Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2006 October 13; 71(21): 8178–8182.

Fe(0)-Mediated Synthesis of Tri- and Tetra-Substituted Olefins from Carbonyls: An Environmentally Friendly Alternative to Cr(II)

J. R. Falck[‡], Romain Bejot[§], Deb K. Barma[‡], Anish Bandyopadhyay[‡], Suju Joseph[‡], and Charles Mioskowski[§]

Department of Biochemistry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390, and Laboratoire de Synthèse Bio-Organique, UMR 7175 - LC1, Faculté de Pharmacie, Université Louis Pasteur, 74 Route du Rhin, BP 24, 67 401 Illkirch, France

Abstract

Fe(0) was investigated as a cost-effective, environmentally friendly alternative to Cr(II) for the olefination of carbonyls by activated polyhalides. In many instances, Fe(0) was equivalent or superior to Cr(II). Notably, Fe(0), but not Cr(II), proved compatible with a wide range of functionality, *inter alia*, unprotected phenol, aryl nitro, carboxylic acid, and alkyl nitrile. A surprising reversal of stereoselectivity for aldehydes versus ketones was observed using both metals. The resultant α -halo- α,β -unsaturated or α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids, esters and nitriles are common structural elements in numerous compounds of interest as well as key intermediates in the preparation of other functionality.

Introduction

Recent publications from these¹ and other laboratories² have elucidated the rich mechanistic and synthetic versatility of organochromium reagents. The efficacy of these transformations and, in many cases, their unprecedented stereo- and/or chemoselectivities have accordingly attracted wide attention from the chemical community. However, restrictions upon the use of toxic heavy metals for organic synthesis have highlighted the urgent need for wide-ranging surveys of the reactivity and stereoselectivity of more benign metals and eco-friendly protocols.³ Since iron and its derivatives satisfy many of the desired criteria, *inter alia*, minimal toxicity, ease of handling, good stability, commercial availability in various forms, and relatively low costs, it was singled out for further evaluation. While the utility of polyvalent and complexed zerovalent iron for C–C coupling has been cogently demonstrated,^{4,5} surprisingly few studies have exploited iron in its elemental (solid) form. Herein, we describe a comparative study of elemental Fe(0)- versus Cr(II)-driven condensations of activated polyhalides (eq 1) and the

j.falck@utsouthwestern.edu, mioskow@aspirine.u-strasbg.fr.

[§]University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center: (Tel) 214–648–2406, (Fax) 214–648–6455

[‡]Université Louis Pasteur: (Fax) (+33) 3–9024–4306

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedures and full spectroscopic data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

surprising reversal of stereoselectivity for aldehydes versus ketones. The resultant α -halo- α,β -unsaturated or α,β -unsaturated carboxylic acids, esters and nitriles are common structural elements in numerous compounds of interest as well as key intermediates in the preparation of other functionality.^{6,7}

Results and Discussion

It was anticipated Cr(II) and Fe(0) would mediate many of the same transformations since they have similar reduction potentials (−0.41V and −0.45V vs. SHE, respectively).⁸ On the other hand, the disparities (e.g., geometry, aggregation, and Lewis acidity) manifested by organoiron reagents⁹ and comparable organochromium species⁸ augured for useful variances in stereoselectivity and/or functional group compatibility.

The former prediction was confirmed during condensations benzaldehyde of **1** and 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde **4** with methyl trichloroacetate **2** in THF using Fe powder at 23–60°C overnight or, as previously reported,^{1d} using CrCl₂ at RT for 1–2 h. The yields and *Z*-stereoselectivities for α -chloroacrylates **3** and **5** were outstanding and essentially equivalent for both reagents (Table 1, entries 1 and 2, respectively). As expected, Rieke-iron exhibited higher reactivity than commercial Fe(0) powder.^{10,11} All of the starting materials were consumed after just 1 h at room temperature, but complex product mixtures of α -chloroacrylate, dehalogenated acrylate, dichlorohydrin, and uncharacterized compounds were obtained.

A determination of the scope of the condensation also revealed some significant differences between the metal reductants. Under the influence of Fe(0) powder, phenol **6** and nitro **8** afforded **7** (entry 3) and **9** (entry 4), respectively, in good yields and stereocontrol (*Z/E* > 99:1). The lack of competitive aryl nitro reduction under these conditions is noteworthy.¹² In contrast, **6** and **8** did not react with CrCl₂, even under forcing conditions (refluxing THF, 12 h), and could be recovered unchanged. Heterocyclic aldehydes were well tolerated as seen in the smooth conversions of indole **10**, furan **12**, and thiophene **14** into the corresponding adducts **11** (entry 5),^{1d} **13** (entry 6), and **15** (entry 7). In this series, chromium displayed the superior *Z*-stereoselectivity whereas iron gave somewhat better yields, except with indole **10**. Conjugated and aliphatic aldehydes behaved analogously, e.g., **16**→**17** (entry 8) and **18**→**19** (entry 9), with CrCl₂ providing nominally better yields and conspicuously better *Z/E*-ratios. Interestingly, the presence of catalytic CrCl₂ (20 mol%) under the usual Fe(0) reaction conditions [Fe(0) (8 equiv), THF, 55°C, 14 h] was sufficient to impart chromium's stereoselectivity,¹³ for instance, **16**→**17** (86%, *Z/E* > 99:1).

The range of suitable polyhalogenated substrates encompassed ethyl dichlorofluoroacetate **20**, methyl tribromoacetate **22**, tribromoacetic acid **24**, ethyl dibromoacetate **26**, trichloroacetonitrile **28**, and methyl 2,2-dichloro-2-methoxyacetate **31**. These provided access to (*Z*)- α -fluoroacrylate **21** (entry 10), (*Z*)- α -bromoacrylate **23** (entry 11),¹⁴ (*Z*)- α -bromoacrylic acid **25** (Entry 12), (*E*)-acrylate **27** (entry 13),¹⁵ α -chloro- α,β -unsaturated nitriles **29/30** (entries 14 and 15), and (*Z*)- α -methoxyacrylate **32** (entry 16) in good to excellent yields. While the yields and stereoselectivities were broadly similar, the superior functional group compatibility of Fe(0) is patently evident in entries 12 and 15; only in entry 16 was the result with Fe(0) unsatisfactory.

It was also gratifying to discover a wide variety of ketones were suitable condensation partners for trichloroacetates (Table 2).¹⁶ Tetra-substituted olefins were generated in good yields from aryl ketones **33** and **35** (entries 1 and 2), conjugated ketones **37**, **39** and **41** (entries 3, 4 and 5), and aliphatic methyl ketones **43** and **45** (entries 6 and 7). Despite reports that benzyl ethers are cleaved under some circumstances by iron and chromium salts,¹⁷ we observed no evidence of this during the reactions of **35**.¹⁸ One should also note that while Cr(II)-mediated condensations typically gave somewhat better yields, for the sensitive cyclic ketone **41**, Fe(0) was more efficacious (entry 5). As might be anticipated, the *E/Z* ratio of the products varied in accordance with the steric differential of the opposing ketone appendages. The most noteworthy and unexpected result was the moderate to high *E*-selectivity observed for all of the Fe(0)-reactions and all but one of the Cr(II)-reactions. This is in stark contrast to the *Z*-stereoselectivity characteristic of aldehydes (Table 1).^{19,20} Furthermore, inspection of the data in Table 2 reveals Fe(0) was consistently more stereoselective than Cr(II).

While the details have not been elucidated, it appears Fe(0)-mediated condensations of aldehydes follow the same reaction pathway proposed for analogous chromium(II) olefinations,^{1d,15} i.e., initial one electron transfer at the metal interface resulting in rupture of a C–X bond,²¹ further reduction of the nascent 2,2-dihaloacetate radical, and generation of a metal-enolate complex. Whether the electron transfers are inner-sphere or outer-sphere isn't settled. Addition of the organometallic species to the carbonyl is rapid and results in a Reformatsky-type adduct (Figure 1).²² Subsequent reductive, antiperiplanar *E*₂-elimination preferentially affords the *Z*-olefin. This stereochemical outcome likely reflects the predominance of conformer **A** versus the more sterically hindered conformer **B**.

For ketones, we propose an early and crucial change in mechanism to explain the divergence in stereochemistry. Since reduction of the carbon–halogen bond of the comparatively more congested Reformatsky-type ketone adduct **47** is slower (Figure 2), electron transfer occurs through the carbonyl to generate metal-enolate **48**. It is likely that chelation plays an important role in determining the conformation of **48**. Subsequent elimination occurs preferentially via conformation **C** which minimizes the steric interaction between the halide and R_L-appendage as well as maximizes overlap of the electron rich sp³-hybridized oxygens (i.e., MeO[−] and X_nMO[−]) with the p-orbitals of the enolate C=C.

In concert with this hypothesis, the *E*-stereoselectivity observed with ketones is also realized using dichlorohydrins obtained from ketones. For example, the addition of methyl trichloroacetate **2** to benzylacetone **45** using only 2 equivalents of CrCl₂ at 0°C for 1.5 h or 4 equivalents of Fe(0) at rt gave methyl 2,2-dichloro-3-hydroxy-3-methyl-5-phenylpentanoate (36–40%) and methyl 2-chloro-3-methyl-5-phenylpent-2-enoate (12–15%, *Z/E* = 1:2) (eq 2). Exposure of the former adduct to the chromium reaction conditions used in Table 2 led to methyl 2-chloro-3-methyl-5-phenylpent-2-enoate **46** (*Z/E* = 1:3).

Conclusion

This contribution affords new insights into organoiron chemistry. Fe(0) was found to be an effective reductant for carbonyl-polyhalide condensations giving rise to tri- and tetra-substituted olefins. Yields and stereoselectivities are good to excellent and in some cases, especially ketones, superior to those achieved with CrCl₂. Fe(0) displayed excellent

compatibility with a wide range of functionality and we anticipate these Fe-mediated olefinations will find broad utility.

Experimental section

Fe(0) Condensations

Polyhalide (1.1 mmol) and aldehyde or ketone (1 mmol) in anhydrous THF (2 mL) were added to a stirring, room temperature suspension of Fe powder (10 mmol; Aldrich, –325 mesh) in anhydrous THF (8 mL) under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was warmed to 23–60°C for 4–14 h, then cooled to room temperature, quenched with water, filtered, and the filtrate was extracted thrice with ether. The combined ethereal extracts were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue via SiO₂ column chromatography furnished the adduct in the indicated yield (Tables 1 and 2).

Fe(0)/catalytic CrCl₂ Condensations

Conducted as described above using Fe powder (8 mmol; Aldrich, –325 mesh) and CrCl₂ (0.20 mmol; Strem Chem.).

CrCl₂ Condensations

Conducted as described above using CrCl₂ (6 mmol; Strem Chem.) at 23°C for 1–2 h.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the Ministère délégué à l'Enseignement supérieur et à la Recherche, the Robert A. Welch Foundation and NIH (GM31278, DK38226) for financial support of this work.

References and Footnotes

1. a Bejot R, Tisserand S, Reddy LM, Barma DK, Baati R, Falck JR, Mioskowski C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2005;44:2008. b Falck JR, Bandyopadhyay A, Barma DK, Shin DS, Kundu A, Kishore RVK. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2004;45:3039. c Barma DK, Kundu A, Bandyopadhyay A, Kundu A, Sangras B, Briot A, Mioskowski C, Falck JR. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2004;45:5917. d Barma DK, Kundu A, Zhang HM, Mioskowski C, Falck JR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2003;125:3218. [PubMed: 12630869] e Baati R, Barma DK, Falck JR, Mioskowski C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:2183. f Baati R, Barma DK, Falck JR, Mioskowski C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:2179. g Falck JR, Barma DK, Venkataraman SK, Baati R, Mioskowski C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:963. Baati, R.; Barma, DK.; Krishna, UM.; Mioskowski, C. h Falck JR. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2002;43:959.
2. Recent examples: a Nakagawa M, Saito A, Soga A, Yamamoto N, Taguchi T. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:5257. b Concellon JM, Bernad PL, Mejica C. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:569. c Takenaka N, Xia GY, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:13198. [PubMed: 15479054] d Namba K, Kishi Y. *Org. Lett* 2004;6:5031. [PubMed: 15606128] e Halterman RL, Crow LD. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2003;44:2907. f Berkessel A, Menche D, Sklorz CA, Schroder M, Paterson I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed* 2003;42:1032.
3. Bagchi D, Stohs SJ, Downs BW, Bagchi M, Preuss HG. *Toxicology* 2002;180:5. [PubMed: 12324196]
4. For recent examples of the application of iron derivatives in the formation of C–C bonds see: a Fürstner A, Martin R, Majima K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:12236. [PubMed: 16131197] b Fürstner A, Martin R. *Chem. Lett* 2005;34:624. c Bolm C, Legros J, LePaih J, Zani L. *Chem. Rev* 2004;104:6217. [PubMed: 15584700]

5. For recent C–C couplings using Fe(0)-complexes, see: a Terent'ev AB, Vasil'eva TT, Kuz'mina NA, Mysova NE, Chakhovskaya OV. Russ. J. Org. Chem 2001;37:1273. b Terentiev AB, Vasilieva TT, Kuz'mina NA, Chakhovskaya OV, Brodsky ES, Belokon YN. Russ. Chem. Bull 2000;49:722.
6. a Mironiuk-Puchalska E, Kolaczowska E, Sas W. Tetrahedron Lett 2002;43:8351. b Dollt H, Zabel V. Aust. J. Chem 1999;52:259. c Kakehi A, Ito S. J. Org. Chem 1974;39:1542. d Marvel CS, Weil ED, Wakefield LB, Fairbanks CW. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1953;75:2326.
7. a Tanaka K, Katsumura S. Org. Lett 2000;2:373. [PubMed: 10814326] Stille b Dai WM, Wu JL, Fong KC, Lee MYH, Lau CW. J. Org. Chem 1999;64:5062. Sonogashira c Zhou SM, Yan YL, Deng MZ. Synlett 1998:198. SuzukiRossi R, Bellina F, Bechini C, Mannina L, Vergamini P. Tetrahedron 1998;54:135. Zhang XG, Qing FL, Yu YH. J. Org. Chem 2000;65:7075. [PubMed: 11031031] Qing FL, Zhang XG. Tetrahedron Lett 2001;42:5929.
8. Wessjohann LA, Scheid G. Synthesis 1999:1.
9. Rosenblum M. Acc. Chem. Res 1974;7:122.
10. Rieke RD. Science 1989;246:1260. [PubMed: 17832221]
11. Fe(0) powder (<10 μm , 99.9%) purchased from Aldrich and Alfa Aesar and stored/handled under an inert atmosphere to minimize formation of an oxide coating.
12. Wang L, Li PH, Wu ZT, Yan JC, Wang M, Ding YB. Synthesis 2003:2001.
13. $\text{CrCl}_3/\text{Fe}(0)$ redox systems have been described: Hu CM, Chen J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun 1993:72.
14. Control experiments indicate 23 and 25 are stable under the reaction conditions. We speculate the minor amounts of debrominated by-products arise via double metallation of the initial Reformatsky-type adduct *i* to give *ii*. We and others have previously invoked the generation of *gem*-dichromium intermediates, e.g., Baati R, Barma DK, Falck JR, Mioskowski C. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2001;123:9196. [PubMed: 11552842]
15. For a mechanistically related, stereoselective synthesis of (*E*)- α,β -unsaturated esters using SmI_2 see: Concellon JM, Concellon C, Mejica C. J. Org. Chem 2005;70:6111. [PubMed: 16018713]
16. In sharp contrast, reactions of methyl tribromoacetate with ketones afforded complex product mixtures. This may reflect its greater steric profile and/or the proclivity of the more reactive bromides towards undesired side reactions.
17. a Rodebaugh R, Debenham JS, Fraser-Reid B. Tetrahedron Lett 1996;37:5477. b Park MH, Takeda R, Nakanishi K. Tetrahedron Lett 1987;28:3823.
18. Considering standard reduction potentials of Fe(II)/Fe (–0.45 V), Fe(III)/Fe (–0.04 V) and Fe(III)/Fe(II) (+0.77 V) compared to Cr(III)/Cr(II) (–0.41 V), we postulate the formation of Fe(II) salts in the tandem Reformatsky-elimination reaction.
19. The stereochemical assignments of adducts 34, 36, 38, 40, 44, and 46 were confirmed by LiAlH_4 reduction (Et_2O , 0°C, 20 min) of the ester and 2D nOe analysis of the resultant primary alcohols; comparable treatment of 42 gave an unstable product, so its stereochemistry could not be established.
20. NB: The principal product of the CrCl_2 -mediated condensation of 33 and 2 was previously assigned structure (Z)-34^{1d} in accordance with literature data Takai K, Hotta Y, Oshima K, Nozaki H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1980;53:1698.
21. Nuzzo RG, Dubois LH. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1986;108:2881.
22. Rathke, MW.; Weipert, P. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis. Heathcock, CH., editor. 2. Elsevier; 1991. p. 277 b Fürstner A. Synthesis 1989:571.

Figure 1.
Reformatsky intermediate derived from aldehydes.

Figure 2.
Reformatsky intermediate derived from ketones.

Table 1

Fe(0)- vs. Cr(II)-Mediated α -Halobiolefination of Aldehydes.

Entry	Aldehyde	Polyhalide	Adduct	Fe(0) ^d	Cr(II) ^b
				Yield ^c (Z/E)	Yield ^c (Z/E)
1		Cl ₃ CCO ₂ Me 2		99 (>99/1)	99 (>99/1)
2		2		99 (>99/1)	98 (>99/1)
3		2		89 (>99/1) ^d	0 ^e
4		2		79 (>99/1)	0 ^e
5		2		65 (>99/1) ^f	91 (>99/1) ^g
6		2		90 (5/1)	71 (20/1)
7		2		99 (5.6/1)	68 (20/1)
8		2		89 (6/1)	99 (>99/1)
9		2		95 (15/1)	99 (>99/1)
10		Cl ₂ FCCO ₂ Et 20		79 (>99/1)	99 (>99/1)
11		Br ₃ CCO ₂ Me 22		79 ^{hi}	99 (30/1)
12		Br ₃ CCO ₂ H 24		80 (>99/1) ^j	0 ^e
13		Br ₂ HCCO ₂ Et 26		82 (1/30) ^k	83 (1/99)
14		Cl ₃ CCN 28		77 ^{hl}	70 (1/1)
15		28		89 ^{sl}	0
16		Cl ₂ (MeO)CCO ₂ Me 31		25 (30/1)	92 (30/1)
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					

Entry	Aldehyde	Polyhalide	Adduct	Fe(0) ^a Yield ^c (Z/E)	Cr(II) ^b Yield ^c (Z/E)

^a Fe powder (10 equiv), THF, 55–60°C, 14 h.

^b CrCl₂ (6 equiv), THF, 23°C, 1–2 h.

^c Isolated yield after SiO₂ chromatographic purification. Z/E ratio determined by ¹H NMR and/or GC.

^d 23°C, 4h.

^e Warmed to reflux.

^f 35% of **10** recovered.

^g Required 8 equiv of CrCl₂ and 1.5 equiv of **2**.

^h 23°C.

ⁱ 15% dehalogenated adduct isolated.

^j 20% dehalogenated adduct isolated.

^k 90°C.

^l Stereochemistry determined by nOe.

Table 2
Fe(0)- vs. Cr(II)-Mediated α -Chloroolefination of Ketones.

Entry	Ketone	Polyhalide	Adduct	Fe(0) ^a		Cr(II) ^b	
				Yield ^c (Z/E)		Yield ^c (Z/E)	
1		2		80 (1/25)		90 (1/5)	
2		2		82 (1/35)		80 (1/7)	
3		2		77 (1/10)		95 (1/2.5)	
4		2		63 (1/6)		85 (1/4) ^d	
5		2		95 (1/1.6) ^e		76 (1/1) ^d	
6		2		82 (1/18) ^f		95 (1/5)	
7		2		99 (1/4)		99 (1/2) ^d	

^a Fe powder (10 equiv), THF, 55–60°C, 14 h.

^b CrCl₂ (6 equiv), THF, 23°C, 1–2 h.

^c Isolated yield after SiO₂ chromatographic purification. Z/E ratio determined by ¹H NMR and/or GC.

^d Required 8 equiv of CrCl₂ and 1.5 equiv of **2**.

^e Stereochemistry unassigned.

^f 40°C, 12 h.