coding staff, and download these data to a standard word processing package that contains a free text module. The resulting discharge summary is then sent to the family doctor.

At St Mary's Hospital we adopted the latter approach last January. Audit of 228 summaries over two separate one month periods was performed. Summaries were sent by post, with most arriving at their destination within 12 days of the patient's discharge. Use of the postal system resulted in a median delay between dispatch and receipt of five days; fax machines are therefore now used. Comments by general practitioners were favourable ("clear and concise," "timely and comprehensive"), with support being expressed by both hospital clinicians and general practitioners for the adoption of Read coding instead of the sometimes tortuous ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th revision) codes currently in use. We have already seen a considerable improvement in the accuracy of clinical coding; some clinicians were unaware of the potential for damage that incorrect coding carries. The system is also being piloted as a basis for clinical audit. Finally, the presence of a summary on the hospital information system allows access to recent clinical information in those cases in which timely retrieval of notes is difficult.

This method of producing summaries addresses many of the issues raised in the Audit Commission's report, and the summaries represent a nascent electronic patient record.

ALLAN SPIGELMAN
Lead clinician

Information Management and Technology Department,
St Mary's Hospital,
London W2 1NY

1 Smith J. Medical records need better management. *BMJ* 1995; 310:1625-6. (24 June.)

2 Audit Commission. *Setting the records straight: a study of hospital medical records*. London: HMSO, 1995.

3 Yeoh C, Davies H. Clinical coding—completeness and accuracy when doctors take it on. *BMJ* 1993;306:972.

Babies' deaths linked to suboptimal care

EDITOR,—Charles D A Wolfe makes several important points in his critique of the confidential inquiries into stillbirths and deaths in infancy, not least on the issue of interobserver variability between regional panels.¹ As chairs of the regional confidential inquiry panels in the former Northern region, we wish to defend the inquiry system as currently applied to "intrapartum deaths" fulfilling the criteria for 1994 (those babies dying in utero during labour or in the first 27 completed days of life, weighing 1500 g or more at birth, and with no severe or life threatening congenital abnormality).

We have had no difficulty in recruiting members to the panels, and all have agreed to sit on second and further panels. Several clinicians have made positive statements about the educational value of membership of the panels, and others have stated an aim to change practice in their units as a result of cases and issues discussed by the panels.

The standard applied in reaching overall grades of care in our region is that of current agreed acceptable practice as based on peer opinion and existing guidelines. We do not question the need for evidence based clinical practice, and surely one of the aims of the confidential inquiry must be to identify areas in which research is needed. In the interim, however, judgment of acceptable practice will to some extent be subjective.

In a recent, fully anonymised review of overall grades of substandard care as given by the regional panel compared with local panels, we found agreement in 18 of 36 cases for which a local panel had met. Among the 18 cases in which there was disagreement the regional panel identified the

same factor(s) as the local panel in 10 cases but graded them one grade lower than the local panel in four and one grade higher than the local panel in six. In the remaining eight cases the factors that seemed crucial to the regional panel either had not been identified by the local panel (three) or had not been graded as indicating suboptimal care (five). We recognise, however, that discrepancies may reflect a lack of knowledge by the regional panel of important local factors (for example, staffing levels). Anonymised feedback on these apparently missed or misinterpreted factors will be provided in the form of a regional report on the findings of the inquiry panels.

Undoubtedly, scientific criticisms can be made of inquiry panels, but the panels seem to be fulfilling an important educational role in this region.

CHRIS WRIGHT
Senior perinatal pathologist

Royal Victoria Infirmary NHS Trust,
Queen Victoria Infirmary,
Newcastle upon Tyne

TRICIA CRESSWELL
Senior registrar in public health medicine

Maternity Surveys Office,
NHS Executive Northern and Yorkshire,
Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4AA

1 Wolfe CDA. Babies' deaths linked to suboptimal care. *BMJ* 1995;310:1536. (10 June.)

Late diagnosis of HIV infection in children is common and devastating

EDITOR,—J A Evans and colleagues draw attention to the late diagnosis of HIV infection in infants not previously known to be at risk of the disease, but they only hint at the potential extent of this problem.¹ In 1993, as part of a review of services for children and families with HIV infection, we undertook in depth interviews with 10 families who had a child in the care of our paediatric HIV service.² This study suggested that the late diagnosis of HIV infection in children is not unusual. Of the 10 children, only three were identified as having the infection antenatally; two mothers had already been diagnosed as HIV positive, and one woman's husband had been diagnosed as HIV positive while she was pregnant. For the seven other families the child's diagnosis was the first indication of HIV infection in the family.

In Evans and colleagues' study the children had had symptoms for up to six weeks before diagnosis. In our study the time to diagnosis for the seven children diagnosed late ranged from several months (four cases) to over two years (three). The seven children had been seen by their general practitioners many times for a variety of complaints and had attended a total of nine hospitals; they had often been inpatients several times before an HIV test was suggested. The cost of this process to the families in terms of emotion, time, and money was considerable, and the final diagnosis of HIV infection, combined with the anxiety over the critically ill child, was profoundly stressful and devastating.

Evans and colleagues point out that a late diagnosis means that opportunities for reducing the risk of vertical transmission of HIV are lost and that the children are denied the benefit of prophylaxis. These children's infection is diagnosed only because they have become ill; they are therefore more likely to require inpatient facilities, intensive care, complex drug treatment, and more medical and nursing time. Also, around the time of diagnosis there are greater stresses on the clinical staff, who may be dealing with a sensitive and difficult family situation.

The late diagnosis of HIV infection in infants and children is an important problem, with costs to

the child, family, and NHS. For this reason we support the argument advanced by Evans and colleagues that policies on antenatal screening for HIV should be reviewed in areas where the prevalence of HIV infection is high.

GERALDINE BARRETT
Research fellow
CHRISTINA R VICTOR
Senior lecturer

Department of Public Health Sciences,
St George's Hospital Medical School,
London SW17 0RE

1 Evans JA, Marriage SC, Walters MDS, Levin M. Undisputed HIV infection presenting in first year of life. *BMJ* 1995;310: 1235-6. (13 May.)

2 Barrett G, Victor CR. 'We just want to be a normal family...' Paediatric HIV/AIDS services at an inner-London teaching hospital. *AIDS Care* 1994;6:423-33.

Analgesic effect of sucrose

Heel pricks were unnecessarily painful

EDITOR,—Nora Haouari and colleagues have clearly shown that oral sucrose reduces crying and tachycardia in term infants undergoing a standard painful procedure, blood sampling by heel prick, with a manual lance.¹ The fact that the effect is dose dependent suggests that oral sucrose has analgesic properties. The key messages point out that every newborn baby in Britain is subjected to painful procedures and that little is done to minimise the discomfort which these cause.

One way to minimise the discomfort is by changing the method of heel blood sampling. Automated, springloaded lances (for example, Autolet Lite Clinisafe, Owen Mumford, Oxford) cause less pain without a reduction in efficacy, and they are less operator dependent.^{2,3} The manual lance has no place on the postnatal ward or neonatal unit.

DAVID BARKER
Clinical research fellow
NICHOLAS RUTTER
Professor of paediatric medicine

Department of Child Health,
Queen's Medical Centre,
Nottingham NG7 2UH

1 Haouari N, Wood C, Griffiths G, Levene M. The analgesic effect of sucrose in full term infants: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 1995;310:1498-500. (10 June.)

2 Harpin VA, Rutter N. Making heel pricks less painful. *Arch Dis Child* 1983;58:226-8.

3 McIntosh N, van Veen L, Brameyer H. Alleviation of the pain of heel prick in preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child* 1994;70:F177-81.

Cuddle deprivation may have confounded experiment

EDITOR,—While it is laudable that Nora Haouari and colleagues are concerned to minimise the trauma associated with a common neonatal procedure, the starting point for their investigation seems somewhat misplaced.¹

Firstly, they report that "parents could be present but did not speak or touch the baby during the procedure." Three minutes is a long time to leave a baby without such comfort. I am surprised that it was considered ethical. My experience of community midwifery is that babies can be very well soothed during painful procedures by being put to the breast or at least being held. It is best if the health worker performing the test is sitting beside the mother or parent so that the health worker is in the right position to gently control foot movements during the procedure.

Secondly, the WHO/Unicef Baby Friendly Initiative, now endorsed in Britain,^{2,3} clearly states that newborns should not be given food or drink other than breast milk unless medically indicated. Research such as Haouari and colleagues' not only ignores the valuable contribution of breastfeeding towards an infant's physical and psychological