- 3 Van Cauwenberge PB. Epidemiology of common cold. *Rhinology* 1985;23: 273-82.
- 4 Marsland DW, Wood M, Mayo F. A data bank for patient care, curriculum, and research in family practice: 526,196 patient problems. *J Fam Pract* 1976;3:25-38.
- 5 Dixon RE. Economic costs of respiratory tract infections in the United States. *Am J Med* 1985;78(suppl 6B):45-51.
- 6 Health Care Section of Defence Staff of Finnish Defence Forces. *Annual reports of the health condition in 1986-1992*. Helsinki: Archives of Health Care Section of Defence Staff, 1993.
- 7 Guralnick W. Third molar surgery. *Br Dent J* 1984;156:389-94.
- 8 Ludwick WE, Gendron EG, Pogas JA, Weldon AL. Dental emergencies occurring among Navy-Marine personnel serving in Vietnam. *Mil Med* 1974;139:121-3.
- 9 Rajasuo A, Murtomaa H, Meurman JH, Ankkuriniemi O. Oral health problems in Finnish conscripts. *Mil Med* 1991;156:16-8.
- 10 Kay LW. Investigations into the nature of pericoronitis. *British Journal of Oral Surgery* 1966;3:188-205.
- 11 Piironen J, Ylipaavalniemi P. Local predisposing factors and clinical symptoms in pericoronitis. *Proc Finn Dent Soc* 1981;77:278-82.
- 12 Ventä I. Third molars in young adults—to remove or not to remove? Helsinki: University of Helsinki, 1993. 52 pp. (Thesis.)
- 13 Nitzan DW, Tal O, Sela MN, Shteyer A. Pericoronitis: a reappraisal of its clinical and microbiologic aspects. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1985;43:510-6.
- 14 Bean LR, King DR. Pericoronitis; its nature and etiology. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1971;83:1074-7.
- 15 World Health Organisation. *Manual of the international statistical classification of diseases, injuries, and causes of death, 9th revision*. Vol 1. Geneva: WHO, 1977.
- 16 Rajasuo A. Third-molar-related problems in Finnish conscripts. Clinical status, microbiology and current treatment practice. Helsinki: University of Helsinki, 1994. 41 pp. (Thesis.)
- 17 Krekmanov L, Nordenram A. Postoperative complications after surgical removal of mandibular third molars. Effect of penicillin V and chlorhexidine. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1986;15:25-9.
- 18 Degré M. Interaction between viral and bacterial infections in respiratory tract. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1986;49(suppl):140-5.
- 19 Hurlen B, Olsen I. A scanning electron microscopic study on the microflora of chronic pericoronitis of lower third molars. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1984;58:522-32.
- 20 Mombelli A, Buser D, Lang NP, Berthold H. Suspected periodontopathogens in erupting third molar sites of periodontally healthy individuals. *J Clin Periodontol* 1990;17:48-54.
- 21 Wade WG, Gray AR, Absi EG, Barker GR. Predominant cultivable flora in pericoronitis. *Oral Microbiology and Immunology* 1991;6:310-2.
- 22 MacGregor AJ, Hart P. Bacteria of the extraction wound. *Journal of Oral Surgery* 1970;28:885-7.
- 23 Almadori G, Bastianini L, Bistoni F, Paludetti G, Rosignoli M. Microbial flora of surface versus core tonsillar cultures in recurrent tonsillitis in children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol* 1988;15:157-62.

(Accepted 17 February 1995)

Breast feeding and acute appendicitis

Alfredo Pisacane, Ugo de Luca,
Nicola Impagliazzo, Maria Russo,
Carmela De Caprio, Giuseppe Caracciolo

**Dipartimento di Pediatria,
Università di Napoli, 80131
Naples, Italy**
Alfredo Pisacane, senior
lecturer
Nicola Impagliazzo,
postgraduate trainee
Maria Russo, postgraduate
trainee

**Divisione di Chirurgia,
Ospedale Santobono,
USL 40 Regione
Campania, Italy**
Ugo de Luca, senior
registrar
Carmela De Caprio,
postgraduate trainee
Giuseppe Caracciolo,
professor

Correspondence to:
Dr Pisacane.

BMJ 1995;310:836-7

Acute appendicitis is the commonest reason for abdominal surgery in many countries, but its cause is unknown.¹ The hygiene hypothesis attributes the rise in appendicitis that occurred in the United Kingdom at the beginning of this century to improvements in sewage disposal and water supplies in the late 19th century.² These improvements in hygiene greatly reduced the exposure of infants to enteric organisms that programme the immune system of the gut, thereby rendering the bowel more susceptible to triggering infection later in life. Knowledge about risk factors for appendicitis is, however, poor, and the roles of diet,³ housing, and amenities such as hot water and bathroom facilities are doubtful.⁴ Because breast feeding can modify the exposure or the type of immune response to some microbial agents during infancy, we investigated the relation between infant feeding and acute appendicitis in a case incident, population based case-control study.

Patients, methods, and results

All 222 children admitted to Santobono Paediatric Hospital, Naples, between 1 January and 30 November 1993 with histologically confirmed acute appendicitis were recruited for the study. All these children were living in the Naples area. Their mothers were interviewed during the stay in hospital by two nurses unaware of the objectives of the study. Controls were 222 children randomly selected from around 3000 attending 10 randomly selected primary schools in the Naples area that had been enrolled in a child health survey. All the mothers sampled agreed to be interviewed at home by the same two nurses during 1993.

Relative risk was calculated by odds ratios with confidence intervals by Cornfield's method. Confounding and effect modification were investigated by stratified analysis. The table shows the characteristics of the groups.

The mean duration of breast feeding was 96.9 days (SD 115.6) for cases and 130.2 days (134.8) for controls (Mann-Whitney U test; two-tailed P value 0.001).

Stratified analysis showed that no factor among those we analysed (birth weight, sex, type of delivery, maternal education, and number of other children in the household) confounded or modified the association between feeding and illness.

Comment

Our data indicate that children with acute appendicitis were less likely than controls to have been breast fed for a prolonged length of time.

There are several reasons why prolonged breast feeding may be associated with a decreased risk of acute appendicitis. The immune components of human milk provide an antigen avoidance system that can decrease the severity of infection and probably the inflammatory reactions associated with it.⁵ This milder inflammatory response could programme the immune system of the infant, its effects lasting for several years, and it could be associated with a more tolerant lymphoid tissue at the base of the appendix. Alternatively, prolonged breast feeding may be a marker of some unknown socioeconomic characteristic that could be associated with a low risk of illness.

Acute appendicitis may represent another case in

Characteristics of cases and controls. Values are numbers of subjects unless stated otherwise

Characteristic	Cases (n=222)	Controls (n=222)
Sex:		
Male	147	129
Female	75	93
Mean (SD) age (years):	7.5 (3.0)	8.1 (1.7)
Birth weight (g):		
>2500	211	208
<2500	11	14
Type of delivery:		
Vaginal	169	153 (69)
Caesarean	53	69 (31)
No of other children in household:		
0	15	14
1	105	116
2	66	70
≥3	36	22
Maternal education (years):		
<8	145 (65.3)	146 (65.8)
≥8	61 (27.5)	74 (33.3)
Unknown	16 (7.2)	2 (0.9)
Hot water and bathroom at home at time of interview	222	222
Breast feeding (months)*:		
Never	65	63
0-3	80	51*
4-	45	53†
7-	32	55‡

*Odds ratio in comparison with those who had never been breast fed 1.5 (95% confidence interval 0.9 to 2.6).

†Odds ratio 0.9 (0.5 to 1.4).

‡Odds ratio 0.6 (0.3 to 1.0).

which the infant environment is an important determinant of adult disease, even if our data generate rather than test a hypothesis and need to be confirmed by further research.

- 1 Heaton KW. Aetiology of acute appendicitis. *BMJ* 1987;294:1632-3.
- 2 Barker DJP. Acute appendicitis and dietary fibre: an alternative hypothesis. *BMJ* 1985;290:1125-7.

- 3 Nelson M, Morris J, Barker DJP, Simmonds S. A case-control study of acute appendicitis and diet in children. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1986;40:316-8.
- 4 Coggon D, Barker DJP, Cruddas M, Oliver RHP. Housing and appendicitis in Anglesey. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1991;45:244-6.
- 5 Goldman AS. The immune system of human milk: antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and immunomodulating properties. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1993;12:664-71.

(Accepted 18 January 1995)

Labour and birth in water in England and Wales

Fiona Alderdice, Mary Renfrew,
Sally Marchant, Hazel Ashurst, Pam Hughes,
Georgina Berridge, Jo Garcia

National Perinatal
Epidemiology Unit,
Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford
OX2 6HE

Fiona Alderdice, survey
researcher

Mary Renfrew, professor of
midwifery studies

Sally Marchant, research
midwife

Hazel Ashurst, computing
coordinator

Pam Hughes, project
administrator

Georgina Berridge, computer
programmer

Jo Garcia, social scientist

Correspondence to:
Professor M Renfrew,
Institute of Epidemiology
and Health Services
Research, University of
Leeds, Leeds LS2 9LN.

BMJ 1995;310:837

In 1992 the House of Commons Health Committee's report on the maternity services recommended that all hospitals should provide women with "the option of a birthing pool where this is practicable."¹ The lack of relevant research on labour and birth in water² prompted the Department of Health to fund this survey.

Methods and results

All 219 identified heads of midwifery, or equivalent, in NHS provider units in England and Wales were sent a short postal questionnaire in October 1993. All questionnaires were returned, and telephone interviews were subsequently carried out with a nominated respondent from each provider unit. Three units were omitted in error as a result of the difficulty of identifying these units at a time of organisational change in the NHS.

Labour or birth in water, or both, had occurred in all provider units (in hospital and community practice) at some time, either in purpose made birthing pools (195/89%) or in conventional baths. Most units could provide figures (table) for the numbers of women labouring or giving birth in water from records (54% of the units which used birthing pools) or "good estimates"—figures taken from records, although the records were known not to be complete (37% of the 93 units which provided hospital pools). These sources yielded a total of 8255 women who had laboured in a birthing pool but got out for birth, and 4494 women who gave birth in water, in 1992 and 1993. Rough estimates were not included in this total. Women who laboured but did not give birth in conventional baths were not included in the figures for labour only, as so much of this use was informal and unrecorded. Births in conventional baths were included.

Only 17 provider units reported carrying out 50 or

more births in water in 1993, with only four reporting 100 or more. A total of 179 units reported fewer than 20 births in water in 1993.

We asked about problems that had occurred when women had laboured or given birth in water, irrespective of whether or not water was thought to have contributed to the outcome. Because of the retrospective nature of this survey, these data should be treated with caution. Twelve babies who died after their mothers laboured or gave birth in water, or both, in 1992 and 1993 were reported. None of these cases was reported to be directly related to labour or birth in water. There were 51 reports of morbidity in babies, including respiratory problems and infections. Thirty three women experienced serious problems, including postpartum haemorrhage and severe perineal trauma. In seven cases, staff were reported to have suffered back problems.

A total of 168 respondents indicated that they would be interested in participating in a randomised controlled trial of labour and birth in water.

Comment

Although labour and birth in water is widely available throughout the NHS, the number of births in water in each provider unit was generally low, so the experience of most health professionals providing this form of care is likely to be limited. None of the 12 stillbirths and neonatal deaths reported after labour or birth in water was directly attributed by respondents to the use of water, although the retrospective nature of this survey limits interpretation of these data. We are collaborating with other researchers to monitor adverse outcomes in babies through the British Paediatric Association Surveillance Unit.

Information about labour and birth in water (including the use of conventional baths) should be collected routinely as part of local audit. The development of a national data set, perhaps as part of the maternity hospital episode system, would add considerably to this process.

There is no evidence from this survey to suggest that labour and birth in water should not continue to be offered as an option to women in England and Wales. Questions remain, however, about the possible benefits and hazards, the conditions of clinical practice, and resource use. A randomised controlled trial could address some of these issues.

We thank all the midwives who took the time to contribute to the survey. We also thank Ruth Gilbert, Pat Tooke, Yehudi Gordon, the members of our advisory group, and many colleagues, particularly Rona McCandlish, Natalie Kenney, Trish Walker, Alison Macfarlane, Jan Knight, and Lois Simm. The research was funded by the Department of Health.

- 1 House of Commons. Health Committee. *Maternity services. Second report.* London: HMSO, 1992.
- 2 McCandlish R, Renfrew MJ. Immersion in water during labour and birth; the need for evaluation. *Birth* 1993;20:79-85.

(Accepted 20 March 1993)

Numbers of women reported to have laboured in a birthing pool and reported to have given birth in water, 1992-3, by quality of the data source

Quality of data source	1992	1993	Total
Labour in birthing pool (getting out for birth):			
Audit or written records	962	2025	2987
Good estimates	2297	2971	5268
Rough estimates	792	806	1598
Giving birth in water (including conventional baths):			
Audit or written records	994	1846	2840
Good estimates	711	943	1654
Rough estimates	103	96	199