Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2006 April 11; 45(14): 4602–4610.

Single Turnover Kinetic Experiments Confirm the Existence of High and Low Affinity ATPase Sites in *Escherichia coli* Lon Protease

Diana Vineyard, Jessica Patterson-Ward, and Irene Lee*

Department of Chemistry, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106

Abstract

Lon is an ATP-dependent serine protease which degrades damaged and certain regulatory proteins *in vivo*. Lon exists as a homo-oligomer and represents one of the simplest ATP dependent proteases because both the protease and ATPase domains are located within each monomeric subunit. Previous pre-steady state kinetic studies revealed functional non-equivalency in the ATPase activity of the enzyme [Vineyard, D., *et al.* (2005) *Biochemistry* 44, 1671–82]. Both a high and low affinity ATPase site has been previously reported for Lon [Menon, A.S., & Goldberg, A.L. (1987) *J Biol Chem* 262, 14921–8]. Because of the differing affinities for ATP, we were able to monitor the activities of the sites separately and determine that they were non-interacting. The high affinity sites hydrolyze ATP very slowly ($k_{\text{obs}} = 0.019 \pm 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1}$), while the low affinity sites hydrolyze ATP quickly at a rate of $17.2 \pm 0.09 \text{ s}^{-1}$ which is comparable to the previously observed burst rate. Although the high affinity sites hydrolyze ATP slowly they support multiple rounds of peptide hydrolysis, indicating that ATP and peptide hydrolysis are not stoichiometrically linked. However, ATP binding and hydrolysis at both the high and low affinity sites is necessary for optimal peptide cleavage and the stabilization of the conformational change associated with nucleotide binding.

Lon is an ATP dependent serine protease functioning to degrade damaged and certain regulatory proteins *in vivo* (1–10). Lon belongs to the ATPases associated with a variety of cellular activities (AAA⁺) superfamily whose members include ClpAP, ClpXP, ClpCP, and HslVU (11,12). They share a conserved Walker A (or P-loop) and Walker B motif which is associated with nucleotide binding and hydrolysis (13). Lon represents one of the simplest of the ATP dependent proteases because both the protease and ATPase domains are located within each monomeric subunit (14,15). Crystal structures of portions of the enzyme have been recently reported, and include an inactive mutant of the Lon protease domain (16–18). This structure shows Lon as a hexamer organized in a ring with a central cavity which is commonly found in other ATP dependent proteases (11,13,17). Although it is known that ATP modulates Lon's protease activity (4,5,7,19), mechanistic details concerning how the binding and hydrolysis of ATP is coordinated with peptide bond cleavage is not known. However, it has been shown that ATP binding and hydrolysis does not affect the oligomeric state of the enzyme (20,21).

We have previously developed a continuous fluorescent peptidase assay to monitor the kinetics of peptide cleavage. As the inner filter effect of fluorescence interferes at high concentrations of 100% fluorescent peptide, we used S3, a 10% mixture of fluorescently labeled peptide with its non-fluorescent analog (S2) (22). No optical signal from the peptide is needed when monitoring ATPase activity so only the non-fluorescent analog (S2) is used to account for the

*Corresponding author, Phone: 216-368-6001, email: Irene.lee@case.edu, fax: 216-368-3006.

effect of peptide (23). This ten amino acid long (S3,S2) peptide sequence contains only one cleavage site and comes from λ N protein, which is a physiological substrate of *E. coli* Lon (24). Since our model peptide (S3,S2) contains only one Lon cleavage site and it stimulates ATP hydrolysis, its kinetics of degradation can be directly attributed to the ATPase-dependent peptidase reaction rather than polypeptide unfolding or processive peptide cleavage (22,24).

We have utilized this peptide substrate in steady state kinetic and product inhibition studies to establish a minimal kinetic mechanism for *E. coli* Lon protease (22). This mechanism proposed a sequential mechanism for ATP binding and hydrolysis which mediated peptide cleavage presumably through a predicted ATP dependent translocation step. The resulting Lon:ATP bound enzyme form (F) was distinct from the pre-catalytic Lon (E). As the steady state methods and predicted kinetic model could not address the microscopic details along the reaction pathway, we utilized pre-steady state kinetic techniques to determine the timing of events as well as individual rate constants. Previously we were able to elucidate the timing of ATP hydrolysis and peptide cleavage in Lon (25), by demonstrating that ATP hydrolysis was occurring before peptide cleavage during the first turnover of Lon. This study found that *E. coli* Lon exhibits lag kinetics in the degradation of S3, but burst kinetics in ATP hydrolysis. Furthermore, the ATPase activity of Lon reveals functional non-equivalency in the enzyme's subunits, as only 50% of the ATP bound to Lon is hydrolyzed before peptide cleavage (25). The observed asymmetry in the ATPase activity could be attributed to the two different classes of ATP binding sites found in *E. coli* Lon as reported by Menon and Goldberg ($K_d < 1 \mu\text{M}$ and $K_d \sim 10\mu\text{M}$) (26).

Thus far, kinetic data on the ATPase-dependent degradation of the model peptide S3 by Lon implicates a reaction model by which the non-equivalent ATPase sites function cooperatively to modulate the efficiency of peptide cleavage (25). This model predicts that ATP hydrolysis will occur with a burst rate constant of $\sim 12\text{s}^{-1}$ at the tight sites of Lon only when the low affinity sites are occupied by ATP. Furthermore, optimal peptide hydrolysis is attained through the coordinated ATP binding to the low affinity sites and hydrolysis at the high affinity sites. However, this model is constructed based upon kinetic data obtained under pseudo-first order conditions where the concentration of ATP is in excess over Lon. As such, the functional roles of the high-and low-affinity ATPase sites could not be independently examined, and the validity of the proposed model could not be rigorously tested. To further investigate the cooperative function of the two kinds of ATPase sites in Lon and their respective impact on the kinetics of peptide cleavage, we monitored the ATPase and peptidase activities under limiting nucleotide concentrations, where only the high-affinity ATP binding sites of Lon are occupied (Scheme 1). Under these conditions, although multiple rounds of peptide hydrolysis occur, the rate constant is 10-fold lower than that obtained when both ATP-binding sites are occupied under saturating levels of ATP. Depending on the level of ATP saturation, Lon exhibits two distinct kinetic behaviors in its ATPase sites, with optimal peptide hydrolysis occurring upon full occupancy of ATP at both the sites. Unexpectedly, ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites is stimulated by peptide or protein substrates and is independent of nucleotide binding at the low affinity ATPase sites. Collectively, the data obtained in this study reveals that peptide cleavage is not stoichiometrically linked to ATP hydrolysis because multiple rounds of peptide hydrolysis occur under conditions of limiting ATP where only the high affinity sites are occupied. We have also shown that the two ATPase sites hydrolyze ATP at drastically different rates, which are seemingly unaffected by ATP hydrolysis at the other site. The previously proposed reaction model (25) is therefore revised accordingly to account for our currently observed data.

Materials and Methods

Materials

ATP and casein was purchased from Sigma whereas [α - 32 P] ATP was purchased from Perkin Elmer or ICN Biomedical. Fmoc-protected amino acids, Boc-Abz, Fmoc-protected Lys Wang resin, and HBTU were purchased from Advanced ChemTech and NovaBiochem. Tris, HEPES and PEI cellulose TLC plates were purchased from Fisher.

General Methods

Peptide synthesis and protein purification procedures were performed as described previously (24). All enzyme concentrations were reported as Lon monomer concentrations. All reagents are reported as final concentrations.

Double Filter Binding Assay

For the high affinity ATP site binding experiment, fifty nanomolar [α - 32 P] ATP was mixed with 0.005–6 μ M Lon (27) in 15 μ L of 50mM HEPES pH 8.0, 5mM Mg(OAc)₂, 75mM KOAc, 2mM DTT. Three microliters of the reactions (performed in triplicate) were spotted onto a piece of nitrocellulose mounted onto a dot-blot apparatus (BioRad) with a piece of Immobilon Ny⁺ below as described elsewhere (28,29). All reactions were performed at least in triplicate. Each spot was washed with 10 μ L of cold reaction buffer two times. The radioactive counts at each spot were quantified by PhosphorImaging using the Packard Cyclone storage phosphor system. The concentration of bound was determined according to equation 1,

$$[bound] = \left(\frac{NC_{dlu}}{NC_{dlu} + NY_{dlu}^+} \right) * [\alpha^{32}P - ATP] \quad (1)$$

where NC_{dlu} are the radioactive counts on the nitrocellulose membrane and NY_{dlu}⁺ are the radioactive counts on the Immobilon Ny⁺ membrane. The binding parameters were determined by fitting the data with equation 2 using the nonlinear regression analysis program Prism (GraphPad) software version 4.

$$[RL] = \frac{([R] + [L] + K_d) - \sqrt{([R] + [L] + K_d)^2 - 4[R][L]}}{2[L]} \quad (2)$$

where [L] is the concentration of α - 32 P-ATP, [R] is the concentration of Lon, [RL] is the concentration of α - 32 P-ATP bound to Lon, and K_d is the equilibrium dissociation constant for ATP bound at the high affinity site.

Single Turnover ATPase Assays

Single turnover data for ATP hydrolysis was measured as described elsewhere (23), and all reactions were performed at least in triplicate. Briefly, for the ATPase measurements, each reaction mixture (70 μ L) contained 50mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 75mM KOAc, 5mM Mg(OAc)₂, 5mM DTT, and 5 or 6 μ M Lon monomer. For the peptide-stimulated ATPase reactions, 500 μ M peptide substrate (S2) was added to each reaction mixture, and the reactions were initiated by the addition of [α - 32 P]ATP. Subsequently, 5 μ L aliquots were quenched in 10 μ L of 0.5N formic acid at twelve time points (from 0 to 15min). A 3 μ L aliquot of the reaction was spotted directly onto a PEI-cellulose TLC plate (10cm $\times$ 20cm) and the plate developed in 0.75M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 3.4). Radiolabeled ADP was then quantified using the Packard Cyclone storage phosphor screen Phosphor imager purchased from Perkin-Elmer Life Science. To compensate for slight variations in spotting volume, the concentration of the ADP

product obtained at each time point was corrected using an internal reference as shown in eq 3.

$$[ADP] = \left(\frac{ADP_{dlu}}{ATP_{dlu} + ADP_{dlu}} \right) \times [ATP] \quad (3)$$

All assays were performed at least in triplicate and the kinetic parameters were determined by fitting the time course data with a single exponential equation 4 using the nonlinear regression program Prism (Graphpad) software version 4.

$$Y = A * \exp^{-k_{obs}t} + C \quad (4)$$

where t is time in seconds, Y is [ADP] in μM , A is the amplitude in μM , k_{obs} is the observed rate constant in s^{-1} , and C is the end point.

Peptidase Methods

Peptidase activity was monitored on a Fluoromax 3 spectrofluorimeter (Horiba Group) as described previously (22). Assays contained 50mM HEPES pH 8.0, 75mM KOAc, 5mM DTT, 5mM $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$, 1mM S3 peptide (excitation 320nm emission 420nm) 5 μM or 6 μM Lon and either ATP or AMPPNP (0–100 μM).

Chemical Quench ATPase Activity Assays

The acid quenched time courses for ATP hydrolysis were measured using a rapid chemical quench-flow instrument from KinTek Corporation as described by Vineyard *et al* (25). All solutions were made in 50mM HEPES buffer pH 8.0, 5mM DTT, 5mM $\text{Mg}(\text{OAc})_2$, 75mM KOAc. A 15 μL buffered solution of 6 μM Lon monomer or 6 μM Lon preincubated with 6 μM ATP, with and without 500 μM S2 or 20 μM casein, was rapidly mixed with a 15 μL buffered solution of 100 μM ATP containing 0.01% of [α - ^{32}P]ATP at 37°C for varying times (0–3s). The reactions were quenched with 0.5N formic acid and then extracted with 200 μL of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol pH 6.7 (25:24:1). A 3 μL aliquot of the aqueous solution was spotted directly onto a PEI-cellulose TLC plate, and treated as above. All assays were performed at least in triplicate and the average of those traces used for data analysis. The burst amplitudes and burst rates were determined by fitting the k_{obs} data from 0 to 400ms with equation 5.

$$Y = A * \exp^{-k_{burst}t} + C \quad (5)$$

where t is time in seconds, Y is [ADP] in μM , A is the burst amplitude in μM , k_{burst} is the burst rate constant in s^{-1} , and C is the end point. The observed steady state rate constants ($k_{ss,ATP}$) were determined by fitting the data from 600ms to 3s with the linear function, $Y = mX + C$, where X is time, Y is [ADP]/[Lon], m is the observed steady state rate constant in s^{-1} , and C is the y-intercept. Data fitting was accomplished using the nonlinear regression program Prism (GraphPad) software version 4.

Tryptic Digestions

Tryptic digest reactions in mixtures containing 6 μM Lon, 50mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 5mM magnesium acetate, 2mM DTT, $\pm$ 500 μM S2 peptide, and either 1mM ATP, 6 μM ATP, or 500nM ATP were started by the addition of 1/50 (w/w) TPCK (*N*-*p*-tosyl-L-phenylalanine chloromethyl ketone)-treated trypsin with respect to Lon. At 0, 2, 4, 20, and 40 min, a 3 μL reaction aliquot was quenched in 3 μg of soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI) followed by boiling.

The quenched reactions were then resolved by 12.5% SDS-PAGE analysis and visualized with Coomassie brilliant blue.

Results

Examining binding of the ATPase sites in Lon

Although *E. coli* Lon contains one ATP binding domain in each of its monomeric subunits, the existence of both a high and low affinity ATP binding site is evident in its oligomeric form (25,26). To verify the existence of two different ATPase sites in Lon under our reaction conditions, we measured the affinities of Lon for α [32 P]ATP using a filter binding assay adapted from the protocols of Gilbert (27,28) and Lohman (29). The half life of the complex where ATP is bound at the low affinity sites can be calculated using the off rate of ATP (Vineyard and Lee manuscript in preparation). Because the half life is on a millisecond time scale, the filter binding assay is not an appropriate method for detecting the affinity of ATP to the low affinity site. However, the binding to this site has previously been determined under our reaction conditions using steady state kinetic methods (22) and the resulting affinity agreed with Menon and Goldberg's published value of 10 μ M (26). The equilibrium dissociation constant for the high affinity site was never specifically determined due to the limit of detection of the previous assays ($K_d < 1\mu$ M) (26). Therefore, we utilized the filter binding assay to better define the binding affinity of Lon to the high affinity ATPase site.

In order to probe the high affinity site, 50nM α [32 P]ATP was incubated with varying amounts of Lon, and the resulting Lon: α [32 P]ATP complex was immobilized onto a nitrocellulose membrane whereas unbound α [32 P]ATP was trapped by a positively charged nylon membrane placed directly below the nitrocellulose filter. The concentration of Lon was varied rather than α [32 P]ATP to eliminate the high background generated when the concentration of α [32 P]ATP is increased as the concentration Lon is held constant in the nanomolar range. A binding isotherm of the high affinity ATP site in Lon was generated by quantifying the amount of 32 P immobilized onto the nitrocellulose versus the nylon membrane as described in Materials and Methods. As shown in Figure 1, the binding of ATP at the high affinity site was detected with a K_d value of $0.52 \pm 0.096 \mu$ M. Control experiments were performed to ensure that no α [32 P]ATP hydrolysis was occurring under the reaction conditions (data not shown). These results are consistent with the earlier observation reported by Menon and Goldberg (26) that Lon contains two affinities for ATP which differ from one another by approximately ten fold.

Examining activity of the high-affinity ATPase sites of Lon

Kinetic analyses performed under pseudo-first order conditions, where ATP is in excess over the enzyme concentration, have revealed an apparent functional asymmetry in the ATPase sites (25). We examined the ATPase activity of the high and low affinity sites independently of one another by manipulating the concentration of nucleotide such that either just the high affinity sites or both sets of sites were occupied. In order to monitor the ATPase activity at Lon's high affinity sites, single turnover experiments were employed. By adjusting the concentrations of the reactants such that the Lon concentration (5 μ M) is in excess over limiting ATP (500nM), only the high affinity sites are occupied by the nucleotide ($K_d = 0.52\mu$ M, see above). Enzyme forms A-D represent different ATP bound states of Lon under the various reaction conditions used in this study. The proposed enzyme form under limiting ATP conditions is shown as enzyme form B in Scheme 1 (17), thus we can selectively monitor ATP hydrolysis at only the high affinity sites. Figure 2 inset shows the hydrolysis of ATP at the high affinity sites as Lon is increased (5, 7, 10 μ M). The observed rate constants range from 0.006–0.007s $^{-1}$ with a standard deviation of less than 0.8%. Because the rate constants are identical, the binding of ATP is not rate limiting under the single turnover reaction conditions employed in the experiment. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 2, the presence of S2 peptide does not influence

ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites as the rate constant for the reaction is $0.006 \pm 0.0004 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $0.007 \pm 0.0003 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the absence and presence of saturating amount of S2 (500 μM) respectively. This is consistent with our previous observation that the burst rate constant associated with ATP hydrolysis under pseudo-first order conditions was not affected by the presence of S2 peptide (25). The rate constant for S2 stimulated ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites under single turnover conditions ($k_{\text{obs}}=0.007 \pm 0.0003 \text{ s}^{-1}$), however, is considerably slower than the burst rate obtained under when ATP was in excess over the enzyme concentration ($k_{\text{burst}}=11.3 \pm 3.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (25). These two experiments differ only by the occupancy of ATP at the low affinity sites. This implies that although one ATP binding site exists per monomer, two functionally distinct ATPase sites are evident in the homo-oligomeric form of Lon.

As the hydrolysis at the high affinity ATPase sites is minimal and ATP hydrolysis is required for optimal peptide cleavage (23), we questioned whether the catalytic efficiency of S3 cleavage is coupled with ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites. To address this issue, we monitored the kinetics of S3 cleavage under single turnover conditions where ATP is limiting (5 μM Lon, 500nM ATP, 1mM S3). Under these conditions the predominant enzyme form is homo-oligomeric Lon with ATP bound only at the high affinity sites (Enzyme form B in Scheme 1). As shown in Figure 3, although the rate constant for S3 cleavage at limiting ATP concentrations (Table 1 $k_{\text{obs,S3}}=0.32\text{s}^{-1}$) is slower than with saturating ATP, enzyme form D in Scheme 1 (Table 1 $k_{\text{obs,S3}}=2.69\text{s}^{-1}$), Lon is undergoing multiple rounds of peptide cleavage with only limiting amounts of ATP. Because no peptide hydrolysis occurs in the presence of limiting amounts of the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog, AMPPNP, (Figure 3) at least one molecule of ATP must be hydrolyzed in order for peptide cleavage to occur under these conditions. Although, non-stoichiometric processing of ATP and S3 peptide is observed under saturating (100 μM) ATP conditions, the single turnover data much more clearly demonstrate that ATP and peptide hydrolysis are not stoichiometric. As previously reported and shown here in Figure 3 for comparison to the limiting nucleotide conditions, saturating amounts of AMPPNP (100 μM) support S3 hydrolysis at a lower rate than under saturating ATP conditions (100 μM) (Table 1) (22). In this case saturating AMPPNP most likely supports slow peptide hydrolysis because its binding at the low affinity sites in addition to not generating ADP from the lack of hydrolysis is sufficient to lock Lon into an active conformation (22).

The binding and hydrolysis of ATP at the tight sites is independent of nucleotide binding and hydrolysis at the weak sites

In order to further probe the functional non-equivalency of the two ATPase sites the activity of each site was monitored independently of the other using radiolabeled ATP as a selective probe. As the K_d for binding ATP at the high affinity sites was $0.52 \pm 0.096 \mu\text{M}$, the single turnover experimental conditions employed above were not sufficient to saturate those sites. In order to detect the full effect of ATP hydrolysis when the high affinity sites were fully occupied, the concentration of $\alpha^{32}\text{P}$ -ATP was raised to approximately ten fold excess of the K_d (6 μM) which is stoichiometric to the amount of Lon in the reaction. Shown as enzyme form C in Scheme 1, under these conditions it is assumed that the high affinity sites are saturated and the low affinity sites left unoccupied.

The experiment shown in Figure 4 shows the effect on ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites when the low affinity sites were subsequently occupied with unlabeled nucleotide. To accomplish this, ATP hydrolysis was measured at the high affinity sites under stoichiometric $\alpha^{32}\text{P}$ -ATP:Lon conditions (enzyme form C, Scheme 1), while saturating (100 μM) unlabeled ATP was subsequently added or chased to occupy the weak affinity sites one minute into the reaction (enzyme form D, Scheme 1). By performing the experiment in this manner, the hydrolysis at the high affinity sites can be monitored for the first half life of the reaction and

then the effect of nucleotide occupation at the low affinity sites on this hydrolysis can subsequently be seen. To ensure that sixty seconds was an appropriate time to add saturating nucleotide a control experiment was done where the saturating nucleotide was added at ten seconds and no difference was noted (data not shown). The rate constants associated with the hydrolysis of $\alpha^{32}\text{P}$ -ATP at the high affinity sites alone as well as after subsequent occupation of the low affinity sites with nucleotide are summarized in Table 2. These experiments were performed in both the presence (S2 stimulated) and absence (intrinsic) of the S2 peptide substrate to account for effects of any interaction between the ATPase and peptidase activities. As illustrated in Table 2, the observed rate constant for ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites was unaffected by occupation of the low affinity sites sixty seconds into the reaction with ATP (Figure 4), AMPPNP (Figure not shown), or ADP (Figure not shown). Because both a non-hydrolyzable ATP analog (AMPPNP) and a product inhibitor (ADP) were also tested, these data indicate that ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites is independent of ATP binding and/or hydrolysis at the low affinity sites. The rate constant for ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites was slightly faster in the presence of S2 peptide (Table 2) and casein (data not shown), thus suggesting that communication occurs as a result of the peptide or protein interacting with Lon and the high affinity ATPase site.

Peptide and protein substrates stimulate ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites

In the previous experiment, which was depicted in Figure 4 for ATP, 100 μM AMPPNP, ATP, and ADP did not compete out the $\alpha^{32}\text{P}$ -ATP bound at the high affinity sites as the amplitudes of the time courses were unaffected. The converse experiment could then be employed to monitor ATP hydrolysis at the low affinity sites. In this experiment, Lon (6 μM) was pre-incubated with a stoichiometric amount of unlabeled ATP (6 μM) (enzyme form C, Scheme 1). During this pre-incubation only the high affinity sites are occupied with unlabeled ATP, which was hydrolyzed to ADP that remains bound at the high affinity sites. As such, the subsequent hydrolysis of 100 μM [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP by Lon would directly reflect the ATPase activity at the low affinity sites. To ensure that the ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites which occurred during the pre-incubation was not necessary, the experiment was also performed where Lon (6 μM) was pre-incubated with ADP (6 μM) and the traces were identical (data not shown). Figure 5 shows the hydrolysis of [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP at the low affinity sites when the high affinity sites are occupied by unlabeled ADP ($\blacktriangle$). Hydrolysis of [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP at the low affinity sites exhibited an initial burst in [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ADP production which when fit using equation 6 from Methods and Materials yielded an observed burst rate constant of $17.2 \pm 0.09\text{s}^{-1}$ ($\blacktriangle$) which is comparable to the value of $15.9 \pm 0.07\text{s}^{-1}$ ($\blacksquare$) where both the high and low affinity sites are contributing. As expected the rate constant obtained here $15.9 \pm 0.07\text{s}^{-1}$ ($\blacksquare$) agrees well with the previously determined value of $11.3 \pm 3.3\text{s}^{-1}$ (25). The pre-steady state time course showing the hydrolysis of ATP at only the low affinity ATPase sites ($\blacktriangle$) mirrored the pre-steady state time course reflecting activity at both sites ($\blacksquare$). This would imply that the pre-steady state burst in ADP production is coming from only the low affinity ATPase sites. As noted in the previous publication, Lon's ATPase burst activity is unusual as it shows half burst amplitude and the time course is tri-phasic (25). The tri-phasic time course showed a burst in ADP production followed by an intermediate slow phase and then steady state ATP turnover occurs. The time courses, therefore, can not be fit using the classical burst equation because of the intermediate slow phase. As described in Methods and Materials, the time courses are instead split into the pre-steady state burst phase which is fit using a single exponential equation, and a linear steady state phase.

The kinetics of each site has been monitored in the presence and absence of nucleotide occupation at the other site and the occupancy of ADP at the high affinity sites does not affect ATP hydrolysis at the low affinity sites. Therefore, we have now demonstrated that the ATPase sites hydrolyze ATP independently of one another and the rate of ATP hydrolysis at the high

affinity sites is much slower. This behavior explains the tri-phasic time courses observed in the pseudo-first order experiments as well as the independence of the burst rate constant on the concentration of ATP which have been previously noted (25). Taken together with the presteady state characterization of peptide cleavage determined previously, we conclude that ATP hydrolysis occurs at the low affinity sites prior to S3 cleavage.

Interestingly, there is an observed four fold stimulation in the steady state rate in the presence of S2 peptide (Figure 5) or the unstructured protein substrate casein (30,31) (data not shown). This is consistent with the stimulation observed in the k_{cat} for ATPase activity, (23) but it is only observed when the high affinity sites are not occupied by ADP (Figure 4, $k_{ss,ATP}=0.40 \pm 0.021s^{-1}$ ■, $k_{ss,ATP}=0.11 \pm 0.014s^{-1}$ ▲). This supports the implication that although the hydrolysis at the high affinity sites is unaffected by nucleotide occupation at the low affinity sites, it is affected by the presence of peptide or protein substrate.

Optimal peptidase activity requires ATP binding and hydrolysis at both sites

Communication occurring between the high affinity ATPase sites and S2 peptide or protein substrate has been suggested by the experiments performed above. Therefore, the catalytic efficiency of S3 cleavage was examined under stoichiometric Lon:ATP conditions as well (Enzyme form C, Scheme 1). The kinetics of S3 cleavage were monitored under conditions where the high affinity sites were saturated with ATP (5 μ M Lon, 5 μ M ATP, 1mM S3), and the proposed enzyme form is shown in Scheme 1. The rate constants for peptide hydrolysis under these conditions are summarized in Table 1. Because the rate at 100 μ M ATP (enzyme form D, Scheme 1) is faster than at 5 μ M ATP (enzyme form C, Scheme 1), both ATPase sites are contributing to the peptidase activity. Optimal peptide degradation is consequently recovered by the low affinity site ATPase activity. This means that the maximal rate of peptide degradation is attained only when both the high and low affinity sites are saturated with ATP. Because peptidase activity is slower in the presence of the non-hydrolyzable analog, AMPPNP, ATP binding as well as hydrolysis at the low affinity sites is a necessity for optimal peptidase activity.

Tryptic digest probes the conformational change associated with ATP binding

Previously (23), we utilized limited tryptic digestion to probe the functional role of nucleotide binding to Lon. We detected an adenine-specific conformational change at saturating amounts of nucleotide. Although the digestion yielded a pattern of bands ranging in size from 7–67kDa, the adenine-specific conformational change was monitored primarily by the detection of a stable 67kDa fragment. When sequenced, the 67kDa fragment included all domains of Lon (ATPase, SSD, and peptidase) except the amino terminal region. Of all the nucleotides tested in that study, ATP was shown to be the best activator of Lon's peptidase activity. Therefore, it was concluded that the adenine-specific conformational change contributed to maintaining the optimal catalytic efficiency of S3 cleavage.

In light of the detection of functional non-equivalency in the ATP binding and hydrolysis activity in Lon, in this study we questioned whether the previously observed conformational change upon nucleotide binding could be assigned to a specific interaction between ATP with either the high or low affinity ATPase sites in Lon. To address this issue, we subjected 6 μ M Lon to limited tryptic digestion in the presence of limiting (500nM) (Enzyme form B, Scheme 1), stoichiometric (6 μ M) (Enzyme form C, Scheme 1), and excess (1mM) amounts of ATP (Enzyme form D, Scheme 1) to see the effect on the stability of the adenine specific conformational change when one or both ATPase sites were occupied. Based upon the two K_d 's of ATP, we anticipated that only the tight sites of Lon were occupied by ATP in the first two cases. Figure 6 shows the Lon fragments generated over increasing time in the presence of S2 peptide under conditions of no nucleotide (lanes 2–5), limiting (500nM) ATP (lanes 6–

9), stoichiometric (6 μ M) ATP (lanes 10–12), and saturating (1mM) ATP (lanes 13–15). The digest pattern was identical in the absence of S2 peptide (data not shown). In accordance with what was previously observed, limited tryptic digestion of Lon in the presence of ATP yields fragments varying from 23kDa to 67kDa. As indicated by these data, the 67kDa fragment is substantially stabilized only by full occupation of the low affinity ATP sites. As binding and hydrolysis of ATP at all ATPase sites is also necessary for optimal peptidase activity in Lon, a correlation between this adenine specific conformational change and accessibility for peptide cleavage could exist.

Discussion

In this study we utilized kinetic techniques in order to better understand the function of the two non-equivalent ATPase sites in *E. coli* Lon protease, and their coordination with the protease activity of the enzyme. Despite being a homo-oligomer, with one ATP binding site per monomer, Lon contains two types of ATPase sites that are functionally or kinetically distinct from one another. As such, the kinetic characterization of the activities of the ATPase sites is imperative as they are structurally indistinguishable. The experiments represented in Figure 4 demonstrate that ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity site is unaffected by the occupation of nucleotide at the low affinity site, while the experiments exemplified in Figure 5 show that hydrolysis of ATP at the low affinity site is unaffected by the occupation of nucleotide at the high affinity site. Therefore the kinetic data support the conclusion that the two ATPase sites are functioning independent of the other. Our kinetic experiments have also allowed us to examine the coupling of the ATPase and peptidase activities of Lon protease which are not yet fully understood. Mechanistic studies of other enzymes including Rho protein (32,33), Multidrug resistance-associated protein (MRP1) (34), P-glycoprotein (PGP) (35), F1-ATPase (36), Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase (37), Topoisomerase II (38,39), and Rep helicase (40) have also revealed the functional roles of multiple ATPase sites.

Previously, this lab has constructed a minimal kinetic model to account for the ATP dependent S3 cleavage by Lon using steady state kinetics (22). Although this model was constructed assuming monomeric Lon subunits had equivalent ATPase activity, the order of events has been confirmed by our current pre-steady state kinetic studies. This minimal kinetic model predicted ATP hydrolysis to occur prior to peptide cleavage. Current as well as previous pre-steady state kinetic studies (25) are consistent with this model as *E. coli* Lon exhibits lag kinetics in the degradation of the model peptide (S3) and burst kinetics in ATP hydrolysis. The burst kinetics indicate a rapid build up of a reaction intermediate with a rate limiting step following chemistry in the reaction pathway, while the lag kinetics for S3 peptide degradation are consistent with a need for an accumulation of a reaction intermediate prior to peptide hydrolysis. The burst kinetics for ATP hydrolysis demonstrated tri-phasic behavior where only fifty percent of Lon monomer was hydrolyzed in the duration of the lag in peptidase activity. Although this unusual behavior suggested the contribution of two ATPase activities, it could not separate the two.

The present study has confirmed the existence two ATPase sites in Lon, and that their activities are independent of one another. We have now demonstrated with additional pre-steady state experiments that the ATP bound to the low affinity sites is hydrolyzed during the lag in peptide cleavage with a burst rate constant of $17.2 \pm 0.09\text{s}^{-1}$. Peptide is concomitantly cleaved with a rate constant of $2.69 \pm 0.30\text{s}^{-1}$ while the ATP bound at the high affinity sites is slowly being hydrolyzed with an observed rate constant of $0.019 \pm 0.002\text{s}^{-1}$. The previously determined minimal kinetic model also predicted a Lon:ATP bound “F” form of the enzyme following peptide cleavage, which could undergo multiple rounds of peptide hydrolysis before reverting back to free enzyme (22). This is consistent with our data in Figure 3 which demonstrates that peptide and ATP hydrolysis are not stoichiometrically linked. It is still not clear how the

enzyme turns over once it reaches the “F” form. Our revised mechanism for ATPase activity in Lon predicts that the two ATPase sites are hydrolyzing ATP sequentially, but are not communicating. However, binding and hydrolysis of ATP at both the high and low affinity ATPase sites is necessary for optimal peptide or protein degradation. The energy generated from ATP hydrolysis at the low affinity sites could be used to translocate protein substrate, or may be used to induce a conformational change in the oligomer that facilitates protein cleavage. Therefore, the development of an effective continuous assay to measure protein degradation is currently underway in order to determine how the high and low affinity ATPase sites are contributing to protease activity.

As the protease activity is ultimately limited by turnover of ATP hydrolysis, further kinetic characterization needs to be performed to delineate the ATPase mechanism. We and others (4) have previously suggested that ADP release was the rate limiting step along the reaction pathway because it is a potent inhibitor of peptidase activity ($K_{i,ADP}=0.3\mu\text{M}$) (22). The burst kinetics associated with ATP hydrolysis would be consistent with this, as they indicated that a step following chemistry is rate limiting. However, the rapid quench experiment shown in Figure 5 showed that ATP did not compete out ADP bound to the high affinity sites of Lon; suggesting that ADP is perhaps only inhibiting at the high affinity sites. Experiments to determine other microscopic rate constants along the ATPase reaction pathway including ADP release are currently underway to determine the rate limiting step of the reaction.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Anthony Berdis for his assistance and careful reading of this manuscript.

This work was supported by the NIH grant GM067172

The abbreviations used are

AMPPNP	adenylyl 5-imidodiphosphate
DTT	dithiothreitol
Abz	anthranilamide
Bz	benzoic acid amide
HBUTU	O-Benzotriazole-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-uronium-hexafluoro-phosphate
HEPES	N-2-Hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'ethanesulphonic acid
KP_i	potassium phosphate
Mg(OAc)₂	magnesium acetate
KOAc	potassium acetate
PEI-cellulose	

polyethyleneimine-cellulose

S2

a non-fluorescent analog of S3 that is degraded by Lon identically as S3 and is used in the ATPase reactions to conserve the fluorescent peptide (S3)
YRGITCSGRQK(Bz)

S3

a mixed peptide substrate containing 10% of the fluorescent peptide Y(NO₂)
RGITCSGRQK(Abz) and 90% S2

NTP

nucleotide triphosphate

References

1. Charette MF, Henderson GW, Markovitz A. ATP hydrolysis-dependent protease activity of the lon (capR) protein of Escherichia coli K-12. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1981;78:4728–32. [PubMed: 6458036]
2. Chung CH, Goldberg AL. The product of the lon (capR) gene in Escherichia coli is the ATP-dependent protease, protease La. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1981;78:4931–5. [PubMed: 6458037]
3. Goff SA, Goldberg AL. Production of abnormal proteins in E. coli stimulates transcription of lon and other heat shock genes. *Cell* 1985;41:587–95. [PubMed: 3886165]
4. Goldberg AL, Moerschell RP, Chung CH, Maurizi MR. ATP-dependent protease La (lon) from Escherichia coli. *Methods Enzymol* 1994;244:350–75. [PubMed: 7845219]
5. Goldberg AL, Waxman L. The role of ATP hydrolysis in the breakdown of proteins and peptides by protease La from Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem* 1985;260:12029–34. [PubMed: 2931432]
6. Gottesman S. Proteases and their targets in Escherichia coli. *Annu Rev Genet* 1996;30:465–506. [PubMed: 8982462]
7. Gottesman S, Gottesman M, Shaw JE, Pearson ML. Protein degradation in E. coli: the lon mutation and bacteriophage lambda N and cII protein stability. *Cell* 1981;24:225–33. [PubMed: 6453650]
8. Gottesman S, Maurizi MR. Regulation by proteolysis: energy-dependent proteases and their targets. *Microbiol Rev* 1992;56:592–621. [PubMed: 1480111]
9. Maurizi MR. Proteases and protein degradation in Escherichia coli. *Experientia* 1992;48:178–201. [PubMed: 1740190]
10. Schoemaker JM, Gayda RC, Markovitz A. Regulation of cell division in Escherichia coli: SOS induction and cellular location of the sula protein, a key to lon-associated filamentation and death. *J Bacteriol* 1984;158:551–61. [PubMed: 6327610]
11. Ogura T, Wilkinson AJ. AAA+ superfamily ATPases: common structure--diverse function. *Genes Cells* 2001;6:575–97. [PubMed: 11473577]
12. Kunau WH, Beyer A, Franken T, Gotte K, Marzioch M, Saidowsky J, Skaletz-Rorowski A, Wiebel FF. Two complementary approaches to study peroxisome biogenesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: forward and reversed genetics. *Biochimie* 1993;75:209–24. [PubMed: 8507683]
13. Neuwald AF, Aravind L, Spouge JL, Koonin EV. AAA+: A class of chaperone-like ATPases associated with the assembly, operation, and disassembly of protein complexes. *Genome Res* 1999;9:27–43. [PubMed: 9927482]
14. Amerik A, Chistiakov LG, Ostroumova NI, Gurevich AI, Antonov VK. [Cloning, expression and structure of the functionally active shortened lon gene in Escherichia coli]. *Bioorg Khim* 1988;14:408–11. [PubMed: 3289547]
15. Chin DT, Goff SA, Webster T, Smith T, Goldberg AL. Sequence of the lon gene in Escherichia coli. A heat-shock gene which encodes the ATP-dependent protease La. *J Biol Chem* 1988;263:11718–28. [PubMed: 3042779]

16. Botos I, Melnikov EE, Cherry S, Khalatova AG, Rasulovala FS, Tropea JE, Maurizi MR, Rotanova TV, Gustchina A, Wlodawer A. Crystal structure of the AAA+ alpha domain of E. coli Lon protease at 1.9 Å resolution. *J Struct Biol* 2004;146:113–22. [PubMed: 15037242]
17. Botos I, Melnikov EE, Cherry S, Tropea JE, Khalatova AG, Rasulovala F, Dauter Z, Maurizi MR, Rotanova TV, Wlodawer A, Gustchina A. The catalytic domain of Escherichia coli Lon protease has a unique fold and a Ser-Lys dyad in the active site. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:8140–8. [PubMed: 14665623]
18. Li M, Rasulovala F, Melnikov EE, Rotanova TV, Gustchina A, Maurizi MR, Wlodawer A. Crystal structure of the N-terminal domain of E. coli Lon protease. *Protein Sci* 2005;14:2895–900. [PubMed: 16199667]
19. Menon AS, Waxman L, Goldberg AL. The energy utilized in protein breakdown by the ATP-dependent protease (La) from Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem* 1987;262:722–6. [PubMed: 2948950]
20. Rudyak SG, Brenowitz M, Shrader TE. Mg²⁺-linked oligomerization modulates the catalytic activity of the Lon (La) protease from Mycobacterium smegmatis. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:9317–23. [PubMed: 11478899]
21. van Dijl JM, Kutejova E, Suda K, Perecko D, Schatz G, Suzuki CK. The ATPase and protease domains of yeast mitochondrial Lon: roles in proteolysis and respiration-dependent growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:10584–9. [PubMed: 9724747]
22. Thomas-Wohlever J, Lee I. Kinetic characterization of the peptidase activity of Escherichia coli Lon reveals the mechanistic similarities in ATP-dependent hydrolysis of peptide and protein substrates. *Biochemistry* 2002;41:9418–25. [PubMed: 12135363]
23. Patterson J, Vineyard D, Thomas-Wohlever J, Behshad R, Burke M, Lee I. Correlation of an adenine-specific conformational change with the ATP-dependent peptidase activity of Escherichia coli Lon. *Biochemistry* 2004;43:7432–42. [PubMed: 15182186]
24. Lee I, Berdis AJ. Adenosine triphosphate-dependent degradation of a fluorescent lambda N substrate mimic by Lon protease. *Anal Biochem* 2001;291:74–83. [PubMed: 11262158]
25. Vineyard D, Patterson-Ward J, Berdis AJ, Lee I. Monitoring the Timing of ATP Hydrolysis with Activation of Peptide Cleavage in Escherichia coli Lon by Transient Kinetics. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:1671–82. [PubMed: 15683251]
26. Menon AS, Goldberg AL. Binding of nucleotides to the ATP-dependent protease La from Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem* 1987;262:14921–8. [PubMed: 3312196]
27. Jia Y, Kumar A, Patel SS. Equilibrium and stopped-flow kinetic studies of interaction between T7 RNA polymerase and its promoters measured by protein and 2-aminopurine fluorescence changes. *J Biol Chem* 1996;271:30451–8. [PubMed: 8940010]
28. Gilbert SP, Mackey AT. Kinetics: a tool to study molecular motors. *Methods* 2000;22:337–54. [PubMed: 11133240]
29. Wong I, Lohman TM. A double-filter method for nitrocellulose-filter binding: application to protein-nucleic acid interactions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1993;90:5428–32. [PubMed: 8516284]
30. Bhattacharyya J, Das KP. Molecular chaperone-like properties of an unfolded protein, alpha(s)-casein. *J Biol Chem* 1999;274:15505–9. [PubMed: 10336443]
31. Creamer LK, Richardson T, Parry DA. Secondary structure of bovine alpha s1- and beta-casein in solution. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1981;211:689–96. [PubMed: 7305393]
32. Kim DE, Patel SS. The mechanism of ATP hydrolysis at the noncatalytic sites of the transcription termination factor Rho. *J Biol Chem* 1999;274:32667–71. [PubMed: 10551822]
33. Stitt BL, Xu Y. Sequential hydrolysis of ATP molecules bound in interacting catalytic sites of Escherichia coli transcription termination protein Rho. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:26477–86. [PubMed: 9756883]
34. Yang R, Cui L, Hou YX, Riordan JR, Chang XB. ATP binding to the first nucleotide binding domain of multidrug resistance-associated protein plays a regulatory role at low nucleotide concentration, whereas ATP hydrolysis at the second plays a dominant role in ATP-dependent leukotriene C4 transport. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:30764–71. [PubMed: 12783859]
35. Sauna ZE, Ambudkar SV. Evidence for a requirement for ATP hydrolysis at two distinct steps during a single turnover of the catalytic cycle of human P-glycoprotein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2000;97:2515–20. [PubMed: 10716986]

36. Weber J, Senior AE. Bi-site catalysis in F1-ATPase: does it exist? *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:35422–8. [PubMed: 11451960]
37. Linnertz H, Urbanova P, Amler E. Quenching of 7-chloro-4-nitrobenzo-2-oxa-1,3-diazole-modified Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase reveals a higher accessibility of the low-affinity ATP-binding site. *FEBS Lett* 1997;419:227–30. [PubMed: 9428639]
38. Harkins TT, Lewis TJ, Lindsley JE. Pre-steady-state analysis of ATP hydrolysis by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA topoisomerase II. 2. Kinetic mechanism for the sequential hydrolysis of two ATP. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:7299–312. [PubMed: 9585544]
39. Harkins TT, Lindsley JE. Pre-steady-state analysis of ATP hydrolysis by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DNA topoisomerase II. 1. A DNA-dependent burst in ATP hydrolysis. *Biochemistry* 1998;37:7292–8. [PubMed: 9585543]
40. Wong I, Lohman TM. A two-site mechanism for ATP hydrolysis by the asymmetric Rep dimer P2S as revealed by site-specific inhibition with ADP-A1F4. *Biochemistry* 1997;36:3115–25. [PubMed: 9115987]

Figure 1. Determining the binding affinities of ATP by Lon using an adapted filter binding assay
 A. In order to monitor the binding of [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP to only the high affinity site, various concentrations of Lon (0.005–6 μM) were incubated with 50nM [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP at 4°C. The amount of Lon:[$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP complex formed was quantified by Phosphorimaging of the nitrocellulose membrane, and the free [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP was quantified by Phosphorimaging of the positively charged Immobilon Ny+ membrane. The amount of [bound] complex ($\blacktriangle$) was calculated as described in Materials and Methods and the generated data was fit using a binding isotherm. The resulting K_d value was $0.52 \pm 0.096 \mu\text{M}$ for the high affinity site.

Figure 2. Pre-steady state time courses of ATPase activity of *E. coli* Lon under single turnover conditions

The time courses for ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites were determined by incubating 5μM Lon with 500nM [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP in the absence (■) and presence (▲) of 500μM S2 peptide. The reactions were quenched with acid at the indicated times and, the concentrations of [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ADP were determined by TLC followed by Phosphorimaging. The k_{obs} values were determined by fitting the time courses using a single exponential equation as described in Materials and Methods yielding observed rate constants of $0.006 \pm 0.001\text{s}^{-1}$ and $0.007 \pm 0.001\text{s}^{-1}$ in the absence and presence of S2 peptide respectively. The inset shows time courses for 500nM [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites in the presence of 500μM S2 peptide at increasing concentrations of Lon; 5μM (▲), 7μM (◆), and 10μM (□). The k_{obs} values were determined by fitting the time courses using a single exponential equation as described in Materials and Methods yielding observed rate constants of $0.007 \pm 0.001\text{s}^{-1}$, $0.007 \pm 0.001\text{s}^{-1}$, and $0.006 \pm 0.001\text{s}^{-1}$ respectively.

Figure 3. S3 hydrolysis by *E. coli* Lon under limiting nucleotide conditions

5 μM Lon monomer was incubated with 1 mM S3 peptide in the presence of 0, 0.5, and 100 μM ATP and 0.5 μM, 100 μM AMPPNP. The fluorescence changes associated with peptide cleavage were converted to product concentrations as described in Materials and Methods. The k_{obs} values associated with each trace are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 4. Representative *E. coli* Lon time courses of ATP hydrolysis at the high affinity sites [$\alpha^{32}\text{P}$]ATP (6 μM) was incubated with 6 μM monomeric Lon in the absence (■) or presence (▲) of 500 μM S2 peptide and quenched with acid at varying time points. In order to see the effect of nucleotide occupation at the low affinity sites on the high affinity site ATP hydrolysis, 100 μM ATP was added at one half life into the reaction (60s) both in the absence (▼) and presence (◆) of 500 μM S2 peptide to saturate the low affinity ATPase sites. The time of addition of the 100 μM ATP in traces (▼) and (◆) is indicated by the arrow. As described in the Methods and Materials, the time courses were fit using the equation $Y = A \exp(-k_{\text{obs,ATP}}t) + C$, where A is the amplitude, $k_{\text{obs,ATP}}$ is the observed rate constant, and C is the endpoint. The resulting rate constants are summarized in Table 2. The time points reported are an average of at least three different trials.

Figure 5. *E. coli* Lon pre-steady state chemical quenched time courses of ATP hydrolysis at the low affinity sites

$[\alpha^{32}\text{P}]\text{ATP}$ ($100\mu\text{M}$) was incubated with $6\mu\text{M}$ monomeric Lon (■) or $6\mu\text{M}$ monomeric Lon pre-incubated with $6\mu\text{M}$ ATP (▲) in the presence of $500\mu\text{M}$ S2 peptide as described in Materials and Methods. The pre-incubation of $6\mu\text{M}$ Lon with $6\mu\text{M}$ ATP presumably resulted in $6\mu\text{M}$ Lon: $6\mu\text{M}$ ADP where the high affinity ATPase sites were saturated. The reactions were quenched with acid at the indicated times and the concentrations of $[\alpha^{32}\text{P}]\text{ADP}$ generated in the reactions were determined by TLC followed by Phosphorimaging. The time courses from 0–400ms was fit with the equation $Y = A \exp(-k_{\text{burst}}t) + C$, where A is the burst amplitude, k_{burst} is the observed burst rate constant, and C is the endpoint and the resulting k_{burst} for Lon (■) was $15.9 \pm 0.07\text{s}^{-1}$, and 1Lon:1ADP (▲) was $17.2 \pm 0.09\text{s}^{-1}$. The $k_{\text{ss,ATP}}$ values were obtained by fitting the time courses from 600ms–3s with a linear function and dividing the slope by the $[\text{Lon}]$ in the reaction and were $0.40 \pm 0.021\text{s}^{-1}$ for Lon (■) and $0.11 \pm 0.014\text{s}^{-1}$ for 1Lon:1ADP (▲). The time points reported here are an average of at least three different trials.

Figure 6. Limited tryptic digestion of Lon in the presence of varying amounts of ATP

Lon in the presence of 500μM S2 peptide was digested with a limiting amount of trypsin and quenched at the indicated times with soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI) as described in Materials and Methods. The first lane shows the molecular markers in kilodaltons (from top to bottom): 172, 110, 79, 62, 48, 37, 24, and 19.

Scheme 1. Enzyme forms associated with various concentrations of ATP

Form A is free enzyme containing two different sets of ATPase sites that are represented by gray squares and circles. Form B is formed under single turnover conditions when only 500nM of ATP is present. The occupancy of ATP to an enzyme subunit is illustrated by the change in color from gray to yellow. Form C represents the enzyme form where only the tight sites are occupied by ATP. Form D represents an enzyme form where both the tight and weak sites are saturated with ATP.

Table 1

Rate constants associated with peptidase activity

	[Nucleotide], μM	$k_{\text{obs,S3}}$ (s^{-1})
Limiting ATP	0.5	0.32 ± 0.07
Stoichiometric ATP	5	1.52 ± 0.05
Saturating ATP	100	2.69 ± 0.30
Saturating AMPPNP	100	0.96 ± 0.08

Table 2

Rate constants associated with high affinity site ATPase activity

	Intrinsic $k_{\text{obs,ATP}}$ (s^{-1})	S2 Stimulated $k_{\text{obs,ATP}}$ (s^{-1})
Stoichiometric ATP	0.011 ± 0.001	0.019 ± 0.002
100 μM ATP Chase	0.012 ± 0.001	0.017 ± 0.001
100 μM AMPPNP Chase	0.010 ± 0.001	0.014 ± 0.001
100 μM ADP Chase	0.015 ± 0.001	0.017 ± 0.001