Published in final edited form as:

Lung Cancer. 2008 July ; 61(1): 13–20.

Second hand smoke, age of exposure and lung cancer risk

Kofi Asomaning, MB ChB MS¹, David P. Miller, ScD¹, Geoffrey Liu, MD¹, John C. Wain, MD², Thomas J. Lynch, MD³, Li Su, BS¹, and David C. Christiani, MD^{1,4}

¹ Department of Environmental Health, [Environmental and Occupational Medicine and Epidemiology Program] (D.P.M., K.A., G.L., L.S., D.C.C.), Harvard School of Public Health, Boston MA 02115

² Thoracic Surgery Unit, Department of Surgery (J.C.W.), Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA 02114

³ Oncology Unit (T.J.L), Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA 02114

⁴ Pulmonary and Critical Care Unit (D.C.C.), Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA 02114

Abstract

Background—Exposure to second hand smoke (SHS) has been identified as a risk factor for lung cancer for three decades. It is also known that the lung continues to grow from birth to adulthood, when lung growth stops. We hypothesize that after adjusting for active cigarette smoking, if SHS exposure took place during the period of growth i.e. in the earlier part of life (0 to 25 years of age) the risk of lung cancer is greater compared to an exposure occurring after age 25.

Method—Second hand smoke exposure was self-reported for three different activities (leisure, work and at home) for this study population of 1669 cases and 1263 controls. We created variables that captured location of exposure and timing of first exposure with respect to a study participant's age (0 - 25, >25 years of age). Multiple logistic regressions were used to study the association between SHS exposure and lung cancer, adjusting for age, gender and active smoking variables.

Result—For study participants that were exposed to SHS at both activities (work and leisure) and compared to one or no activity, the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for lung cancer was 1.30(1.08-1.57) when exposure occurred between birth and age 25 and 0.66(0.21-1.57) if exposure occurred after age 25 years. Respective results for nonsmokers were: 1.29 (0.82-2.02) and 0.87 (0.22-3.38), and current and ex smokers combined 1.28 (1.04-1.58) and 0.66 (0.15-2.85).

Conclusion—All individuals exposed to SHS have a higher risk of risk of lung cancer. Furthermore, this study suggests that subjects first exposed before age 25 have a higher lung cancer risk compared to those for whom first exposure occurred after age 25 years.

Keywords

Second hand smoke; age of exposure; lung cancer risk

Address correspondence to Dr. David C. Christiani, Harvard School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue Bldg 1 Room 1402, Boston, MA 02115. Tel. 617-432-3323, Fax. 617-432-3441, Email: dchris@hsph.harvard.edu.
Kofi Asomaning and David Miller contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of Interest Statement None declared.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death for both men and women in the United States. Past studies have demonstrated the association between active cigarette smoking (mainstream smoke, MSS) or second hand smoke (SHS) exposure and the risk of adult non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, less is known about the effect of the age of exposure, particularly to SHS, on the risk of NSCLC (1-3). Most studies (4-18) have focused on paternal and maternal smoking during pregnancy and the effect on childhood illnesses and cancers in general or more recently the risk of lung cancer for non-smoking women exposed to tobacco smoke during childhood (19-28). Very few studies (19,22,29,30) have focused on the effect of the period of exposure relevant for lung cancer development while also assessing the significance of lifetime exposure by location.

The lung continues to grow from birth to adulthood (31) and most lung growth is over by age 18 (32-34), but lung volume continues to expand to 25, suggesting additional growth may occur (35-39). Exposure of target organs to carcinogens during periods of rapid cell division or childhood is known to increase the risk of cancer and elevated exposure to carcinogens has been associated with higher levels of both DNA-adducts and somatic aberrations in cancer cells and may lead to genetic abnormalities that result in the development into cancer (40,41).

SHS consists of emissions from cigarettes, pipes and cigars, as well as exhaled materials from MSS, which contains several chemicals including over 50 known carcinogens (40,42,43). The concentrations of benzol(a)pyrene, toluene, dimethylnitrosamines in SHS is much higher than in MSS, and the smaller particles in SHS are more likely to be deposited in the lung. SHS may induce DNA adducts, sister chromosome exchange (44), oxidative DNA damage (45,46), and increased number of *p53* mutations in lung cancer (47,48), suggesting a similar etiologic mechanism for cases exposed to SHS and to MSS.

SHS exposure may occur at home (including childhood exposure from parents/other family members and exposure from spouse/family members in adulthood), at work (occupational exposure), and at leisure (exposure at public places other than work). Due to public health education and as a result of legislation in several developed countries, exposure to second hand smoke is declining at work and public places but direct marketing to younger populations by tobacco companies has contributed to continued high exposure among youths (49-52). The intensity or frequency of exposure in work places has been noted to be generally higher than that of at home or leisure places (53), and results from a previous study has suggested that SHS exposure at work places may have a stronger effect on NSCLC risk than exposure at home or at leisure places (54).

We hypothesize that after adjusting for active cigarette smoking, if the SHS exposure took place during the critical period of growth i.e. in the earlier part of life (0 to 25 years of age) the risk of lung cancer is greater compared to an exposure occurring after age 25.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Massachusetts General Hospital and the Harvard School of Public Health. The study population of 1669 cases and 1263 controls is derived from a large case control study evaluating the molecular epidemiology of lung cancer, which began in 1992 at the Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH). Eligible cases included any person over the age of 18 years, with a diagnosis of primary lung cancer. An MGH lung pathologist confirmed all cases. The controls were the friends or spouses of cancer patients or the friends or spouses of other surgery patients in the same

hospital. Potential controls that carried a previous diagnosis of any cancer (other than non-melanoma skin cancer) were excluded from participation. Controls were recruited among friends and non-blood related family members of the cases (usually spouses) (41%). If friends of lung cancer patients were not available, controls were recruited from friends and family of patients either receiving thoracic surgery, chemotherapy or radiation treatment for a condition other than lung cancer (59%).

Data collection

Interviewer-administered questionnaires (a modified version of the detailed American Thoracic Society health questionnaire) collected information on demographics, occupational exposures, and detailed smoking histories from each subject. Some participants chose to complete the questionnaire at home, and return it by mail in a self-addressed stamped envelope. Participants were contacted by telephone when there was missing data. Age, gender, race, weight, education, medical history, smoking history, family history of cancer, work history, exposure to various substances, participation in many activities, and food preparation and consumption data were collected. Smoking status was defined as non-smoker (smoked less than one cigarette per day for less than a year), ex-smoker (quit smoking at least one month prior to diagnosis) and current smoker (at time of diagnosis). Pack-years were calculated to estimate the cumulative exposure to smoking by multiplying the number of packs smoked per day by the number of years smoked. Second hand smoke exposure was self-reported for three different activities (leisure, work and at home) and determined from information obtained in the health questionnaire. Exposure for each location was categorized as an indicator variable equal to 1 if the participant reported exposure to SHS and equal to 0 otherwise. We created a similar indicator variable that captured timing of first exposure with respect to a study participant's age (0 - 25, >25 years of age).

Population characteristics were tabulated, and significant differences in the distribution of the principal covariates were tested using the chi square, Fisher exact, and student t tests, where appropriate. Multiple logistic regressions was used to assess the association between second hand smoke and lung cancer risk, adjusting for age, gender, indicator variables for smoking status (non-smoker, ex-smoker and current smoker) and a continuous variable for cumulative smoking exposure (pack-years).

Statistical Analysis

Demographic and clinical information were compared across smoking and SHS locations for both cases and controls. Multiple logistic regression was used to assess the association between SHS and lung cancer risk, adjusting for age (continuous variable), gender, indicator variables for smoking status (non-smoker, ex-smoker and current smoker), a continuous variable for cumulative smoking exposure (pack-years) and an indicator variable for alcohol intake (yes, no). Where indicated, the odds ratio (OR) and 95 % confidence intervals (CI) for the risk of lung cancer was calculated from these models. All statistical testing was done at the two-sided 0.05 level, and SAS software version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used.

Results

Patient characteristics

There were a total of 1669 cases and 1263 controls. The distribution of demographic and clinical characteristics by smoking status is summarized in Table 1. Overall median age (standard deviation) was 62 (12) years, males were 49%; 604 (21%) non smokers, 1464 (50%) ex smokers, 864 (29%) current smokers; median packyears (standard deviation) for ex and current smokers 39 (37). Patients with early stage (stages I and II) numbered 803 (50%), with adenocarcinoma 698 (42%), squamous 339 (21%), others 615 (37%).

Table 2 shows the distribution of SHS exposure by location and age at exposure. No exposure to SHS at work or leisure 212 (7%), one activity 727 (25%), or both 1993 (68%). Persons with exposure to SHS between birth and age 25 numbered 403 (14%), and after age 25, 2529 (86%). Exposure at home was excluded from further analysis since there was little variability by outcome status (most subjects reported exposure from birth).

Adjusted odds ratios of SHS at work and leisure are shown in table 3. For study participants who were exposed to SHS at both activities and compared to one or no activity, the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for lung cancer was 1.30(1.08-1.57) when exposure occurred between birth and age 25 and 0.66(0.21-1.57) if exposure occurred after age 25 years. Respective results for nonsmokers were: 1.29 (0.82-2.02) and 0.87 (0.22-3.38), and for current and ex smokers combined: 1.28 (1.04-1.58) and 0.66 (0.15-2.85).

Discussion

Previous studies of biochemical markers of exposure and toxicological studies, confirm that there is a causal association between the risk of NSLC and exposure to SHS(2). Similar conclusions have been reached by past summary scientific reports (43,55). We suggest further that subjects first exposed before age 25 have a higher lung cancer risk compared to those for whom first exposure occurred after age 25 years. Consistent results are seen in our study for different smoking categories, i.e. current, past and non smokers. Our results go to further support the hypothesis that SHS has a similar harmful effect as for cases exposed to MSS.

Growing evidence (19,22,29,30) suggests that exposure to SHS in childhood increases the risk of lung cancer in adulthood. With the decline of adult smoking in public and work places in the United States and Europe but still very prevalent in other regions around the world, SHS exposure and associated risks are still a major source of uncontrolled exposure in younger individuals, especially in children without the ability to negotiate a smoke free environment at home, work or leisure. Most lung cancers occur in smokers but still a significant proportion (approximately 10%) develops in lifetime nonsmokers (56) and approximately 3,000 lung cancer deaths occur each year among adult nonsmokers in the United States as a result of exposure to SHS (57). No risk-free level of exposure to SHS exists(43) and exposure to SHS has also been linked with slowed lung growth in children (58). In a study that investigated the effects of SHS exposure in childhood and the subsequent risk of lung cancer among female primary lung cancer cases and their hospital based controls (matched on age, residential area and lifetime smoking status), Wang and colleagues (30) showed that passive smoking from household exposure to tobacco smoke significantly increased the risk of lung cancer for both smoking and non smoking pairs when exposed under the age of 15 years ($p<0.05$). In a similar study in Taiwan, Lee et al (22) found that environmental tobacco smoke exposure occurring in childhood increased the effect of high doses of exposure in adult life in the development of lung cancer. In women exposed to greater than 20 smoker-years, compared to never exposed, the risk of lung cancer was 1.8 (1.2-2.9). When the exposure was greater than 40 years the risk was 2.2 (1.4-3.7). In addition, when smoker-years was treated as a continuous variable, the increased risk associated with a one unit increase larger for childhood exposure compared to adulthood (1.35 vs.1.27). In a population-based case-control study of lung cancer among lifetime nonsmoking women and with measured lifetime residential and workplace environmental tobacco smoke, the odds ratio for women with passive exposure as a child and as an adult was 1.63 (0.8-3.5) and for those exposed only as an adult 1.20 (0.5-3.0). Although no increase was observed with childhood exposure only, there were just 2 cases in that category.

SHS exposure and associated risks are increasingly becoming a major source of uncontrolled exposure in younger individuals, especially in children without the ability to negotiate a smoke free environment at home, work or leisure. A worldwide study among students aged 13-15

years (58), showed that nearly half of never smokers were exposed to SHS at home (46.8%), and a similar percentage were exposed in places other than the home (47.8%). Never smokers exposed to SHS at home were 1.4-2.1 times more likely to be susceptible to initiating smoking than those not exposed. Students exposed to SHS in places other than the home were 1.3-1.8 times more likely to be susceptible to initiating smoking than those not exposed, especially for SHS exposure at home.

The strengths of this study include large sample size, relatively homogeneous population, and almost complete demographic and smoking information. However, we acknowledge several limitations to our study. As expected of a case control study, recall bias may have affected our results. Smoking and SHS exposure history were collected by questionnaire and patients' recall and are not validated biochemically. However, given the nature of controls (friends and non blood related family) the impetus to recall would be similar (non differential) across case status, with controls possibly as affected by diagnosis of friend or family member. Nondifferential misclassification biases to the null making the odds ratio a conservative estimate of the actual magnitude of association

We also observed similar and consistent results in different smoking categories. To ensure that control selection was representative we compared the smoking habits of our controls to the smoking habits of the general Massachusetts population and found no significance differences. It is most likely that these controls would have been referred to MGH for treatment, if they were to become cases as they were either their spouses or friends. Residual confounding may be another limitation that exists for the results in our study. We observed a slightly stronger effect of SHS exposure among smokers as compared to non smokers, which may be explained partly by residual confounding. However, the associations were consistent in different subgroups of smoking status (past, current and non smokers), and we adjusted for pack-years of smoking and years since cessation (for past smokers) in all of the analysis. Residual confounding may bias the magnitude of the association but it is unlikely to change the direction of the association. In our analysis we did not adjust for the duration or the amount of SHS exposure.

To conclude, results from this epidemiological study support the evidence that individuals first exposed to SHS before age 25 have a higher lung cancer risk compared to those for whom first exposure occurred after age 25 years. These results need to be confirmed by other independent studies and further studies are needed to more accurately assess the SHS exposure and fully investigate the SHS-age of exposure interaction and to what extent this is influenced by the duration or the amount of SHS exposure.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Linda Lineback, Barbara Bean, Andrea Shafer, Jessica Shin, Jeanne Jackson and Andrea Solomon for patient recruitment and data collection; Lucy Ann Principe, Salvatore V. Mucci, and Richard Rivera-Massa for data entry.

Grant Support NIH Grants: CA74386 and ES00002, Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute

References

1. Boffetta P, Tredaniel J, Greco A. Risk of childhood cancer and adult lung cancer after childhood exposure to passive smoke: A meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;108:73–82. [PubMed: 10620527]
2. Hackshaw AK, Law MR, Wald NJ. The accumulated evidence on lung cancer and environmental tobacco smoke. *Bmj* 1997;315:980–8. [PubMed: 9365295]
3. Law MR, Hackshaw AK. Environmental tobacco smoke. *Br Med Bull* 1996;52:22–34. [PubMed: 8746294]

4. Sandler DP, Everson RB, Wilcox AJ, Browder JP. Cancer risk in adulthood from early life exposure to parents' smoking. *Am J Public Health* 1985;75:487–92. [PubMed: 3985235]
5. Johnston HE, Mann JR, Williams J, Waterhouse JA, Birch JM, Cartwright RA, Draper GJ, Hartley AL, McKinney PA, Hopton PA, et al. The Inter-Regional, Epidemiological Study of Childhood Cancer (IRESCC): case-control study in children with germ cell tumours. *Carcinogenesis* 1986;7:717–22. [PubMed: 3009046]
6. John EM, Savitz DA, Sandler DP. Prenatal exposure to parents' smoking and childhood cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* 1991;133:123–32. [PubMed: 1822074]
7. Sorahan T, Lancashire R, Prior P, Peck I, Stewart A. Childhood cancer and parental use of alcohol and tobacco. *Ann Epidemiol* 1995;5:354–9. [PubMed: 8653207]
8. Norman MA, Holly EA, Ahn DK, Preston-Martin S, Mueller BA, Bracci PM. Prenatal exposure to tobacco smoke and childhood brain tumors: results from the United States West Coast childhood brain tumor study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1996;5:127–33. [PubMed: 8850274]
9. Ji BT, Shu XO, Linet MS, Zheng W, Wacholder S, Gao YT, Ying DM, Jin F. Paternal cigarette smoking and the risk of childhood cancer among offspring of nonsmoking mothers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89:238–44. [PubMed: 9017004]
10. Sorahan T, Prior P, Lancashire RJ, Faux SP, Hulten MA, Peck IM, Stewart AM. Childhood cancer and parental use of tobacco: deaths from 1971 to 1976. *Br J Cancer* 1997;76:1525–31. [PubMed: 9400953]
11. Sasco AJ, Vainio H. From in utero and childhood exposure to parental smoking to childhood cancer: a possible link and the need for action. *Hum Exp Toxicol* 1999;18:192–201. [PubMed: 10333301]
12. Brondum J, Shu XO, Steinbuch M, Severson RK, Potter JD, Robison LL. Parental cigarette smoking and the risk of acute leukemia in children. *Cancer* 1999;85:1380–8. [PubMed: 10189146]
13. Hu J, Mao Y, Ugnat AM. Parental cigarette smoking, hard liquor consumption and the risk of childhood brain tumors--a case-control study in northeast China. *Acta Oncol* 2000;39:979–84. [PubMed: 11207006]
14. Sorahan T, McKinney PA, Mann JR, Lancashire RJ, Stiller CA, Birch JM, Dodd HE, Cartwright RA. Childhood cancer and parental use of tobacco: findings from the inter-regional epidemiological study of childhood cancer (IRESCC). *Br J Cancer* 2001;84:141–6. [PubMed: 11139329]
15. Pang D, McNally R, Birch JM. Parental smoking and childhood cancer: results from the United Kingdom Childhood Cancer Study. *Br J Cancer* 2003;88:373–81. [PubMed: 12569379]
16. Sorahan T, Lancashire RJ. Parental cigarette smoking and childhood risks of hepatoblastoma: OSCC data. *Br J Cancer* 2004;90:1016–8. [PubMed: 14997199]
17. Chen Z, Robison L, Giller R, Krailo M, Davis M, Gardner K, Davies S, Shu XO. Risk of childhood germ cell tumors in association with parental smoking and drinking. *Cancer* 2005;103:1064–71. [PubMed: 15685619]
18. Chang JS, Selvin S, Metayer C, Crouse V, Golembesky A, Buffler PA. Parental smoking and the risk of childhood leukemia. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006;163:1091–100. [PubMed: 16597704]
19. Johnson KC, Hu J, Mao Y. Lifetime residential and workplace exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and lung cancer in never-smoking women, Canada 1994–97. *Int J Cancer* 2001;93:902–6. [PubMed: 11519055]
20. Anderson KE, Carmella SG, Ye M, Bliss RL, Le C, Murphy L, Hecht SS. Metabolites of a tobacco-specific lung carcinogen in nonsmoking women exposed to environmental tobacco smoke. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001;93:378–81. [PubMed: 11238699]
21. Wang L, Lubin JH, Zhang SR, Metayer C, Xia Y, Brenner A, Shang B, Wang Z, Kleinerman RA. Lung cancer and environmental tobacco smoke in a non-industrial area of China. *Int J Cancer* 2000;88:139–45. [PubMed: 10962452]
22. Lee CH, Ko YC, Goggins W, Huang JJ, Huang MS, Kao EL, Wang HZ. Lifetime environmental exposure to tobacco smoke and primary lung cancer of non-smoking Taiwanese women. *Int J Epidemiol* 2000;29:224–31. [PubMed: 10817117]
23. Zhong L, Goldberg MS, Gao YT, Jin F. A case-control study of lung cancer and environmental tobacco smoke among nonsmoking women living in Shanghai, China. *Cancer Causes Control* 1999;10:607–16. [PubMed: 10616829]

24. Bennett WP, Alavanja MC, Blomeke B, Vahakangas KH, Castren K, Welsh JA, Bowman ED, Khan MA, Flieder DB, Harris CC. Environmental tobacco smoke, genetic susceptibility, and risk of lung cancer in never-smoking women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:2009–14. [PubMed: 10580025]
25. Fontham ET, Correa P, Reynolds P, Wu-Williams A, Buffler PA, Greenberg RS, Chen VW, Alterman T, Boyd P, Austin DF, et al. Environmental tobacco smoke and lung cancer in nonsmoking women. A multicenter study. *Jama* 1994;271:1752–9. [PubMed: 8196118]
26. Stockwell HG, Goldman AL, Lyman GH, Noss CI, Armstrong AW, Pinkham PA, Candelora EC, Brusa MR. Environmental tobacco smoke and lung cancer risk in nonsmoking women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1992;84:1417–22. [PubMed: 1512793]
27. Brownson RC, Alavanja MC, Hock ET, Loy TS. Passive smoking and lung cancer in nonsmoking women. *Am J Public Health* 1992;82:1525–30. [PubMed: 1443304]
28. Fontham ET, Correa P, WuWilliams A, Reynolds P, Greenberg RS, Buffler PA, Chen VW, Boyd P, Alterman T, Austin DF, et al. Lung cancer in nonsmoking women: a multicenter case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1991;1:35–43. [PubMed: 1845167]
29. Janerich DT, Thompson WD, Varela LR, Greenwald P, Chorost S, Tucci C, Zaman MB, Melamed MR, Kiely M, McKneally MF. Lung cancer and exposure to tobacco smoke in the household. *N Engl J Med* 1990;323:632–6. [PubMed: 2385268]
30. Wang FL, Love EJ, Liu N, Dai XD. Childhood and adolescent passive smoking and the risk of female lung cancer. *Int J Epidemiol* 1994;23:223–30. [PubMed: 8082946]
31. Zeltner TB, Burri PH. The postnatal development and growth of the human lung. II. Morphology. *Respir Physiol* 1987;67:269–82. [PubMed: 3575906]
32. Thurlbeck WM, Angus GE. Growth and aging of the normal human lung. *Chest* 1975;67:3S–6S. [PubMed: 1112103]
33. Thurlbeck WM. Lung growth and alveolar multiplication. *Pathobiol Annu* 1975;5:1–34. [PubMed: 1105318]
34. Nakamura T, Takizawa T, Morone T. Anatomic changes in lung parenchyma due to aging process. *Dis Chest* 1967;52:518–24. [PubMed: 6058451]
35. Schoenberg JB, Beck GJ, Bouhuys A. Growth and decay of pulmonary function in healthy blacks and whites. *Respir Physiol* 1978;33:367–93. [PubMed: 705072]
36. Dockery DW, Ware JH, Ferris BG Jr, Glicksberg DS, Fay ME, Spiro A 3rd, Speizer FE. Distribution of forced expiratory volume in one second and forced vital capacity in healthy, white, adult never-smokers in six U.S. cities. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1985;131:511–20. [PubMed: 3873193]
37. Wang X, Dockery DW, Wypij D, Fay ME, Ferris BG Jr. Pulmonary function between 6 and 18 years of age. *Pediatr Pulmonol* 1993;15:75–88. [PubMed: 8474788]
38. Rea H, Becklake MR, Ghezzi H. Lung function changes as a reflection of tissue aging in young adults. *Bull Eur Physiopathol Respir* 1982;18:5–19. [PubMed: 7053775]
39. Gore CJ, Crockett AJ, Pederson DG, Booth ML, Bauman A, Owen N. Spirometric standards for healthy adult lifetime nonsmokers in Australia. *Eur Respir J* 1995;8:773–82. [PubMed: 7656950]
40. Hecht SS. Tobacco smoke carcinogens and lung cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:1194–210. [PubMed: 10413421]
41. Wiencke JK, Thurston SW, Kelsey KT, Varkonyi A, Wain JC, Mark EJ, Christiani DC. Early age at smoking initiation and tobacco carcinogen DNA damage in the lung. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1999;91:614–9. [PubMed: 10203280]
42. Dockery DW, Trichopoulos D. Risk of lung cancer from environmental exposures to tobacco smoke. *Cancer Causes Control* 1997;8:333–45. [PubMed: 9498897]
43. US Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2006. The health consequences of involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke: a report of the Surgeon General. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/sgr/sgr_2006/index.htm
44. Husgafvel-Pursiainen K. Genotoxicity of environmental tobacco smoke: a review. *Mutat Res* 2004;567:427–45. [PubMed: 15572289]
45. Lodovici M, Caldini S, Luceri C, Bambi F, Boddi V, Dolara P. Active and passive smoking and lifestyle determinants of 8-oxo-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine levels in human leukocyte DNA. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:2975–7. [PubMed: 16365019]

46. Collier AC, Dandge SD, Woodrow JE, Pritsos CA. Differences in DNA-damage in non-smoking men and women exposed to environmental tobacco smoke (ETS). *Toxicol Lett* 2005;158:10–9. [PubMed: 15871912]
47. Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Boffetta P, Kannio A, Nyberg F, Pershagen G, Mukeria A, Constantinescu V, Fortes C, Benhamou S. p53 mutations and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in a multicenter study on lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2000;60:2906–11. [PubMed: 10850436]
48. Vahakangas KH, Bennett WP, Castren K, Welsh JA, Khan MA, Blomeke B, Alavanja MC, Harris CC. p53 and K-ras mutations in lung cancers from former and never-smoking women. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:4350–6. [PubMed: 11389059]
49. Pierce JP, Gilpin E, Burns DM, Whalen E, Rosbrook B, Shopland D, Johnson M. Does tobacco advertising target young people to start smoking? Evidence from California. *Jama* 1991;266:3154–8. [PubMed: 1956103]
50. Pierce JP, Gilpin EA, Choi WS. Sharing the blame: smoking experimentation and future smoking-attributable mortality due to Joe Camel and Marlboro advertising and promotions. *Tob Control* 1999;8:37–44. [PubMed: 10465814]
51. While D, Kelly S, Huang W, Charlton A. Cigarette advertising and onset of smoking in children: questionnaire survey. *Bmj* 1996;313:398–9. [PubMed: 8761227]
52. Youth tobacco surveillance--United States, 1998-1999. *MMWR CDC Surveill Summ* 2000;49:1–94.
53. Pitsavos C, Panagiotakos DB, Chrysohooou C, Skoumas J, Tzioumis K, Stefanadis C, Toutouzas P. Association between exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and the development of acute coronary syndromes: the CARDIO2000 case-control study. *Tob Control* 2002;11:220–5. [PubMed: 12198272]
54. Boffetta P, Ahrens W, Nyberg F, Mukeria A, Bruske-Hohlfeld I, Fortes C, Constantinescu V, Simonato L, Batura-Gabryel H, Lea S, Gaborieau V, Benhamou S. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke and risk of adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Int J Cancer* 1999;83:635–9. [PubMed: 10521800]
55. US Department of Health and Human Services. The Health consequences of Smoking-Cancer: A report of the Surgeon General. DHHS publication no(PHS) 1982:82–50179.
56. Levitz JS, Bradley TP, Golden AL. Overview of smoking and all cancers. *Med Clin North Am* 2004;88:1655–75. xiii. [PubMed: 15464119]
57. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Respiratory Health Effects of Passive Smoking (Also Known as Exposure to Secondhand Smoke or Environmental Tobacco Smoke–ETS). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 1992.
58. Exposure to secondhand smoke among students aged 13-15 years--worldwide, 2000-2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2007;56:497–500. [PubMed: 17522587]

Table I
Table Ia. Demographic Characteristics (by smoking status) of Study Subjects

	Never smokers		Ex-smokers		Current smokers		Whole population	
	Cases (n=138)	Controls (n=466)	Cases (n=894)	Controls (n=570)	Cases (n=637)	Controls (n=227)	Cases (n=1669)	Controls (n=1263)
Age*	62(13)	57(13)	68 (9)	61 (10)	61(10)	54 (11)	65 (10)	59 (12)
Cigarette smoking								
Pack-years			53 (36)	29 (27)	64 (37)	38 (27)	53 (38)	20 (27)
Years since quit			15 (12)	20 (12)			8 (11)	9 (13)

Table Ib. Demographic Characteristics (by smoking status) of Study Subjects

	Never smokers		Ex-smokers		Current smokers		Whole population	
	Cases (n=138)	Controls (n=466)	Cases (n=894)	Controls (n=570)	Cases (n=637)	Controls (n=227)	Cases (n=1669)	Controls (n=1263)
Gender**								
Male	56 (41)	177 (38)	512 (57)	290 (51)	321 (50)	86 (38)	889 (53)	553 (44)
Female	82 (59)	289 (62)	382 (43)	280 (49)	316 (50)	141 (62)	780 (47)	710 (56)
Race								
White	129 (97)	451 (96)	871 (98)	561 (98)	610 (96)	220 (97)	1610 (97)	1232 (97)
Non white	9 (3)	15 (4)	23 (2)	9 (2)	27 (4)	7 (3)	59 (3)	31 (3)
Histology								
Adenocarcinoma	72 (54)		374 (42)		252 (40)		698 (42)	
Squamous cell	10 (8)		189 (21)		140 (22)		339 (21)	
Other	51 (38)		324 (37)		240 (38)		615 (37)	
Stage								
I and II	57 (43)		460 (53)		286 (46)		803 (50)	
III and IV	75 (57)		409 (47)		331 (54)		815 (50)	

* Entries are mean (SD)

** Entries are counts(%); some subjects have incomplete data on stage and histology

Table II

Distribution of SHS variables for all subjects

Non smokers + < 15cigarette
pack-years

Non Smokers

Ex-smokers

Current Smokers

Whole population

Exposure at all ages	Non smokers + < 15cigarette pack-years		Non Smokers		Ex-smokers		Current Smokers		Whole population	
	Cases (n=267)	Controls (n=732)	Cases (n=138)	Controls (n=466)	Cases (n=894)	Controls (n=570)	Cases (n=637)	Controls (n=227)	Cases (n=1669)	Controls (n=1263)
Home										
No	42 (16)	115 (16)	24 (17)	73 (16)	76 (9)	78 (14)	21 (3)	15 (7)	121 (7)	166 (13)
Yes	25 (84)	617 (84)	114 (83)	393 (84)	818 (91)	492 (86)	616 (97)	212 (93)	1548 (93)	1097 (87)
Work										
No	85 (32)	306 (42)	53 (38)	200 (43)	117 (13)	159 (28)	84 (13)	66 (29)	254 (15)	425 (34)
Yes	182 (68)	426 (58)	85 (62)	266 (57)	777 (87)	411 (72)	553 (87)	161 (71)	1415 (85)	838 (66)
Leisure										
No	64 (24)	178 (24)	39 (28)	129 (28)	118 (13)	82 (14)	84 (13)	20 (9)	241 (14)	231 (18)
Yes	203 (76)	554 (76)	99 (72)	337 (72)	776 (87)	488 (85)	553 (87)	207 (91)	1428 (86)	1032 (82)
Exposure after age 25										
Home										
No	14 (25)	52 (29)	9 (26)	32 (25)	20 (26)	37 (40)	8 (17)	9 (36)	37 (23)	78 (32)
Yes	41 (75)	126 (71)	25 (74)	96 (75)	58 (74)	55 (60)	38 (83)	16 (64)	121 (77)	167 (68)
Work										
No	39 (71)	129 (72)	25 (74)	91 (71)	49 (63)	61 (66)	26 (57)	20 (80)	100 (63)	172 (70)
Yes	16 (29)	49 (28)	9 (26)	37 (29)	29 (37)	31 (34)	20 (43)	5 (20)	58 (37)	73 (30)
Leisure										
No	45 (82)	137 (77)	31 (91)	100 (78)	54 (69)	62 (67)	35 (76)	14 (56)	120 (76)	176 (72)
Yes	10 (18)	41 (23)	3 (9)	28 (22)	24 (31)	30 (33)	11 (24)	11 (44)	38 (24)	69 (28)
Exposure up to age 25										
Home										
No	28 (13)	63 (11)	15 (14)	41 (12)	56 (7)	41 (9)	13 (2)	6 (3)	84 (6)	88 (9)
Yes	184 (87)	491 (89)	89 (86)	297 (88)	760 (93)	437 (91)	578 (98)	196 (97)	1427 (94)	930 (91)
Work										
No	46 (22)	177 (32)	28 (27)	109 (32)	68 (8)	98 (21)	58 (10)	46 (23)	154 (10)	253 (25)
Yes	166 (78)	377 (68)	76 (73)	229 (68)	748 (92)	380 (79)	533 (90)	156 (77)	1357 (90)	765 (75)
Leisure										
No	19 (9)	41 (7)	8 (8)	29 (9)	64 (8)	20 (4)	49 (8)	6 (3)	121 (8)	55 (5)
Yes	193 (91)	513 (93)	96 (92)	309 (91)	752 (92)	458 (96)	542 (92)	196 (97)	1390 (92)	963 (95)

Table III
Adjusted Odds Ratio (95%CI) of SHS duration at Work and Leisure places and lung cancer risk*

All subjects (1669 cases, 1261 controls)

Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	0.66 (0.21-1.57)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.30 (1.08-1.57)
Nonsmokers + < 15cigarette pack-years (272 cases, 740 controls)	
Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	0.94 (0.31-2.80)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.30 (0.96-1.76)
Nonsmokers (138 cases, 766 controls)	
Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	0.87 (0.22-3.38)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.29 (0.82-2.02)
Ex smokers (894 cases, 570 controls)	
Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.03 (0.10-10.20)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.55 (0.94-2.56)
Current smokers (693 cases, 227 controls)	
Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	4.29 (0.153-119.99)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.08 (0.76-1.53)
Current and ex smokers combined (1587 cases, 797 controls)	
Exposure after age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	0.66 (0.15-2.85)
Exposure up to age 25	
SHS at one or no activity	Referent
SHS at two activities	1.28 (1.04-1.58)

* Logistic regression models were used, adjusting for age, gender, active cigarette Smoking (smoking status, pack-years, years since cessation), and smoking cigar/pipe.

** Exposure at home was excluded from further analysis since there was little variability by outcome status (most subjects reported exposure from birth).