Incidence of cancer among Finnish airline cabin attendants, 1967-92

Eero Pukkala, Anssi Auvinen, Gunilla Wahlberg

Abstract

Objective—To assess whether occupational exposure among commercial airline cabin attendants are associated with risk of cancer.

Design—Record linkage study.

Setting—Finland.

Subjects—1577 female and 187 male cabin attendants who had worked for the Finnish airline companies.

Main outcome measure—Standardised incidence ratio; expected number of cases based on national cancer incidences.

Results—A significant excess of breast cancer (standardised incidence ratio 1.87 (95% confidence interval 1.15 to 2.23)) and bone cancer (15.10 (1.82 to 54.40)) was found among female workers. The risk of breast cancer was most prominent 15 years after recruitment. Risks of leukaemia (3.57 (0.43 to 12.9)) and skin melanoma (2.11 (0.43 to 6.15)) were not significantly raised. Among men, one lymphoma and one Kaposi's sarcoma were found (expected number of cases 1.6).

Conclusions—Although the lifestyle of cabin attendants is different from that of the reference population—for example, in terms of social status and parity—concentration of the excess risks to primary sites sensitive to radiation suggests that ionising radiation during flights may add to the cancer risk of all flight personnel. Otherwise the lifestyle of cabin attendants did not seem to affect their risks of cancer. Estimates of the effect of reproductive risk factors only partly explained the increased risk of breast cancer. If present estimates of health hazards due to radiation are also valid for cosmic radiation, then the radiation doses of cabin attendants seem too small to account entirely for the observed excess risk.

Introduction

Airline crews are occupationally exposed to cosmic radiation consisting mainly of neutrons and γ rays. The mean annual dose equivalent has been estimated as 1–3 mSv,^{1,2} but it varies according to flight altitude, latitude, and solar activity. The most important determinant of the dose rate is altitude, with the dose doubling every 1500 m.³ Some estimates of the potential health hazard due to radiation exposure have been published,^{3–5} but so far no empirical studies on the risk of cancer among cabin attendants (members of cabin crews) have been conducted. We aimed at finding out whether occupational exposure among cabin attendants is associated with an excess risk of cancer, paying special attention to cancers related to radiation.

Subjects and methods

We identified all cabin attendants who had ever worked for the Finnish flight companies and had not

died before 1 January 1967 from the files of the Finnair Flight Company. Recruitment started in the late 1940s, with the sharpest increase in the number of cabin attendants in the 1970s. The mean age at the start of employment was 24.0 years and the mean number of years of work (taking only partly into account the part time work and excluding maternity leave) was 8.3 years. Of the cohort members, 90% had more than two years and 34% more than 10 years of exposed work.

We searched the unique personal identification numbers (given to all residents in Finland since 1 January 1967) for all cabin attendants in the population register centre of Finland. We obtained the dates of death and emigration from the same source.

Follow up for cancer through the files of the population based, countrywide Finnish cancer registry was done automatically with the personal identification number as the key. The follow up for cancer started at the date of recruitment as a cabin crew worker or on 1 January 1967—whichever was later—and ended at emigration, death, or on 31 December 1992. No subjects were lost from follow up.

We counted the numbers of observed cases and person years at risk separately for three calendar periods (1967–75, 1976–84, and 1985–92) by five year age groups. We calculated the expected numbers of cases for cancer overall and for specific cancers by multiplying the number of person years in each age group by the corresponding sex specific average incidence of cancer in the whole Finnish population during the period of observation. We divided the subjects further, by time elapsed since recruitment and by time spent at work. In the latter case, the follow up started at the date when the person had been working the required time as a cabin crew member. The specific cancers selected a priori for the analysis comprised cancers related to radiation and other common cancers, to yield the whole picture of cancer among Finnish cabin attendants.

We calculated the standardised incidence ratio by dividing the observed number of cases by the expected number. The significance was tested by the Mantel-Haenszel χ^2 test, on the presumption that the number of observed cases followed a Poisson distribution.

Results

In all, 187 men and 1577 women were followed up. The numbers of person years were 2500 and 22 000 respectively (table I). The mean length of follow up for a person was thus 13.9 years. More than one third of the person years were in age groups below 30 years and few person years were in ages above 60. During the 26 year follow up period two cases of cancer (one non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and one Kaposi's sarcoma of the skin) were found among men (expected number 1.6). Another Kaposi's sarcoma was diagnosed in 1993, shortly after the end of the study. In women 35 cancers were found (expected number 28.4) (table II). The risks of breast cancer (standardised incidence ratio

Finnish Cancer Registry,
Liisankatu 21 B, FIN-00170
Helsinki, Finland
Eero Pukkala, researcher

Finnish Centre for
Radiation Nuclear Safety,
PO Box 14, FIN-00881
Helsinki
Anssi Auvinen, senior
scientist

Finnish Flight Attendants
Association,
Rautatöläisenkatu 6,
FIN-00520 Helsinki
Gunilla Wahlberg, service
chief

Correspondence to:
Dr Pukkala.

BMJ 1995;311:649-52

TABLE I—Number of cabin attendants followed up and number of person years at risk in 1967-92, by sex and age

Age (years)	Men		Women	
	Total No*	Person years	Total No*	Person years
< 30	176	907	1437	7617
30-59	11	1388	140	14 237
≥ 60	0	0	0	119
Total	187	2496	1577	21 974

*Age at beginning of follow up.

TABLE II—Observed and expected numbers of cases of cancer and standardised incidence ratios (95% confidence interval) among Finnish female cabin attendants in 1967-92, by site or type of cancer

Primary site or type	Observed	Expected	Standardised incidence ratio
All sites and types	35	28.4	1.23 (0.86 to 1.71)
Stomach	1	1.0	1.04 (0.03 to 5.78)
Colon and rectum	2	1.5	1.32 (0.16 to 4.75)
Lung (bronchus)	1	0.6	1.61 (0.04 to 8.95)
Breast	20	10.7	1.87 (1.15 to 2.23)
Cervix	0	1.0	0 (0 to 3.70)
Uterus	0	1.2	0 (0 to 3.00)
Ovary	1	2.1	0.47 (0.01 to 2.61)
Urinary organs	0	0.7	0 (0 to 7.39)
Skin (melanoma)	3	1.4	2.11 (0.43 to 6.15)
Nervous system	1	2.0	0.51 (0.01 to 2.86)
Thyroid gland	1	1.6	0.62 (0.02 to 3.42)
Bone	2	0.1	15.1 (1.82 to 54.4)
Lymphoma	1	1.1	0.91 (0.23 to 5.06)
Leukaemia	2	0.6	3.57 (0.43 to 12.9)

1.87 (95% confidence interval 1.15 to 2.23)) and bone cancer (15.1 (1.82 to 54.4)) were significantly raised. Non-significant excesses were obtained for leukaemia (3.57 (0.34 to 12.90)) and skin melanoma (2.11 (0.43 to 6.15)). The combined standardised incidence ratio for other sites, including the gastrointestinal tract, genitals, and urinary organs, was 0.5 (0.2 to 1.0).

All cases of breast cancer were diagnosed more than 15 years after the subject was recruited. The standardised incidence ratio was 3.4 (1.5 to 6.8) in the follow up category 15-19 years since recruitment and 2.1 (1.1 to 4.0) in the category ≥ 20 years. The standardised incidence ratio increased only slightly, however, with increasing time in exposed work: those with at least two years of exposed work had a standardised incidence ratio of 2.0 (1.2 to 3.2) and those with at least 10 years a ratio of 2.1 (0.9 to 3.9). All the cases of melanoma of the skin, bone cancer, and leukaemia were among subjects with at least two years in exposed work.

Discussion

Significantly raised risks of breast and bone cancer were observed among Finnish female cabin attendants. Breast cancer is one of the cancers most readily induced by ionising radiation. In studies of the incidence of cancer among survivors of the atomic bomb, the highest excess relative risk per unit exposure of all solid tumours has been observed for breast cancer (1.6 Sv⁻¹ (95% confidence interval 1.1 Sv⁻¹ to 2.2 Sv⁻¹)).⁶ The number of cases of bone cancer was small; thus, although significant, the finding may be attributable to chance. Furthermore, the bone cancers were not osteosarcomas—which are most commonly associated with radiation exposure—but one chondrosarcoma and one malignant chordoma.

Although the cohort consisted of all persons who had ever worked as members of a cabin crew in Finland, the size of the cohort was still so small and the age structure so young that the numbers of cancer cases were small and the risk estimates subject to large random variation. Identification of personal identification numbers of the cohort and the follow up for deaths and emigration were complete for the period of this

study. Cancer registration in Finland is virtually comprehensive⁷ and the computerised record linkage procedure precise.⁸ Technical incompleteness has probably not therefore biased the results.

RADIATION EXPOSURE

The mean cumulative dose equivalent of cosmic radiation among cabin attendants was estimated as 15-20 mSv. On the basis of the estimates of the Committee of the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations⁹ this would correspond to a relative risk of 1.01 for breast cancer (taking into account that the mean age at first exposure in this cohort was 24.0 years and the mean net duration of employment 8.3 years). The risk of breast cancer was most apparent after at least 10 years of work. Even in this category the cumulative radiation dose remained far below the level estimated to be required for the risk of breast cancer to double (0.6 Gy, estimated from Hiroshima and Nagasaki). Cabin crews, however, have a chronic exposure to neutrons, whereas the epidemiological risk estimates are based on studies of populations with acute exposure to sparsely ionising γ and x ray radiations. At the moment no epidemiological risk estimates of the cancer risk associated with exposure to neutron radiation exist. In experimental studies the relative biological effectiveness of neutrons relative to γ radiation in inducing tumours at low doses has been 10-100.¹⁰ In addition, the effect of the dose rate differs from γ radiation: small dose rates have been as effective in inducing cancer as high dose ones—that is, there is no effect of the dose and dose rate effectiveness factor. Radon, another source of external radiation from high linear energy transfer, has an inverse dose rate effect—that is, small repeated exposures carry a larger risk of cancer than the same amount of exposure during a short period of time.¹¹

Recently, a comprehensive study of the risk of cancer due to occupational exposure to radiation among workers in nuclear power plants was published.¹² The risk estimates for both solid tumours and leukaemias were compatible with those derived from studies of survivors from the atomic bomb. A study of the incidence of and mortality from cancer among airline pilots found that pilots had an excess risk of cancers of the brain and rectum.¹³ These populations consist, however, almost exclusively of men, and they are not relevant for assessing the risk of breast cancer associated with occupational exposure to radiation.

LIFESTYLE

Bias caused by non-occupational lifestyle factors is possible. Cabin attendants belong to a high social class, which in Finland has a higher than average overall incidence of cancer among females and a lower than average incidence among males.¹⁴ Breast cancer is one of the cancers for which variation for social class is relatively wide: in 1981-5 the incidence of breast cancer among Finnish women of working age who came from the highest social class was 30% higher than in the average population.¹⁴ If the expected numbers of cases of breast cancer is corrected by the standardised incidence ratio of Finland's social class 1 (the highest class) then the standardised incidence ratio is reduced to 1.4 (95% confidence interval 0.9 to 2.2). In the follow up group of ≥ 15 years since recruitment even the corrected standardised incidence ratio is significant (1.9 (1.2 to 2.9)).

Reproductive factors are the strongest known aetiological component of breast cancer and account for most of the occupational and social class variation in the incidence of breast cancer in Finland.¹⁴ The reproductive data for individual cabin attendants were not available. Through the use of a database of Statistics Finland, however, some of the reproductive

variables for cabin attendants and for the total Finnish female population in 1985 can be compared at group level. The proportion of nulliparous women aged ≥ 35 years was about 20% among cabin attendants, compared with 12% in the total female population. The average age at first birth in these two populations was 28 in the cabin attendants and 25 years in the total female population. The average number of children born to cabin attendants was in all age groups smaller than in the total population. With nulliparous women included in the numerators, cabin attendants aged 40-49 had on average 1.7 children and women in the total population had 2.7.

Both a Finnish case-control study¹⁵ and an international meta-analysis¹⁶ showed that postponement of the first birth by five years increases the relative risk of breast cancer by 20-30% and that having three children or more decreases the risk by one third compared with that of women with fewer children.¹⁵ Age at first birth and parity acted independently as risk factors. Cabin attendants differ from reference populations mainly in the average number of children. Only 28% of the cabin attendants aged 40-49 had three or more children, whereas the corresponding figure for the total population was about 45%.

By using age at first birth and prevalence of women with at least three children and the estimated risk coefficients associated with these factors, the difference in relative risk attributable to reproductive factors between the cabin attendants and the reference population was 23%—that is, close to the excess attributable to belonging to social class I. Hence, even after the differences in reproductive and other factors related to social class are taken into account, a pronounced excess risk of breast cancer remains, which is significant, however, only 15 years after recruitment.

Interaction between radiation exposure and reproductive history with regard to the risk of breast cancer has been reported among survivors of the atomic bomb: the joint effect of radiation and reproductive risk factors for breast cancer acted in a multiplicative fashion—that is, the effect of the two types of risk factor exceeded the sum of the main effects.¹⁷ With regard to our results, this would mean that for cabin attendants, who have a raised risk owing to occupational exposure to radiation, smaller number of births, and later age at first delivery, the risk of breast cancer attributable to these factors would exceed that derived from predictions if the two exposures were considered separately. With the small magnitude of risks, the contribution of a multiplicative term to the overall risk is, after all, negligible.

POSSIBLE CONFOUNDERS

Other risk factors may be associated with lifestyle that confound our results. Fat intake and alcohol consumption have been suggested as risk factors for breast cancer, but the empirical evidence remains scanty.¹⁸ At the moment, we do not have data to assess the effects of these factors. Cabin attendants may differ from the general population with respect to alcohol consumption or diet. We found no indication, however, of increased incidence of types of cancer associated with these factors—for example, cancers of the oesophagus, larynx, and colon.

Skin melanoma is known to be associated with repeated sunburn, and people with white skin are at greatest risk. In Finland the fashion for a tan used to be most popular among people from the high social classes living in urban areas—most cabin attendants fall into this category. Two of the three cases of skin melanoma were diagnosed at the ages of 27 and 29, and the origin of these cancers was probably in the youth of these women before they started their cabin crew work.

Key messages

- Airline cabin crews are occupationally exposed to cosmic radiation, and their lifestyle may also differ from that of the average population in other aspects
- The radiation dose of cabin attendants has been estimated as 2-3 mSv a year
- Female cabin attendants had a significant 1.9-fold incidence of breast cancer and a 15-fold incidence of bone cancer compared with the national average
- The excess of breast cancer can be partly explained by social class and reproductive factors, but even when these factors are taken into account a significant, an almost twofold risk remains in those who have worked for 15 or more years in their profession
- Observed risk ratios are much higher than would be expected on the basis of present estimates of health hazards due to radiation (based on survivors of the atomic bomb and on people occupationally exposed to γ radiation)

Flying to the south more often than the general population does not seem to increase cabin attendants' risk of melanoma.

Social class is not an important risk determinant of bone cancer or leukaemia, and furthermore the aetiology of these cancers is largely unknown. Radiation and viruses are the only sufficiently confirmed risk factors for both of these cancers, and radiation is present in the work environment of cabin crew. In the systematic calculation of occupation-specific cancer risks in Finland during 1971-85 cabin attendants were included in a larger category of stewards and stewardesses working on other forms of transport (mainly ships, trains, and buses). Their cancer risk could not therefore be studied separately, but pilots had the highest risk of leukaemia of all the occupations (standardised incidence ratio adjusted for social class 9.8 (95% confidence interval 1.2 to 35)).¹⁴ Although this observation was also based on only two cases, it is in line with the hypothesis that all flight personnel may have an increased risk of leukaemia.

Two of the three cases of cancer in men were Kaposi's sarcomas, which is a rare cancer in Finland and is associated with AIDS. The excess risk of Kaposi's sarcoma is therefore probably not directly related to occupational exposures.

The concentration of the excess risks among cabin attendants in primary sites sensitive to radiation suggests that ionising radiation during flights may add to the cancer risk of flight personnel. Our findings, however, are based on small numbers of cases and need to be confirmed in other populations. On the basis of current theoretical estimates of risk of cancer that is induced by radiation, occupational exposure to cosmic radiation alone is unlikely to account for the excess risk of cancer, although the radiation exposure among cabin attendants substantially differs from that of survivors of the atomic bomb and of medically irradiated persons, on whom the risk estimates are based. Studies linking individuals' history of exposure and exact data on confounders to subsequent risk of cancer are required.

Funding: None.

Conflict of interest: None.

1 Bouville A, Lowder WM. Human population exposure to cosmic radiation. *Radiation Protection and Dosimetry* 1988;24:293-9.

- 2 Montagne C, Donne JP, Pelcot D, Nguyen VD, Bousset P, Kerlau G. In-flight radiation measurements aboard French airliners. *Radiation Protection and Dosimetry* 1993;48:79-83.
- 3 Paretzke HG, Heinrich W. Radiation exposure and radiation risk in civil aircraft. *Radiation Protection and Dosimetry* 1993;48:33-40.
- 4 Friedberg W, Faulkner DN, Snyder L, Darden EB, O'Brien K. Galactic cosmic radiation exposure and associated health risk for air carrier crew members. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1989;60:1104-8.
- 5 O'Brien K, Friedberg W, Duke FE, Snyder L, Darden EB, Sauer HH. The exposure of aircraft crews to radiations of extraterrestrial origin. *Health Phys* 1972;22:225-32.
- 6 Thompson DE, Mabuchi K, Ron E, Soda M, Tokunaga M, Ochikubo S, et al. Cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors. Part II: Solid tumors, 1958-1987. *Radiat Res* 1994;37(suppl):S17-67.
- 7 Teppo L, Pukkala E, Lehtonen M. Data quality and quality control of a population-based cancer registry. Experience in Finland. *Acta Oncol* 1994;33:365-9.
- 8 Pukkala E. Use of record linkage in small-area studies. In: Elliot P, Guzik J, English D, Stern R, eds. *Geographical and environmental epidemiology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992:125-31.
- 9 Committee on the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations. *Health effects of exposure to low levels of ionizing radiation*. Washington DC: National Research Council and National Academy Press, 1990.
- 10 Sinclair WK. Experimental RBE values of high LET radiations at low doses and the implications for quality factor assignment. *Radiation Protection and Dosimetry* 1985;13:319-26.
- 11 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. *Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. Annex A. Epidemiological studies of radiation carcinogenesis*. New York: United Nations, 1994. (Report to the General Assembly.)
- 12 IARC Study Group on Cancer Risk among Nuclear Industry Workers. Direct estimates of cancer mortality due to low doses of ionising radiation: an international study. *Lancet* 1994;344:1039-43.
- 13 Band PR, Spinelli JJ, Ng VTY, Moody J, Gallagher RP. Mortality and cancer incidence in a cohort of commercial airline pilots. *Aviat Space Environ Med* 1990;61:299-302.
- 14 Pukkala E. *Cancer risk by social class and occupation. A survey of 109 000 cancer cases among Finns of working age. Contributions to epidemiology and biostatistics*. Vol 7. Basle: Karger, 1995.
- 15 Soini I. Risk factors of breast cancer in Finland. *Int J Epidemiol* 1977;6:365-73.
- 16 Ewertz M, Duffy SW, Adami H-O, Kvele G, Lund E, Meirik O, et al. Age at first birth, parity and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of 8 studies from the Nordic countries. *Int J Cancer* 1990;46:597-603.
- 17 Land CE, Hayakawa N, Machado SG, Yamada Y, Pike MC, Akiba S, et al. A case-control interview study of breast cancer among Japanese A-bomb survivors. II. Interactions with radiation dose. *Cancer Causes Control* 1994;5:167-76.
- 18 Higginson J, Muir CS, Muqoz N. Breast. In: Higginson J, Muir CS, Muqoz N. *Human cancer: epidemiology and environmental causes*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.

(Accepted 26 June 1995)

Who is nutritionally vulnerable in Bosnia-Herzegovina?

Josephine Vespa, Fiona Watson

Abstract

Objective—To monitor nutritional status and food security in order to identify nutritionally vulnerable groups.

Design—Members of five different household groups (urban and rural residents, displaced people in collective centres and private accommodation, elderly people living without younger family) and all residents of two old people's homes were prospectively followed. Households were selected from 20 local communities and nine collective centres.

Setting—Monitoring carried out in three besieged areas of Bosnia-Herzegovina (Sarajevo, Tuzla, and Zenica).

Subjects—1739 individuals sampled.

Interventions—Data collected every month from December 1993 to May 1994. Information on household food security was collected through structured questionnaires. All subjects were weighed and their heights measured. Weight for age Z scores were calculated for children; body mass index was calculated for adults and elderly people.

Results—From December 1993 to February 1994, before a temporary cease fire, access to food was reduced. In February 1994 no significant signs of undernutrition were detected among children or adults, but elderly people had higher than expected levels of undernutrition (15.5% with body mass index <18.5), a higher rate of weight loss than adults (1.2 kg over two months), and a higher prevalence of self reported illness.

Conclusions—Elderly people in Bosnia-Herzegovina are at greater risk of undernutrition than other age groups. Undernutrition may be precipitated in elderly people by sickness, cold, stress, and problems related to food preparation. The health and welfare of elderly people during the emergency in Bosnia-Herzegovina require special attention, and integrated age care programmes are needed.

Introduction

Bosnia-Herzegovina entered the third year of war in April 1995. Populations in besieged areas continue to

be subjected to constant bombardment, an uncertain food supply, disruption of power and water supplies, a depressed economy, and shrinking financial and physical reserves.

Humanitarian food aid began to flow into Bosnia-Herzegovina at the end of 1992 but supply routes have been fraught with logistical problems. In the second half of 1993, only 16-69% of estimated monthly food aid requirements were delivered to central Bosnia (where Zenica and Tuzla are located) and 62-94% to Sarajevo.¹ In February 1994 as a result of the declared cease fire there was a temporary lessening of hostilities and an improvement in food supply as blockades were lifted and humanitarian and commercial traffic was resumed.

Priorities for humanitarian aid agencies in emergency situations are to detect and prevent increased morbidity and mortality and to identify and protect those at nutritional and health risk. In response to a need for objective information, the World Health Organisation implemented a nutrition and food security monitoring system in three besieged cities in Bosnia-Herzegovina (Sarajevo, Tuzla, and Zenica) between December 1993 and May 1994. The aims were to detect early signs of deterioration in nutritional status and access to food and to identify those who were most severely affected.

Methods

Five distinct household groups (groups of people who usually live and eat together) were identified who potentially differed in terms of vulnerability to insecure food supplies. These were urban residents, rural residents, displaced people living in private accommodation, displaced people living in collective centres, and elderly people living alone (without younger family or friends).

Sample size was calculated on the basis of a population of 280 000 (the estimated population of Sarajevo) and assumptions of a prevalence of 7% adult undernutrition (body mass index <18.5 with an unacceptable level of 14%). Using EPI-INFO software for population or descriptive studies,² a minimum sample size of 102 adults at 5% significance was calculated. On the

World Health Organisation, Regional Office for Europe, Zagreb Area Office, 4100 Zagreb, Croatia
Josephine Vespa, consultant nutritionist
Fiona Watson, consultant nutritionist

Correspondence to:
Ms Watson, Centre for International Child Health, Institute of Child Health, London WC1N 1EH.
cich@ich.bpmf.ac.uk

BMJ 1995;311:652-4