Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2007 ; 48(13): 2345–2348. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.01.145.

Stereoselective Synthesis of *trans*-Olefins by the Copper-Mediated S_N2' Reaction of Vinyl Oxazines with Grignard Reagents. Asymmetric Synthesis of D-*threo*-Sphingosines

Om V. Singh and Hyunsoo Han*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas 78249, USA

Abstract

The S_N2' reaction of 6-vinyl-5,6-dihydro-4*H*-[1,3]oxazines with Grignard reagents in the presence of CuCN was studied, and high *trans* selectivity for the formation of double bond was observed with a variety of RMgX. The S_N2' reaction, coupled with regioselective asymmetric aminohydroxylation reaction, provided a highly efficient route for the asymmetric synthesis of D-*threo*-N-acetylsphingosine.

Keywords

sphingosine; regioselective asymmetric aminohydroxylation; oxazine; S_N2' reaction; Grignard reagents

2-Vinyloxiranes,¹ 5-vinyloxazolines/oxazolidinones,² and 2-vinylaziridines³ are versatile synthetic intermediates in organic synthesis, and have been extensively studied. These compounds have been shown to undergo a variety of different reactions, depending upon their structures, the nature of reagents used, and reaction conditions. For example, 2-vinyloxiranes react with alkyl lithiums in the presence of BF₃·OEt₂^{1f} or Grignard reagents^{1k} to give the S_N2 products, whereas their reactions with organocopper reagents afford the S_N2' products.¹ⁱ Also known is that 2-vinyloxiranes and 5-vinyloxazolines/oxazolidinones can react with transition metal complexes to form transition metal- π -allyl intermediates, which in turn react with nucleophiles to furnish “branched” and “linear” products.^{1d,j, 2}

We recently reported a convenient route for the asymmetric synthesis of the 6-vinyl-5,6-dihydro-4*H*-[1,3]oxazines **I**.⁴ By comparing the structures of **I**, 2-vinyloxiranes, and 5-vinyloxazolines/oxazolidinones, it was noticed that they all shared two common structural features, the terminal vinyl group and the good leaving ability at the allylic position, and thus should exhibit similar reactivity patterns (reaction paths c–g in Figure 1). In addition, after deprotonation by a base, **I** can react with an electrophile to give a higher homolog (reaction path a in Figure 1).⁵ **I** may also undergo a formal [3,3]-rearrangement to N-acetyl protected piperidine derivatives under appropriate reaction conditions (reaction path b in Figure 1).⁶ Despite such synthetic versatility, the chemistry of the vinyl oxazine **I** hasn't been explored much to date. In this communication, we report the novel S_N2' reaction of the vinyl oxazines

*Corresponding author. T. el.: +1-210-458-4958; fax: +1-210-458-4958; e-mail: hyunsoo.han@utsa.edu..

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

I with Grignard reagents in the presence of CuCN, which proceeds with high *trans* selectivity for double bond formation.⁷ Also reported is an efficient asymmetric synthesis of D-*threo*-N-acetyl-sphingosine, which utilizes the developed S_N2' reaction and regioselective asymmetric aminohydroxylation reaction⁸ to stereoselectively introduce the requisite *trans*-double bond and vicinal aminoalcohol functionalities respectively.

As shown in Figure 2, the vinyl oxazines may exist in two conformers **I** and **I'**. Assuming that the reaction of the vinyl oxazines with soft nucleophiles proceeds through S_N2' mechanism, the conformer **I** should lead to *trans* double bond formation, while *cis* double bond formation is expected from the conformer **I'**. Due to steric repulsion between the terminal =CH₂ group and R₂ in the conformer **I'**, the conformer **I** would be more stable and thus more abundant in the equilibrium. Furthermore, attack of a soft nucleophile on the conformer **I'** would be kinetically less favorable due to the steric hindrance between the R₂ and the incoming nucleophile. Therefore, *trans* selectivity is anticipated from the reaction between the vinyl oxazines and soft nucleophiles such as Grignard reagents in the presence of CuCN.

Scheme 1 describes the asymmetric synthesis of the vinyl oxazines **4**, **5**, and **6**, which commenced with achiral α,β-unsaturated ester **1**. **1** was converted to the common synthetic precursor **2** for the vinyl oxazines **4–6** according to the literature procedure, which included a four step reaction sequence of regioselective asymmetric aminohydroxylation, PMB-protection, DIBAL reduction, and vinylation.⁴ The alcohol **2** was obtained as a 5:1 mixture of **3** and its C3 epimer. Treatment of **2** with mesyl chloride in the presence of excess triethylamine at 0°C directly afforded the oxazine **4**.

As a proof of our approach, the reaction of the oxazine **4** with dodecylmagnesium bromide in the presence of 20 mol% (relative to the amount of the Grignard reagent used) CuCN was attempted. Gratifyingly, the reaction proceeded well to provide the protected D-*threo* C₁₈-sphingosine **7a** in a good yield and with a high *trans*:*cis* selectivity (~10:1) (entry 1 in Table 1).⁹ CuCN was found to be crucial for the reaction, since no reaction was observed in the absence of CuCN.

Next, it was reasoned that the stereochemistry at the allylic position (C6 of the oxazine ring) might also influence on the stereochemical outcome of the reaction. Thus, the optically pure vinyl oxazines **5** and **6** were prepared from the alcohol **3**, which was obtained from **2** by column separation (Scheme 1). Refluxing **3** in the presence of a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in toluene furnished the vinyl oxazine **5** with retention of the configuration at C6.⁴ On the other hand, treatment of **3** with mesyl chloride and excess Et₃N generated the vinyl oxazine **6** with the inverted C6 configuration.⁴ When the vinyl oxazines **5** and **6** were subjected to the above reaction conditions, indeed, they showed different *trans*:*cis* selectivities in the formation of the protected D-*threo*-C₁₈-sphingosine product, with **5** being more *trans* selective (>15:1) than **6** (~8:1) (entries 2–3 in Table 1).

The different *trans* selectivities of two C6 epimeric vinyl oxazines **5** and **6** in the S_N2' reactions may be rationalized by considering the equilibria between the conformers **VII** and **VII'** for **6**, and between the conformers **VIII** and **VIII'** for **5** (Figure 3). Due to steric repulsion caused by the *endo* terminal =CH₂ group, the conformers **VII** and **VIII** will be more stable than the conformers **VII'** and **VIII'**. Such steric hindrance will be particularly severe in the conformer **VIII'**, where both PMPOCH₂- and PMBO-groups are in close proximity to the *endo* terminal =CH₂ group. This will shift the equilibrium for **5** toward the conformer **VIII** more relative to the equilibrium for **6**. Moreover, approach of the nucleophile to the conformers **VII'** and **VIII'** for the S_N2' reaction would be severely retarded due to the steric hindrance between the incoming nucleophile and the oxazine ring, and the up-stereochemistry of both PMPOCH₂-

and PMBO- groups in the conformer **VIII'** would further disfavor such approach of the nucleophile. Therefore, **5** should be more *trans* selective than **6**.

Now with the right C6 stereochemistry and reaction conditions established, the generality of the S_N2' reaction was examined with the vinyl oxazine **5** and Grignard reagents with different chain length (C₂ to C₁₄) in the presence of 20 mol% CuCN. As shown in Table 1, all linear chain Grignard reagents produced the corresponding protected *D-threo*-sphingosines (**7a–e**)¹⁰ with excellent *trans* selectivities and good reaction yields (entries 3–7). However, a branched Grignard reagent failed to react (entry 8), and PhMgBr and vinylMgBr didn't react under the conditions. Use of other cuprate reagents such as Gilman's and higher-order cuprates, which contain branched alkyl groups, also proved to be fruitless.¹¹

The developed S_N2' reaction can be easily applied to the asymmetric synthesis of sphingosines, which are ubiquitous membrane constituents of eukaryotic cells and have been reported to exhibit a variety of structural and regulatory functions.^{12,13} Scheme 2 describes the asymmetric synthesis of *D-threo* *N*-acetyl-C₁₈-sphingosine (**8**). Thus, the *trans* selective S_N2' reaction of the oxazine **5** with C₁₁H₂₃MgBr in the presence of 20 mol% CuCN produced **7a**. Deprotection of both PMP- and PMB-groups by ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN)¹⁴ in acetonitrile-water (4:1) provided *D-threo* *N*-acetyl-C₁₈-sphingosine (**8**), whose structure was confirmed by converting it to the triacetate **9** and comparing with the corresponding known compound.¹⁵ Finally, it is worthwhile mentioning that given the fact that the C3 stereochemistry of sphingosines can be easily controlled,¹⁶ the corresponding *L-erythro* *N*-acetyl-C₁₈-sphingosine should be synthesized by applying the same synthetic route.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the reaction of the vinyl oxazines with Grignard reagents in the presence of CuCN proceeded via the S_N2' mechanism, and excellent *trans* selectivity for the formation of double bond was observed. Also described was that the developed S_N2' reaction, combined with the regioselective asymmetric aminohydroxylation reaction of olefins, could provide an efficient and convenient asymmetric route for the synthesis of sphingosine derivatives. Studies toward other possible reactions of the vinyl oxazines are currently under progress in our laboratory, and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from National Institute of Health (GM 08194) and The Welch Foundation (AX-1534) is gratefully recognized.

References

- (a) Restorp P, Somfai P. *Eur J Org Chem* 2005;3946–3951. (b) Smith AB, Pitram SM, Boldi AM, Gaunt MJ, Sfougataakis C, Moser WH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:14435–14445. [PubMed: 14624591] (c) Equey O, Vrancken E, Alexakis A. *Eur J Org Chem* 2004;2151–2159. (d) Trost BM, Jiang C. *J Am Chem Soc* 2001;123:12907–12908. [PubMed: 11749552] (e) Bertozzi F, Crotti P, Macchia F, Pineschi M, Feringa BL. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2001;40:930–932. (f) Alexakis A, Vrancken E, Mangeney P, Chemla F. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1* 2000;3352–3353. (g) Lipschutz BH, Woo K, Gross T, Buzard DJ, Tirado R. *Synlett* 1997;477–478. (h) Jung ME, D'Amico DC. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;117:7379–7388. For a review, (i) Marshall JA. *Chem Rev* 1989;89:1503–1511. (j) Tsuji J, Kataoka H, Kobayashi Y. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1981;22:2575–2578. (k) Herr RW, Johnson CR. *J Am Chem Soc* 1970;92:4979–4981.
- (a) Cook GR, Saraswathiamma M. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:6491–6494. (b) Cook GR, Yu H, Sankaranarayanan S, Shanker PS. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:5115–5120. [PubMed: 12708863] (c) Cook GR, Pararajasingham K. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:9027–9029. (d) Oishi S, Niida A, Kamano T, Odagaki Y, Tamamura H, Otaka A, Hamanaka H, Fujii N. *Org Lett* 2002;4:1055–1058. [PubMed: 11922781] (e) Otaka A, Katagiri F, Kinoshita T, Odagaki Y, Oishi S, Tamamura H, Hamanaka N, Fujii N. *J Org Chem* 2002;67:6152–6161. [PubMed: 12182656]

3. (a) Cantrill AA, Jarvis AN, Osborn HMI, Ouadi A, Sweeney JB. Synlett 1996:847–849. (b) Tammura H, Yamashita M, Nakajima Y, Sakano K, Otaka A, Ohno H, Ibuka T, Fujii N. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1 1999:2983–2996. and references therein. For a review, (c) Sweeney JB. Chem Soc Rev 2002;31:247–258. [PubMed: 12357722]
4. Singh OV, Kampf DJ, Han H. Tetrahedron Lett 2004;45:7239–7242.
5. (a) Toshimitsu A, Terao K, Uemura S. J Org Chem 1987;52:2018–2026. (b) Adickes HW, Politzer IR, Meyers AI. J Am Chem Soc 1969;91:2155–2156.
6. (a) Wang CLJ, Calabresse JC. J Org Chem 1991;56:4341–4343. (b) Overman LE. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1984;23:579–586. (c) Knapp S, Patel DV. Tetrahedron Lett 1982;23:3539–3542.
7. For a review of S_N2' reactions, Kar A, Argade NP. Synthesis 2005:2995–3022.
8. (a) Han H, Cho CW, Janda KD. Chem Eur J 1999;5:1565–1569. For other similar approaches, see (b) Morgan AJ, Masse CE, Panek JS. Org Lett 1999;1:1949–1952. [PubMed: 10836053] (c) Chuang CC, Vassar V, Ma Z, Geney R, Ojima I. Chirality 2002;14:151–162. [PubMed: 11835558] For initial studies, Li G, Chang H-T, Sharpless KB. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1996;35:451–454. (e) Rudolph J, Sennhenn PC, Vlaar CP, Sharpless KB. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1996;35:2810–2813. (f) Bruncko M, Schlingloff G, Sharpless KB. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 1997;36:1483–1486.
9. **General Procedure for the copper-mediated S_N2' reaction of vinyl oxazine with Grignard reagents:** A flame dried two-necked flask was charged with cuprous cyanide (8.5 mg, 0.1 mmol) and dry ether (10 mL) under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction flask was cooled to 0°C in ice-salt mixture and a solution of Grignard reagent in ether (1M, 0.5 mL, 0.5 mmol) was added dropwise. After stirring for 10 min, a solution of vinyl oxazine (79 mg, 0.2 mmol) in ether was added dropwise through cannula for 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional hour, and then brought to room temperature and stirred for another 1 h. After the complete disappearance of the starting material on TLC, the brownish reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride, extracted with ethyl ether (3 × 20 mL), washed with water and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvents were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate hexane, 3:1) to afford the respective protected *N*-acetylspingosines **7a–e**.
10. Characterization data **7a**: m.p.= 62–63°C; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -44.5$ (c 1.2, $CHCl_3$); ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 6.82–6.81 (m, 6H), 5.86 (d, 1H, $J = 8.6$ Hz), 5.73 (dt, 1H, $J = 7.0$ & 16.0 Hz), 5.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.0$ & 15.6 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 10.5$ Hz), 4.31–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.0$ & 8.0 Hz), 3.97–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.07 (q, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.44–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.26 (s, 20H), 0.88 (t, 3H, $J = 6.7$ Hz); ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 169.78, 159.29, 154.05, 152.78, 135.99, 130.29, 129.43, 126.60, 115.64, 114.67, 113.78, 70.04, 66.84, 55.67, 55.14, 52.28, 32.24, 31.84, 29.59, 29.42, 29.14, 23.15, 22.57, 13.93. **7b**: $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -50.0$ (c 1.3, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 6.82–6.80 (m, 6H), 5.88 (d, 1H, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 5.73 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.4$ & 15.4 Hz), 5.42 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.6$ & 15.6 Hz), 4.54 (d, 1H, $J = 11.5$ Hz), 4.32–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.0$ & 8.0 Hz), 3.97–3.91 (m, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.08–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.42 (d hexate, 2H, $J = 1.5$ & 7.0 Hz), 0.91 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 169.77, 159.29, 154.05, 152.78, 135.61, 130.29, 129.43, 126.88, 115.64, 114.69, 113.80, 76.69, 70.06, 66.86, 55.69, 55.15, 52.30, 34.29, 23.18, 22.25, 13.49. **7c**: m.p.= 40–41°C; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -59.7$ (c 1.3, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 6.82–6.80 (m, 6H), 5.87 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 5.73 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.5$ & 15.4 Hz), 5.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.5$ & 15.6 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 12$ Hz), 4.32–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.0$ & 8.5 Hz), 3.97–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.11–2.04 (m, 2H), 1.986 (s, 3H), 1.40–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.25 (m, 6H), 0.89 (t, 3H, $J = 6.8$ Hz); ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 169.80, 159.32, 154.10, 152.83, 136.00, 130.35, 129.46, 126.68, 115.69, 114.72, 113.83, 76.77, 70.09, 66.89, 55.72, 55.20, 52.33, 32.27, 31.64, 29.12, 28.79, 23.23, 22.55, 13.98. **7d**: m.p.= 49–50°C; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -46.89$ (c 1.22, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 8.9$ Hz), 6.82–6.81 (m, 6H), 5.85 (d, 1H, $J = 8.7$ Hz), 5.73 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.0$ & 15.5 Hz), 5.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.1$ & 15.6 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 11.3$ Hz), 4.31–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.0$ & 8.0 Hz), 3.97–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.07 (q, 2H, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.41–1.35 (m, 2H), 1.26 (s, 16H), 0.88 (t, 3H, $J = 6.8$ Hz); ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 169.97, 159.17, 153.88, 152.63, 136.24, 130.16, 129.53, 129.40, 126.39, 115.49, 114.54, 113.72, 69.93, 66.57, 55.67, 55.19, 52.18, 32.34, 31.90, 29.64, 29.48, 29.35, 29.17, 23.36, 22.67, 14.11. **7e**: m.p.= 67–68°C; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -40.5$ (c 1.2, CH_2Cl_2); ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.18 (d, 2H, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.82–6.81 (m, 6H), 5.84 (d, 1H, $J = 8.5$ Hz), 5.727 (dt, 1H, $J = 6.7$ & 15.5 Hz), 5.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 7.6$ & 15.6 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, $J = 11.0$ Hz), 4.31–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.13

(dd, 1H, $J = 3.0$ & 7.5 Hz), 3.97-3.90 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.07 (q, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz), 1.99 (s, 3H), 1.41-1.35 (m, 2H), 1.26 (s, 24H), 0.88 (t, 3H, $J = 6.5$ Hz); ^{13}C -NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 169.81, 159.35, 154.12, 152.84, 136.07, 130.37, 129.49, 126.67, 115.70, 114.75, 113.86, 76.79, 70.12, 66.91, 55.75, 55.23, 52.34, 32.32, 31.92, 29.69, 29.50, 29.22, 23.28, 22.67, 14.03.

11. (a) Lipshutz BH. Synlett 1990:119–128. (b) Spino C, Beaulieu C, Lafreniere J. J Org Chem 2000;65:7091–7097. [PubMed: 11031033]
12. For the biological activities of sphingosines, (a) Merrill AH Jr, Sweeley CC, Vance DE, Vance JE. Biochemistry of Lipids, Lipoproteins and Membranes. Elsevier Science B. V. Amsterdam 1996. Chapter 12. 309–339. (b) Kolter T, Sandhoff K. Angew Chem Int Ed 1999;38:1532–1568. (c) Hannun YA. Sphingolipid-Mediated Signal Transduction. R. G. Landes Company, Austin 1997.
13. For reviews on the synthesis of sphingosines, (a) Koskinen PM, Koskinen AMP. Synthesis 1998:1075–1091. (b) Vankar YD, Schmidt RR. Chem Soc Rev 2000;29:201–216. For more recent syntheses, (c) Chaudhari VD, Kumar KSA, Dhavale DD. Org Lett 2005;7:5805–5807. [PubMed: 16354071] (d) Rai AN, Basu A. Org Lett 2004;6:2861–2863. [PubMed: 15330633] (e) Torssell S, Somfai P. Org Biomol Chem 2004;2:1643–1646. [PubMed: 15162217] (f) Raghavan S, Rajender A, Yadav JS. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003;14:2093–2099.
14. (a) Wright JA, Yu J, Spencer JB. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:4033–4036. (b) Oikawa Y, Yoshioka T, Yonemitsu O. Tetrahedron Lett 1982;23:885–888. (c) Fukuyama T, Laird AA, Hotchkiss LM. Tetrahedron Lett 1985;26:6291–6292.
15. Shibuya H, Kawashima K, Ikeda M, Kitagawa I. Tetrahedron Lett 1989;30:7205–7208.
16. Singh OV, Han H. Tetrahedron Lett 2003;44:5289–5292.

Figure 1.
Potential reactions of the 6-vinyl-5,6-dihydro-4H[1,3]oxazines **I**.

Figure 2.
Cis- and *trans*-selectivity of the S_N2' reaction.

Figure 3.

A plausible explanation for the *trans* selectivity of the S_N2' reaction of the vinyl oxazines **5** and **6**.

Scheme 1.
Asymmetric synthesis of vinyl oxazines **4**, **5**, and **6**.

Scheme 2. Asymmetric synthesis of D-threo-N-acetylspingosine (**8**).

S_N2' reactions of the vinyl oxazines **4–6** with Grignard reagents in the presence of CuCN in ethyl ether at 0°C.

Table 1

Entry	Oxazines	Product	R =	Yields (%)	<i>trans-cis</i> - ^a
1	4	7a	-(CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	82	~10 : 1
2	6	7a	-(CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	80	8 : 1
3	5	7a	-(CH ₂) ₁₁ CH ₃	85	>15 : 1
4	5	7b	-CH ₂ CH ₃	50	>15 : 1
5	5	7c	-(CH ₂) ₄ CH ₃	80	>15 : 1
6	5	7d	-(CH ₂) ₆ CH ₃	82	>15 : 1
7	5	7e	-(CH ₂) ₁₃ CH ₃	82	>15 : 1
8	5	--	-CH(CH ₃) ₂	NR ^b	>15 : 1

^aThe ratio of *trans:cis* was determined by the ¹H-NMR of the reaction mixture.

^bNR: No Reaction.