Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2007 March 16; 72(6): 1931–1940. doi:10.1021/jo061991n.

Synthetic 3-O-Methylmannose-containing Polysaccharides (sMMP): Design and Synthesis

Margaret C. Hsu, Jinhwa Lee, and Yoshito Kishi

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, 12 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, kishi@chemistry.harvard.edu

Abstract

With the hope of mimicking the chemical and biological properties of *natural* 3-O-methylmannose-containing polysaccharides (MMP), *synthetic* 3-O-methylmannose-containing polysaccharides (sMMP) were designed and synthesized in a convergent manner. With small modification of the Mukaiyama glycosidation, high α -selectivity ($>50:1 \sim >20:1$) and yields (79 ~ 74%) were achieved for the key glycosidation steps. The exceptionally high α -selectivity observed was shown to be consequent to the selective anomerization of β - to α -anomer under the glycosidation conditions. This glycosidation well suited for a highly convergent oligosaccharide synthesis, particularly because of excellent chemical yields even when using approximately equal-sized donors and acceptors in an approximately 1:1 molar ratio. An iterative reaction sequence allowed the growing oligosaccharide to double in size after each cycle, and led to an efficient synthesis of sMMP 8-, 12-, and 16-mers 18~20.

Introduction

Extracts of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* cells provide two series of polysaccharides: the 3-O-methyl-D-mannose-containing polysaccharides (MMP) and the 6-O-methyl-D-glucose-containing lipopolysaccharides (MGLP).^[1] MMP consist of eleven to fourteen α -(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-linked mannosides with most rings bearing a 3-O-methyl ether functionality.^[2] The reducing end of the oligosaccharides is capped by a methyl aglycon. The four members of the MGLP family share the polysaccharide backbone whose reducing end is capped with a glyceric acid, but they differ in the number of lipophilic side chains attached to each molecule.^[3] The side chains include acetate, propionate, isobutyrate, octanoate, and succinates, but the precise distribution of the acyl groups remains unknown. Saponification of each MGLP is known to yield the same 6-O-methyl-D-glucose-containing polysaccharides (MGP) that contains eleven internal, contiguous 6-O-methyl-D-glucose residues and a 3-O-methyl-D-glucose residue at the non-reducing terminus.^[4]

Both MMP and MGLP/MGP are known to affect profoundly the fatty acid biosynthesis in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*.^[1a] They increase the overall rate of fatty acid biosynthesis, change the product distribution, and prevent product-inhibition of the fatty acid synthetase.^[2e,5,6] They form stoichiometric complexes with C₁₆- or longer acyl-CoA.^[7] Bloch proposed a structural model for complex formation between palmitoyl-CoA and MMP.^[1a,7] In this model, the conformationally flexible glycosidic bonds of MMP rotate to achieve a helical conformation with appropriate dimensions for accommodating the aliphatic side-chain of acyl-CoA. In this conformation, the 3-O-methyl ether groups are directed towards the core of the helical carbohydrate, thereby increasing the hydrophobic nature of the host and stabilizing the

proposed complex via hydrophobic interactions between MMP and the aliphatic side-chain of acyl-CoA. Coincidentally, the remaining hydroxyl groups on the 2- and 6-positions of the sugar rings are located on the outside of the helix and can interact with either water molecules or the polar functionalities of acyl-CoA via hydrogen bonding.

We were interested in gaining mechanistic insights for the intriguing biological role(s) of MMP and MGLP/MGP. However, we felt that naturally occurring MMP and MGLP/MGP are not necessarily ideal substrates for our study, as they were isolated as complex mixtures of closely related polysaccharides.^[2,3] For this reason, we chose to use synthetic polysaccharides structurally related to natural MMP and MGLP/MGP for two major reasons: (1) synthetic polysaccharides should be available as chemically well-defined and homogeneous materials and (2) synthetic polysaccharides should be structurally tunable for the needs of our investigation. Obviously, the most unique structural feature of natural MMP and MGLP/MGP is the polymeric form of *O*-methylated mannose and glucose. Thus, we decided to incorporate this structural feature in the synthetic polysaccharides and selected the polymers composed of 3-*O*-methyl-D-mannose and 6-*O*-methyl-D-glucose, see *s*MMP and *s*MGP in Figure 2.

Since *s*MMP and *s*MGP are α -(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-linked oligomers of monomethylated mannose and glucose, respectively, we envisioned that their oligosaccharide backbones could be built by iterative glycosidations. For the overall efficiency of synthesis, we desired to incorporate the highest degree of convergence in the synthetic design and planned to synthesize *s*MMP and *s*MGP from an appropriate combination of a glycosyl donor and a glycosyl acceptor. In order to execute this plan with high overall efficiency, it is critically important to identify a glycosylation reaction, not only highly stereoselective but also achievable with an approximately 1:1 mixture of a glycosyl donor and a glycosyl acceptor of approximately the same size. In this paper, using *s*MMP (*R* = *n*-Pr) with *n* = 8, 12, and 16 as examples, we demonstrate the feasibility of this synthetic plan.

We should note that this project was initiated in 1992,^[8] when the chemical synthesis of oligosaccharides was still in the relatively underdeveloped stage. However, for the past one and a half decades, we have witnessed a remarkable development in this general area. A number of glycosyl donors, including halo sugars, pentenyl glycosides, thioglycosides, isopropenyl glycosides, orthoesters, 1-*O*-acyl sugars, 1-*O*-pentenoyl sugars, trichloroacetimidates, glycosyl sulfoxides, glycosyl sulfones, glycosyl thiocyanates, glycosyl dialkylphosphites, glycosyl phosphorodithioates, glycosyl tetramethylphosphorodiamidates, glycals and 1,2-anhydrosugars, seleno glycosides, and glycosyl diazirines, are now known to effect the glycosidation in the stereoselective manner in solution- and/or solid-phase syntheses.^[9,10] Many of these synthetic methods have successfully been applied for the synthesis of a broad range of complex oligosaccharides, most notably mannose-containing oligosaccharides.^[11]

Results and Discussion

The synthetic analysis briefly given above calls for appropriately substituted monosaccharides as the starting material. In order to execute effectively the designed synthesis, we needed to select a minimum of three different protecting groups to differentiate the hydroxyl groups present in **A**. Taking into account the anticipated reactions for execution of the plan, we were decisive in choosing an allyl group as *P*₁ and a benzyl group as *P*₂. However, we postponed the decision on the protecting group *P*₃ until the first glycosidation was studied.

Using glucose-derived glycosyl donors and acceptors, we then conducted preliminary studies to develop the key glycosidation reaction.^[12] However, as discussed in a separate paper,^[13] we later realized that the profile of the glycosidation in the mannose series was pronouncedly different from that in the glucose series and therefore some of the conclusions derived from the preliminary experiments on the glucose series should not be extrapolated to the mannose series. Nonetheless, based on these preliminary studies, it became evident that, among several methods evaluated, the Mukaiyama glycosidation^[14] best met our needs. Donors with various aliphatic and aromatic esters at C1 were tested for the Mukaiyama glycosidation, and the *mono*-methyl phthalate ester (cf., **6** in Scheme 2) was found to give the most satisfactory results (chemical yield and α/β -selectivity).^[15] With these preliminary results as our guide, we began the synthesis of suitably protected mannoses.

Treatment of D-mannose with $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$ (3 mol%) in allyl alcohol at 100 °C furnished the desired allyl glycoside in 80% isolated yield, with ca. 10:1 stereoselectivity (Scheme 1).^[16] Addition of fluoroboric acid (catalytic) by a syringe pump to a solution of allyl mannoside and benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal in DMF gave the known acetal **2**.^[17] The competing side-reaction was the formation of the 5-membered acetal between the C2 and C3 hydroxyl groups. A selective methylation of the C3 hydroxyl group of **2** was achieved via a cyclic stannyl ether,^[18] to give **3** in 74% isolated yield. After protecting the C2 hydroxyl as a benzyl ether, the minor amount of the β -anomer was removed at this stage. The cyclic acetal was regioselectively opened by $\text{NaBH}_3\text{CN}/\text{TiCl}_4$,^[19] to provide a chromatographically inseparable ca. 4:1 mixture of the desired product **4** and its C6 regioisomer. However, selective silylation of the primary alcohol present in the undesired regioisomer with *t*-butyldiphenylchlorosilane (TBDPSCl) facilitated separation/isolation, to furnish allyl α -mannoside **4** in 73% isolated yield.

The properly protected mannoside **4** gave an easy access to both glycosyl acceptor **5** and donor **6**. To identify the optimal C4 protecting group, the glycosyl donors **6a–d**, with four types of protecting groups, triisopropylsilyl (TIPS), 4-methoxyphenylmethyl (MPM), phenylcarbonate, or benzoyl, were prepared. Their behaviors under the Mukaiyama conditions (**5** + **6**, $\text{SnCl}_4\text{-AgClO}_4$ (10 mol%), 0 °C, 36 hr, MeCN or Et_2O) were tested, thereby demonstrating: (1) either the TIPS or MPM group was not compatible with the glycosidation

conditions; (2) a good α/β -selectivity (10:1) but a poor chemical yield (28%) was observed for **6c**; and (3) excellent α/β -selectivity and chemical yield were observed for **6d** (α/β -selectivity=16:1 or 17:1, isolated yield=79% or 76% in MeCN or Et₂O, 20 hr, 0 °C).^[20] From these preliminary experiments, it became evident that the benzoate protected donor (**6d** as a ca. 2:1 mixture of α - and β -anomers)^[21] was the best choice.

Having identified the best combination of glycosyl donor and acceptor, we conducted a time course study to gain an insight into the glycosidation. This study showed that the α/β -selectivity was only 3 in 1h, but improved to 5, 9, and 20 in 8h, 22h, and 30h, respectively, thereby suggesting that the β -disaccharide **β -7d** anomerized into the α -disaccharide **α -7d** under the glycosidation conditions. Indeed, under the condition of 10 mol% SnCl₄-AgClO₄ for 36 h, the β -disaccharide **β -7d** was converted to a mixture of α -glycoside **α -7d** (78%) and two monosaccharides (Scheme 3). Interestingly, however, the corresponding α -disaccharide **α -7d** was found not to anomerize to the β -disaccharide **β -7d** under the same conditions. Thus, the observed high stereoselectivity is attributed to the selective anomerization from the β - to α -anomer.^[22] Interestingly, this anomerization was not observed in the gluco series, and more extensive mechanistic studies will be reported in a separate paper.^[13]

The selective anomerization suggests a possibility that only the desired α -disaccharide **α -7d** would be obtained, if a sufficiently long reaction time were given for the glycosidation. However, this was not a practical option, because a significant amount of “scrambled” products were formed when a longer reaction time was employed. In order to gain insight into this scrambling, the stability of **5**, **6d**, and **α -7d** under the glycosidation conditions was then tested, thereby showing: (1) the acceptor **5** yielded the homocoupling product along with the desilylated starting material; (2) the disaccharide **α -7d** gave a small amount of the cleaved monosaccharides; and (3) the donor **6d** was susceptible to decomposition. Subsequently, a 1:1 mixture of **α -7d** and **5** was found to yield a mixture of “scrambled” products composed of trisaccharide (17%), tetrasaccharide (2%), and homocoupling product (7%) under the standard glycosidation conditions. These results are summarized in Scheme 4, in which the reaction pathways on the left side show productive paths to the desired disaccharide **α -7d**, whereas the reaction pathways on the right side indicate paths to the scrambled products. Overall, as the glycosidation of **5** with **6d** is significantly faster than the glycosidation of **5** with **7d** or **5** itself, the by-product formation via the latter couplings is negligible in a short reaction time but becomes considerable in a prolonged reaction time. Thus, a fine-tuning of the reaction time was required. In passing, we noticed that the acceptor reactivity between the alcohol **4** and trimethylsilyl ether **5** showed no appreciable difference in glycosidation, whereas there was considerable difference in reactivity between α -**6d** and β -**6d** ($k_{\beta} \gg k_{\alpha}$).

With information on the profile of glycosidation, the optimal condition was identified to obtain the desired **α -7d**: coupling of **5** (1.0 equiv) with **6d** (1.0 equiv) in the presence of SnCl₄-AgClO₄ (10 mol%) in diethyl ether at 0 °C for 36 h gave the disaccharide as a 20:1 mixture of α/β -anomers, from which the desired α -disaccharide **α -7d** was isolated in 76% yield in a pure form (Scheme 5).

For the synthesis of the tetrasaccharide **α -10**, **α -7d** became the common source of disaccharide donor and acceptor (Scheme 6). Rh-assisted deallylation^[23] of **α -7d**, followed by esterification with *mono*-methyl phthalate, provided the disaccharide donor **8**. The glycosyl acceptor **9** was prepared via saponification of the benzoate and TMS ether formation. The glycosidation proceeded as the previous case. An 8:1 mixture of α/β -tetrasaccharides was formed in 3 h at 0 °C, whereas a >50:1 mixture was obtained in 26 h at 0 °C. After the minor β -tetrasaccharide was removed from the crude reaction mixture by HPLC (μ -porasil), purification by JAI (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd, *vide infra*) gave the α -tetrasaccharide **α -10** in 76% yield with $\geq 99\%$ purity.

Tetrasaccharide **a-10** was converted to both tetrasaccharide donor **11** and tetrasaccharide acceptor **12** under conditions similar to those described for the smaller fragments (Scheme 7).

Glycosidation of **11** with **12** met with complications when the reaction was left unquenched for over 10 h. The product obtained under such conditions exhibited a clean ^1H NMR spectrum, except the MeO signals (Rxn A in Figure 3). Mass spectroscopy analysis (FAB-MS) showed the product contained a broad range of oligomers. Assuming that the oligomers have similar ionization potentials, the major components in the mixture included the hexamer (ca. 35%), heptamer (ca. 22%), octamer (desired; ca. 24%), nanomer (ca. 9%), and decamer (ca. 6%). Knowing the profile of glycosidation and considering the total number of the glycosidic bonds present in **a-13**, this result was not totally surprising. Quenching the reaction within 10 h provides high chemical conversion with significantly less contamination of scrambled products (FAB-MS: relative contribution of octamer was $\gg 70\%$). The ^1H NMR spectrum showed six sharp signals corresponding to eight methoxyl. Subsequent purification by JAI recycling HPLC provided the octasaccharide **a-13** in at least 90% purity (Rxn B in Figure 3).

For preparative purposes, the glycosidation of **11** and **12** was quenched after 9.5 h. The ^1H NMR spectrum of the crude product showed that the α/β -stereoselectivity was $>50:1$ and also that a small amount of unreacted donor **11** and desilylated acceptor remained. Although the three components had similar R_f values by TLC, **a-13** could be isolated in 79% yield by silica gel chromatography. The more preparatively acceptable method of separation involved two chemical derivatization steps: (1) treatment of the crude product with $\text{TMSOTf}/\text{NEt}_3$ regenerated **12** from unreacted acceptor, which was readily recovered by chromatography; and (2) base hydrolysis facilitated column-chromatographic separation of **a-13** from the remaining deprotected form of **11**.

Octasaccharide **a-13** was converted to the octasaccharide acceptor **14** in 2 steps (Scheme 8), and coupling of **14** with tetrasaccharide donor **11** was accomplished under established glycosidation conditions. Minimizing formation of “scrambled” products, this reaction was quenched after 8.5 h. Crude NMR again showed $>50:1$ (α/β) selectivity. The protected dodecasaccharide **a-15** was isolated in 75% yield.

Following similar procedures as mentioned for the preparation of other donors, octasaccharide **a-13** was converted to the octasaccharide donor **16** (Scheme 9). Reaction of donor **16** with acceptor **14** allowed the formation of α -hexadecasaccharide **a-17** ($>50:1$ α/β -selectivity) in 9.5 h under the standard conditions (74% yield).

The purification method of oligo- and polysaccharides by JAI (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd) HPLC deserves a comment. The glucosidation-products were purified by a JAI LC-908 preparative liquid chromatographic unit using two polystyrene-based gel permeation columns in tandem. The polystyrene column utilizes the principle of size exclusion, and this chromatographic technique was indispensable for preparative separation/purification of the polysaccharides. As one might speculate from the principle used for this separation technique, the capacity of separating a polysaccharide from the next lower or higher polysaccharide decreases with increase of its molecular size. Nonetheless, this chromatographic technique allowed us to isolate **a-7d** (disaccharide), **a-10** (tetrasaccharide), **a-13** (octasaccharide), **a-15** (dodecasaccharide), and **a-17** (hexadecasaccharide) with the purity of $>98\%$, $>98\%$, $>90\%$, $>85\%$, and $>75\%$, respectively.^[24]

Complete deprotection of the oligosaccharides was accomplished in 2 steps to furnish sMMP 8-, 12-, and 16-mers **18-20**, respectively (Scheme 10). Hydrolysis of the benzoate proceeded smoothly with methanolic NaOH. The final step was the hydrogenolysis of all benzyl ethers accompanied by the reduction of the allyl aglycon using Pearlman's catalyst and hydrogen.

The products were isolated by reverse phase chromatography on C₁₈-silica gel. As discussed, the oligosaccharides **α-13**, **α-15**, and **α-17** were contaminated with scrambled products even after JAI chromatographic purification. However, the purity of sMMP 8-, 12-, and 16-mers **18-20** was at least 95% by FAB-MS and ¹H NMR analyses, implying that contaminated scrambled-products were removed during the deprotection and purification. This may be one of the reasons why the overall yield of the deprotection and purification declined from the sMMP 8-mer to the sMMP-16-mer.^[25]

Conclusion

A highly convergent synthesis of sMMP has been achieved as summarized in Scheme 11. Beginning with D-mannose, the monosaccharide with the appropriate protecting groups installed (**B** with n = 1) was secured in 6 steps. **B** served as the source of glycosyl donor **C** and glycosyl acceptor **D**, each obtainable in 2 steps. Glycosidation under the modified Mukaiyama protocol gave **E**, which is in fact identical to **B** except for n. The key steps of this synthesis are the five glycosidations, which proceeded with high α-selectivities and yields. This glycosidation was well suited for a highly convergent oligosaccharide synthesis, particularly because of excellent chemical yields even when using approximately equal-sized donors and acceptors in a molar ratio of approximately 1:1. An iterative reaction sequence allowed the growing oligosaccharide to double in size after each cycle, and led to an efficient synthesis of sMMP 8-, 12-, and 16-mers **18-20**.

sMMP 8-, 12-, and 16-mers **18-20** thus obtained provided us, for the first time, with an opportunity to study the chemical and biological properties of *synthetic* MMP. To our delight, the preliminary experiments demonstrated that *synthetic* and *natural* MMPs exhibit the identical, or at least very similar, properties.^[26] With this encouraging result, we felt it to be critically important to address the scalability of sMMP synthesis to ensure the supply of the materials. Recognizing a potential problem with: (1) the scalability of glycosidation and (2) the isolation of glycosidation product free from “scrambled” products, we initiated a study on the second generation of sMMP synthesis, resulting in an improved, scalable synthesis of this class of polysaccharides.^[27]

Experimental Section

Allyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-α-D-mannopyranoside (2)

To a solution of D-mannose (50.1 g, 278 mmol) in allyl alcohol (185 mL) was added Sc(OTf)₃ (412 mg, 3 mol%). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 6 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness and flushed through a silica gel column under reduced pressure (9:1 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH), to yield allyl mannopyranoside (49.2 g, 80%, > 10:1 α/β mix).^[28]

A solution of allyl mannopyranoside (46.3 g, 210 mmol) and benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (38 mL, 0.25 mol) in DMF (1.0 L) was stirred vigorously. Fluoroboric acid (HBF₄, 54% in Et₂O, 19 mL, 0.11 mmol) was added over 120 min via a syringe pump. After stirring for an additional hour, Et₃N (88 mL, 0.63 mol) was added and the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. Silica gel chromatography (1:1 EtOAc-hexanes, EtOAc) gave the product **2** (53.5 g, 83%), a known compound in the literature.^[17] ¹H NMR of the major anomer (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.54–7.45 ppm (m, 2H), 7.43–7.34 (m, 3H), 5.91 (m, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 9.0, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.13 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 9.2 1H), 4.09 (dd, *J* = 1.4, 3.5, 1H), 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.94 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 9.2, 1H), 3.84 (m, 2H), 2.60 (bs, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 137.2 ppm, 133.4, 129.3, 128.4, 126.2, 117.8, 102.3, 99.3, 77.9, 71.0, 68.8, 68.3, 63.1. HRMS (FAB) calculated for (C₁₆H₂₀O₆Na)⁺:

331.1158, found: 331.1158. IR (neat): 3341 cm^{-1} , 3230, 2923, 2903, 2866, 1454, 1397, 1378, 1285, 1219, 1133, 1112, 1098, 1069, 1029. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +60.7$ (c 0.145, CH_2Cl_2).

Methyl ether 3

A solution of **2** (39.7 g, 129 mmol) and *n*-Bu₂SnO (33.7 g, 135 mmol) in MeOH (650 mL) was heated to reflux. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. The crude stannyl ether and MeI (40 mL, 0.64 mol) were dissolved in DMF (650 mL) and heated overnight at 50 °C. The crude product was flushed through a silica gel column under reduced pressure (9:1 hexanes-EtOAc, 4:1 hexanes-EtOAc, 1:1 hexanes-EtOAc), to yield **3** (30.6 g, 74%). ¹H NMR of the major anomer (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.53–7.47 ppm (m, 2H), 7.40–7.31 (m, 3H), 5.92 (m, 1H), 5.60 (s, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 8.8, 1H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.14 (m, 1H), 4.05–3.98 (m, 2H), 3.91–3.81 (m, 2H), 3.72 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 9.6, 1H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 2.58 (d, *J* = 1.2, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 137.5 ppm, 133.5, 129.0, 128.2, 126.1, 117.9, 101.8, 99.2, 78.8, 69.3, 68.9, 68.3, 63.3, 58.7. HRMS (FAB) calculated for (C₁₇H₂₂O₆Na)⁺: 345.1314, found: 345.1304. Select IR peaks (neat): 3463 cm^{-1} , 2912, 1456, 1379, 1218, 1172, 1125, 1096, 1049, 1036, 1009. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +77.1$ (c 0.72, CH_2Cl_2).

Secondary alcohol 4

To a solution of **3** (30.6 g, 95.0 mmol) in THF-DMF (4:1, 500 mL) was slowly added NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 7.6 g, 0.19 mol), imidazole (0.65 g, 9.5 mmol), and benzyl bromide (BnBr, 23 mL, 0.19 mol). After 1 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of excess MeOH and water, followed by removal of solvents *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was dissolved in a CH₂Cl₂-water mixture, and the two layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), and the organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to dryness. The α -anomer (25.8 g, 66%) was isolated by silica gel chromatography under reduced pressure (9:1 hexanes-Et₂O).^[28]

To a solution of benzyl ether (25.8 g, 62.5 mmol) and NaBH₃CN (4.3 g, 69 mmol) in CH₃CN (160 mL) at 0 °C was added TiCl₄ (7.6 mL, 69 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for an additional 3 h, then concentrated *in vacuo*. A solution of NaOH (2 N, ca. 200 mL) was added to the crude mixture, followed by a saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), and the organic extracts dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to dryness.

The crude product was dissolved in DMF (125 mL), and stirred overnight with *t*-butyldiphenylchlorosilane (TBDPSCl, 16 mL, 63 mmol) and imidazole (2.1 g, 31 mmol). After removal of solvent, **4** (18.9 g, 73%) was isolated by silica gel chromatography (4:1 hexanes-Et₂O, 13:7 hexanes-Et₂O). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.41–7.24 ppm (m, 10H), 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (dd, *J* = 12.3, 16.9, 2H), 4.61 (dd, *J* = 12.1, 19.1, 2H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 4.03–3.93 (m, 2H), 3.84–3.72 (m, 4H), 3.49 (dd, *J* = 3.2, 9.5, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.58 (br s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 138.4 ppm, 138.3, 133.9, 128.3, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 117.4, 97.3, 81.3, 73.6, 73.2, 72.7, 71.6, 70.6, 68.0, 57.2. HRMS (FAB) calculated for (C₂₄H₃₀O₆Na)⁺: 437.1940, found: 437.1940. Select IR peaks (neat): 3456 cm^{-1} , 2913, 1497, 1454, 1367, 1088, 1043. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +10.0$ (c 0.61, CH_2Cl_2).

Monosaccharide acceptor 5

To a solution of **4** (9.15 g, 22.1 mmol) and Et₃N (12 mL, 88 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (55 mL) was slowly added TMSOTf (8.5 mL, 44 mmol). After 30 min, saturated NaHCO₃ was added, and the organic components were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x). The organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄, concentrated to dryness, and **5** (10.5 g, 98%) was isolated by silica gel chromatography (9:1 hexanes-Et₂O, 3:1 hexanes-Et₂O). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.47–7.28 ppm (m, 10H),

5.94 (m, 1H), 5.31 (m, 1H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 4.99 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.79 (dd, $J = 12.4, 28.3$, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 4.25 (m, 1H), 4.05–3.97 (m, 2H), 3.89 (dd $J = 1.9, 2.7$, 1H), 3.82–3.63 (m, 3H), 3.46 (dd, $J = 3.1, 9.2$, 1H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 138.7 ppm, 134.1, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 117.2, 97.5, 82.1, 73.9, 73.4, 73.3, 72.8, 69.9, 68.3, 67.9, 57.2, 0.5. HRMS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_6\text{SiNa})^+$: 509.2335, found: 509.2344. Select IR peaks (neat): 2953 cm^{-1} , 2912, 1454, 1248, 1104, 1060, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +29.6$ (c 0.75, CH_2Cl_2).

Monosaccharide donor 6d

A solution of **4** (9.72 g, 23.5 mmol), benzoyl chloride (BzCl , 5.4 mL, 47 mmol), and DMAP (575 mg, 4.71 mmol) in pyr (50 mL) was heated to 65°C for 1 h. Pyr was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 , washed with saturated NH_4Cl , and dried over MgSO_4 . The benzoate was isolated by silica gel chromatography (3:1 hexanes- Et_2O , 1:1 hexanes- Et_2O).^[28]

A solution of the benzoate (12.2 g, 23.4 mmol), $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{RhCl}$ (1.09 g, 5 mol%), and DABCO (400 mg, 15 mol%) in toluene- EtOH - H_2O (6:3:1, 150 mL) was heated overnight in a 100°C oil bath. Removal of the solvent yielded the enol-ether, which was stirred with a solution of acetone-1 N HCl (9:1, 100 mL) for 2 h at 80°C . Most of the solvent was removed *in vacuo* before adding saturated NaHCO_3 and extracting with CH_2Cl_2 (3x). The organic extracts were dried over MgSO_4 . The lactol (9.64 g, 86% over 2 steps) was isolated by silica gel chromatography (3:1 hexanes- Et_2O , 3:2 hexanes- Et_2O , 1:1 hexanes- Et_2O , 1:3 hexanes- Et_2O).^[28]

A solution of the lactol (9.64 g, 20.2 mmol), *mono*-methyl phthalate (7.26 g, 40.3 mmol), and DMAP (1.23 g, 10.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) was cooled to 0°C . 1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI , 5.8 g, 30 mmol) was added in portions to the reaction mixture. The ice-bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and the crude mixture dissolved in EtOAc and H_2O . The organic layer was washed with H_2O , saturated NaHCO_3 , H_2O , saturated NaCl , then dried over MgSO_4 and concentrated to dryness. **6d** (12.9 g, 100%, ca. 2.4:1 α/β mixture of anomers) was isolated by silica gel chromatography (2:3 Et_2O -hexanes, 3:2 Et_2O -hexanes, 3:1 Et_2O -hexanes, 4:1 Et_2O -hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz): 8.04–7.11 ppm (m, 19H), 6.48 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H, major), 6.01 (s, 1H, minor), 5.67 (dd, $J = 9.9, 9.9$, 1H, major), 5.62 (dd, $J = 9.2, 9.3$, 1H, minor), 4.86 (s, 2H, major), 4.83 (s, 2H, minor), 4.50 (s, 2H, major), 4.49 (s, 2H, minor), 4.18–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.94 (m, 1H, minor), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.77–3.55 (m, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H, minor), 3.32 (s, 3H, major). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 167.8 ppm, 167.3, 166.6, 166.1, 165.6, 165.5, 137.8, 133.1, 132.3, 131.6, 131.2, 131.1, 131.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.4, 129.3, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, 93.9, 93.7, 81.6, 78.7, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 75.4, 74.1, 73.6, 73.4, 73.0, 72.7, 72.5, 69.8, 69.0, 58.2, 58.0, 52.6. HRMS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{37}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_{10}\text{Na})^+$: 663.2206, found: 663.2191. Select IR peaks (neat): 2915 cm^{-1} , 1727, 1452, 1435, 1356, 1314, 1267, 1176, 1110, 1068, 1039, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +2.9$ (c 0.65, CH_2Cl_2).

Disaccharide α -7d

Precautions—For handling of anhydrous AgClO_4 , see: Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals*, 3rd ed.; Pergamon, New York, NY, 1988 and *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, Paquette, L. A. Ed, John Wiley & Son, New York, NY, 1995. Solid AgClO_4 (310 mg, 1.50 mmol) in a round-bottomed flask was azeotroped with toluene. Under an atmosphere of nitrogen, AgClO_4 was dissolved in ether (60 mL), followed by the addition of SnCl_4 solution (0.3 M, 5.0 mL solution, 1.50 mmol). The catalyst was generated by stirring this mixture in the dark. After 1 h, it was cooled to 0°C with an ice

bath. **6d** (9.57 g, 14.9 mmol) and **5** (7.24 g, 14.9 mmol) were pre-mixed in a pear-shaped flask that had been dried overnight with a P₂O₅ trap. When the catalyst was ready, the starting materials were transferred to the reaction mixture with Et₂O (240 mL). The final concentration was 0.05 M relative to the glycosyl donor. The reaction mixture was then placed in a 0 °C refrigerator, stirred for 36 h, and quenched by addition of saturated NaHCO₃. The two layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3x), and the combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to dryness. Crude NMR showed an α/β ratio of disaccharide to be ca. 17: 1. Silica gel chromatography (7:3 hexanes-Et₂O, 1:1 hexanes-Et₂O, 7:3 Et₂O-hexanes) yielded α -**7d** (9.99 g, 76%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 8.02 ppm (m, 2H), 7.56 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.10 (m, 22H), 5.91 (m, 1H), 5.57 (dd, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 9.8, 1H), 5.34 (d, *J* = 1.9, 1H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 5.19 (m, 1H), 4.94 (d, *J* = 1.7, 1H), 4.75 (dd, *J* = 12.6, 16.9, 2H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 4.58–4.40 (m, 4H), 4.21 (m, 1H), 4.05–3.88 (m, 5H), 3.83–3.71 (m, 3H), 3.68 (dd, *J* = 2.9, 9.6, 1H), 3.60–3.48 (m, 3H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 165.6 ppm, 138.8, 138.6, 138.3, 134.0, 132.9, 130.4, 129.8, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 127.2, 117.4, 100.3, 97.0, 81.9, 79.3, 75.1, 74.4, 73.6, 73.3, 72.6, 72.4, 71.7, 71.6, 70.6, 70.3, 69.8, 68.2, 57.9, 56.7. HRMS (FAB) calculated for (C₅₂H₅₈O₁₂Na)⁺: 897.3826, found: 897.3862. Select IR peaks (neat): 2924 cm⁻¹, 1726, 1453, 1268, 1111, 1070, 1050, 1028. [α]_D²⁵ +29.2 (c 0.67, CH₂Cl₂).

Disaccharide donor 8

Following the deallylation procedure given for the benzoate derived from **4**, α -**7d** (4.05 g, 4.62 mmol) was converted to the disaccharide lactol (3.12 g, 81%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 1:1 Et₂O-hexanes, 6:4 Et₂O-hexanes).^[28]

Then, following the procedure described for formation of **6d**, disaccharide lactol (3.11 g, 3.72 mmol) was esterified to give **8** (3.64 g, 98%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 1:1 Et₂O-hexanes, 3:1 Et₂O-hexanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 8.05–7.97 ppm (m, 2H), 7.84–7.09 (m, 27H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H, major), 5.90 (br s, 1H, minor), 5.59 (dd, *J* = 9.5, 9.5, 1H, minor), 5.57 (dd, *J* = 9.7, 9.8, 1H, major), 5.36 (d, *J* = 2.0, 1H, major), 4.87–4.69 (m, 4H), 4.58–4.40 (m, 4H), 4.14–3.95 (m, 3H), 3.95–3.86 (m, 2H), 3.86–3.82 (m, 3H), 3.82–3.75 (m, 1H), 3.75–3.47 (m, 5H), 3.37–3.32 (m, 4H, minor), 3.29 (s, 3H, major), 3.19 (br s, 3H, major), 3.18 (br s, 3H, minor). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 167.3 ppm, 166.2, 165.5, 138.4, 138.1, 137.8, 132.9, 132.3, 131.6, 131.5, 131.1, 131.0, 130.1, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 129.0, 128.8, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 100.2, 93.9, 93.7, 84.2, 80.8, 79.2, 79.1, 76.5, 74.4, 74.2, 74.1, 73.5, 73.3, 72.6, 72.4, 72.3, 72.1, 71.5, 70.1, 70.0, 69.5, 58.0, 57.9, 56.8, 56.5, 52.7, 52.6. HRMS (FAB) calculated for (C₅₈H₆₀O₁₅Na)⁺: 1019.3830, found: 1019.3845. Select IR peaks (neat): 2925 cm⁻¹, 1727, 1453, 1313, 1269, 1169, 1112, 1062, 1028. [α]_D²⁵ +15.4 (c 0.70, CH₂Cl₂).

Disaccharide acceptor 9

Disaccharide α -**7d** (4.47 g, 5.11 mmol) was stirred with methanolic NaOH (0.2 M, 50 mL) for 3.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with water, followed by saturated NaCl. The organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to dryness. Purification of the crude product by silica gel column chromatography (1:1 Et₂O-hexanes, 3:2 Et₂O-hexanes) yielded the secondary alcohol (3.63 g, 92%).^[28]

Following the procedure given for formation of **5**, the secondary alcohol (3.63 g, 4.70 mmol) was silylated to yield **9** (3.94 g, 99%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 7:3 hexanes-Et₂O, 1:1 hexanes-Et₂O). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 7.41–7.20 (m, 20H), 5.90 (m, 1H), 5.29–5.22 (m, 2H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.74–4.45 (m, 8H), 4.19 (m, 1H), 4.00–3.82 (m, 5H), 3.79 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 3.1, 1H), 3.76–3.67 (m, 3H), 3.61–3.53 (m, 2H), 3.47

(dd, $J = 3.1, 9.1$, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 3.30 (dd, $J = 3.0, 9.2$, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 138.9 ppm, 138.8, 138.7, 138.3, 133.9, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.2, 117.5, 100.3, 96.9, 81.9, 81.6, 77.2, 75.0, 74.3, 74.0, 73.5, 73.2, 73.1, 72.5, 72.2, 71.6, 70.4, 69.8, 68.1, 68.0, 57.0, 56.8, 0.5. HRMS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{48}\text{H}_{62}\text{O}_{11}\text{SiNa})^+$: 865.3959, found: 865.3978. Select IR peaks (neat): 2924 cm^{-1} , 1454, 1248, 1111, 1047, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +32.3$ (c 0.39, CH_2Cl_2).

Tetrasaccharide α -10

Following the procedure described for formation of α -**7d**, **8** (4.07 g, 4.08 mmol) and **9** (3.44 g, 4.08 mmol) were glycosidated at -20°C for 36 h. The desired tetrasaccharide α -**10** (4.92 g, 76% with $\geq 98\%$ purity) was isolated by HPLC (1:1 hexanes-MeOBu-*t* on m-porasil column) and then size exclusion HPLC (CHCl_3). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz): 8.05–7.93 ppm (m, 2H), 7.62–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.05 (m, 42H), 5.89 (m, 1H), 5.61 (dd, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 9.9, 1H), 5.37 (d, $J = 1.7$, 1H), 5.29 (d, $J = 1.6$, 1H), 5.28 (d, $J = 1.8$, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, $J = 1.7$, 1H), 4.80–4.36 (m, 16H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.60 (m, 16H), 3.58–3.43 (m, 4H), 3.40 (dd, $J = 3.1, 9.1$, 1H), 3.32–3.25 (m, 4H), 3.25–3.13 (m, 11H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 165.5 ppm, 138.7, 138.6, 138.5, 138.4, 138.1, 138.0, 133.7, 132.8, 130.1, 129.7, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 117.5, 100.1, 99.7, 96.7, 81.6, 81.3, 79.1, 77.2, 75.3, 75.0, 74.8, 74.2, 74.0, 73.5, 73.4, 73.3, 73.2, 72.9, 72.7, 72.4, 72.3, 72.2, 71.8, 71.7, 71.4, 70.5, 70.0, 69.6, 68.1, 57.8, 56.6, 56.3. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{94}\text{H}_{106}\text{O}_{22}\text{Na})^+$: 1609, found: 1609. Select IR peaks (neat): 2921 cm^{-1} , 1726, 1453, 1268, 1112, 1072, 1046, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +34.4$ (c 0.34, CH_2Cl_2).

Tetrasaccharide donor 11

Following the deallylation procedure given for the benzoate derived from **4**, α -**10** (1.15 g, 721 mmol) was converted to the tetrasaccharide lactol (905 mg, 81%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 2:1 Et₂O-hexanes, 4:1 Et₂O-hexanes).^[28]

Then, following the procedure given for the formation of **6d**, the tetrasaccharide lactol (905 mg, 585 mmol) was esterified to yield **11** (997 mg, 100%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 7:3 Et₂O-hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz): 8.05–7.96 ppm (m, 2H), 7.81–7.06 (m, 47H), 6.46 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, minor), 5.87 (s, 1H), 5.63 (dd, $J = 9.9, 9.9$, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 4.87–4.67 (m, 6H), 4.67–4.36 (m, 10H), 4.10–3.90 (m, 7H), 3.90–3.60 (m, 14H), 3.60–3.23 (m, 8H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 167.7 ppm, 165.6, 165.5, 138.7, 138.5, 138.4, 138.3, 138.1, 132.8, 132.2, 131.6, 131.5, 131.1, 130.9, 130.2, 129.7, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 100.2, 99.7, 93.8, 93.6, 84.1, 81.3, 80.7, 79.1, 74.9, 74.8, 74.5, 74.3, 74.1, 73.5, 73.4, 73.2, 73.1, 73.0, 72.9, 72.5, 72.4, 72.2, 72.0, 71.9, 71.4, 70.4, 70.1, 70.0, 69.6, 57.9, 56.8, 56.5, 56.3, 52.6, 52.5. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{100}\text{H}_{108}\text{O}_{25}\text{Na})^+$: 1731, found: 1731. Select IR peaks (neat): 2925 cm^{-1} , 1727, 1453, 1270, 1113, 1047, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +21.0$ (c 0.40, CH_2Cl_2).

Tetrasaccharide acceptor 12

Following the procedure given for hydrolysis of the benzoate of α -**7d**, α -**10** (1.04 g, 657 mmol) was converted to the corresponding secondary alcohol (1.00 g).^[28] The crude product was used for the next step without purification.

Then, following the procedure given for formation of **5**, the crude alcohol (974 mg, 657 mmol) was silylated to yield **12** (864 mg, 85% for 2 steps, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 6:4 hexanes-Et₂O, 1:1 hexanes-Et₂O, 7:3 Et₂O-hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz): 7.42–7.15 ppm (m, 40H), 5.95 (m, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 4.82–4.69 (m, 6H), 4.69–4.42 (m, 10H), 4.25 (m, 1H), 4.09–3.90 (m,

7H), 3.90–3.58 (m, 15H), 3.55–3.48 (m, 1H), 3.48–3.38 (m, 2H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 138.6 ppm, 138.1, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 127.1, 117.6, 100.2, 99.8, 99.7, 96.8, 81.7, 81.5, 81.3, 74.9, 74.6, 74.1, 73.8, 73.4, 73.3, 73.0, 72.7, 72.5, 72.4, 72.0, 71.8, 71.7, 70.4, 69.6, 68.1, 67.9, 57.0, 56.7, 56.5, 0.5. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{90}\text{H}_{110}\text{O}_{21}\text{SiNa})^+$: 1577, found: 1577. Select IR peaks (neat): 2924 cm^{-1} , 1454, 1112, 1074, 1046, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +35.8$ (c 1.20, CH_2Cl_2).

Octasaccharide α -13

Following the procedure given for synthesis of **α -7d**, **11** (844 mg, 493 mmol) and **12** (766 mg, 493 mmol) were glycosidated at 0 °C for 9.5 h. The crude reaction mixture was re-dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (5.0 mL), followed by the addition of Et_3N (160 mL, 1.13 mmol) and TMSOTf (110 mL, 569 mmol). After aqueous work-up (CH_2Cl_2 extractions (3x) from NaHCO_3 solution), silica gel chromatography (1:1 Et_2O -hexanes, 3:2 Et_2O -hexanes, 7:3 Et_2O -hexanes) allowed recovery of unreacted **12** and isolation of desired octasaccharide **α -13** (1.17 g, 79% with 90% estimated purity^[24]). Further purification was done by JAI recycling HPLC. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz): 8.03–7.96 ppm (m, 2H), 7.59–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.07 (m, 82m), 5.89 (m, 1H), 5.62 (dd, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 9.9, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.37–5.32 (m, 4H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, $J = 1.5$, 1H), 4.81–4.65 (m, 10H), 4.65–4.56 (m, 6H), 4.56–4.33 (m, 16H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.08–3.88 (m, 11H), 3.88–3.55 (m, 28H), 3.55–3.36 (m, 9H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 6H), 3.10 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 165.6 ppm, 138.8, 138.5, 138.2, 138.1, 133.8, 132.8, 129.8, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 117.5, 100.3, 99.8, 99.6, 96.8, 81.7, 81.4, 79.2, 75.2, 74.8, 74.1, 73.5, 73.4, 73.3, 73.1, 72.8, 72.5, 72.2, 71.8, 71.5, 70.6, 70.5, 70.1, 69.7, 68.1, 57.9, 56.6, 56.3. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{178}\text{H}_{202}\text{O}_{42}\text{Na})^+$: 3037, found: 3036. Select IR peaks (neat): 2923 cm^{-1} , 1727, 1453, 1269, 1114, 1074, 1047, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +38.8$ (c 0.40, CH_2Cl_2).

Octasaccharide acceptor 14

Following the procedure given for hydrolysis of the benzoate of **α -7d**, **α -13** (362 mg, 120 mmol) was converted to the corresponding secondary alcohol (290 mg, 83%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 2:1 hexanes-EtOAc, 3:2 hexanes-EtOAc, 1:1 hexanes-EtOAc).^[28] However, to dissolve the starting material, an equal volume of THF and methanolic NaOH solution was used for this case.

Then, following the procedure described for formation of **5**, the octasaccharide secondary alcohol (286 mg, 98.1 mmol) was silylated to furnish **14** (292 mg, 100%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 2:1 hexanes-EtOAc, 3:2 hexanes-EtOAc). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz): 7.48–7.03 ppm (m, 80H), 5.89 (m, 1H), 5.37–5.32 (m, 2H), 5.32–5.29 (m, 5H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.75–4.63 (m, 9H), 4.63–5.52 (m, 8H), 4.52–4.44 (m, 8H), 4.44–4.33 (m, 7H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.02–3.86 (m, 9H), 3.86–3.68 (m, 21H), 3.68–3.52 (m, 9H), 3.50–3.42 (m, 7H), 3.39 (dd, $J = 2.7$, 9.3, 1H), 3.33 (dd, $J = 2.8$, 9.3, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 3.13 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 6H), 0.09 (s, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz): 139.0 ppm, 138.9, 138.6, 138.2, 133.9, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.1, 117.3, 100.3, 99.8, 99.7, 97.0, 81.8, 81.7, 81.5, 75.2, 74.8, 74.6, 74.4, 74.2, 73.4, 72.7, 72.1, 71.9, 70.6, 70.5, 69.8, 68.2, 68.0, 56.9, 56.6, 56.4, 56.3, 0.5. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{174}\text{H}_{206}\text{O}_{41}\text{SiNa})^+$: 3005, found: 3004. Select IR peaks (neat): 2925 cm^{-1} , 1454, 1113, 1074, 1046, 1028. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +33.8$ (c 0.13, CH_2Cl_2).

Dodecasaccharide α -15

Following the procedure given for the synthesis of **α -13**, **11** (54.8 mg, 32.0 mmol) and **14** (95.4 mg, 32.0 mmol) were glycosidated at 0 °C for 8.5 h. The dodecasaccharide **α -15** (107 mg, 75%

with 84% estimated purity^[23]) was isolated by JAI recycling HPLC. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 8.03–7.97 ppm (m, 2H), 7.58–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.03 (m, 12H), 5.89 (m, 1H), 5.62 (dd, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 9.9, 1H), 5.37 (d, *J* = 1.6, 1H), 5.36–5.33 (m, 8H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (d, *J* = 1.6, 1H), 4.78–4.65 (m, 14H), 4.63–4.55 (m, 10H), 4.55–4.44 (m, 12H), 4.44–4.32 (m, 12H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.88 (m, 15H), 3.88–3.71 (m, 31H), 3.71–3.57 (m, 12H), 3.57–3.37 (m, 14H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 3.13–3.04 (m, 21H). MS (FAB) calculated for (C₂₆₂H₂₉₈O₆₂Na)⁺: 4462, found: 4464.

Octasaccharide donor 16

Following the deallylation procedure given for the benzoate derived from **4**, **α-13** (221 mg, 73.2 mmol) was converted to the octasaccharide lactol (167 mg, 77%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 3:1 Et₂O-hexanes, Et₂O).^[28]

Then, following the procedure given for preparation of **6d**, octasaccharide lactol (166 mg, 55.8 mmol) was esterified to yield **16** (165 mg, 94%, solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 98:2 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): 8.05–7.95 ppm (m, 2H), 7.82–7.50 (m, 5H), 7.49–7.04 (m, 82H), 6.46 (s, 1H, major), 5.87 (s, 1H, minor), 5.63 (dd, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 9.9, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.35 (s, 4H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 4.87–4.67 (m, 10H), 4.67–4.55 (m, 7H), 4.55–4.33 (m, 15H), 4.12–3.90 (m, 11H), 3.90–3.58 (m, 30H), 3.58–3.32 (m, 8H), 3.32–3.23 (m, 4H, major), 3.21 (s, 3H, minor), 3.20 (s, 3H, minor), 3.19 (s, 3H, major), 3.18 (s, 3H, major), 3.17 (s, 3H, minor), 3.16 (s, 3H, minor), 3.15 (s, 6H, major), 3.12 (s, 6H, major), 3.10 (s, 3H, major). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): 167.6 ppm, 167.2, 166.0, 165.5, 138.7, 138.4, 138.2, 138.1, 137.6, 132.8, 131.8, 131.6, 131.4, 131.1, 131.0, 130.1, 129.7, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 100.2, 99.8, 99.6, 93.8, 93.5, 84.1, 81.3, 80.8, 79.1, 74.9, 74.8, 74.7, 74.6, 74.5, 74.1, 74.0, 73.4, 73.3, 73.2, 73.1, 72.8, 72.5, 72.4, 72.1, 71.8, 71.4, 70.4, 70.2, 70.0, 69.6, 57.8, 56.7, 56.4, 56.3, 53.7, 52.5. MS (FAB) calculated for (C₁₈₄H₂₀₄O₄₅Na)⁺: 3159, found: 3157. Select IR peaks (neat): 2924 cm⁻¹, 1727, 1453, 1270, 1113, 1046, 1028. [α]_D²⁵ +35.6 (*c* 0.23, CH₂Cl₂).

Hexadecasaccharide α-17

Following the glycosidation procedure given for **α-13**, **16** (251 mg, 80.0 mmol) and **14** (238 mg, 80.0 mmol) were coupled at 0 °C for 9.5 h. The hexadecasaccharide **α-17** (345 mg, 74% with 76% estimated purity^[24]) was isolated by JAI recycling chromatography. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 8.03–7.95 ppm (m, 2H), 7.57–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.03 (m, 162H), 5.88 (m, 1H), 5.61 (dd, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 9.9, 1H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.36–5.32 (m, 12H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.79–4.64 (m, 17H), 4.64–4.53 (m, 15H), 4.53–4.32 (m, 32H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 4.07–3.88 (m, 18H), 3.88–3.64 (m, 46H), 3.64–3.55 (m, 14H), 3.55–3.36 (m, 18H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 6H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 3.14–3.05 (m, 33H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): 165.6 ppm, 138.9, 138.5, 129.8, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.3, 127.1, 117.5, 100.3, 99.7, 81.8, 81.5, 79.2, 75.2, 74.7, 73.5, 73.4, 73.3, 72.6, 72.3, 71.9, 71.5, 70.6, 70.5, 68.2, 57.9, 56.3. MS (FAB) calculated for (C₃₄₆H₃₉₄O₈₂Na)⁺: 5888, found: 5887. Select IR peaks (neat): 3366 cm⁻¹, 2923, 1723, 1453, 1273, 1115, 1047, 1028. [α]_D²⁵ +45.9 (*c* 0.14, CH₂Cl₂).

sMMP 8-mer (18)

A solution of the octasaccharide secondary alcohol (35.7 mg, 11.8 mmol, obtained in 83% by hydrolysis of **α-13** under the conditions given for **α-15**)^[28] in EtOH (1.0 mL) was stirred overnight with a portion of 10% Pd(OH)₂ on carbon (ca. 3 mg) under an H₂ balloon. The reaction mixture was passed through Celite to remove the catalyst, followed by removal of solvents *in vacuo*. The deprotected compound was purified by reverse phase silica gel chromatography (4:1 H₂O-MeOH) to give **18** (17.3 mg, 100%). ¹H NMR (D₂O, 500 MHz): 5.23–5.20 (m, 7 H), 4.89 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.22–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.20–4.17 (m, 6 H), 4.17–

4.14 (m, 1 H), 3.89–3.61 (m, 40 H), 3.54–3.44 (m, 2 H), 3.45 (br s, 6 × 3H), 3.43 (br s, 2 × 3H), 1.64–1.57 (m, 2 H), 0.91 (t, $J = 7.6$, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (D_2O , 100 MHz, 310 K): 101.4 ppm, 101.2, 99.6, 81.1, 80.8, 79.9, 73.9, 72.9, 72.5, 72.4, 71.3, 69.8, 66.4, 66.3, 66.1, 65.6, 61.1, 56.4, 56.3, 22.1, 10.0. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{59}\text{H}_{103}\text{O}_{41})^-$: 1467, found: 1467. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{59}\text{H}_{104}\text{O}_{41}\text{Na})^+$: 1492, found: 1491. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +88.2$ (c 0.24, H_2O).

sMMP 12-mer (19)

Methanolic NaOH solution (0.5 M, 1.0 mL) was added to ***α*-15** (63.7 mg, 14.3 mmol) in a round-bottomed flask. A small amount of CH_2Cl_2 was added drop-wise to dissolve the starting material. The reaction was worked-up as described for hydrolysis of the benzoate of ***α*-7d**, to yield the corresponding secondary alcohol (48.5 mg, 78%, solvent for silica gel chromatography: 2:1 hexanes-EtOAc, 55:45 hexanes-EtOAc).^[28]

Then, following the procedure given for sMMP 8-mer (**18**), the secondary alcohol (48.5 mg, 11.2 mmol) was hydrogenated to furnish sMMP 12-mer (**19**) (20.0 mg, 83%, solvent used for reverse phase silica gel chromatography: 4:1 H_2O -MeOH, 3:2 H_2O -MeOH). Note that use of Celite should be avoided because it absorbed the product too tightly. ^1H NMR (D_2O , 500 MHz): 5.23–5.20 (m, 11 H), 4.89 (d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.22–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.20–4.17 (m, 10 H), 4.16–4.14 (m, 1 H), 3.89–3.61 (m, 60 H), 3.54–3.44 (m, 2 H), 3.45 (br s, 10 × 3H), 3.44 (br s, 2 × 3H), 1.65–1.57 (m, 2 H), 0.91 (t, $J = 7.6$, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (D_2O , 100 MHz, 310 K): 101.6 ppm, 101.4, 99.6, 80.8, 79.9, 73.8, 73.4, 72.9, 72.4, 71.4, 69.8, 66.3, 65.6, 61.0, 56.3, 22.0, 9.9. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{87}\text{H}_{151}\text{O}_{61})^-$: 2173, found: 2173. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +92.6$ (c 0.47, H_2O).

sMMP 16-mer (20)

***α*-17** (122 mg, 20.9 mmol) was converted to the corresponding secondary alcohol (105 mg, 87%), following the procedure described for hydrolysis of the benzoate of ***α*-7d**, except that an equal volume of THF and methanolic NaOH was added to enhance the solubility of the starting material in the reaction mixture. Solvent used for silica gel chromatography: 3:2 hexanes-EtOAc, 1:1 hexanes-EtOAc.^[28]

Then, following the procedure, except for use of Celite, given for the case of sMMP 8-mer (**18**), the secondary alcohol (28.0 mg, 4.86 mmol) was subjected to hydrogenolysis/hydrogenation to provide sMMP 16-mer (**20**) (7.7 mg, 55%, solvent used for reverse phase silica gel chromatography: 4:1 H_2O -MeOH, 3:2 H_2O -MeOH). ^1H NMR (D_2O , 500 MHz): 5.23–5.20 (m, 15 H), 4.89 (br s, 1H), 4.22–4.20 (m, 1H), 4.20–4.17 (m, 14 H), 4.16–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.90–3.61 (m, 80 H), 3.54–3.44 (m, 2 H), 3.45 (br s, 14 × 3H), 3.44 (br s, 2 × 3H), 1.65–1.57 (m, 2 H), 0.92 (t, $J = 7.6$, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (D_2O , 100 MHz, 310 K): 101.2 ppm, 99.3, 80.8, 80.6, 79.6, 73.6, 72.1, 71.0, 69.6, 66.0, 65.7, 65.3, 60.8, 56.0, 29.5, 21.8, 9.7. MS (FAB) calculated for $(\text{C}_{115}\text{H}_{199}\text{O}_{81})^-$: 2878, found: 2878. $[\alpha]^{25}_{\text{D}} +95.7$ (c 0.42, H_2O).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Institutes of Health (NS 12108) is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Footnotes

1. For reviews on MMP and MGLP/MGP, see: (a) Bloch K. *Advances in Enzymology* 1977;45:1. (b) Ballou CE. *Accts Chem Res* 1968;1:366. (c) Ballou CE. *Pure Appl Chem* 1981;53:107.

2. For isolation and structural characterization of MMP, see: (a) Gray GR, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1971;246:6835. [PubMed: 5166559] (b) Maitra SK, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1977;252:2459. [PubMed: 856790] (c) Weisman LS, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1984;259:3457. [PubMed: 6706966] (d) Weisman LS, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1984;259:3464. [PubMed: 6706967] (e) Ilton M, Jevans AW, McCarthy ED, Vance D, White HB III, Bloch K. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1971;68:87. [PubMed: 5276305]
3. For isolation and structural characterization of MGLP/MGP, see: (a) Lee YC, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1964;239:PC3602. [PubMed: 14245425] (b) Saier MH Jr, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1968;243:4332. [PubMed: 5679967] (c) Smith WL, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1973;248:7118. [PubMed: 4743516] (d) Forsberg LS, Dell A, Walton DJ, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1982;257:3555. [PubMed: 7061496]
Regarding the structural heterogeneity of MGP, Ballou commented that at least two forms of MGP containing 21 hexoses exist: Kamisango K, Dell A, Ballou CE. *J Biol Chem* 1987;262:4580. [PubMed: 3558356] Also see, Tuffal G, Albigot R, Monsarrat B, Ponthus C, Picard C, Rivière M, Puzo G. *J Carbohydr Chem* 1995;14:631.
4. The structure of MG(L)P shown in Figure 1 is the revised structure suggested by Rivière based on the structure of polysaccharide isolated from *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG. See: Tuffal G, Albigot R, Rivière M, Puzo G. *Glycobiology* 1998;8:675–684. [PubMed: 9621108]
5. Flick PK, Bloch K. *J Biol Chem* 1974;249:1031. [PubMed: 4855991]
6. Knoche H, Esders TW, Koths K, Bloch K. *J Biol Chem* 1973;248:2317. [PubMed: 4633353]
7. (a) Machida Y, Bloch K. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1973;70:1146. [PubMed: 4515612] (b) Bergeron M, Machida Y, Bloch K. *J Biol Chem* 1975;250:1223. [PubMed: 1112801]
8. M. C. H. started the sMMP synthesis as her thesis work in 1992. This paper is largely based on her Dissertation “Synthetic 3-*O*-Methylmannose Polysaccharides (sMMP): Complex Formation and Molecular Recognition with Fatty Acids” (Harvard University, 1997).
9. A number of comprehensive monographs on “Chemical Synthesis of Glycosides” are available; for example, see: (a) Ernst BHartGWSinayPCarbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology I Wiley-VCH New York, NY 2000 which contains 18 relevant reviews by the leading practitioners. (b) Wong C-H Carbohydrate-based Drug Discovery I Wiley-VCH Weinheim, Germany 2003 which contains 3 relevant reviews. (c) Fraser-Reid BOTatsutaKThiemJ Glycoscience: Chemistry and Chemical Biology I and 2 Springer-Verlag Berlin, Germany which contain 5 relevant reviews. In addition, the December issue of *Chem Rev* 2000;100 was dedicated to Carbohydrate Chemistry, which contains 4 relevant reviews.
10. For recent reviews on “Chemical Synthesis of Glycosides and Oligosaccharides” by the experts in the field, see: (a) Schmidt R.R., (i) page 5 of the monograph quoted in 9-a; (ii) page 4423 in the special issue of *Chem. Rev.* quoted in 9; (iii) *Chem Rev.* 2006, 106, 160. (b) Danishefsky S.J. (i) page 61 of the monograph quoted in 9-a; (ii) *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31; 685. (iii) *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1996;35:1380. (c) Fraser-Reid, B. (i) page 135 of the monograph quoted in 9-a. (d) Wong, C.-H. (i) page 117 of the monograph quoted in 9-a; (ii) page 4465 in the special issue of *Chem Rev* quoted in 9 *Science* 2001;291:2344. (e) Seeberger, P. H. (i) page 103 of the monograph quoted in 9-b; (ii) page 4349 in the special issue of *Chem. Rev.* quoted in 9; (iii) *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 595. (f) Hanessian, S. page 4443 in the special issue of *Chem. Rev.* quoted in 9. (g) Ley, S. V. (i) page 427 of the monograph quoted in 9-a; (ii) *Chem Rev* 2001;101:53.
11. For recent examples, see the following papers and references cited therein: (a) Merritt JR, Naisang E, Fraser-Reid B. *J Org Chem* 1994;59:4443. (b) Grice P, Ley SV, Pietruszka J, Osborn HMI, Priepke HWM, Warriner SL. *Chem Eur J* 1997;3:431. (c) Lee HK, Scanlan CN, Huang CY, Chang AY, Calarese DA, Dwek RA, Rudd PM, Burton DR, Wilson IA, Wong CH. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2004;43:1000. [PubMed: 14966891] Danishefsky and coworkers synthesized several biologically important oligosaccharides containing mannosides. For the most recent work from the Danishefsky laboratory, see: (d) Geng X, Dudkin VY, Mandal M, Danishefsky SJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2004;43:2562. [PubMed: 15127453] (e) Jiang L, Chan TH. *Can J Chem* 2005;83:693. (f) Watt JA, Williams SJ. *Org Biomol Chem* 2005;3:1982. [PubMed: 15889182] Seeberger and coworkers synthesized several biologically important oligosaccharides containing mannosides. For the most recent work from the Seeberger laboratory, see: (g) Liu X, Stocker BL, Seeberger PH. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:3638. [PubMed: 16536536]
12. Among the representative glycosidation methods known in 1992, the Schmidt glycosidation gave excellent results in terms of chemical yield and stereoselectivity, but its potential drawback for the

current work was that, probably due to the instability of trichloroacetimidates, we had to use an excess of the donor to ensure a high yield for the glycosidation.

13. Wang, Y.; Cheon, H.-S.; Lee, J.; Kishi, Y. a manuscript in preparation.
14. (a) Mukaiyama T, Takashima T, Katurada M. Aizawa, Chem Lett 1991:533. (b) Mukaiyama T, Katurada M, Takashima T. Chem Lett 1991:985.
15. This screening had been conducted, before the second generation of Mukaiyama ester, 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)acetate, was reported: Matsubara K, Sasaki T, Mukaiyama T. Chem Lett 1993:1373. In the mannose series, the *mono*-methyl phthalate and the second generation of Mukaiyama ester gave comparable results (chemical yield and stereoselectivity), except for the rate of glycosidation; the second generation of Mukaiyama ester gave a much faster rate than with the *mono*-methyl phthalate.
16. The similar stereoselectivity ($\sim 10:1$ α/β) was observed for formation of allyl mannoside with Dowex-H⁺ resin: Lee RT, Lee YC. Carbohydr Res 1974;37:193. [PubMed: 4429968] However, the Sc(OTf)₃ conditions furnished allyl mannoside in a higher yield with less side products and shorter reaction times.
17. (a) Albert R, Dax K, Pleschko R, Stutz AE. Carbohydr Res 1985;137:282. (b) Vasella A, Witzig C, Waldruff C, Uhlmann P, Briner K, Bernet B, Panza L, Husi R. Helv Chim Acta 1993;76:2847. (c) Winnik FM, Carver JP, Krepinski JJ. J Org Chem 1982;47:2701.
18. Nashed MA. Carbohydr Res 1978;60:200.
19. Adam G, Seebach D. Synthesis 1988:373.
20. The α - and β -anomers were distinguishable by ¹H NMR, i.e., the anomeric proton of α - and β -anomers resonated at 5.35 and 4.73 ppm, respectively. The stereoselectivity of glycosidation was estimated from the relative intensity of these signals.
21. Although a considerable difference was observed in their reactivity, both anomers β -6d and α -6d were found equally effective for the glycosidation.
22. It is generally recognized that β -mannosides are prone to facile anomerization. However, it is worthwhile noting that the Mukaiyama catalyst (AgClO₄-SnCl₄) was found to promote the anomerization only from the β -mannosides to the corresponding α -mannosides. To the best of our knowledge, the anomerization with the observed level of selectivity is rare. Perhaps, the example reported by Lee and coworkers (Lee CK, Kim EJ, Lee ISH. Carbohydr Res 1993;240:197.) is most relevant to this case.
23. (a) Corey EJ, Suggs WJ. J Org Chem 1973;38:3224. (b) Gent PA, Gigg R. J Chem Soc, Chem Commun 1974:277.
24. The purity was estimated from FAB-MS (see the text).
25. The second step, i.e., H₂/Pd(OH)₂, in Scheme 11 effected deprotection of the benzyl group as well as reduction of the allyl group. However, it should be noted that α -13, α -15, and α -17 contain 16, 24, and 32 benzyl groups, respectively, and the increasing number of benzyl groups may also contribute to the observed sharp decline in its efficiency.
26. Cheon HS, Wang Y, Ma J, Kishi Y. ChemBioChem. in press (b) Papaioannou, N.; Cheon, H.-S.; Kishi, Y. a manuscript in preparation.
27. Cheon, H.-S.; Kishi, Y. a manuscript in preparation.
28. Complete spectroscopic data of this synthetic intermediate are given in Supporting Information.

Figure 1. Structures of mycobacterial polysaccharides 3-*O*-methyl-D-mannose-containing polysaccharides (MMP) and 6-*O*-methyl-D-glucose-containing lipopolysaccharides (MGLP)/6-*O*-methyl-D-glucose-containing polysaccharides (MGP).

Figure 2. Structures of synthetic analogs of mycobacterial polysaccharides, sMMP (synthetic polysaccharides composed of 3-O-methyl-D-mannose) and sMGP (synthetic polysaccharides composed of 6-O-methyl-D-glucose).

Figure 3.

^1H NMR spectra of the MeO signals of **13** (400 MHz, CDCl_3). Reaction A was quenched after 21.5 h; Reaction B was quenched after 9.5 h.

Scheme 1.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. $\text{Sc}(\text{OTf})_3$, Allyl-OH, 80%; 2. $\text{PhCH}(\text{OMe})_2$, HBF_4 , 83%; (b) 1. $n\text{-Bu}_2\text{SnO}$, MeOH, 83%; 2. MeI, 74%; (c) 1. NaH, BnBr, imidazole, 66%; 2. TiCl_4 , NaBH_3CN , 73%.

6a: R = TIPS; **6b:** R = MPM;
6c: R = Ph carbonate; **6d:** R = Bz

Scheme 2.

Reagents and conditions: (a) TMSOTf, Et₃N, 98%; (b) 1. protection with R; 2. (i) (Ph₃P)₃RhCl, DABCO; (ii) HCl; 3. *mono*-methyl phthalate, EDCI, DMAP; (c) SnCl₄-AgClO₄ (10 mol%), 0 °C.

Scheme 3.

Products formed from **β -7d** under the Mukaiyama glycosidation conditions.

Scheme 4.

Reaction pathways of mannosyl donor (**6d**) and acceptor (**5**) under the Mukaiyama glycosidation conditions.

Scheme 5.

Reagents and conditions: (a) $\text{SnCl}_4\text{-AgClO}_4$, Et_2O , 0°C , 76%.

Scheme 6.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. (i) $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{RhCl}$, DABCO; (ii) HCl, 81%; 2. *mono*-methyl phthalate, EDCI, DMAP, 98%; (b) 1. NaOH, MeOH, 92%; 2. TMSOTf, Et_3N , 99%; (c) $\text{SnCl}_4\text{-AgClO}_4$, Et_2O , $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow 0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 76%.

Scheme 7.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. (i) $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{RhCl}$, DABCO; (ii) HCl, 81%; 2. mono-methyl phthalate, EDCI, DMAP, 100%; (b) 1. NaOH, MeOH, 92%; 2. TMSOTf, Et_3N , 85%; (c) $\text{SnCl}_4\text{-AgClO}_4$, Et_2O , $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C} \rightarrow 0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, 79%.

Scheme 8.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. NaOH, MeOH, 83%; 2. TMSOTf, Et₃N, 100%; (b) SnCl₄-AgClO₄, Et₂O, 0 °C, 75%.

Scheme 9.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. (i) $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{RhCl}$, DABCO; (ii) HCl, 77%; 2. *mono*-methyl phthalate, EDCI, DMAP, 94%; (b) 1. NaOH, MeOH, 83%; 2. TMSOTf, Et_3N , 100%; (c) SnCl_4 - AgClO_4 , Et_2O , 0 °C, 74%.

substrate	n	step 1	step 2	sMMP
α-13	8	83%	100%	18
α-15	12	78%	83%	19
α-17	16	87%	55%	20

Scheme 10.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1. NaOH, MeOH; 2. Pd(OH)₂ on C, H₂.

2xn (E , product)	selectivity ($\alpha:\beta$)	isolated yield (α only)
2	>20:1	76%
4	>50:1	76%
8	>50:1	79%
12	>50:1	75%
16	>50:1	74%

Scheme 11.

Reagents and conditions: (a) $\text{SnCl}_4\text{-AgClO}_4$, Et_2O . Note: 12-mer was synthesized via a combination of donor 4-mer and acceptor 8-mer.