Published in final edited form as:

Biomaterials. 2008 September ; 29(26): 3583–3590.

Size, Charge and Concentration Dependent Uptake of Iron Oxide Particles by Non-Phagocytic Cells

Daniel L.J. Thorek and Andrew Tsourkas*

Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, 210 S. 33rd Street, 240 Skirkanich Hall, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

A promising new direction for contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance (MR) imaging involves tracking the migration and biodistribution of superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO)-labeled cells in vivo. Despite the large number of cell labeling studies that have been performed with SPIO particles of differing size and surface charge, it remains unclear which SPIO configuration provides optimal contrast in non-phagocytic cells. This is largely because contradictory findings have stemmed from the variability and imprecise control over surface charge, the general need and complexity of transfection and/or targeting agents, and the limited number of particle configurations examined in any given study. In the present study, we systematically evaluated the cellular uptake of SPIO in non-phagocytic T cells over a continuum of particle sizes ranging from 33 nm to nearly 1.5 μm , with precisely controlled surface properties, and without the need for transfection agents. SPIO labeling of T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry and contrast enhancement was determined by relaxometry. SPIO uptake was dose dependent and exhibited sigmoidal charge dependence, which was shown to saturate at different levels of functionalization. Efficient labeling of cells was observed for particles up to 300nm, however micron-sized particle uptake was limited. Our results show that an unconventional highly cationic particle configuration at 107 nm maximized MR contrast of T cells, outperforming the widely utilized USPIO (<50 nm).

Keywords

Molecular imaging; MRI; Ultrasmall Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide; Standard Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide; Micron-sized Paramagnetic Iron Oxide; nanoparticles

Introduction

Continuing advancements in cell-based therapies has recently led to the emergence of cellular imaging as a strategy to track the migration and biodistribution of target cells in living organisms. Pre-clinical studies have already shown that cellular imaging can be used to evaluate stem cell distribution and homing in cell-based regenerative therapies[1,2]. Recently, cellular imaging has also allowed for improved assessment of functional efficacy and applicability of immunotherapeutic treatments in disease models for cancer[3-5] and AIDS[6].

* Address for correspondence: Andrew Tsourkas, Department of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, 240 Skirkanich Hall, 210 S. 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104, atsourk@seas.upenn.edu, Phone: (215)898-8167, Fax: (215)573-2071

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

In addition to evaluating cell-based therapies, cellular imaging also promises to provide a great deal of insight into diverse physio- and pathological phenomena. Interesting applications include the observation of monocyte recruitment to atherosclerotic lesions for the mapping of disease development and therapeutic intervention[7], imaging embryonic stem cell movement during embryonic[8] and organ development[9] and monitoring the dynamics of metastatic cellular extravasation and tissue invasion[10,11].

Tracking of labeled cells has been accomplished with a variety of imaging modalities including optical methods, positron emission tomography (PET), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging[12-14]. MR imaging presents a particularly promising approach because of its high spatial resolution in three dimensions and exquisite soft tissue contrast, which can be acquired concomitantly with the contrast-enhanced cellular distribution. MR detection of cells in vivo is often accomplished following labeling with superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) particles. SPIO are negative contrast agents that are typically composed of an iron oxide crystal core surrounded by a polymer or polysaccharide shell[15]. A variety of manifestations of SPIO have been used to track cells, which can be broadly categorized as 1) Ultras-small-SPIO (USPIO) with an overall diameter of 30-50 nm[16], 2) Standard-SPIO (SSPIO) with a diameter of 50-150 nm and 3) Micron-sized paramagnetic iron oxide (MPIO) having a diameter approaching or greater than 1 μm [17].

To date, USPIO have perhaps been the most widely utilized SPIO configuration for cell labeling. Although they provide less contrast enhancement per particle compared with SSPIO and MPIO, large numbers of particles can be loaded into each cell [18,19]. As cationic surfaces have been shown to facilitate cellular internalization[20,21], USPIO are often modified with polycationic cell permeating peptides (CPP) such as HIV transactivator (TAT)[22] or protamine[23]. Other transfection techniques, sometimes in concert with CPPs, are also used [24,25].

An exciting new direction for cell tracking involves labeling cells with MPIO[26]. The large iron oxide cores present in these particles provide enough contrast for single cells to be imaged by MR. However, work with such large particles generally confines application of iron oxide-labeling to phenotypes such as macrophages[18], dendritic cells[27] or hepatocytes that actively internalize foreign material. MPIO uptake in non-phagocytic cells has been accomplished, but is limited by the additional conjugation work and cost of using an antibody-mediated approach[28], which must be species specific and may induce adverse cellular events.

Recently, several studies have attempted to define an optimized particle configuration for iron oxide labeling of both phagocytic and non-phagocytic cell types. Although MPIO was excluded from all of these studies, it was found that phagocytic monocytes are more effectively labeled with SSPIO (150 nm) compared with USPIO (30 nm)[18,29]. Further, it was found that ionic carboxydextran-coated SSPIO (i.e. ferucarbotran) performed better than non-ionic dextran-coated SSPIO (i.e. ferumoxide)[18]. It remains unclear how MPIO compares with these agents; however, single cell detection has been achieved in phagocytic cells with both SPIO configurations[30,31].

The optimal SPIO configuration for labeling non-phagocytic cells has been much more elusive and findings have been contradictory. For example, in one study it was found that the delivery of carboxydextran USPIO and dextran-labeled SSPIO into non-phagocytic cancer cells and leukocytes (with the assistance of lipofection agents) was similar in terms of iron uptake[21]. Both particles led to higher iron uptake than USPIO. This indirectly suggests that larger particles with ionic coatings are superior to non-ionic USPIO. However, in a different study it was found that, in the presence of poly-L-lysine, ionic (aminated) USPIO exhibited significantly higher iron uptake in non-phagocytic cells compared with SSPIO. These data

suggest that smaller ionic particles are internalized into non-phagocytic cells more efficiently [32]. These contradictory findings likely stem from the variability and imprecise control over surface charge and the limited number of particle configurations examined, particularly with respect to diameter (ranging only from ~17 to 150 nm).

In the present study we systematically evaluated the cellular uptake of SPIO in non-phagocytic T cells over a continuum of particle sizes ranging from 33 nm to nearly 1.5 μ m and with precisely controlled surface properties. T cells were selected as a model non-phagocytic phenotype since visualization of their distribution is expected to be of importance for adoptive T cell therapy for cancer and T cell homing in autoimmune diseases. Extremely fine control was exerted on the surface properties of SPIO by direct chemical modification of particle surfaces rather than attempting to modulate the density of supplemental transfection agents. Concentration effects and incubation times were also tested in the interest of isolating the role particle size exerts on individual cell uptake and overall contrast enhancement. Our work shows that in a space between USPIO and MPIO exist configurations of relatively small particles (~100 nm) that efficiently label non-adherent, non-phagocytic T cells and generate higher relaxivity (per cell) relative to particles of other sizes.

Materials and Methods

Nanoparticle Synthesis

Three different formulations of dextran-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were prepared using the co-precipitation method[33]. All three formulations were prepared following the same procedure, as described below, with the only difference being the amount of FeCl_2 and FeCl_3 added. Specifically, 25 g of dextran T10 (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) was dissolved in 50 mL of dH_2O and heated to 80° C for 1 hour. The solution was allowed to return to room temperature and continued to mix overnight. Subsequently, the dextran was cooled to 4° C on ice and degassed with N_2 for 1 hour. FeCl_2 (0.7313 g, 1.5 g, or 2.2 g) and FeCl_3 (1.97 g, 4 g, or 6 g, respectively) were each rapidly dissolved in 12.5 mL of degassed dH_2O and kept on ice for approximately 10 minutes. The iron solutions were added to the dextran simultaneously and allowed to mix for 30 minutes. Keeping this mixing solution at 4° C, 15 mL of ammonium hydroxide was added. The resulting black viscous solution was then heated to 90° C for 1 hour then cooled overnight, followed by ultracentrifugation at 20k rcf for 30 minutes. Pellets were discarded and the supernatant was continually diafiltrated using a 100 kDa MWCO cartridge (GE Healthcare) on a peristaltic pump (E323, Watson Marlowe Bredel, Wilmington, MA). The particles were exchanged into 0.02 M citrate, 0.15 M sodium chloride buffer until all unreacted products had been removed. Aminated silica-coated iron oxide micro-particles were purchased from Bioclone Inc. (San Diego, CA). Amine functionalized styrene-copolymer coated iron oxide particles (Ademtech) were purchased from Ademtech SA (Pessac, France).

Amination of Particles

Amination and crosslinking of the coating on the dextran-SPIO was accomplished through reaction of the SPIO with 25% 10 M NaOH and 33% epichlorohydrin[34]. After mixing for 24 hours, additional ammonium hydroxide was added to the solution, bringing the volume fraction to 25% ammonium hydroxide, and the reaction was allowed to proceed for another 24 hours. The particles were then exhaustively purified via diafiltration. The resulting particles were amine functionalized crosslinked iron oxide.

FITC Labeling and Amine-Blocking of Particles

All SPIO particles were labeled with FITC at a FITC-to-iron molar ratio of 19.2:1. FITC was reacted with particles for 4 hours followed by two rounds of gel purification, once on a NAP-5

column and then on a PD10 column (GE Healthcare), both equilibrated with PBS. The FITC-labeled SPIO were subsequently reacted with various volumes of glycidol (0.01% to 50%) to produce populations of particles with different amine content. The particles were cleaned of excess glycidol through repeated precipitation in isopropanol and resuspension in PBS. Amine-blocking was also attempted with particles 200 nm and greater, but this modification impelled immediate particle insolubility.

Measurement of Particle Size

The hydrodynamic diameter of the dextran-coated and commercial iron oxide particles was measured using a Zetasizer Nano-z (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) through dynamic light scattering (DLS). The dextran-coated SPIO particles were diluted in PBS to a concentration of approximately 0.5 mg/mL and read in triplicate. The commercial particle diameters were read in the same manner, but only after undergoing three washes by precipitation in the presence of a strong magnet and resuspension in PBS. The values reported for all samples are the intensity peak values.

Measurement of Particle Cores

Transmission electron micrographs of all iron oxide particles were taken using a JEOL 2010 at 200 kV. Samples were prepared for imaging by evaporating the particles onto a carbon-coated copper grid (Holey carbon - mesh 200, Structure Probe Inc., West Chester, PA). Salt was removed from all of the samples prior to evaporation by exchanging the particles into dH₂O. Images of particle cores were analyzed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Since many of the particles were found to be composed of a cluster of multiple iron oxide cores, the average diameter of each core and the average number of cores per particle were determined. Assuming each core to be spherical, the amount of iron per particle type was determined from the aggregate core volume.

Measurement of Particle Relaxivity (R₁ and R₂)

The longitudinal (R₁) and transverse (R₂) relaxivity of each particle was calculated as the slope of the curves 1/T₁ and 1/T₂ against iron concentration, respectively. T₁ and T₂ relaxation times were determined using a Bruker mq60 MR relaxometer operating at 1.41 T (60 MHz). T₁ measurements were performed by collecting 12 data points from 5.0 to 1000 msec with a total measurement duration of 1.49 minutes. T₂ measurements were made using $\tau = 1.5$ msec and 2 dummy echoes, and fitted assuming monoexponential decay.

Measurement of Number of Amines per particle

The number of amines per particle was determined following the general procedure described by Zhao et al.[35]. Briefly, iron oxide particles at a concentration of 2 mg/mL Fe were reacted with excess N-Succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridyldithio) propionate (SPDP, Calbiochem, San Diego, CA) for 4 hours. SPIO were washed of excess SPDP through repeated precipitation in isopropanol and resuspension in PBS. The particles were then run through a 50kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (YM-50, Millipore, Billerica, MA) either with or without the addition of disulfide cleavage agent TCEP. The difference of the absorbance of these two samples at 343nm was used to determine the concentration of SPDP in the filter flow. Adjusting for dilution, the number of amines per particle was determined.

Cell Culture and Labeling

Immortalized human T cells, Jurkat Clone E6-1 (ATCC), were maintained at 37° C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI 1640 (Mediatech, Manassas, VA) media supplemented with 10% FBS (Hyclone, Logan, UT) and penicillin/streptomycin (Mediatech). T cells were labeled with iron oxide particles by incubating the commercial and lab-made particles with 2×10^6 cells in 400 μ L of

fully supplemented media for 1 or 4 hours, at 37° C in 5% CO₂. Cells were washed of non-internalized particles through two methods. Synthesized dextran-coated particles were washed from cells using centrifugation. Specifically, cells were pelleted at 0.5 rcf for 5 minutes and resuspended in PBS. This was repeated three times. The dextran-coated particles are highly soluble in aqueous solvents and do not precipitate at these centrifugation speeds. Removal of non-internalized commercial particles was accomplished through a density gradient. The cells and particles were diluted to 1 mL with PBS and overlaid on 4 mL of room temperature Ficoll-Paque PLUS (GE Healthcare). The sample was centrifuged at 0.4 rcf for 40 minutes. Cells loaded with particles were retrieved from the interface layer. To determine if particles were internalized or merely adsorbed on the cell exterior, surface receptor cleavage enzyme trypsin was used. Following particle incubation, as described above, cells were exposed to 0.025% trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen) for 5 minutes. Purification of non-internalized particles was carried out as detailed. No statistical difference was seen in either flow cytometry or relaxometry between groups washed with or without enzyme.

Flow cytometry and Relaxation Measurements

Immediately after non-internalized iron oxide particles were removed from T cell samples, flow cytometry was performed on a Guava EasyCyte (Guava Technologies, Hayward, CA). For labeling and viability experiments, forward and side scattering were used to identify the entire population of cells. Data analysis of flow cytometry data was accomplished with FlowJo (TreeStar, Ashland, OR). Viability of T cells was determined using the LIVE/DEAD cytotoxicity kit for mammalian cells (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In order to evaluate the decrease in T₂ relaxation time of iron oxide internalized T cells, purified cells were lysed for 30 minutes in 0.1% SDS in PBS at 37 ° C. Samples were diluted to 0.5×10⁶ cells/mL in 300 uL and T₂ relaxation times were measured using the benchtop relaxometer. All flow and magnetic resonance measurements were made in triplicate on at least two separate occasions.

Results and Discussion

Particle Synthesis and Characterization

Three different formulations of dextran-coated superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were prepared via co-precipitation. All three syntheses utilized a ratio of approximately 3 ferrous to ferric iron chloride; however, the total amount of iron was increased by whole numbers, i.e. 2x and 3x iron respectively. This deviation in the amount of iron present during synthesis allowed for the manufacture of SPIO with a range of different sizes and properties. Specifically, DLS of the SPIO, following cross-linking and amination of the dextran coating, indicated average hydrodynamic radii of 33.4, 53.5, and 107 nm respectively, with the larger nanoparticles corresponding to syntheses that utilized more iron. When the total amount of iron was increased further, the co-precipitation solution became extremely viscous and yielded highly dispersed aggregates that precipitated out of solution. Therefore, nanoparticles ranging from 200 nm to 1 µm in diameter were acquired from commercial sources. Specifically, superparamagnetic iron oxide particles of 200 and 300 nm diameter with an amine functionalized styrene-copolymer coating (Amino-Adembeads) were purchased from Ademtech, while amine functionalized silica coated 1µm diameter particles were purchased from Bioclone. This allowed particle sizes across nearly three orders of magnitude to be compared.

The particle sizes as determined by DLS, peak intensity values, are compared in Figure 1. The 33.4 nm, 53.5 nm and 107 nm dextran-coated SPIO samples were fully soluble at physiological conditions. Conversely, it was found that the large size of the 289 nm and 1430 nm particles

led to rapid precipitation. Settling was also a concern for the 207 nm particles; however, full precipitation generally took several hours.

Analysis of the iron oxide core size and structure of the magnetic particles was conducted using TEM. Representative micrographs are shown in Figure 2. Aggregation of particles in salt free solution was a problem during TEM sample preparation; however, reduction in sample concentration allowed for imaging of discretely distributed particles. Iron cores were easily distinguished from carbon coated copper grids, while dextran and styrene copolymer were not visible because of their low electron density.

An interesting feature of the dextran-coated nanoparticles is that each particle consists of a cluster of one or more iron oxide cores, with each core being approximately equal in size. Specifically, the distribution of cores is centered at approximately 6nm for all three dextran-coated nanoparticles (Figure 3); however, the average number of cores per particle increases with overall hydrodynamic diameter. In contrast, the larger 207 nm and 289 nm styrene copolymer-coated particles exhibited a single large spherical iron oxide core, while the 1.43 μm silica-coated particles exhibited an amorphous iron oxide core of no discrete size or shape. A summary of the properties of each SPIO is provided in Table 1.

The R_1 and R_2 data (Figures 4 and 5), also summarized in Table 1, indicate that there is a trend of increasing R_2 and decreasing R_1 with size up to the 107 nm particles. For particles of greater size, the single large core of the 207 nm and 289 nm particles does not translate into proportionately higher R_2 . This likely reflects lower crystallinity of the larger single iron oxide cores in comparison to smaller crystals[36]. Furthermore, according to the Solomon-Bloembergen theory, which relates the relaxation rate to particle properties, the total size of the particle is not critical to the magnitude of R_2 as the susceptibility effect falls off from the surface with an exponential (r^6) dependence[37,38]. It should be noted that the R_1 values reported for particles greater than 200 nm are likely underestimates due to precipitation of the particles during T_1 measurements. For instance, determining T_1 relaxation times required more than 100 seconds per sample, which was ample time for the micrometer-sized particles to precipitate out of solution.

Cell Loading

The extent to which T cells internalize iron oxide particles is not only dependent on particle size but also various other particle characteristics and cell loading conditions, including surface charge, particle concentration, and incubation time. Thus, before it could be determined which particle size led to the highest relaxivity per cell, it was first necessary to identify conditions whereby cell loading was independent of these other parameters. The use of fluorescently labeled iron oxide particles combined with flow cytometry provided a facile method by which particle uptake could be systematically assessed in a high-throughput manner. In the current study, all SPIO samples were fluorescently labeled with an equivalent amount of FITC/iron.

Concentration—In order to confirm that iron oxide particles were present in sufficient quantity for maximum cellular uptake, T cells were incubated with increasing iron concentrations until a saturating level was reached. As shown in Figure 6, dextran-coated particles were efficiently internalized, all reaching a plateau at iron concentrations below 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Greater than 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ was required to saturate the loading of the 207 nm, 289 nm and 1430 nm particles. The necessity for these higher iron concentrations may be attributed to the fact that the number of particles per unit of iron is far less than the smaller agents. Further, there is likely less contact between the larger particles and suspended cells because of their continual sedimentation. This was perhaps most evident with MPIO, where cell labeling was poor across all particle concentrations. Even at 1000 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (data not shown) labeling with MPIO did not reach the levels achieved by the dextran-coated USPIO and SPIO.

Surface Properties—Surface charge is important for intracellular delivery of exogenous material. This principle has been described for a variety of nanoparticle (examples include gold [39], polymer[40,41] and silica[42]) and biological (for example delivery of DNA with cationic proteins, lipids and polymers[43]) contexts. The aminated surfaces of the particles used in this study provide an inherent surface charge, facilitating cellular interaction. However, in order to study the role this property has in the intracellular delivery of iron oxide contrast agent, it is necessary to manipulate the magnitude of the surface charge. To do so we have applied glycidol, a hydroxyl terminating epoxide, to generate subsets of particles with a gradient of surface amines. Glycidol has been used previously in dendrimer chemistry to reduce the chemotoxicity of highly-positively charged dendrimers[44]. The tight control of surface properties produced by consuming amines with glycidol allows for isolated examination and evaluation of the role of surface charge on SPIO.

The summary of particle uptake on a per cell basis is shown in Figure 7 (A-C). Each data point represents the normalized mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of T cells that were incubated with iron oxide particles at a saturating concentration (previously determined) for 4 hours. Under these incubation conditions, it was found that particles in their natural (fully aminated) state are maximally internalized. Any further increase in the positive surface charge will not further augment SPIO loading. In other words, the efficiency of cell labeling has become independent of surface charge. In all cases, uptake and internalization of the particles was rapid. Representative uptake of the 107 nm particles as a function of time is shown in Figure 7 (D).

Viability—The impact and potential cytotoxicity of each iron oxide particle on T cells was measured using a two-color fluorescent cell viability kit. Negligible to low levels of cell death were observed (Figure 8) for all particles at diminished and saturating concentrations of iron oxide (10 and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively). The exception was for the 107 nm SPIO, which exhibited some adverse cell influence even at 10 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. This effect was exacerbated at increased concentrations. When the amines on the 107 nm particle were completely blocked, cell death was reduced to negligible levels; however, internalization was also reduced to negligible levels (Figure 7C). T cell death is likely attributable to the high positive surface charge possessed by the SPIO. Similar results have been seen with amine-terminated poly (amidoamine) dendrimers[45]. The extremely high driving force for cell internalization imparted by positive SPIO surface charge can lead to cell death.

In order to minimize the toxicity of the 107 nm particles, the incubation time with T cells was decreased to 1 hour. As shown in Figure 7D, particle uptake is still saturated within this time frame, therefore exposing T cells to excess SPIO for longer periods of time was deemed unnecessary. No toxicity was observed with the 107 nm particles after just 1 hour of incubation.

Magnetic Contrast Enhancement—Flow cytometry was utilized to determine the saturating conditions for each SPIO; however, these single cell measurements were conducted with some variation between the number of fluorescent labels per particle making it difficult to accurately quantify the number of particles per cell. Also, after labeling cells with superparamagnetic tracking agents the critical assessment of ability to track cells is their relaxivity. Therefore, a benchtop NMR minispectrometer, near the clinical field strength of 1.5 T, was utilized for evaluating *in vitro* loading. As shown in Figure 9, T cells loaded with particles showed a dose-dependent, negative contrast enhancement.

As befits their widespread application in the literature, the USPIO proved effective at lowering the spin-spin relaxation time (T_2). Despite delivering only a small payload of iron per particle, the large numbers of 33.4 nm and 53.5 nm particles that accumulate in the cells allows for a strong aggregate effect, producing an average T_2 signal of 126.05 msec and 51.5 msec under

satürating conditions, respectively. These reduced signal values correlate to an 8.04 and 19.68 times reduction in signal from T cells without any contrast agent ($T_2 = 1013$ msec).

Performance of particles greater than 200 nm was ranked inversely with diameter. Greater concentrations of large particles continued to reduce the T_2 signal; however, when the iron concentration was increased above 500 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ the methods used to distinctly separate loaded-cells from free particles became less reliable. It should be noted that this drawback does not exist for the flow cytometry measurements, as the particles themselves could be excluded from the cells based on forward and side scatter. At 150 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ Fe, the spin-spin relaxation signal from the 207 nm, 289 nm and 1430 nm particles were 149.75 msec, 224.3 msec and 398 msec. These findings suggest that despite their high R_2 values and large iron content, particles greater than 200 nm seem to have limited applicability in labeling non-phagocytic cells.

The highly-aminated SPIO with a diameter of 107 nm produced the greatest contrast enhancement. These particles combined the high degree of internalization of the USPIO with the superior relaxivity of larger particles. At the 1 hour loading time, to avoid any longer term cytotoxic events, these SSPIO were able to reduce signal approximately two orders of magnitude, providing T_2 signal of only 12.25 msec, or a 82.74 times reduction in signal from control. This reduction in signal was approximately 5 and 10 times greater than that produced by the 53.5 nm and 33.4 nm SPIO (for the same concentration).

Conclusions

In this work, efficient iron oxide labeling, without the use of cell penetrating peptides or transfection agents, was accomplished in a clinically relevant non-phagocytic cellular system. The level of SPIO loading in T cells was determined by flow cytometry and verified through evaluation of MR contrast enhancement. Using conditions under which cell loading was independent of particle concentration, chemical surface modification, and incubation time, particle size was isolated as an attribute to affect nano- and microparticle loading. Large particles, over 200 nm in diameter, possess much greater amounts of iron per particle, and thus theoretically require few or single particles per cell in order to be used. However, they suffered from gravitational sedimentation, decreased efficiency of cell labeling, and in some cases free particles were incompletely removed from labeled cells. This may not be a problem with adherent and/or phagocytic cell systems, but significantly hampered their efficacy as magnetic labeling probes for non-phagocytic suspended cells. The vastly greater number of USPIO that accumulate within the cells made up for their weaker R_2 values. While a general trend correlating increased or decreased particle size with labeling was not observed, it was clear that the 107 nm SPIO manifestation led to the largest T_2 signal decrease.

Acknowledgments

D.L.J.T. was supported by NIH T32 HL007954-07, Multidisciplinary Training in Cardiovascular Biology. This work was supported in part by Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, the Transdisciplinary Program in Translational Medicine and Therapeutics, the Lupus Research Institute, and the DOD Breast Cancer Research Program of the Office of the Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (BC061856).

References

1. Bulte JW, Douglas T, Witwer B, Zhang SC, Strable E, Lewis BK, et al. Magnetodendrimers allow endosomal magnetic labeling and in vivo tracking of stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2001;19(12):1141–1147. [PubMed: 11731783]
2. Rogers WJ, Meyer CH, Kramer CM. Technology insight: in vivo cell tracking by use of MRI. *Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med* 2006;3(10):554–562. [PubMed: 16990841]
3. Ahrens ET, Flores R, Xu HY, Morel PA. In vivo imaging platform for tracking immunotherapeutic cells. *Nature Biotechnology* 2005;23(8):983–987.

4. Pittet MJ, Grimm J, Berger CR, Tamura T, Wojtkiewicz G, Nahrendorf M, et al. In vivo imaging of T cell delivery to tumors after adoptive transfer therapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2007;104(30):12457–12461. [PubMed: 17640914]
5. Sauer MG, Ericson ME, Weigel BJ, Herron MJ, Panoskaltsis-Mortari A, Kren BT, et al. A novel system for simultaneous in vivo tracking and biological assessment of leukemia cells and ex vivo generated leukemia-reactive cytotoxic T cells. *Cancer Research* 2004;64(11):3914–3921. [PubMed: 15173002]
6. Sundstrom JB, Mao H, Santoianni R, Villinger F, Little DM, Huynh TT, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of activated proliferating rhesus macaque T cells labeled with superparamagnetic monocrySTALLINE iron oxide nanoparticles. *J AIDS-Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 2004;35(1):9–21.
7. Kircher MF, Grimm J, Swirski FK, Libby P, Gerszten RE, Allport JR, et al. Noninvasive in vivo imaging of monocyte trafficking to atherosclerotic lesions. *Circulation* 2008;117(3):388–395. [PubMed: 18172031]
8. Hadjantonakis AK, Papaioannou VE. Dynamic in vivo imaging and cell tracking using a histone fluorescent protein fusion in mice. *Bmc Biotechnology* 2004;4-
9. Puri S, Hebrok M. Dynamics of embryonic pancreas development using real-time imaging. *Dev Biol* 2007;306(1):82–93. [PubMed: 17448459]
10. Voura EB, Jaiswal JK, Mattoussi H, Simon SM. Tracking metastatic tumor cell extravasation with quantum dot nanocrystals and fluorescence emission-scanning microscopy. *Nat Med* 2004;10(9):993–998. [PubMed: 15334072]
11. Cahill KS, Gaidosh G, Huard J, Silver X, Byrne BJ, Walter GA. Noninvasive monitoring and tracking of muscle stem cell transplants. *Transplantation* 2004;78(11):1626–1633. [PubMed: 15591951]
12. Yoneyama R, Chemaly ER, Hajjar RJ. Tracking stem cells in vivo. *Ernst Schering Res Found Workshop* 2006;(60):99–109. [PubMed: 16903419]
13. Zhang SJ, Wu JC. Comparison of imaging techniques for tracking cardiac stem cell therapy. *J Nucl Med* 2007;48(12):1916–1919. [PubMed: 18056330]
14. Hoshino K, Ly HQ, Frangioni JV, Hajjar RJ. In vivo tracking in cardiac stem cell-based therapy. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 2007;49(6):414–420. [PubMed: 17498521]
15. Weissleder R, Hahn PF, Stark DD, Elizondo G, Saini S, Todd LE, et al. Superparamagnetic iron oxide: enhanced detection of focal splenic tumors with MR imaging. *Radiology* 1988;169(2):399–403. [PubMed: 3174987]
16. Weissleder R, Elizondo G, Wittenberg J, Rabito CA, Bengele HH, Josephson L. Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide: characterization of a new class of contrast agents for MR imaging. *Radiology* 1990;175(2):489–493. [PubMed: 2326474]
17. Shapiro EM, Skrtic S, Koretsky AP. Sizing it up: cellular MRI using micron-sized iron oxide particles. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;53(2):329–338. [PubMed: 15678543]
18. Metz S, Bonaterra G, Rudelius M, Settles M, Rummeny EJ, Daldrup-Link HE. Capacity of human monocytes to phagocytose approved iron oxide MR contrast agents in vitro. *Eur Radiol* 2004;14(10):1851–1858. [PubMed: 15249981]
19. Montet-Abou K, Montet X, Weissleder R, Josephson L. Cell internalization of magnetic nanoparticles using transfection agents. *Mol Imaging* 2007;6(1):1–9. [PubMed: 17311760]
20. Petri-Fink A, Hofmann H. Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs): From synthesis to in vivo studies - A summary of the synthesis, characterization, in vitro, and in vivo investigations of SPIONs with particular focus on surface and colloidal properties. *Ieee Transactions on Nanobioscience* 2007;6(4):289–297. [PubMed: 18217622]
21. Matuszewski L, Persigehl T, Wall A, Schwindt W, Tombach B, Fobker M, et al. Cell tagging with clinically approved iron oxides: feasibility and effect of lipofection, particle size, and surface coating on labeling efficiency. *Radiology* 2005;235(1):155–161. [PubMed: 15749976]
22. Lewin M, Carlesso N, Tung CH, Tang XW, Cory D, Scadden DT, et al. Tat peptide-derivatized magnetic nanoparticles allow in vivo tracking and recovery of progenitor cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2000;18(4):410–414. [PubMed: 10748521]
23. Arbab AS, Yocum GT, Kalish H, Jordan EK, Anderson SA, Khakoo AY, et al. Efficient magnetic cell labeling with protamine sulfate complexed to ferumoxides for cellular MRI. *Blood* 2004;104(4):1217–1223. [PubMed: 15100158]

24. Tai JH, Foster P, Rosales A, Feng B, Hasilo C, Martinez V, et al. Imaging islets labeled with magnetic nanoparticles at 1.5 Tesla. *Diabetes* 2006;55(11):2931–2938. [PubMed: 17065328]
25. Walczak P, Kedziorek DA, Gilad AA, Lin S, Bulte JWM. Instant MR labeling of stem cells using magnetoelectroporation. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 2005;54(4):769–774. [PubMed: 16161115]
26. Shapiro EM, Skrtic S, Sharer K, Hill JM, Dunbar CE, Koretsky AP. MRI detection of single particles for cellular imaging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101(30):10901–10906. [PubMed: 15256592]
27. de Vries IJ, Lesterhuis WJ, Barentsz JO, Verdijk P, van Krieken JH, Boerman OC, et al. Magnetic resonance tracking of dendritic cells in melanoma patients for monitoring of cellular therapy. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005;23(11):1407–1413. [PubMed: 16258544]
28. Shapiro EM, Medford-Davis LN, Fahmy TM, Dunbar CE, Koretsky AP. Antibody-mediated cell labeling of peripheral T cells with micron-sized iron oxide particles (MPIOs) allows single cell detection by MRI. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging* 2007;2(3):147–153. [PubMed: 17541955]
29. Oude Engberink RD, van der Pol SM, Dopp EA, de Vries HE, Blezer EL. Comparison of SPIO and USPIO for in vitro labeling of human monocytes: MR detection and cell function. *Radiology* 2007;243(2):467–474. [PubMed: 17456871]
30. Foster-Gareau P, Heyn C, Alejski A, Rutt BK. Imaging single mammalian cells with a 1.5 T clinical MRI scanner. *Magn Reson Med* 2003;49(5):968–971. [PubMed: 12704781]
31. Shapiro EM, Sharer K, Skrtic S, Koretsky AP. In vivo detection of single cells by MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2006;55(2):242–249. [PubMed: 16416426]
32. Song M, Moon WK, Kim Y, Lim D, Song IC, Yoon BW. Labeling efficacy of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles to human neural stem cells: comparison of ferumoxides, monocrySTALLINE iron oxide, cross-linked iron oxide (CLIO)-NH₂ and tat-CLIO. *Korean J Radiol* 2007;8(5):365–371. [PubMed: 17923778]
33. Shen T, Weissleder R, Papisov M, Bogdanov A, Brady TJ. MonocrySTALLINE Iron-Oxide Nanocompounds (Mion) - Physicochemical Properties. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 1993;29(5):599–604. [PubMed: 8505895]
34. Pittet MJ, Swirski FK, Reynolds F, Josephson L, Weissleder R. Labeling of immune cells for in vivo imaging using magnetofluorescent nanoparticles. *Nat Protoc* 2006;1(1):73–79. [PubMed: 17406214]
35. Zhao M, Kircher MF, Josephson L, Weissleder R. Differential conjugation of tat peptide to superparamagnetic nanoparticles and its effect on cellular uptake. *Bioconjug Chem* 2002;13(4):840–844. [PubMed: 12121140]
36. Ayyub P, Palkar VR, Chattopadhyay S, Multani M. Effect of Crystal Size-Reduction on Lattice Symmetry and Cooperative Properties. *Physical Review B* 1995;51(9):6135–6138.
37. Solomon I. Relaxation Processes in a System of Two Spins. *Physics Review* 1955;99:559.
38. Bloembergen N. Proton Relaxation Times in Paramagnetic Solutions. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 1957;27(2):572–573.
39. Giljohann DA, Seferos DS, Patel PC, Millstone JE, Rosi NL, Mirkin CA. Oligonucleotide loading determines cellular uptake of DNA-modified gold nanoparticles. *Nano Letters* 2007;7(12):3818–3821. [PubMed: 17997588]
40. Duncan R, Izzo L. Dendrimer biocompatibility and toxicity. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews* 2005;57(15):2215–2237. [PubMed: 16297497]
41. Foged C, Brodin B, Frokjaer S, Sundblad A. Particle size and surface charge affect particle uptake by human dendritic cells in an in vitro model. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 2005;298(2):315–322. [PubMed: 15961266]
42. Chung TH, Wu SH, Yao M, Lu CW, Lin YS, Hung Y, et al. The effect of surface charge on the uptake and biological function of mesoporous silica nanoparticles 3T3-L1 cells and human mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials* 2007;28(19):2959–2966. [PubMed: 17397919]
43. Luo D, Saltzman WM. Synthetic DNA delivery systems. *Nature Biotechnology* 2000;18(1):33–37.
44. Shi XY, Wang SH, Sun HP, Baker JR. Improved biocompatibility of surface functionalized dendrimer entrapped gold nanoparticles. *Soft Matter* 2007;3(1):71–74.
45. Malik N, Wiwattanapatapee R, Klopsch R, Lorenz K, Frey H, Weener JW, et al. Dendrimers: Relationship between structure and biocompatibility in vitro, and preliminary studies on the

biodistribution of I-125-labelled polyamidoamine dendrimers in vivo (vol 65, pg 133, 2000). Journal of Controlled Release 2000;68(2):299–302.

Figure 1. Hydrodynamic diameter of SPIO. The hydrodynamic diameter of SPIO particles was determined by DLS. Intensity measurements are reported and the peak intensity is provided for each distribution.

Figure 2. TEM of SPIO Cores. High magnification transmission electron microscopy images of the iron oxide particles were obtained with a JEOL 2010 operating at 200 kV. Structure analysis revealed the multiple core nature of the (A) 33.4 nm, (B) 53.5 nm and (C) 107 nm dextran-coated SPIO. Larger particles were composed of single cores; (D) 207 nm, (E) 289 nm and (F) 1430 nm. All scale bars are 50 nm, excluding (F) 1 μ m.

Figure 3. Size distribution of SPIO core diameters. TEM measurements of the SPIO core diameter for (A) 33.4 nm, (B) 53.5 nm, (C) 107 nm and (D) all cores. The cores diameters were analyzed assuming that they were spherical and the frequency and cumulative distributions are plotted. Particle size appears to be determined by the number of cores per particle rather than the size of those constituent cores.

Figure 4.

T₁ Relaxivity (R_1) measurements of SPIO. SPIO of various size were diluted in PBS to iron concentrations between (A) 0.1 mM and 2 mM or (B) 1 mM and 6 mM. T₁ values were then obtained using the minimum time sequence required to get reproducible values, because of precipitation issues. The inverse of the T₁ time, in seconds, was linearly fit against concentration to yield the particle R_1 .

Figure 5.

T_2 Relaxivity (R_2) measurements of SPIO. SPIO of various size were diluted in PBS to iron concentrations between (A) 0.1 mM and 2 mM or (B) 0.01 mM and 0.5 mM. The T_2 values were then obtained using a monoexponential curve fit. The inverse of these values, plotted against concentration, gives the R_2 . Precipitation of the 1430 nm particles resulted in nonlinearity.

Figure 6.

Dependence of SPIO loading on particle concentration. Fluorescently-labeled SPIO of various size and across a range of concentrations were incubated with 2×10^6 T cells/mL at 37°C for 4 hours (excluding the 107 nm particle as indicated). SPIO uptake was then measured by flow cytometry. Each experiment was conducted in triplicate on at least two separate occasions and each data point represents the average value for the mean fluorescent intensity (MFI). Note the difference in x- and y-axes for (A) and (B).

Figure 7.

Dependence of SPIO loading on surface charge. T cell uptake of fluorescently-labeled SPIO as a function of surface charge was examined by modulating the number of amines per particle for the (A) 33.4 nm, (B) 53.5 nm and (C) 107 nm particles. A gradient in the degree of functionalization was produced by glycidol blocking of amines. SPIO were incubated with T cells at saturating concentrations, 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, under identical conditions. Flow cytometry was then performed to assess the relative uptake of each SPIO. Each data point represents the mean fluorescent intensity (MFI). The loading of SPIO was rapid; (D) shows the representative uptake of fully-aminated 107 nm particles.

Figure 8.

Viability of T cells incubated with SPIO. SPIO were incubated with T cells at various iron concentrations: 10 µg/mL [black], 50 µg/mL [white], and 100 µg/mL [grey]. After 4 hours (unless otherwise noted), viability was measured and normalized to cells grown in the absence of any particles (blank). All SPIO exhibited negligible impact on cell survival after 4 hours, excluding the 107 nm diameter particles. Reducing incubation time of these particles to 1 hour eliminated adverse effects at both low and saturating concentrations.

Figure 9.

T2 Relaxation times of T cells labeled with SPIO. T cells were labeled with SPIO of various size and across a range of concentrations. The T2 relaxivity of 0.5×10^6 SPIO-loaded T cells/mL in 300 μ L was measured on a Bruker mq60 MR relaxometer operating at 1.41 T (60 MHz). The signal decrease observed following internalization of SPIO is dose dependent and saturation correlates well with values determined by flow cytometry. The 107 nm SSPIO produced maximum signal decrease.

Table 1

Physical and magnetic properties of SPIO

Hydrodynamic Diameter (nm)	Core Diameter (nm)	Number of cores	R_2 ($\text{mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)	R_1 ($\text{mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$)*	R_2/R_1	NH_2 / particle	Fe (atoms)/ particle	Coating Material
33	6.067	1.9	71.00	13.56	5.24	185	8924	Dextran
53	5.603	5.3	82.25	9.97	8.25	631	20065	Dextran
107	6.534	11.2	381.00	7.24	52.66	1024	66729	Dextran
207	175.4	1	176.58	0.51	344.48	6.0×10^5	6.3×10^7	Styrene Copolymer
289	289.6	1	115.20	0.34	337.43	2.2×10^6	2.6×10^8	Styrene Copolymer
1430	-	1	64.32	0.41	156.49	8.5×10^8	1.3×10^7	Silica

Measurement of Fe (atoms) / particle for the commercial particles was made using the company provided relative iron mass per particle data, rather than the core size determination from TEM.

* R_1 values for 207, 289, and 1430 nm particles may be underestimated due to precipitation during measurements.