its eigenvalues $\delta_{xx}, \delta_{yy}, \delta_{zz}$, where by convention $|\delta_{zz} - \delta_{iso}| \geq |\delta_{xx} - \delta_{iso}| \geq |\delta_{yy} - \delta_{iso}|$. The principal components are subsequently defined as

$$\Delta\delta = \delta_{zz} - 1/2(\delta_{xx} + \delta_{yy}) \quad (5)$$

$$\eta = \frac{\delta_{yy} - \delta_{xx}}{\delta_{zz} - \delta_{iso}} \quad (6)$$

$$\delta_{iso} = 1/3(\delta_{xx} + \delta_{yy} + \delta_{zz}) \quad (7)$$

δ_{iso} is the isotropic shift; $\Delta\delta$ is the electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropy determining the breadth of the dipolar coupling tensor. η is the asymmetry parameter of the dipolar coupling tensor characterizing the deviation of the dipolar tensor from the axial symmetry.

It is worth noting that for ^{31}P nuclei with relatively weak couplings to the paramagnetic center (s), the contribution of the ^{31}P chemical shielding anisotropy cannot be neglected. In this case, the effective anisotropy is a sum of the electron-nuclear dipolar and the CSA tensors. The experimental measurements will yield this combined interaction rather than the electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropy alone.

Results and Discussion

^{31}P MAS NMR Spectra of Diamagnetic and Paramagnetic Rare Earth α_1 -Substituted Wells-Dawson Polyoxometalate Solids

The α_1 -substituted Wells-Dawson polyoxometalate solids are 1:2 Ln:(α_1 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁) species wherein one Ln(III) is complexed to the four oxygen atoms of each of the two lacunary P₂W₁₇O₆₁¹⁰⁻ ions (i.e., ions with one or more vacant W site(s) in the core, where substitutions with other atoms can occur, as illustrated in Figure 1b). The ^{31}P MAS NMR spectra of 1:2 Ln:(α_1 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁) (Ln = La, Nd, and Er) acquired at a spinning frequency of 10 kHz are presented in Figure 2, together with the spectrum of the corresponding 1:2 Eu:(α_1 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁) for comparison. The 1:2 La:(α_1 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁) (**I**) gives rise to two ^{31}P isotropic resonances shown in the inset of Figure 2a, indicating a small chemical shielding anisotropy present in this compound. Lanthanum (III) ion is diamagnetic (Table 1) with electron configuration of [Xe] 4f⁰; the corresponding Wells-Dawson compound is diamagnetic as well. Numerical analysis of the spinning sidebands of **I** at low spinning frequencies yields chemical shielding anisotropies of 31.1 ppm (P1) and 13.8 ppm (P2).

Both Nd³⁺ and Er³⁺ ions have 3 unpaired electrons in their 4f orbitals, and therefore the Nd³⁺ and Er³⁺ complexes are expected to be paramagnetic. Indeed, the ^{31}P spectrum of 1:2 Nd:(α_1 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁) (**II**) exhibits a large manifold of spinning sidebands spanning ca 400 ppm in Figure 2b, and revealing that the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction between Nd³⁺ ion and

phosphorus atom dominates the NMR spectrum in this species. Numerical analysis of the spinning sidebands of **II** yields effective anisotropies of 148.1 ppm (P1) and 72.4 ppm (P2). The ^{31}P spectrum of 1:2 Er:($\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) (**III**) shows two sets of spinning sidebands both exhibiting much broader spectral envelopes compared with the Nd^{3+} spectra, as shown in Figure 2c-e (the individual envelopes shown with red and blue markers). The broader set of spinning sidebands has lower signal-to-noise ratio. We attribute this spinning sideband envelope to the phosphorus site closest to the paramagnetic center (arbitrarily designated as P1), and the narrower envelope to the distant phosphorus site (arbitrarily designated as P2). Due to the low signal-to-noise ratio in the P1 site spectrum, its quantitative analysis to extract the anisotropic NMR observables was not possible. We therefore focus our attention to the P2 site spectra. The spinning sidebands for this site span ca 1000 ppm, which is much larger than in the Nd^{3+} and Eu^{3+} Wells-Dawson complexes, reflecting the strong electron-nuclear dipolar coupling in this species. The dipolar anisotropy extracted from the numerical analysis of the spectra of the P2 phosphorus site in **III** is 449.4 ppm. According to Equation 4, the electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropy is determined by the effective magnetic moment and by the geometry of the paramagnetic centers around the ^{31}P site. As illustrated in Table 1, the effective magnetic moment of Er^{3+} is $9.6 \mu_{\text{B}}$ (Bohr magnetons), being the largest among lanthanides. Closer inspection of the X-ray structures of **II** and **III** reported earlier⁷ and displayed in Figure 3 and 4, respectively, shows that the positions of lanthanide ions with respect to the phosphorus sites in the crystal lattices are similar in these two compounds, indicating that as expected, the effective magnetic moments have dominant effect on the dipolar anisotropies in these lanthanide complexes.

^{31}P MAS NMR Spectra of Diamagnetic and Paramagnetic Rare Earth-Substituted Wells-Dawson Polyoxometalate Solids of 2:2 Ln:POM Stoichiometry

The α_2 -substituted Wells-Dawson polyoxometalate solids are 2:2 Ln:($\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) species wherein two Ln(III) are complexed to the four oxygen atoms of each of the two lacunary $\text{P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}^{10-}$ ions (illustrated in Figure 1c and 1d). There are differences in the 2:2 Ln:($\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) species as the lanthanide series is traversed. The early lanthanides La, Ce, Pr form a “cap to cap” structure (Figure 1c) whereas the later lanthanides (Nd, Eu, Lu) form a “cap to belt” structure (Figure 1d). This structural change accommodates the coordination environments for the early (9 coordinate) and later (8 coordinate) lanthanides.^{6,44} The ^{31}P spectra of 2:2 Ln:($\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) (Ln = Ce, Pr, Nd, and Lu) Wells-Dawson complexes acquired at a spinning frequency of 5 kHz are shown in Figure 5. Lu^{3+} with electron configuration $4f^{14}$, is a diamagnetic ion, thus the ^{31}P spectrum of $\text{Lu}:(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})$ (**X**), as expected, shows two isotropic resonances at high spinning frequency as the chemical shift anisotropy is relatively small and averaged out. The paramagnetic Ce^{3+} , Pr^{3+} and Nd^{3+} Wells-Dawson complexes exhibit large spinning sideband manifolds in the ^{31}P spectra because of the dominant electron-nuclear dipolar interactions. The ^{31}P spectra of 2:2 Nd:($\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) (**V**) and Pr:($\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61}$) (**VI**) display similar spectral envelope widths, reflecting the fact that these two compounds have similar electron-nuclear dipolar interactions. Numerical analysis of the spectra yielded the effective anisotropies for **V** of 166.9 ppm (P1) and 65.6 ppm (P2), whereas those for **VI** were 174.5 ppm (P1) and 76.5 ppm (P2).

^{31}P MAS NMR Spectra of Diamagnetic and Paramagnetic Rare Earth-Substituted Keggin Polyoxometalate Solids

The rare earth-substituted Keggin polyoxometalate solids are assemblies of four di-vacant Keggin fragments tied together by eight Ln(III) ions with two Ln(III) ions substituted for two W=O units in each of the four di-vacant Keggin ions. In Figure 6, the ^{31}P spectra (collected at the MAS frequency of 15 kHz) of these tetrameric Keggin oxotungstates with different lanthanides incorporated (Ln = Y, Eu and Er) are compared. We note that the NMR parameters for the tetrameric Eu complex were presented in our recent report,³³ and we are illustrating

the spectrum of this compound here solely for comparison of the spectroscopic parameters across the lanthanide series under investigation. The spectral envelope widths are a function of the effective magnetic moment in this series, as expected. The diamagnetic yttrium complex (**VIII**) exhibits two isotropic resonances in the ^{31}P spectrum but no anisotropic spinning sidebands, as anticipated. The erbium complex (**IX**) shows the largest envelope width; in fact, this is the broadest ^{31}P spectrum we have observed in any lanthanide polyoxotungstate under investigation. Only one set of spinning sidebands was detected in this species with a span of more than 2500 ppm. The analysis of the sideband pattern of **IX** yields the effective anisotropy of 1363.5 ppm, much larger than the anisotropy in the Eu tetrameric complex (**X**), as expected based on the effective electronic magnetic moments of 3.24 and 9.6 μ_{B} for Eu and Er, respectively.

Interestingly, we could not detect ^{31}P NMR signal in the Gd-, Dy- and Tb-substituted tetrameric Keggin solids **XI**, **XII**, and **XIII**. These three lanthanides have very large magnetic moments (7.94, 9.0 and 10.6 μ_{B} , respectively), and we attribute the lack of signal to very efficient electron-nuclear dipolar relaxation resulting in short T_2 times and broadening of the resonances beyond detection. One possibility is that the crystal packing in these complexes is different enough, so that these short T_2 times and line broadening of the lines beyond detection in these compounds might be the result of intermolecular interactions. In this regard it would be interesting in the future to address the dilute versions of these solids where 10-20% of the paramagnetically-substituted complex would be co-dissolved and co-precipitated with the corresponding diamagnetically-substituted compound. These studies are beyond the scope of the current manuscript. In this context it is surprising that the ^{31}P signal in the Er-substituted Keggin tetrameric solid **IX** could be seen.

^{31}P Isotropic Chemical Shifts in the Rare-Earth-Substituted Polyoxometalate Solids

The observed isotropic shifts of the ^{31}P nuclei in the lanthanide Wells-Dawson and Keggin complexes are compiled in Table 2. In the Wells-Dawson and Keggin complexes, the two non-equivalent phosphorus atoms are expected to give rise to distinct chemical shifts. However, a single spinning sideband manifold was observed in the spectra of **IX**, inconsistent with its X-ray structure (illustrated in Figure 7). We note that the individual spinning sidebands in the spectra of the two Er-substituted complexes under investigation are quite broad (15 ppm for **III**, 24 ppm for **IX**), suggesting the chemical shift overlap in **IX**. We attribute the broader lines in these two complexes to the more efficient electron-nuclear dipolar relaxation due to the 3-fold greater effective electronic magnetic moment of Er. In **III**, we could not determine the isotropic chemical shift of the P1 site because of the partial overlap of its spinning sideband manifold with that of the P2 site. For **VIII**, two distinct isotropic peaks of equal intensities were observed. In **X** each of the four phosphorus atoms has a different isotropic shift, consistent with the monoclinic space group P-1 of this solid.³³

Surprisingly, in the majority of Wells-Dawson compounds under investigation the P2 site is more shielded, except for the Nd-substituted **II** and **V**. It is also interesting to note that contrary to the Wells-Dawson compounds **I-VII**, and to the diamagnetic Y-substituted tetrameric solid **VIII**, the phosphorus resonances in the paramagnetic tetrameric Er and Eu complexes **IX** and **X** are strongly deshielded with respect to the chemical shift standard. In order to understand the overall trends in the isotropic chemical shifts, quantum mechanical calculations of chemical shielding anisotropy in the compounds addressed in this work would be necessary; these studies are beyond the scope of the present manuscript.

Calculations of the Paramagnetic Dipolar Coupling in Crystallographically Characterized Rare Earth-Substituted Polyoxometalate Solids

We calculated the electron-nuclear dipolar tensors for **II**, **III**, **IV**, **V**, and **IX** based on their crystallographic structures^{3,6,7,44} shown in Figures 3, 4, 8, 9, and 7 (respectively) and following the procedure described in the experimental section and in our previous report.³³ Due to the lack of experimental values for the effective magnetic moments in these compounds, in the calculations we utilized the magnetic moments of the corresponding free ions shown in Table 1. Electron-nuclear dipolar matrices were calculated by including the lanthanide sites that are within 100 Å of the phosphorus atom. We note that convergence of these calculations is directly related to the effective magnetic moment of the paramagnetic rare earth atom: for larger magnetic moments the calculations have to include more distant paramagnetic sites (illustrated in Figure 2S of the Supporting Information). We found that in the compounds under investigation calculations taking into account the paramagnetic sites within 100 Å converge fully for all lanthanides. The calculated NMR parameters are reported in Table 3.

Analysis of the results reveals a strong correlation between the experimental and calculated $\Delta\delta$ (illustrated in Figure 10a). The experimental and calculated values agree within 6-13% for the P1 sites in all compounds, except for the Ce-substituted Wells-Dawson solid **IV**, and the Er-substituted tetrameric Keggin solid **IX**. In **IV**, we attribute the discrepancies between the experiment and calculations to the partial overlap of the spinning sideband patterns corresponding to the P1 and P2 sites. Due to this overlap, the sideband intensities are not clearly defined, and the accurate values of the tensor components could not be extracted. In **IX**, the disagreement might be due to the differences in the effective magnetic moment for the free Er^{3+} ion and that substituted into the polyoxoanion core. Indeed, our recent studies addressing Eu-substituted Keggin compounds revealed that the effective experimentally measured magnetic moments can deviate by 15% from those of the free Eu^{3+} ion, and vary significantly within the Keggin family. Additionally, the limited absorption bandwidth of the probe may have resulted in attenuated intensities of the outer sidebands and therefore in apparent smaller observed anisotropy.

The deviations between the experimental and the calculated $\Delta\delta$ for the P2 sites in the Wells-Dawson compounds are generally greater than those for P1 sites. We attribute this to the weaker electron-nuclear interaction for this phosphorus atom, which is of the similar order of magnitude as the ^{31}P chemical shielding anisotropy. The experimentally measured anisotropy contains comparable contributions of the electron-nuclear dipolar and chemical shielding anisotropies, giving rise to the larger discrepancies between experiment and calculations. Quantum mechanical calculations of the CSA tensor could reveal the contribution of this term to the overall anisotropy. However, these calculations at present are challenging in rare earth polyoxoanions due to their size and the presence of a large number of heavy atoms.

The experimental and calculated asymmetry parameters are not in any agreement, with the experimental values being generally much higher than the predictions (illustrated in Figure 10b). We have observed similar behavior in the Eu-substituted Keggin and Wells-Dawson solids.^{33,34} These findings indicate that the experimentally measured tensors contain a contribution from the chemical shift anisotropy term, and even though its magnitude is relatively small, and the error introduced in the measurement of the electron-nuclear dipolar tensor anisotropy $\Delta\delta$ is also small, its influence on the asymmetry parameter may be more significant, especially in the cases where the asymmetry parameters corresponding to the CSA and the electron-nuclear dipolar tensor are very different (e.g., axial CSA and rhombic electron-nuclear dipolar tensor or vice versa). Whether or not the experimental asymmetry parameters may thus be a useful (if quantitative) probe of the contribution of the CSA term to the overall combined experimental electron-nuclear/chemical-shielding anisotropy tensor remains to be explored in the future.

As already discussed, the above calculations were performed by including the paramagnetic sites within 100 Å of the phosphorus atom. However, this kind of analysis relies on the X-ray coordinates and would not be feasible in the non-crystalline solids. In order to assess the utility of the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction as a structural probe of paramagnetic environments in the absence of long-range order, we calculated the anticipated P-Ln distances for the Wells-Dawson compounds **II-V** assuming that the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction originates from a single P-Ln pair and using equation 3 without the *a priori* knowledge of the atomic coordinates. According to the results compiled in Table 4, the effective NMR-derived distances are systematically longer than the X-ray distances between the phosphorus atom and its closest neighbor. This is expected due to the contributions of several Ln sites to the overall electron-nuclear dipolar interaction. In the Wells-Dawson isomers under study, the two or three Ln atoms that are within 14 Å of the P site dictate the magnitude of the dipolar tensor. The relative geometries of P and Ln sites in these solids are such that the magnitude of the combined electron-nuclear dipolar interaction is somewhat reduced compared to the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction that would originate from a single Ln center closest to the P site of interest. Larger deviations between the NMR and the X-ray distances were observed for the P2 site, which is not surprising because the shortest P2-Ln distance is between 6.03 and 8.09 Å in the α_1 - and α_2 -isomers, respectively, and therefore the contributions from the chemical shielding anisotropy term and from the dipolar interactions the other lanthanide neighbors become large. The deviations between NMR and X-ray distances observed for the P2 site in the 2:2 Ln: α_2 -P₂W₁₇O₆₁ complexes may also be derived from the differences in the proximity of the Ln ion in the adjacent polyoxometalate of the “cap to cap” or “cap to belt” dimers.

Based on the above results we conclude that the distance estimates from the observed electron-nuclear dipolar interaction assuming a single P-Ln pair are only useful for assignments of the individual phosphorus sites (P1 vs. P2). However, the present studies were conducted on crystalline solids containing extended networks of neighboring anions. We anticipate that in systems where the individual polyoxoanions are isolated (e.g., in films) the single pair approximation might yield accurate distances.

Rare Earth Substitutions as NMR Structural Probes in Paramagnetic Polyoxoanionic Solids

The results discussed above indicate that paramagnetic lanthanides are generally useful as structural probes in polyoxoanionic solids, and that ³¹P solid-state NMR spectroscopy presents a quick non-destructive probe of the local geometry of the lanthanide-substituted Wells-Dawson and Keggin solids. As illustrated in Table 2, the anisotropy and asymmetry parameters of the electron-nuclear dipolar coupling tensors allow to determine quickly and unambiguously the salient structural features of the polyoxoanionic solids: 1) the nature of the polyoxoanion (i.e., Keggin vs. Wells-Dawson); and 2) positional isomerism and the anion stoichiometry (α_1 1:2 - vs. α_2 2:2 - isomers). This approach is expected to be an important addition to the current methods for characterization of polyoxometalates because not always can one predict the outcome of the synthesis of a specific polyoxometalate solid and/or of the identity of the POM once incorporated into a solid material, such as a mesoporous solid or hybrid organic/inorganic material. These materials are usually noncrystalline and lack long-range order, and therefore structural information is very hard to gain.

One of the goals of our study was to assess the utility of different trivalent rare earth ions as NMR probes of local environments in paramagnetic polyoxoanionic solids. Our results indicate that as expected, the electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropies within a particular family of structurally homologous polyoxometalates are determined by the effective electronic magnetic moments of the rare earth atom (which vary from 2.54 to 10.6 μ_B across the series). As discussed above, ³¹P NMR spectra were readily detectable for Wells-Dawson solids under investigation containing lanthanides with both moderate (e.g., Ce, Nd, Pr, Eu) and large

magnetic moments (e.g., Er), and the experimental and predicted NMR parameters were in good agreement. A potential advantage of employing lanthanides with large magnetic moments in studying non-crystalline polyoxometalates is that presence of more distant paramagnetic centers can be detected. Therefore, it will be of interest to address in the future the SSNMR behavior of Gd-, Dy- and Tb-Wells-Dawson solids.

For tetrameric Keggin polyoxometalates substituted with lanthanides possessing moderate magnetic moments (Pr, Nd, Eu) the ^{31}P MAS spectra were readily detectable, and the experimental parameters were generally in good agreement with the predicted electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropies. We therefore conclude that these lanthanides could be generally utilized as structural probes potentially useful for addressing paramagnetic environments in polyoxometalate solids lacking long-range order.

As discussed above, the ^{31}P NMR signals could not be detected in Gd-, Dy- and Tb-substituted tetrameric Keggin polyoxometalates **XI-XIII**. Surprisingly, at the same time ^{31}P MAS NMR spectra were easily detectable for the Er-substituted solid, despite the large magnetic moment of Er^{3+} ($9.6 \mu\text{B}$). However, the individual spinning sidebands of Er-containing **IX** were broad, and the isotropic chemical shifts of the non-equivalent phosphorus sites overlapped. Significant deviations were observed between the experimental and predicted electron-nuclear dipolar anisotropies. These results suggest that rare earth ions with large magnetic moments may not be effective as paramagnetic structural probes in tetrameric Keggin solids. We speculate that the crystal packing in the tetrameric Er-, Gd-, Dy-, and Tb-substituted Keggin complexes is different enough, so that broadening of the lines beyond detection in Gd-, Dy-, and Tb-derivatives might be the result of intermolecular interactions.

Outlook and Applications to Other Systems

Paramagnetic lanthanides can generally be employed as structural probes via ^{31}P MAS NMR spectroscopy in inorganic solids other than polyoxometalates. As discussed above and summarized in Table 1, the effective magnetic moments across the lanthanide series span a broad range from 2.54 to $10.6 \mu\text{B}$. Therefore, the strength of the electron-nuclear interaction for a particular phosphorus-lanthanide distance will vary widely depending on the nature of the lanthanide atom. Alternatively stated, the longest distance between the phosphorus atom and the paramagnetic lanthanide center that can be detectable by ^{31}P solid-state NMR, will be dictated by the lanthanide's effective magnetic moment. Therefore, the choice of a particular lanthanide as a structural probe can be tailored to the anticipated ^{31}P -lanthanide distance in the material of interest. To illustrate this, in Table 1 the distances at which the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction is 10 ppm (the smallest-magnitude coupling that can be reliably determined at 9.4 T, under the condition that the ^{31}P chemical shift anisotropy is considerably smaller than 10 ppm) are summarized for different lanthanides. These represent the longest P-lanthanide distances at which the presence of the paramagnetic rare earth center can be detected assuming a single P-lanthanide pair. We note that the longest distance that can be measured using this approach will be dictated by the ^{31}P chemical shift anisotropy: the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction has to exceed the chemical shift anisotropy. Assuming that ^{31}P CSA is smaller than 10 ppm, the longest distances that can be measured range between $9.6 \text{ \AA}$ (for Ce possessing the smallest magnetic moment) and $25 \text{ \AA}$ (for Dy and Ho whose magnetic moments are the largest across the series). That ^{31}P -lanthanide electron-nuclear dipolar coupling is readily detectable at such long distances can be conveniently exploited for structural studies of solids with dilute paramagnetic labels, for instance of hybrid organic-inorganic lanthanide-containing materials. Moreover, for the same kind of solid, the most fruitful strategy could involve preparation of several samples containing different lanthanides as it will allow for probing local structure for different distance cutoffs.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this work demonstrates that paramagnetic lanthanides with different magnetic moments are generally useful as structural probes in polyoxoanionic solids. ^{31}P MAS NMR spinning sideband envelopes in the rare-earth substituted paramagnetic polyoxotungstates from the Wells-Dawson and Keggin families are dominated by the anisotropic electron-nuclear dipolar interaction. The anisotropy of the electron-nuclear dipolar tensor is determined by the effective magnetic moment of the rare earth atom, and by the local geometry of the paramagnetic centers. The magnitude of the electron-nuclear dipolar interaction in polyoxometalates substituted with the same rare earth atom reports on the nature of the polyoxoanion, on the positional isomerism, and on the ion stoichiometry.

The results indicate that the choice of a specific rare earth atom as the structural reporter can be tuned to the distance range to be probed in the solid. For Wells-Dawson solids, lanthanides with both moderate and large magnetic moments can be used, while in tetrameric Keggin compounds lanthanides with moderate magnetic moments should be employed. This approach is expected to be broadly applicable to paramagnetic solids other than polyoxometalates and is anticipated to be especially useful in inorganic materials lacking long-range order.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- (1). Bekiari V, Lianos P. *Adv. Mater* 1998;10:1455–1458.
- (2). Buonocore GE, Li H, Marciniak B. *Coord. Chem. Rev* 1990;99:55–87.
- (3). Howell RC, Perez FG, Jain S, Horrocks WD, Rheingold AL, Francesconi LC. *Angew Chem Int Edit* 2001;40:4031.
- (4). Luo QH, Howell RC, Bartis J, Dankova M, Horrocks WD, Rheingold AL, Francesconi LC. *Inorg. Chem* 2002;41:6112–6117. [PubMed: 12425640]
- (5). Luo QH, Howell RC, Dankova M, Bartis J, Williams CW, Horrocks WD, Young VG, Rheingold AL, Francesconi LC, Antonio MR. *Inorg. Chem* 2001;40:1894–1901. [PubMed: 11312747]
- (6). Zhang C, Bensaid L, McGregor D, Fang XF, Howell RC, Burton-Pye B, Luo QH, Todaro L, Francesconi LC. *J. Clust. Sci* 2006;17:389–425.
- (7). Zhang C, Howell RC, Luo QH, Fieselman HL, Todaro LJ, Francesconi LC. *Inorg. Chem* 2005;44:3569–3578. [PubMed: 15877440]
- (8). Bartis J, Dankova M, Lessmann JJ, Luo QH, Horrocks WD, Francesconi LC. *Inorg. Chem* 1999;38:1042–1053. [PubMed: 11670884]
- (9). Zhang H, Lin X, Yan Y, Wu L. *Chem. Comm* 2006;44:4575–4577. [PubMed: 17082847]
- (10). Wang Z, Wang J, Zhang HJ. *Mater. Chem. Phys* 2004;87:44–48.
- (11). Wang J, Wang Z, Wang HS, Liu FY, Fu LH, Zhang HJ. *J. Alloys Compd* 2004;376:68–72.
- (12). Xu L, Zhang H, Wang E, Wu A, Li Z. *Mater. Lett* 2002;54:452–457.
- (13). Xu L, Zhang H, Wang E, Wu A, Li Z. *Mater. Chem. Phys* 2003;77:484–488.
- (14). Wang J, Wang HS, Fu LS, Liu FY, Zhang HJ. *Thin Solid Films* 2002;414:256–261.
- (15). Sousa FL, Pillinger M, Sa Ferreira RA, Granadeiro CM, Cavaleiro AMV, Rocha J, Carlos LD, Trindade T, Nogueira HIS. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem* 2006;4:726–734.
- (16). Ma HY, Peng J, Han ZG, Feng YH, Wang E. *Thin Solid Films* 2004;446:161–166.
- (17). Lu X, Liu X, Wang L, Zhang W, Wang C. *Nanotech* 2006;17:3048–3053.
- (18). Hoa DTM, Kim HS, Lee B-J, Kwon Y-S. *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst* 2006;444:113–120.
- (19). Niu J, Zhao J, Wang J, Ma P. *J. Mol. Struct* 2004;699:85–92.
- (20). Wang J-P, Han Q-X, Niu J-Y. *Transition Met. Chem* 2004;29:170–174.

- (21). Zhang C, Howell RC, Scotland KB, Perez FG, Todaro L, Francesconi LC. *Inorg. Chem* 2004;43:7691–7701. [PubMed: 15554634]
- (22). Zhang C, Howell RC, McGregor D, Bensaid L, Rahyab S, Nayshtut M, Lekperic S, Francesconi LC. *C. R. Chim* 2005;235–243.
- (23). Mialane P, Lisnard L, Mallard A, Marrot J, Antic-Fidancev E, Aschehoug P, Vivien D, Secherresse F. *Inorg. Chem* 2003;42:2102–2108. [PubMed: 12639147]
- (24). Naruke H, Yamase T, Kaneko M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn* 1999;72:1775–1779.
- (25). Gao GG, Xu L, Wang WJ, An WJ, Qiu YF. *J. Mater. Chem* 2004;14:2024–2029.
- (26). Day VW, Klemperer WG. *Science* 1985;228:533–541. [PubMed: 17736064]
- (27). Day, VW.; Klemperer, WG.; Schwartz, C.; Wang, R-C. *Surface Organometallic Chemistry: Molecular Approaches to Surface Catalysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers; New York: 1988. p. 173
- (28). Fang X, Hill CL. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2007;46:3877–3880.
- (29). Leclerc-Laronze N, Haouas M, Marrot J, Taulelle F, Herve G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2006;45:139–142.
- (30). Reinoso S, Dickman MH, Reicke M, Kortz U. *Inorg. Chem* 2006;45:9014–9019. [PubMed: 17054362]
- (31). Sadakane M, Dickman MH, Pope MT. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2000;39:2914–2916.
- (32). Zimmermann M, Belai N, Butcher RJ, Pope MT, Chubarova EV, Dickman MH, Kortz U. *Inorg. Chem* 2007;46:1737–1740. [PubMed: 17295478]
- (33). Huang W, Schopfer M, Zhang C, Howell RC, Gee BA, Francesconi LC, Polenova T. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006;110:12340–12350. [PubMed: 16800557]
- (34). Huang, W. e.; Francesconi, LC.; Polenova, T. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007;in press
- (35). Brough AR, Grey CP, Dobson CM. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm* 1992:742–743.
- (36). Brough AR, Grey CP, Dobson CM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1993;115:7318–7327.
- (37). Lee H, Polenova T, Beer RH, McDermott AE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1999;121:6884–6894.
- (38). Lee YJ, Grey CP. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2002;106:3576–3582.
- (39). Lin TH, Dinatale JA, Vold RR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1994;116:2133–2134.
- (40). Liu K, Ryan D, Nakanishi K, McDermott A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:6897–6906.
- (41). Nayeem A, Yesinowski JP. *J. Chem. Phys* 1988;89:4600–4608.
- (42). Tucker MC, Doeff MM, Richardson TJ, Finones R, Reimer JA, Cairns EJ. *Electrochem. Solid St* 2002;5:A95–A98.
- (43). Woehler SE, Wittebort RJ, Oh SM, Hendrickson DN, Inniss D, Strouse CE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1986;108:2938–2946.
- (44). Sadakane M, Ostuni A, Pope MT. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton* 2002:63–67.
- (45). Cobas, J.; Cruces, J.; Sardina, FJ. *Departamento de Quimica Organica, Facultad de Quimica. Universidad de Santiago de Compostela; Spain: 2000.*
- (46). Bak M, Rasmussen JT, Nielsen NC. *J. Magn. Reson* 2000;147:296–330. [PubMed: 11097821]
- (47). Herzfeld J, Berger AE. *J. Chem. Phys* 1980;73:6021–6030.
- (48). Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, RE. 2001. 1.4.4 ed.
- (49). Rossat-Mignod, J. *Systematics and the Properties of the Lanthanides*. Sinha, SP., editor. 1. D. Reidel Pub. Co.; Boston: 1983. p. 258
- (50). Abragam, A.; Bleaney, B. *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions*. 1 ed.. 1. Clarendon Press; Oxford: 1970.

Figure 1.

The schematic representations of rare-earth substituted polyoxotungstate anions: (a) tetrameric Keggin compounds, (b) α_1 -Wells-Dawson isomers forming 1:2 Ln:anion complexes, (c) and (d) α_2 -Wells-Dawson isomers forming 2:2 Ln:anion complexes. The dimeric POM units for the early lanthanides (La, Ce, Pr) exhibit cap to cap connection shown in (c). The later lanthanides (Nd, Lu) exhibit cap to belt connection shown in (d). The molecules are depicted in a ball-and-stick representation. In the anion, the tungsten atoms are shown in blue, the central P atom(s) is (are) shown in orange. The rare earth atom(s) is (are) shown in green.

Figure 2.

^{31}P MAS NMR spectra of lanthanide substituted Wells-Dawson compounds a) $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{La}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 4\text{KCl}\cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**I**), b) $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Nd}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 4\text{KCl}\cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**II**), c)-e) $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Er}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 4\text{KCl}\cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**III**). The spectra a)-c) were acquired at a spinning frequency of 10 kHz; spectrum d)-at 13 kHz, e)-at 15 kHz. The isotropic chemical shifts are compiled in Table 2 (referenced to 85% H_3PO_4). In c), d), and e) the spinning sidebands corresponding to the P1 site are shown with blue markers, to P2-with red markers. The isotropic peaks in all spectra are marked with red asterisks (P1) and blue asterisks (P2).

Figure 3. Unit cell of $K_{14}(H_3O)_3[Nd(\alpha_1-P_2W_{17}O_{61})_2] \cdot 4KCl \cdot 64H_2O$ (**II**), view down the crystallographic *a* axis. The molecule is depicted in ball-and-stick representation. Counter cations and uncoordinated H_2O molecules have been removed for clarity. Legend: W, blue; O, red; Nd, pale gray; P, orange.

Figure 4.

Unit cell of $\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Er}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2] \cdot 4\text{KCl} \cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**III**), view down the crystallographic *a* axis. The molecule is depicted in ball-and-stick representation. Counter cations and uncoordinated H_2O molecules have been removed for clarity. Legend: W, blue; O, red; Er, green; P, orange.

Figure 5.

^{31}P MAS NMR spectra of lanthanide substituted Wells-Dawson compounds a) $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Lu}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VII**), b) $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Ce}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IV**), c) $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Nd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**V**), and d) $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Pr}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VI**) at a spinning frequency of 5 kHz. The center bands are marked with an asterisk (P1) and a pound (P2). The isotropic chemical shifts are compiled in Table 2 (referenced to 85% H_3PO_4).

Figure 6.

Experimental ^{31}P MAS NMR spectra of lanthanide substituted tetrameric Keggin oxotungstates: a) $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Y}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4]\cdot(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})\cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**VIII**), b) $(\text{NH}_4)_{22}[(\text{Eu}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4(\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4)]\cdot 44\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**X**), and c) $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Er}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4]\cdot(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})\cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IX**). The spectra of compound **VIII** were collected at spinning frequency of 10 kHz, the other spectra were collected at 15 kHz. The insets in a) and b) depict the centerbands of **VIII** and **X**, which are marked with an asterisk (P1) and a pound (P2), respectively. The isotropic chemical shifts are compiled in Table 2 (referenced to 85% H_3PO_4).

Figure 7. Coordination environment of the tetrameric Keggin compound $\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Er}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4]\cdot(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})\cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IX**). The molecule is depicted in ball-and-stick representation. Counter cations and uncoordinated H_2O molecules have been removed for clarity. Legend: W, blue; O, red; Er, green; P, orange. The crystallographically inequivalent phosphorus sites are labeled as P1-P4 (the designation is arbitrary).

Figure 8.

Unit cell of $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Ce}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**IV**), view down the crystallographic *a* axis. The molecule is depicted in ball-and-stick representation. Counter-cations and uncoordinated H_2O molecules have been removed for clarity. Legend: W, blue; O, red; Ce, pale gray; P, orange; K, purple.

Figure 9.

Unit cell of $\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Nd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})]_2 \cdot 2\text{KCl} \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**V**), view down the crystallographic c axis. The molecule is depicted in ball-and-stick representation. Counter cations and uncoordinated H_2O molecules have been removed for clarity. Legend: W, blue; O, red; Nd, pale gray; P, orange; K, purple.

Figure 10.

Comparison of experimental and calculated anisotropic NMR observables $\Delta\delta$ (a) and η (b) for crystallographically characterized compounds **II-V** and **X**. For $\Delta\delta$ of P1 and P2 sites, linear correlation between the experimental and calculated parameters is: $\Delta\delta_{\text{calc}} = -10.9 + 1.10 \Delta\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (the fit shown as black line). For $\Delta\delta$ of P1 site only in **II-V** and **X**, linear correlation between the experimental and calculated parameters is: $\Delta\delta_{\text{calc}} = -1.88 + 1.08 \Delta\delta_{\text{exp}}$. The error bars on the experimental values of $\Delta\delta$ (a) for all compounds except **III** are smaller than the marker size; the error bars for **III** are shown. The error bars on the experimental values of η (b) are shown.

Table 1
Magnetic Properties of the Selected Trivalent Lanthanides (adapted from 49,50)

Lanthanide Elements	No. of unpaired e ⁻	S	J	g_J (term)	μ_{eff} (μ_B)	³¹ P-lanthanide distance for $\Delta\delta = 10$ ppm ($\text{\AA}$)
La ³⁺	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Ce ³⁺	1	1/2	5/2	6/7 (² F _{5/2})	2.54	9.6
Pr ³⁺	2	1	4	4/5 (³ H ₄)	3.58	12.1
Nd ³⁺	3	3/2	9/2	8/11 (⁴ I _{9/2})	3.62	12.2
Eu ³⁺	6	3	0	3/2 (⁷ F ₀)	3.24*	11.7
Gd ³⁺	7	7/2	7/2	2 (⁸ S _{7/2})	7.94	20.6
Tb ³⁺	6	3	6	3/2 (⁷ F ₆)	9.72	23.5
Dy ³⁺	5	5/2	15/2	4/3 (⁶ H _{15/2})	10.6	25.0
Ho ³⁺	4	2	8	5/4 (⁵ I ₈)	10.6	25.0
Er ³⁺	3	3/2	15/2	6/5 (⁴ I _{15/2})	9.6	23.3
Lu ³⁺	0	0	0	0	0	n/a
Y ³⁺	0	0	0	0	0	n/a

S: Electronic spin state

J: Total angular momentum

$$\mu_{eff} = g_J \sqrt{J(J+1)} \text{ (except for Eu}^{3+}\text{)}$$

* Effective magnetic moment, μ_{eff} : for Eu³⁺ Keggin solids was determined experimentally

Table 2
Experimental ^{31}P Solid-State NMR Parameters for Lanthanide Substituted Wells-Dawson Oxotungstates: ^{31}P Isotropic Chemical Shifts (δ_{iso}), Combined Electron-Nuclear Dipolar and Chemical Shielding Anisotropies (δ , η)

Compounds	Family	$\Delta\delta$, ppm	η	δ_{iso} , ppm
I	Wells-Dawson	P1: 31.1 ± 2.6 P2: 13.8 ± 1.2 P1: 148.1 ± 2.9 P2: 72.4 ± 0.1 P1: nd P2: 449.4 ± 17.9	P1: 0.07 ± 0.01 P2: 0.58 ± 0.12 P1: 0.20 ± 0.06 P2: 0.36 ± 0.23 P1: nd P2: 0.55 ± 0.17	P1: -8.1 P2: -14.2 P1: -20.2 P2: -15.4 P1: nd P2: -25.3
II				
III				
IV	Wells-Dawson	P1: 68.8 ± 1.4 P2: nd P1: 166.9 ± 3.1 P2: 65.6 ± 2.5 P1: 174.5 ± 6.7 P2: 76.5 ± 1.4 P1: 23.5 ± 2.1 P2: 11.2 ± 0.9	0.08 ± 0.02 nd 0.45 ± 0.01 0.71 ± 0.17 0.09 ± 0.09 0.19 ± 0.9 0.04 ± 0.01 0.64 ± 0.12	P1: -9.3 P2: -11.7 P1: -23.8 P2: -15.4 P1: -5.1 P2: -14.2 P1: -8.0 P2: -13.6
V				
VI				
VII				
VIII	Keggin	P1: 22.4 ± 3.4 P2: 21.5 ± 4.5 P1: nd P2: 1363.5 ± 78.9 P1: 313.1 ± 3.7 P2: 302.8 ± 6.3 P3: 297.9 ± 5.2 P4: 287.4 ± 6.3	P1: 0 ± 0 P2: 0 ± 0 P1: nd P2: 0.82 ± 0.04 P1: 0.39 ± 0.03 P2: 0.52 ± 0.01 P3: 0.28 ± 0.08 P4: 0.52 ± 0.06 No signal detected No signal detected No signal detected	P1: -11.6 P2: -12.8 P1: nd P2: 198.02 P1: 48.2 (40.6) P2: 54.2 (46.6) P3: 37.3 (29.7) P4: 39.7 (32.1)
IX				
X				
XI				
XII				
XIII				

* In these compounds, the isotropic chemical shifts of different phosphorus atoms within a single anion overlap or partly overlap. The experimental $\Delta\delta$ contains contributions from the overlapping sites.

** nd- not determined

Table 3

Calculated Electronic-Nuclear ($\text{Ln-}^{31}\text{P}$) Dipolar Interaction in Crystallographically Characterized Lanthanide-Substituted Wells-Dawson Oxotungstates **II**, **III**, **IV**, **V**, and in the Tetrameric Keggin Oxotungstates **IX** and **X**. Paramagnetic Centers within 100 Å were included in the calculations

	Compounds	Family	$\Delta\delta$, ppm	η
II	$\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Nd}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 4\text{KCl}\cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}$	Wells-Dawson	P1: 171.0	P1: 0.05
III	$\text{K}_{14}(\text{H}_3\text{O})_3[\text{Er}(\alpha_1\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 4\text{KCl}\cdot 64\text{H}_2\text{O}^*$		P2: 69.9 P1: 1295.5 P2: 528.5	P2: 0.08 P1: 0.05 P2: 0.10
IV	$\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Ce}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 2\text{KCl}\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$	Wells-Dawson	P1: 88.6	P1: 0.13
V	$\text{K}_{13}(\text{H}_3\text{O})[\text{Nd}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_3(\alpha_2\text{-P}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]\cdot 2\text{KCl}\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$		P2: 8.3 P1: 188.2 P2: 45.8	P2: 0.44 P1: 0.26 P2: 0.14
IX	$\text{Na}_8\text{K}_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_{19}\text{Cl}_{10}[(\text{Er}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4]\cdot (\text{CH}_3\text{OH})\cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}^*$	Keggin	P1: 2765	P1: 0.35
X	$(\text{NH}_4)_{22}[(\text{Eu}_2\text{PW}_{10}\text{O}_{38})_4(\text{W}_3\text{O}_8(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{OH})_4)]\cdot 44\text{H}_2\text{O}$		P2: 2356	P2: 0.58
			P1: 318.2	P1: 0.48
			P2: 312.3 P3: 282.3 P4: 277.0	P2: 0.49 P3: 0.41 P4: 0.80

Table 4

Ln-³¹P Internuclear Distances Determined from X-ray Diffraction and Extracted from the Electron-Nuclear Dipolar Couplings (under the Assumption of a Single Electron-Nuclear Spin Pair)

	Compounds	Family	X-ray distance, Å	NMR distance, Å
II	K ₁₄ (H ₃ O) ₃ [Nd(α ₁ -P ₂ W ₁₇ O ₆₁) ₂].4KCl.64H ₂ O	Wells-Dawson	P1: 4.67 P2: 6.23	P1: 4.96 P2: 6.30
III	K ₁₄ (H ₃ O) ₃ [Er(α ₁ -P ₂ W ₁₇ O ₆₁) ₂].4KCl.64H ₂ O*		P1: 4.56 P2: 6.03	P1: nd P2: 6.56
IV	K ₁₃ (H ₃ O)[Ce(H ₂ O) ₄ (α ₂ -P ₂ W ₁₇ O ₆₁)]2.2KCl.nH ₂ O	Wells-Dawson	P1: 4.66 P2: 8.27	P1: 5.06 P2: nd
V	K ₁₃ (H ₃ O)[Nd(H ₂ O) ₃ (α ₂ -P ₂ W ₁₇ O ₆₁)]2.2KCl.nH ₂ O		P1: 4.44 P2: 8.09	P1: 4.77 P2: 6.51