Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2006 June 13; 45(23): 7299–7306.

Flanking Sequence Composition Differentially Affects the Binding and Functional Characteristics of Glucocorticoid Receptor Homo- and Heterodimers†

Brian Morin, LaNita A. Nichols, and Lené J. Holland*

Department of Medical Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Missouri-Columbia School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri, 65212

Abstract

The core binding sites for a multitude of transcription factors have been identified and characterized, but these sequences cannot fully account for the nuances of cell-specific and gene-specific control of gene transcription. Many factors may contribute to the precise responsiveness of a gene to a particular transcriptional regulatory protein, including the nucleotides in close proximity to the core binding site for that protein. Here, we examine two flanking sequences bordering a site in the γ -fibrinogen gene regulatory region that binds a heterodimer of the *Xenopus* glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor (XGRAF) and the glucocorticoid receptor (GR). Mutation of the upstream flank results in a decrease in XGRAF binding, but little change in hormone induction. However, alteration of the downstream flank adjacent to the GR binding site causes a decrease in both GR monomer binding and hormone induction. Conversion of the XGRAF:GR binding site to a full glucocorticoid response element (GRE) alters the role of the flanking sequences. A full GRE in this position requires the wild type upstream flank to bind GR homodimer and induce transcription to maximal levels. In contrast, mutation of the downstream flank is not detrimental to either binding or function of the GR dimer. Thus, flanking sequence composition and dimer partner combine to influence GR function, underscoring the complexities involved in the identification of authentic transcription factor response elements.

The dynamic interaction of transcription factors with DNA regulates gene expression, conferring temporal and cell-specific control in response to a wide range of intracellular and extracellular cues. However, these protein:DNA interactions are not always easily predicted, as the exact sequence of a binding site can alter its function in unexpected ways. For instance, particular sequences may influence the recruitment of co-factors by altering the conformation of the bound transcription factor via specific interactions with individual nucleotides (1-3). If a site binds transcription factors as a dimer, both spacing and orientation of the two half sites affect how the site behaves (4,5). Also, not all functional binding sites are a good match to the consensus sequence compiled from known binding sites for a particular factor (6).

In addition, the interactions between transcription factors and DNA do not necessarily rely solely on the sequence of the actual binding site, but may be influenced by other DNA elements. Sequences flanking the binding site can affect response element utilization by altering the protein conformation of a factor bound to the DNA (7). Nearby sequences may bind

†This work was supported by Grants R01-DK56822 from the National Institutes of Health and 0051320Z from the American Heart Association, Heartland Affiliate.

* Address correspondence to: Lené J. Holland, Department of Medical Pharmacology and Physiology, MA415 Medical Sciences Building, University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri 65212, Tel. 573 882-5373; Fax. 573 884-4276; E-Mail: HollandL@health.missouri.edu

transcription factors of their own that change the functionality of an unrelated site (8-10). Distal DNA sequences can silence transcription from a known site in a cell-specific manner (11). A more complex example is a factor:DNA interaction that recruits a corepressor to affect a transcription factor bound at a distal site (12). Location of the binding site relative to basal regulatory elements is sometimes important, as demonstrated by the positional dependence of a hormone response element in relation to the TATA box of a gene promoter (13). A single transcription factor binding site is also capable of affecting multiple genes simultaneously, sometimes over a considerable distance (14).

Here, we examine interplay between two distinct determinants, flanking sequence and dimerization partner, that influence the binding and function of the glucocorticoid receptor (GR)¹. The *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen gene upstream regulatory region contains a binding site for a heterodimer of *Xenopus* glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor (XGRAF) and GR (8), which is essential for maximal hormone induction (15-17). Individually, XGRAF and GR interact with DNA to form single distinct bands in a gel mobility shift assay. Both XGRAF and GR binding are highly specific for their respective sites as confirmed by competition experiments using mutated DNA competitors (8,15,16). The combined XGRAF:GR heterodimer binding site is readily converted to a GR homodimer binding site by a single point mutation (15). Taking advantage of this property here, we used transfections and quantitative gel mobility shift assays to determine the effects of mutating individual flanking sequences on the abilities of XGRAF:GR and GR:GR to stimulate transcription.

¹Abbreviations:

β-gal	β -galactosidase
CMV	cytomegalovirus
GR	glucocorticoid receptor
GRE	glucocorticoid response element
LP	large probe
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
pLL	pLucLink2.0
SEM	standard error of the mean
SP	small probe
T7X556	fragment of rat GR consisting of amino acids 407-556
wt	wild type
XGRAF	<i>Xenopus</i> glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of transfection vectors

Plasmid constructs were assembled in the luciferase reporter vector pLucLink2.0 (pLL) (18). DNA inserts were prepared by PCR using *Pfu* polymerase (Stratagene), templates containing B β -fibrinogen sequence, and appropriate primers to introduce the desired γ -fibrinogen gene sequences. All plasmid constructs included *Xenopus* B β -fibrinogen sequence from -141 to +40 relative to the transcription start site (19). The B β -sequence ended in a 3' adapter sequence with a *Hind* III restriction site as described previously (17). The appropriate wild type or mutated γ -fibrinogen sequence (see Table 1) was 5' of, and directly adjacent to, the B β -sequence within each construct. A *Kpn* I site was placed 5' to the γ -sequence for ligation into pLL. The PCR inserts were digested with *Kpn* I and *Hind* III and ligated into the multiple cloning site of pLL. The resulting constructs were sequenced (DNA Core Facility, University of Missouri-Columbia) and DNA for transfections was prepared as previously described (17).

Transfection of primary hepatocytes

Primary hepatocytes from estrogen treated adult female *Xenopus laevis* (Nasco) were isolated as previously described (19). For some experiments, albino frogs were used, which obviated the requirement of a Metrizamide gradient to remove melanocytes from the hepatocyte fraction. There were no detectable differences in transfection results between the two types of frog.

Purified cells were transfected by electroporation with 50 μ g of luciferase reporter DNA and 10 μ g of pCMV β -gal as a control (15). Hormone induction was examined using three or four independent transfections for each construct in a given experiment. Cells from each transfection were divided into two wells on a 24-well Primaria plate (Falcon) and incubated in the absence or presence of 10^{-7} M dexamethasone and 10^{-9} M triiodothyronine for 44-48 hours. Cells were then harvested as described (17).

Luciferase and β -gal reporter assays

Cell lysates were analyzed for luciferase reporter gene activity as described previously (19) except 700 μ M coenzyme A was added to the assay buffer (20). β -galactosidase (β -gal) activity was measured with a Galactostar kit (Applied Biosystems, Tropic). Briefly, 11 μ l of cell lysate, 11 μ l of lysis buffer, and 300 μ l of diluted Galacton substrate were combined and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Following incubation, light output was measured for 5 sec in a luminometer (Monolight 2010).

Luciferase activity was normalized to β -gal activity for each sample. The fold hormone induction was expressed as normalized luciferase activity in dexamethasone treated cells divided by normalized luciferase activity in untreated cells. The mean fold hormonal induction was calculated from the independent transfections of each construct in an experiment.

In the figures, the hormonal induction for each test construct was expressed as a percentage of the reference construct as follows: Fold induction (% of reference) = [(Fold induction of experimental construct - Fold induction of B β -136) / (Fold induction of reference construct - Fold induction of B β -136)] $\times$ 100. The value for B β -136 represents a non-induced baseline from a construct previously shown to be unresponsive to glucocorticoids (19). The percentages from six to ten experiments were averaged and the standard error of the mean (SEM) was calculated.

Gel mobility shift assays

For all gel mobility shift assays, gel purified oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies) were annealed (19) to produce double stranded DNA probes (see Table 1). Probes were labeled

at the 5' end with [γ - 32 P]ATP as described (21) except some reactions were stopped with 25 mM Na-EDTA, pH 8 and processed through MicroBiospin6 columns (Bio-Rad) per manufacturer's instructions.

To examine XGRAF binding to DNA, we utilized a dual probe procedure described by La Baer and Yamamoto (22). A small probe (33-mer) (Table 1) was mixed with a large probe (98-mer) containing the XGRAF binding site. This large probe contained pLL vector sequence, γ -fibrinogen sequence from -187 to -115, and an *Mfe* I restriction site on the 3' end (15). The small and large probes were mixed in equimolar ratios (0.2 ng small to 0.6 ng large) and combined in a reaction mix described previously (17), except 2 μ g of poly(dI-dC) were present in each reaction. *Xenopus* liver nuclear extract was present at 10 to 20 μ g per reaction as the source of XGRAF (8). Reactions were run on a 5% polyacrylamide gel at 350V for 5 hr 15 min (17). The bottom fourth of the gel was composed of a 15% polyacrylamide plug to retard migration of free probe, allowing for better resolution of protein:DNA complexes, while retaining the free probe on the gel.

Formation of XGRAF:GR:DNA complex was performed as described previously (17), except each reaction contained 10 μ g of *Xenopus* liver nuclear extract as the source of XGRAF. Since endogenous GR is generally undetectable in crude nuclear extract by gel shift assay, it is routine to use exogenously synthesized GR to examine GR binding (23-25). Therefore, the reactions contained the DNA-binding domain fragment of rat GR, T7X556 (a kind gift from Dr. Keith Yamamoto) (23) at 2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 ng per reaction. Complexes were formed on 33-mer probes (Table 1) and resolved on a polyacrylamide gel as described above, except the plug was one eighth the total volume and the gels were run for 4 hr 10 min.

The binding of GR monomer was done on a small probe (33-mer) (Table 1) and a large probe (50-mer) comprised of γ -fibrinogen sequence from -189 to -140 with a G to A point mutation at -155 to remove a GRE half site (16). Probes were present in equimolar ratios (0.2 ng small to 0.32 ng large). Binding reactions were prepared as previously described (8). The GR, T7X556, was present at a range of 25 to 300 ng per reaction. Since nuclear extract enhances GR binding to DNA, these reactions required a greater amount of GR in comparison to the XGRAF:GR binding reactions described above. Gels were prepared and run as described for XGRAF binding.

Binding reactions for GR homodimer used the small probes (33-mer) described in Table 1. Binding conditions were the same as for GR monomer except that the range of GR was 5 to 40 ng per reaction. Reactions were run on a 5% polyacrylamide gel at 250V for 2 hr 15 min.

Quantitative analysis of gel mobility shift assays

The labeled DNA was detected on a Bio-Rad FX phosphorimager and quantitated with Quantity One software (Bio-Rad). The type of quantitation used was dependent upon specific reaction conditions for each type of experiment.

Quantitation of XGRAF and GR binding (Figures 4 and 6) was conducted as follows. Within a single lane, the amount of small or large probe bound to protein was divided by the amount of the identical free probe. The ratio of these values for the small to large probes was then calculated (22). The final value for each small mutant probe was expressed as a percentage of binding to the small wild type probe with identical protein amount present.

To compare formation of XGRAF:GR complex on different DNAs (Figure 5), ratios of XGRAF:GR:DNA to total radioactivity were calculated for each lane. The ratios for each mutant probe were then expressed as a percentage of the ratio of complex formation on the wild type probe under identical reaction conditions.

GR dimer binding to mutant probes (Figure 8) was compared to binding to GRE probe under identical conditions as follows: Dimer bound to mutant probe (% of wt) = [(Dimer bound to mutant probe / Free mutant probe) / (Dimer bound to GRE probe / Free GRE probe)] × 100.

RESULTS

In our previous work, we identified a glucocorticoid responsive region from -187 to -157 relative to the transcription start site in the *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen subunit gene (16,17). This region contains a GRE half site from -168 to -163 (see Figure 1), but this half site is insufficient to confer full hormone responsiveness to the promoter. An additional sequence within -177 to -169 binds XGRAF and is required for full induction of gene expression. This sequence was examined by mutating each nucleotide individually to the other three possible nucleotides (15). The results of this experiment localized the core binding site for XGRAF from -175 to -169 (see Figure 1). XGRAF and GR bind the DNA cooperatively at their respective sites and function as a heterodimeric unit (8).

The segment of DNA from positions -187 to -157, which contains the XGRAF site and the single adjacent half GRE, remains functional when placed in the heterologous context of the *Xenopus* B β -fibrinogen gene promoter and upstream sequence, which has no homology with the γ -fibrinogen gene regulatory region (17). Thus, the -187 to -157 DNA segment does not require any other sequences within the γ -fibrinogen gene upstream region to function as a glucocorticoid response unit. The possibility remained, however, that sequences within the -187 to -157 segment, upstream or downstream of the core XGRAF (-175 to -169) and GR (-168 to -163) binding sites, play a role in the activity of this heterodimeric unit.

Since the XGRAF:GR heterodimer is a novel transcriptional regulatory complex, we sought a more complete understanding of the role of the flanking DNA sequences in glucocorticoid responsiveness. For these investigations, we used constructs in which the -187 to -157 fragment of the γ -fibrinogen gene DNA was placed upstream of the -141 to +40 region of B β -fibrinogen gene regulatory region, so that the single full GRE from -162 to -148 that is solely responsible for the hormone responsiveness of the B β -gene is removed (19). The γ -fibrinogen -187 to -157 sequence was positioned so that the XGRAF:GR core binding sites, plus bases -177 and -176, were in the exact location, relative to the transcription start site, normally occupied by the B β full GRE.

The effects of flanking sequence on XGRAF:GR function

To examine the role of the flanking sequences in XGRAF:GR function, the γ -flanks were mutated to match the sequences that flank the GRE of the B β -fibrinogen gene. The mutated upstream flank, mutU*, lies near the XGRAF binding site and the mutated downstream flank, mutD, borders the GR binding site. Simultaneously changing both flanks in mutU*D (Table 1) resulted in an approximately 50% decrease in glucocorticoid responsiveness, but did not completely eliminate hormone induction (Figure 2A). To verify that both XGRAF and GR played a role in the remaining hormone responsiveness of the mutU*D construct, the individual XGRAF and GR binding sites were mutated (Table 1). Mutation of the XGRAF binding site in mutU*XD resulted in a partial decrease of glucocorticoid responsiveness while mutation of the GRE half site in mutU*GD nearly eliminated the hormone induction (Figure 2B). These results are essentially identical to previous observations made with the native γ -gene flanking sequences intact (17). Therefore, while the XGRAF:GR core binding site still retains some responsiveness without the native flanks, the wild type sequences are necessary for the full function of the XGRAF:GR heterodimer.

Since some of the nucleotides in the upstream flanking B β -sequence are identical to the γ -sequence, the upstream flank was further mutated from the B β -sequence so that no bases

matched the native γ -fibrinogen sequence (mutU, Table 1). These new mutations in mutUD yielded essentially the same reduced glucocorticoid responsiveness as observed in mutU*D (compare Figures 2A and 3). Therefore, we used mutU instead of mutU* in the remaining experiments.

To assess the individual roles of each flanking sequence, we mutated either the upstream or downstream sequence (Table 1). Mutation of the upstream flank in mutU resulted in no appreciable change in hormone induction (Figure 3). In contrast, mutation of the downstream sequence in mutD substantially diminished the glucocorticoid response to a level similar to that observed when both flanks were mutated in mutUD (Figure 3). The reduced hormone responsiveness of mutD and mutUD is comparable to that obtained in previous experiments when XGRAF binding to its specific site on the DNA was abolished (8,15-17). Therefore, we sought to determine whether the decrease in glucocorticoid induction observed with the flanking sequence mutants was due to elimination of XGRAF binding.

The role of flanking sequence in XGRAF binding

To correlate function with protein binding, we performed quantitative gel mobility shift assays. Our previous work using gel shift assays has established that XGRAF behaves as a single distinct band and binds with high specificity to a core DNA sequence (8,15-17). We are able to study XGRAF binding without complications from endogenous GR binding to its adjacent site, because GR is undetectable in our nuclear extract (16). To examine the effects of flanking sequence on XGRAF binding, nuclear extract was combined with either a wild type probe or a mutant probe (SP) with one or both flanking sequences altered. All four probes bound XGRAF in sufficient amounts for quantitation (XGRAF:SP in Figure 4A). Instead of competition studies, we elected to use a large wt DNA probe (LP) with an XGRAF binding site as an internal control (XGRAF:LP in Figure 4A). The larger control probe allowed simultaneous detection of the experimental probe within the same sample. This approach has the advantage of increased accuracy, which allows small differences in DNA binding affinity to be detected (22). Following quantitation of all four bands, the ratio of XGRAF:SP to free SP was divided by the ratio of XGRAF:LP to free LP to normalize protein binding within each sample. In Figure 4B, the normalized XGRAF binding for each mutant probe is expressed as a percentage of the normalized XGRAF binding to small wild type probe under identical conditions. Mutation of both upstream and downstream flanks in mutUD resulted in a 50% decrease in XGRAF binding (Figure 4B). When only the downstream flank was altered in mutD, there was no decrease in XGRAF binding compared to wild type. In contrast, mutation of the upstream flank alone diminished XGRAF binding to the level seen on the double mutant (mutU, Figure 4B). However, it was mutation of the downstream flanking sequence that resulted in a smaller hormone induction (Figure 3). Since the upstream flank did not alter function, it is unlikely that decreased XGRAF binding is responsible for the reduced glucocorticoid responsiveness.

Effect of flanking sequence mutations on formation of XGRAF:GR heterodimers

An alternative mechanism that can decrease hormonal activation of transcription is the elimination of the interaction between XGRAF and GR by the insertion of a single nucleotide between their respective binding sites (8). Consequently, we examined whether the reduced function brought about by the flanking sequence mutations was due to loss of XGRAF:GR heterodimer formation. A fixed amount of nuclear extract (XGRAF) was combined with varying amounts of GR and either wild type or mutant probes (Table 1 and Figure 5A). The ratio of XGRAF:GR:DNA complex to total DNA for each mutant was then compared to the same ratio for the wild type probe under identical conditions and expressed as a percentage of the wild type control. Mutation of both flanks in mutUD or the upstream flank alone in mutU resulted in an approximately 50% reduction in complex formation on the DNA (Figure 5B).

However, mutation of only the downstream flank in mutD had no effect on the ability of XGRAF and GR to form heterodimer (Figure 5B). Though XGRAF:GR:DNA complex formation was not eliminated by any of the flanking sequence mutations, the lower amount of complex on the mutU and mutUD mutants parallels the reduced amount of XGRAF:DNA available for formation of the XGRAF:GR:DNA complex. However, these reductions in binding do not correlate with the effects of the mutD and mutUD flanking sequence mutations on the functional activity of this regulatory region.

The dependency of GR monomer binding on flanking sequence composition

Since neither XGRAF binding nor XGRAF:GR:DNA complex formation account for the decreased function associated with mutated flanking sequences, we examined the effects of flanking sequence composition on GR binding to its half site. Employing the method used to assess XGRAF binding, we examined GR monomer binding to wild type and mutant probes (Figure 6A). Mutation of both flanking sequences in mutUD resulted in a decrease in GR monomer binding to the single GRE half site (Figure 6B). Mutation of the upstream sequence alone failed to reduce monomer GR binding (mutU, Figure 6B). In contrast, mutating the downstream sequence resulted in a nearly 40% decrease in GR binding to the DNA (mutD, Figure 6B). These results link the decreased hormone responsiveness to a decrease in GR binding that is attributable to the composition of the downstream flanking sequence.

An essential flanking sequence for optimal GR:GR binding and function

It is possible that the downstream sequence is only important for GR binding and function in the context of the XGRAF:GR heterodimer because the binding site relies on a single half GRE. To ascertain if the downstream flank is important for GR binding in the context of a full GRE, we mutated the base at -173 to a C (Figure 1 and see GRE construct in Table 1). We had shown previously that this minimal change resulted in a loss of XGRAF binding accompanied by large hormone induction suggestive of dimeric GR activity (15). In the present context, with the wild type flanking sequences, this GRE construct responded strongly to glucocorticoid. Mutation of both flanking sequences in GREmutUD (Table 1) resulted in a 60% decrease in hormone induction compared to the control (Figure 7). Alteration of the upstream sequence in GREmutU was almost as deleterious as mutation of both flanking sequences in GREmutUD (Figure 7). However, with only the downstream flank mutated, GREmutD retained 85% of its activity in comparison to the GRE construct (Figure 7). These results were interesting because the opposite flank had impacted GR function and binding in the XGRAF:GR heterodimer.

To confirm our prediction that GR binds as a homodimer to the GRE construct and to assess the effect of flanking sequence on GR homodimer binding, we performed quantitative gel mobility shift assays using the GRE probe (Table 1). In Figure 8A, GR bound primarily as a dimer to the GRE and GRE mutant probes. To compare the GR dimer binding on mutant probes to the GR dimer binding on the GRE probe, the ratio of GR dimer to free probe was quantified (Figure 8B). The ratio for each mutant probe was then normalized to the ratio from the GRE probe under identical conditions. Mutation of both flanking sequences resulted in a 50% reduction in GR dimer formation in comparison to the control (GREmutUD, Figure 8B). Binding of GR homodimer to the upstream mutant, GREmutU, was similarly reduced, while no decrease in binding was observed on the downstream mutant, GREmutD (Figure 8B). These binding data correlate with the functional data for GR homodimer which indicated that the upstream flank is important for hormone induction in this context.

DISCUSSION

The upstream regulatory region of the *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen gene contains a binding site for an XGRAF:GR heterodimer that is essential for the full glucocorticoid induction of gene

expression (8,15-17). A single base pair mutation in this site converts it to a functional GRE able to bind a GR:GR homodimer (15). This property enabled us to examine the effects of sequences directly adjacent to the dimer binding site on the binding and function of both XGRAF:GR and GR:GR dimers. Our results indicate that flanking sequence composition affects the binding and function of the two dimers in distinctly different ways.

In the native sequence, mutation of the upstream flank adjacent to the XGRAF binding site reduces XGRAF binding and XGRAF:GR heterodimer formation with little effect on transcription. However, we have previously shown that elimination of heterodimer binding was deleterious to transcriptional activation by glucocorticoids (8). Apparently, sufficient heterodimer is able to form *in vivo* to maintain normal function, even with the reduced XGRAF binding caused by mutation of the upstream flanking sequence. A similar compensatory mechanism does not exist to correct the effects of the downstream mutation on GR binding and function. Reduced GR occupancy resulting from a lower affinity for its binding site can account for the diminished function, even when complex formation is relatively unchanged (Figure 5).

In contrast to XGRAF:GR heterodimer, GR:GR homodimer formation and function are impacted only by mutations to the upstream flanking sequence. Since the GRE half site adjacent to the upstream flank is a poor match to the consensus sequence (see Figure 1), the upstream flanking sequence could enhance homodimer binding to compensate for the large number of mismatches in this GRE. Curiously, the native downstream flank that facilitates binding of GR monomer to the wild type γ -fibrinogen sequence does not play a role in enhancing the binding of GR in the homodimer, even though the downstream half GRE is thought to be occupied first in homodimer formation (27). The different requirements for flanking sequence composition may reflect different conformations adopted by GR in hetero- and homodimers in equilibrium binding conditions.

These differences between the heterodimer and the homodimer demonstrate an important connection between binding partner and flanking sequence specificity. Such complexity may be especially important for the steroid receptor family of which GR is a part, since androgen, progesterone, and mineralocorticoid receptors recognize similar or identical core sequences, yet regulate very different patterns of gene expression.

This investigation shows that flanking sequences and dimerization partners influence the binding and function of transcription factors, adding to the difficulty of identifying authentic regulatory elements. Despite such difficulties, the advent of genomics and bioinformatics has made it desirable to develop methods that predict specific elements in vast stretches of DNA. Using established consensus sequences as templates, a number of computer programs have been devised to search for potential transcription factor binding sites (28-31). However, to minimize false identification of irrelevant sites and to maximize the detection of actual regulatory elements, the design of these programs must overcome a number of challenges, owing to the complexity and unpredictability of the interactions between transcription factors and DNA (28-31).

In the example given here, mutation of a single base creates a functional full GRE (Figure 1) although this sequence is only a moderate match to the consensus GRE (7 out of 12 bases, with 3 out of 4 critical bases) (27,32,33). This mutant functions well under the influence of a particular flanking sequence, but the binding site might remain undetected in a computer-assisted search due to the marginal overall match to the consensus sequence, even with a search weighted towards known critical bases. It is interesting to note that, previously, we mutated this site to form a 10 out of 12 match to the consensus GRE with 3 of the 4 critical bases

(GGTAAAnnnTGTTCC) (16). However, the resulting sequence was non-functional in this particular context, though it would likely be identified as a good candidate in a computer search.

The difference in the requirements for flanking sequences between XGRAF:GR heterodimer and GR:GR homodimer at nearly identical sites demonstrates the importance of context in regard to both the binding and function of GR. Transcription factor binding sites that are not readily identifiable by their traditional binding sequence may be functional due to additional complex features such as the presence of a specific flanking sequence. This complexity emphasizes the need for using experimental approaches in close conjunction with computer-based methods to maximize the identification and confirmation of authentic transcription factor binding sites.

REFERENCES

1. Lefstin JA, Yamamoto KR. Allosteric effects of DNA on transcriptional regulators. *Nature* 1998;392:885–888. [PubMed: 9582068]
2. Leung TH, Hoffmann A, Baltimore D. One nucleotide in a κ B site can determine cofactor specificity for NF- κ B dimers. *Cell* 2004;118:453–464. [PubMed: 15315758]
3. Natoli G. Little things that count in transcriptional regulation. *Cell* 2004;118:406–408. [PubMed: 15315753]
4. Kato S, Sasaki H, Suzawa M, Masushige S, Tora L, Chambon P, Gronemeyer H. Widely spaced, directly repeated PuGGTCA elements act as promiscuous enhancers for different classes of nuclear receptors. *Mol. Cell. Biol* 1995;15:5858–5867. [PubMed: 7565738]
5. Mangelsdorf DJ, Evans RM. The RXR heterodimers and orphan receptors. *Cell* 1995;83:841–850. [PubMed: 8521508]
6. Winter LA, Stewart MJ, Shean ML, Dong Y, Poellinger L, Okret S, Gustafsson J-Å, Duester G. A hormone response element upstream from the human alcohol dehydrogenase gene ADH2 consists of three tandem glucocorticoid receptor binding sites. *Gene* 1990;91:233–240. [PubMed: 2210383]
7. Connor LM, Marriott SJ. Sequences flanking the cAMP responsive core of the HTLV-I Tax response elements influence CREB protease sensitivity. *Virology* 2000;270:328–336. [PubMed: 10792992]
8. Morin B, Woodcock GR, Nichols LA, Holland LJ. Heterodimerization between the glucocorticoid receptor and the unrelated DNA-binding protein, *Xenopus* glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor. *Mol. Endocrinol* 2001;15:458–466. [PubMed: 11222746]
9. Mullick J, Anandatheerthavarada HK, Amuthan G, Bhagwat SV, Biswas G, Camasamudram V, Bhat NK, Reddy SEP, Rao V, Avadhani NG. Physical interaction and functional synergy between glucocorticoid receptor and Ets2 proteins for transcription activation of the rat cytochrome P-450c27 promoter. *J. Biol. Chem* 2001;276:18007–18017. [PubMed: 11279115]
10. Stafford JM, Wilkinson JC, Beechem JM, Granner DK. Accessory factors facilitate the binding of glucocorticoid receptor to the phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase gene promoter. *J. Biol. Chem* 2001;276:39885–39891. [PubMed: 11518712]
11. Gaunitz F, Heise K, Gebhardt R. A silencer element in the first intron of the glutamine synthetase gene represses induction by glucocorticoids. *Mol. Endocrinol* 2004;18:63–69. [PubMed: 14563934]
12. Ki SH, Cho IJ, Choi DW, Kim SG. Glucocorticoid receptor (GR)-associated SMRT binding to C/EBP β TAD and Nrf2 Neh4/5: role of SMRT recruited to GR in GSTA2 gene repression. *Mol. Cell. Biol* 2005;25:4150–4165. [PubMed: 15870285]
13. Nordeen SK, Ogden CA, Taraseviciene L, Lieberman BA. Extreme position dependence of a canonical hormone response element. *Mol. Endocrinol* 1998;12:891–898. [PubMed: 9626664]
14. Kelly EJ, Sandgren EP, Brinster RL, Palmiter RD. A pair of adjacent glucocorticoid response elements regulate expression of two mouse metallothionein genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1997;94:10045–10050. [PubMed: 9294160]
15. Li M, Ye X, Woodward RN, Zhu C, Nichols LA, Holland LJ. Analysis of the DNA-binding site for *Xenopus* glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor: critical nucleotides for binding specificity *in vitro* and for amplification of steroid-induced fibrinogen gene transcription. *J. Biol. Chem* 1998;273:9790–9796. [PubMed: 9545317]

16. Woodward RN, Li M, Holland LJ. Novel accessory factor-binding site required for glucocorticoid regulation of the γ -fibrinogen subunit gene from *Xenopus laevis*. *Mol. Endocrinol* 1997;11:563–576. [PubMed: 9139800]
17. Morin B, Zhu C, Woodcock GR, Li M, Woodward RN, Nichols LA, Holland LJ. The binding site for *Xenopus* glucocorticoid receptor accessory factor and a single adjacent half-GRE form an independent glucocorticoid response unit. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:12234–12242. [PubMed: 11015202]
18. d'Emden MC, Okimura Y, Maurer RA. Analysis of functional cooperativity between individual transcription-stimulating elements in the proximal region of the rat prolactin gene. *Mol. Endocrinol* 1992;6:581–588. [PubMed: 1584222]
19. Roberts LR, Nichols LA, Holland LJ. Transcriptional regulation of the *Xenopus laevis* B β fibrinogen subunit gene by glucocorticoids and hepatocyte nuclear factor 1: analysis by transfection into primary liver cells. *Biochemistry* 1993;32:11627–11637. [PubMed: 8218230]
20. Wood, KV. The origin of beetle luciferases. In: Stanley, PE.; Kricka, LJ., editors. *Bioluminescence and Chemiluminescence: Current Status*. John Wiley and Sons; Chichester, U.K.: 1991. p. 11-14.
21. Holland LJ, Wall AA, Bhattacharya A. *Xenopus* liver ferritin H subunit: cDNA sequence and mRNA production in the liver following estrogen treatment. *Biochemistry* 1991;30:1965–1972. [PubMed: 1993207]
22. La Baer J, Yamamoto KR. Analysis of the DNA-binding affinity, sequence specificity and context dependence of the glucocorticoid receptor zinc finger region. *J. Mol. Biol* 1994;239:664–688. [PubMed: 8014988]
23. Freedman LP, Luisi BF, Korszun ZR, Basavappa R, Sigler PB, Yamamoto KR. The function and structure of the metal coordination sites within the glucocorticoid receptor DNA binding domain. *Nature* 1988;334:543–546. [PubMed: 3043231]
24. Srinivasan G, Thompson EB. Overexpression of full-length human glucocorticoid receptor in *Spodoptera frugiperda* cells using the baculovirus expression vector system. *Mol. Endocrinol* 1990;4:209–216. [PubMed: 2330001]
25. Aumais JP, Lee HS, DeGannes C, Horsford J, White JH. Function of directly repeated half-sites as response elements for steroid hormone receptors. *J. Biol. Chem* 1996;271:12568–12577. [PubMed: 8647867]
26. Glantz, SA. *Primer of Biostatistics*. 4th ed.. McGraw-Hill; New York: 1997.
27. Tsai SY, Carlstedt-Duke J, Weigel NL, Dahlman K, Gustafsson J-Å, Tsai M-J, O'Malley BW. Molecular interactions of steroid hormone receptor with its enhancer element: evidence for receptor dimer formation. *Cell* 1988;55:361–369. [PubMed: 3167984]
28. Stormo GD. DNA binding sites: representation and discovery. *Bioinformatics* 2000;16:16–23. [PubMed: 10812473]
29. Wasserman WW, Sandelin A. Applied bioinformatics for the identification of regulatory elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet* 2004;5:276–287. [PubMed: 15131651]
30. Sandelin A, Wasserman WW. Prediction of nuclear hormone receptor response elements. *Mol. Endocrinol* 2005;19:595–606. [PubMed: 15563547]
31. Hallikas O, Palin K, Sinjushina N, Rautiainen R, Partanen J, Ukkonen E, Taipale J. Genome-wide prediction of mammalian enhancers based on analysis of transcription-factor binding affinity. *Cell* 2006;124:47–59. [PubMed: 16413481]
32. Beato M, Chalepakis G, Schauer M, Slater EP. DNA regulatory elements for steroid hormones. *J. Steroid Biochem* 1989;32:737–747. [PubMed: 2661921]
33. Nordeen SK, Suh BJ, Kühnel B, Hutchison CA III. Structural determinants of a glucocorticoid receptor recognition element. *Mol. Endocrinol* 1990;4:1866–1873. [PubMed: 1964489]

FIGURE 1.

The *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen DNA from -187 to -157 relative to the transcription start site at +1 is shown. The XGRAF binding site (white box), GRE half site (grey box), and both flanking sequences in the γ -wt sequence are displayed. The γ -GRE mutant and a consensus GRE (32) are shown in relation to the γ -wt sequence. The GRE mutation involves conversion of the base at -173 from G to C (indicated by the arrowhead) to cause the sequence to function as a full GRE.

FIGURE 2.

The XGRAF:GR core binding sequence has reduced function following replacement of the flanking sequences. The diagrammatic schemes of the constructs containing the *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen gene upstream DNA show the presence of the XGRAF binding site (white box), the GRE half site (grey box), and mutated sequence ($\times$). See Table 1 for wild type and mutant sequences. Constructs were transfected into *Xenopus* primary hepatocytes and glucocorticoid responsiveness was determined as described in Materials and Methods. The fold hormonal induction of each construct is given as a percentage of the wt (Panel A) or mutU*D (Panel B) control. (A) The data are expressed as the average of nine separate experiments $\pm$ SEM. The fold induction of the wt construct was 2.3. (B) The data are expressed as the average of ten separate experiments $\pm$ SEM. The fold induction of the mutU*D construct was 1.6. All experimental constructs were significantly different from their controls ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 3.

The downstream flanking sequence is important for XGRAF:GR function. The diagrammatic schemes of the constructs containing the *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen gene upstream DNA show the presence of the XGRAF binding site (white box), the GRE half site (grey box), and mutated sequence (X). See Table 1 for wild type and mutant sequences. Constructs were transfected into *Xenopus* primary hepatocytes and glucocorticoid responsiveness was determined as described in Materials and Methods. The fold hormonal induction of each construct is given as a percentage of the wt control. The data are expressed as the average of eight separate experiments $\pm$ SEM. The fold induction of the wt construct was 1.8. The mutUD and mutD constructs were significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 4.

XGRAF binding to γ -fibrinogen DNA is negatively impacted by mutation of the upstream flanking sequence. (A) The gel mobility shift assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods with a large control DNA probe (LP) present in each lane. The small experimental probes (SP) are described in Table 1. The bands are denoted to the left as XGRAF complexed with either the large or small probe (XGRAF:LP or XGRAF:SP) or as the free individual probes. (B) Data for each experimental probe were analyzed from nine independent samples run on three separate gels as described in Materials and Methods and are expressed as a percentage of XGRAF binding to the small wt control $\pm$ SEM. XGRAF binding to the mutUD and mutU probes was significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 5.

Formation of XGRAF:GR:DNA complex is reduced by mutation of the upstream flanking sequence. (A) The gel mobility shift assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods with the experimental probes described in Table 1. The bands are denoted to the left as XGRAF:GR, XGRAF, or GR complexed with probe or as free probe. (B) Data for each experimental probe were analyzed from ten independent samples run on three separate gels as described in Materials and Methods and are expressed as a percentage of XGRAF:GR binding to the wt control $\pm$ SEM. XGRAF:GR binding to the mutUD and mutU probes was significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 6.

GR monomer binding to γ -fibrinogen DNA is decreased by mutation of the downstream flanking sequence. (A) The gel mobility shift assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods with a large control DNA probe (LP) present in each lane. The small experimental probes (SP) are described in Table 1. The bands are denoted to the left as GR complexed with either the large or small probe (GR:LP or GR:SP) or as the free individual probes. (B) Data for each experimental probe were analyzed from fifteen independent samples run on three separate gels as described in Materials and Methods and are expressed as a percentage of GR monomer binding to the small wt control $\pm$ SEM. GR monomer binding to the three experimental probes was significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 7.

The upstream flanking sequence is important for GR homodimer function. The diagrammatic schemes of the constructs containing the *Xenopus* γ -fibrinogen gene upstream DNA modified to contain a full GRE show the presence of the GRE half sites (grey boxes) and mutated sequence (X). See Table 1 for wild type and mutant sequences. Constructs were transfected into *Xenopus* primary hepatocytes and glucocorticoid responsiveness was determined as described in Materials and Methods. The fold hormonal induction of each construct is given as a percentage of the GRE control. The data are expressed as the average of six separate experiments $\pm$ SEM. The fold induction of the GRE construct was 14.3. The GREmutUD and GREmutU constructs were significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

FIGURE 8.

GR homodimer binding to γ -GRE DNA is reduced by mutation of the upstream flanking sequence. (A) The gel mobility shift assay was carried out as described in Materials and Methods with the small experimental probes described in Table 1. The bands are denoted to the left as GR:GR (GR homodimer), GR (GR monomer), and free probe. (B) Data for each experimental probe were analyzed from fifteen independent samples run on three separate gels as described in Materials and Methods and are expressed as a percentage of GR dimer binding to the GRE control $\pm$ SEM. GR dimer binding to the GREmutUD and GREmutU probes was significantly different from the control ($p < 0.05$) as determined by the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (26).

Table 1Sequences of Mutations within the γ -fibrinogen Gene DNA Fragment

Name	DNA Sequence ^a
Wild type ^{b,d}	-187 CCAGACAGAAAAGAGT T TAATGTTCCCTCTTA ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutU*D ^c	-187 CtAcACtGtAAAAGAGT T TAATGTTCCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutU*XD ^c	-187 CtAcACtGtAAAAGAGcgcgTGTTCcGcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutU*GD ^c	-187 CtAcACtGtAAAAGAGT T TAATaCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutUD ^{c,e}	-187 attccatataAGAGT T TAATGTTCCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutU ^{c,e}	-187 attccatataAGAGT T TAATGTTCCCTCTTA ⁻¹⁵⁷
MutD ^{b,d}	-187 CCAGACAGAAAAGAGT T TAATGTTCCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
GRE ^{b,d}	-187 CCAGACAGAAAAGAcTTAATGTTCCCTCTTA ⁻¹⁵⁷
GREmutUD ^{c,e}	-187 attccatataAGAcTTAATGTTCCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷
GREmutU ^{c,e}	-187 attccatataAGAcTTAATGTTCCCTCTTA ⁻¹⁵⁷
GREmutD ^{b,d}	-187 CCAGACAGAAAAGAcTTAATGTTCCgcaaac ⁻¹⁵⁷

^aThe sense strand is shown and mutated bases are denoted by lower case letters. The XGRAF binding site is in bold and the GRE half sites are underlined.

^bThe *Kpn* I site at the 5' junction with the vector includes γ -fibrinogen bases -187 and -186 as the final two bases of the enzyme recognition sequence.

^cConstructs have 5 additional bases (TCCAC) between the 5' *Kpn* I junction and the sequence shown.

^dProbes for gel mobility shift assays had two additional bases; -189 and -188 were present as CT.

^eProbes for gel mobility shift assays had two additional bases; -189 and -188 were present as Cc.