Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2006 October 31; 45(43): 13074–13082.

Deacylation Transition States of a Bacterial DD-Peptidase†

S.A. Adediran, I. Kumar, and R.F. Pratt*

Department of Chemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, CT 06459

Abstract

β -Lactam antibiotics restrict bacterial growth by inhibition of DD-peptidases. These enzymes catalyze the final transpeptidation step in bacterial cell wall biosynthesis. Although much structural information is now available for these enzymes, the mechanism of the actual transpeptidation reaction has not been studied in detail. The reaction is known to involve a double displacement mechanism with an acyl-enzyme intermediate, which can be attacked by water, specific amino acids, peptides and other acyl acceptors. We describe in this paper an investigation of acyl acceptor specificity and assess the need for general base catalysis in the deacylation transition state of the *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase. We show, by the criterion of solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effect measurements and proton inventories that the transition states of specific and non-specific substrates are very similar, at least with respect to proton motion. The transition states for attack (tetrahedral intermediate formation) by D-amino acids and Gly-L-Xaa dipeptides do not include a general base catalyst while such catalysis is essential for reaction with water and D- α -hydroxy acids. D- α -Hydroxy acids act as acyl acceptors for glycyl substrates but not for more specific D-alanyl substrates; hydroxy acids actually behave, more generally, as mixed inhibitors of the DD-peptidase. The structural and mechanistic bases of these observations are discussed; they should inform transition state analogue design.

The bacterial DD-peptidases [also known as transpeptidases or penicillin-binding proteins (PBP¹s)] catalyze the final step in cell wall biosynthesis, where the monomer unit is incorporated into the peptidoglycan polymer (1). These enzymes are essential to bacterial survival and, because of this, have been extensively targeted by inhibitors (antibiotics) produced by a variety of species (including *Homo sapiens*) in attempts to restrict bacterial growth. The β -lactams represent an important group of such antibiotics. Bacterial resistance to these antibiotics has risen with usage and currently presents a significant problem to their therapeutic application (2). Consequently, the DD-peptidases have been intensively studied in recent years in order to provide an informational base for novel antibiotic design.

Bacteria, in general, produce several variants of DD-peptidase enzymes, each having a specific role in the cell cycle (overlap of function between some of them also occurs) (3). They are all, however, evolutionarily related and have common elements of tertiary fold and active site structure. They have been classified into sub-groups based on amino acid sequence homology (4). A general reaction scheme for these enzymes is depicted in Scheme 1. During turnover of a peptide substrate, RCONHR', an acyl-enzyme intermediate, E-OCOR, is formed, which partitions between reaction with water (the carboxypeptidase reaction) and aminolysis (the transpeptidase reaction; R and R' would represent adjacent peptidoglycan strands). Much structural information from X-ray crystallography is now available for these enzymes, but

†This research was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant AI-17986 (RFP)

*Corresponding Author: Dr. R.F. Pratt, address above, telephone 860-685-2629; e-mail: rpratt@wesleyan.edu; Fax: 860-685-2211.

¹Abbreviations: EDC, N-(dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; MOPS, 3-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PBP, penicillin-binding protein.

rather less is known about the details of their substrate specificity, kinetics and reaction mechanism, particularly of the transpeptidation reaction. We have recently studied some elements of substrate specificity (both acyl donor and acceptor) of one particular DD-peptidase, that of *Streptomyces* R61, which has been investigated as a model DD-peptidase for many years (5,6). We have more closely established the structural features of both enzyme and substrate required for optimal activity (7–10). In the present paper, we extend this work with a closer look at the mechanism of acyl transfer from the acyl-enzyme intermediate, generated from both specific (**1** – **3**) and non-specific (**4** – **7**) substrates, to amine and hydroxyl acceptors. We have focused, particularly, on the requirement for general acid-base catalysis in these reactions. The results give closer definition of the important transition states involved in the transpeptidation reaction.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Materials

The DD-peptidase of *Streptomyces* R61 was generously provided by Professor J.-M. Frère of the University of Liège, Liège, Belgium. Concentrations of enzyme stock solutions were determined spectrophotometrically by means of the published extinction coefficient of $3.9 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-1}$ (11). The substrates **1** – **6** and the amine acceptor aminomalon-N-ethylamide were available from previous studies in this laboratory (8,9,12–14). N,N'-diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine (**7**) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp.

N-(Glycolyl)-L-phenylalanine (**13**)

First, N-(t-butoxyacetyl)-L-phenylalanine t-butyl ester was prepared from the condensation of L-phenylalanine t-butyl ester (Chem-Impex) with t-butoxyacetic acid (Oakwood Products, Inc.) (**15**). Thus, t-butoxyacetic acid (200 mg, 1.51 mmol) was dissolved in ethyl acetate (7.5 ml) and, sequentially, water (15 ml), L-phenylalanine t-butyl ester hydrochloride (389 mg, 1.51 mmol), potassium carbonate (123 mg, 0.89 mmol) and HOBt (204 mg, 1.52 mmol) were added. The mixture was chilled in an ice bath with stirring and EDC (322 mg, 1.6 mmol) added. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at 4 °C. An aqueous solution of 2 M citric acid (20 ml) was then added to the reaction mixture and the layers separated. The organic phase was further washed sequentially with citric acid (0.5 M, $2 \times 20 \text{ ml}$), brine (10 ml), aqueous sodium bicarbonate (1 M, $2 \times 20 \text{ ml}$) and brine ($2 \times 10 \text{ ml}$). It was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate

and evaporated to dryness to afford the solid product (371 mg, 73%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $\text{D}_6\text{-DMSO}$) δ 1.11 (9H, s, t-Bu), 1.36 (9H, s, t-Bu), 3.03 (2H, d, J = 6.6 Hz, CH_2), 3.75 (2H, s, CH_2O), 4.47 (1H, q, J = 7.5 Hz, CH), 7.24 (5H, m, ArH), 7.41 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, NH).

This material (169 mg, 0.54 mmol) was dissolved in 400 μl of dry, ice-cooled, dichloromethane and trifluoroacetic acid (8.4 ml) added (16). The mixture was stirred at 4 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 8–9 h and then evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The residue was suspended in water (1 ml) and brought to pH 7.0 by the addition of solid NaHCO_3 (1–2 equiv). The solution was freeze-dried and the residue purified by elution with water from a Sephadex G10 column. Yield 80 mg (67%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, D_2O) δ 2.99 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 13.8 Hz, CHO), 3.21 (1H, dd, J = 4.8, 14.4 Hz, CHO), 3.97 (2H, ABq, J = 16.8 Hz, CH_2), 4.49 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 5.3 Hz, CH), 7.3 (m, 5H, ArH).

N-(Glycolyl)-L-alanine (12)

This was prepared in 50 % overall yield from L-alanine t-butyl ester and t-butoxyacetic acid as described above for the phenylalanine analogue. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, D_2O) δ 1.36 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz, CH_3), 4.08 (2H, s, CH_2), 4.21 (1H, q, J = 7.2 Hz, CH).

Kinetics

Kinetics measurements were carried out in either 10 mM phosphate buffer (**1**, **2**) or 20 mM MOPS buffer (**3** – **6**), pH 7.5 at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$, unless specified otherwise. The reactions of **1** – **6** were monitored spectrophotometrically at appropriate wavelengths: 224 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 50 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) for **1**, 228 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 50 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) for **2**, and 290 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 1760 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) or 300 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 950 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) for **5** and **6**. Reactions of **3** and **4** were generally carried out in the presence of 4,4'-dipyridyl disulfide (1.0 mM) and the release of 4-thiopyridone monitored at 350 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 2640 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) (12). With aliphatic acyl acceptors, the reactions of **3** and **4** could be monitored directly at 240 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 2230 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$) and 270 nm ($\Delta\epsilon$ = 100 $\text{cm}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$), respectively. Steady state kinetics parameters for **3**, **4** and **6** were obtained from initial rate measurements; the data were fitted to the Henri-Michaelis-Menten equation by means of a non-linear least squares computer program. The stopped-flow measurements were obtained from a Durrum D110 instrument. Equal volumes of solutions of the enzyme (final concentration 0.6 μM) and **1** (50 μM , 100 μM or 200 μM) were mixed. The protein fluorescence beyond 300 nm was monitored as a function of time, following excitation at 282 nm. The data were fitted to Scheme 6 (see below) by means of the Dynafit program (17).

Solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on V for the R61 DD-peptidase catalyzed reactions of **1** – **5**, in the absence and the presence of acyl acceptors were obtained from spectrophotometric initial rates, essentially as previously described (18,19). The concentrations of substrate used were at least 5 K_m for D_2O (V the convention is used throughout whereby $\text{D}_2\text{O}X$ represents the solvent kinetic isotope effect on X , i.e. $X^{\text{H}_2\text{O}}/X^{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$). Small corrections for the lack of complete saturation were made where appropriate (18). Reactions were initiated by the addition of a small volume of a solution of the enzyme in 1/1 (v/v) $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$ MOPS buffer. For measurements of isotope effects at pH 8.9, a 10 mM Tris buffer was employed. At the pH values employed, k_{cat} is essentially invariant (10,20). Proton inventories on V for the hydrolyses of **1**, **3**, and **4** were determined at pH(D) 7.5, as described by Stein et al. (21). Initial rates were determined (in at least triplicate) in solutions containing mole fractions of D_2O of 0, 0.5 and 1.0. This procedure has been shown to be as effective in determining the general shape of an inventory as that where several mole fractions of D_2O are employed (22). As above, the addition of aliquots of a common enzyme stock solution was used to initiate the reactions. Fits of the experimental proton inventories to an appropriate Gross-Butler equation (eq 2) were made by means of a non-linear least squares computer program.

The effects of added acyl acceptors, D-amino acids, dipeptides, and D- α -hydroxy acids on V were determined spectrophotometrically, as described above; concentrations of the acceptors were in the 0 – 50 mM range. Values of k_4/k_3 (Scheme 1) for the acceptors were determined from the slopes of plots of initial rate vs acceptor concentration at low acceptor concentrations where no specific binding of acceptor to enzyme need be considered (9). Values of $^{D_2O}k_4$ could be determined for the measured values of $^{D_2O}(k_4/k_3)$ and $^{D_2O}k_3$. The solvent isotope effect on the amine pK_a of glycine was determined by measurements of the pH(D) of solutions containing 25 mM each of glycine and sodium glycinate in H_2O and D_2O .

Inhibition of substrate hydrolysis by certain amino acid analogues was found to be of the mixed type. In a number of cases, the competitive contribution to this inhibition (K_i) was determined from measurements of the rate constants for inhibition of the reaction between the R61 DD-peptidase and the fluorescent dansylpenicillin according to Scheme 2 (7), where L represents dansylpenicillin and I the inhibitor. The concentrations of E, L and I were typically 0.6 μM , 200 μM and 0 – 50 mM, respectively. The decrease in fluorescence intensity at 320 nm was monitored as a function of time after excitation at 280 nm and the data fitted to an exponential function to obtain pseudo-first order rate constants (k_{obs}) of inactivation (7). These constants, measured at several inhibitor concentrations, were fitted to eq 1 by a linear least squares procedure; in this equation I_0 represents the initial

$$k_{obs} = \frac{k_L + (k_{LS}/K_i)I_0}{1 + I_0/K_i} \quad (1)$$

concentration of the inhibitor. The uncompetitive contribution could then be obtained from experiments where initial rates of reaction of a substrate were determined at a series of inhibitor concentrations. These results were fitted to Scheme 3 by the Dynafit program (17), where K_i was fixed to the value derived from the fluorescence experiment described above. The results were plotted as described by Cornish-Bowden (23).

In cases where D- α -hydroxy acids acted as both acyl acceptors and mixed inhibitors, initial rate measurements at a series of hydroxy acid concentrations were fitted to Scheme 4 by means of the Dynafit program (17); here also, K_i was fixed at the value obtained from the relevant fluorescence experiment.

The presence or otherwise of acyl transfer from **5** and **6** to D-mandelate was determined from 1H NMR spectra of reaction mixtures containing 2 mM depsipeptide (ester), 10 mM D-mandelate and 2 mg $NaHCO_3$ in 0.6 ml D_2O . The reaction was initiated by addition of the R61 DD-peptidase (4 μM) and NMR spectra were then collected at appropriate times.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As described in the introduction, bacterial DD-peptidases catalyze the hydrolysis and aminolysis of C-terminal D-ala-D-ala peptides and analogues of these substrates by the kinetic mechanism of Scheme 5. In this scheme, ES represents the non-covalent complex of enzyme and substrate, E-S the covalent acyl-enzyme intermediate formed on acylation of the active site serine residue by the substrate, with release of the leaving group as P_1 , N represents an alternative nucleophile, amine or hydroxy acid, and P_2 and P_3 represent the hydrolysis (acid) and aminolysis/alcoholysis (amide/peptide/ester) products, respectively.

The nature of the rate-determining step, i.e. the relative size of k_2 and k_3 , has been determined already for a variety of substrates for the *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase (14,18,20,24,25). For example, deacylation is usually rate-determining for (thio) ester substrates – those employed in this study include thioglycolate, thiolactate and m-hydroxybenzoate depsipeptides (14,18,24,25). This conclusion is readily obtained from a simple experiment: amine acyl

acceptors, N in Scheme 5, accelerate the steady state reaction rate under saturating substrate (S) conditions if deacylation is rate-determining. Recently, this generality was also shown to obtain for a thiopeptide **3** with a side-chain specific for the R61 DD-peptidase (9).

The situation with peptide substrates has been more problematic. It was demonstrated some years ago that acylation was rate-determining for the non-specific peptide N, N'-diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine (7) (20). Initial experiments with the specific peptide **1** were also interpreted in terms of rate-determining acylation (7). These were, first, the absence of effect of D-lactate on steady state turnover of **1** at saturating concentrations – Jamin et al. (24) had shown that D-lactate accelerated turnover of a non-specific decapeptide, a result that, at the time, suggested that D- α -hydroxy acids, like D-amino acids, were effective acyl group acceptors for this enzyme. Second, a manual mixing experiment suggested that saturating **1** had no effect on the intrinsic fluorescence of the R61 DD-peptidase. It was well known that the fluorescence of this enzyme is quenched by active site acylation (11,24).

More recent studies (10) of **1** cast doubt on the major conclusion above. First, the value of k_{cat} for the thiopeptide **3** was the same, within experimental uncertainty, as that of the peptide **2**, suggesting a common rate-determining step, which must be acyl-enzyme hydrolysis. Second, another manual mixing fluorescence experiment did indicate an initial fluorescence quenching, which then disappeared as the substrate hydrolyzed. Finally, the relevance of the D- α -hydroxy acid acceptor experiment was called into question when it was shown that neither D-lactate nor D-phenyllactate accelerated the hydrolysis of **3**; this unexpected result is examined in detail later in this paper.

To finally convince ourselves of the validity of the more recent conclusion, we have now conducted a stopped-flow fluorescence experiment where we reacted **1** with a higher enzyme concentration than was possible with the manual mixing experiment described above where artifacts from mixing were difficult to eliminate. The result of a typical experiment of this type (several were carried out at concentrations of **1** of 50 μ M, 100 μ M and 200 μ M) is shown in Figure 1. This shows the presence of a step involving partial quenching of the enzyme fluorescence, already complete within the mixing time of the instrument (4 msec; the pseudo-first order rate constant of acylation would be expected to be $k_2[S]/K_s = k_{cat}[S]/K_m = 860 \text{ s}^{-1}$), followed by a slower regeneration of the enzyme as the substrate was turned over. These data were fitted to Scheme 6 [values of K_m and K_p of 7.9 μ M and 160 μ M, respectively, were assumed (7)], yielding a k_{cat} value of $(97 \pm 10) \text{ s}^{-1}$. This value agrees well with the steady state value of $(69 \pm 14) \text{ s}^{-1}$ (7). Thus, we assumed for all subsequent experiments that deacylation is rate-determining for the peptide **1**, as it is for the decapeptide **3**. Apparently the presence of the peptidoglycan-specific side chain in **1** promotes acylation to such an extent that, unlike with non-specific peptides such as **7**, deacylation becomes the slowest step under conditions of substrate saturation.

In order to learn more about the deacylation mechanism, and specifically about the deacylation transition state, the substrates **1** – **6** were chosen for further study. These include molecules with both peptidoglycan-mimetic side chains (**1** – **3**) and the non-specific benzyl side chain (**4** – **6**), and with a variety of leaving groups. Steady-state parameters for hydrolysis of these substrates are presented in Table 1. As noted previously (7–10), the peptidoglycan-mimetic substrates generally have higher k_{cat} values and lower K_m values than the non-specific examples. It is interesting to note, however, that the deacylation rate constants k_3 of the non-specific substrates **6** and **7** (18) are comparable to those of **1** – **3**. This indicates that the peptidoglycan-mimetic nature of the latter compounds is expressed in the acylation step ($k_{cat}/K_m = k_2/K_s$; Scheme 5), where the leaving group is present, rather than in the deacylation step. The transition state for acyl-enzyme aminolysis (k_4) would, of course, be identical to that of enzyme acylation by a peptide. Specific binding sites for the acyl group and the leaving group

have been observed crystallographically (9,10,26); they presumably interact to bring about the kinetic effect noted above (27).

The solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on V (representing, as discussed above, the deacylation transition state in all cases **1** - **6**) for hydrolysis of the substrates at pH 7.5 by the R61 DD-peptidase are given in Table 2. These solidly normal values suggest general base catalysis for the deacylation reaction, as might be expected. The values for the specific substrates appear to be somewhat less than for the non-specific, suggesting less proton motion in the transition state, but the difference is not large. The compounds **2** and **3**, which contain the same acyl group, as also do **4** and **5**, should give the same isotope effects within each pair. In fact, they appear slightly different in each case, although not significantly beyond experimental uncertainty. A similar solvent isotope effect also suggested general base catalysis in the acylation of the R61 DD-peptidase by the non-specific peptide **7** (18).

For further insight, proton inventories were also taken for **1**, **3**, and **4**. These all showed concave down, “dome” shapes (Figure 2). Although there are several possible interpretations of such curves (28,29), generally difficult to distinguish, one model generating self-consistent fits to the present data, and consistent with previous work on this enzyme, involves a combination of offsetting reactant state and transition state fractionation factors, as indicated by the Gross-Butler equation below (eq 2). In this equation, v_0 represents the initial velocity in H_2O , v_n the initial velocity in a solution containing D_2O at mole fraction n , and ϕ^R and ϕ^T the fractionation factors of a proton

$$v_n = v_0(1 - n - n\phi^T)/(1 - n - n\phi^R) \quad (2)$$

(not necessarily the same one) in the reactant and transition states, respectively. It might be noted here that the likelihood ratio test (30) confirmed that eq 2 fitted the data better (99% confidence) than a linear (single proton) equation. The fit of eq 2 to the proton inventories of **1**, **3** and **4** generated the fractionation factors of Table 3. The qualitatively important conclusion is that these values for the three compounds are essentially the same within experimental uncertainty. This shows that the deacylation transition states for these compounds, two of them (one a peptide, the other a thiodipeptide) representing specific substrates, one non-specific, are virtually the same, at least with respect to proton motion. The transition state fractionation factor of *ca* 0.4 is consistent with the motion of a solvent exchangeable proton in the transition state, such as would be expected of general base assisted water attack on the acyl-enzyme. The reactant state fractionation factor of *ca* 0.7 is unusually low for an exchangeable proton but evokes the finding of a similar proton in the free enzyme, which was suggested to derive from a tight Lys 65 – Tyr 159 hydrogen bond (18). Such an arrangement of these residues is certainly conceivable in the acyl-enzyme as well, although some difference must be present since the fractionation factor of the reactant proton is considerably smaller in the free enzyme than in the acyl enzyme; the issue of a neutral acid-base pair vs a zwitterion has been discussed (18, 31).

It might be noted in passing that the solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on V/K for **2**, **3** and **4** were also measured as 0.96 ± 0.18 , 0.93 ± 0.14 , and 0.94 ± 0.05 , respectively. These are similar to these previously determined (18) for **5** (0.85 ± 0.06) and **7** (0.89 ± 0.06), and suggest that the acylation transition states of specific substrates are similar to those of non-specific substrates; the (most likely) inverse values reflect the effect of the free enzyme fractionation factor, discussed above and in the previous paper (18).

More important than the carboxypeptidase reaction, to bacteria at least, is the transpeptidation reaction, also catalyzed by DD-peptidases (Scheme 1), including the R61 enzyme (9,10,24, 25). In order to learn more about the transpeptidation reaction, measurements of D_2O k_4 were made, as described in the Experimental Procedures section, for several effective small molecule

acceptors. As expected from the relevant peptidoglycan structure, both D-amino acids and glycyl-L-amino acid dipeptides act as acceptors (9,10,32). In the present work, we employed D-alanine, Gly-L-Ala, Gly-L-Phe and aminomalon-N-ethylamide; the last two of these were particularly effective as acceptors and the question of different transition states between these and the poorer acceptors arose (9). Solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects obtained for the transpeptidation reaction of the specific depsipeptide **3** are reported in Table 4.

At first glance, the $D_2O k_4$ values, averaging around 2.6, suggest a proton or protons in motion and the likelihood of general base catalysis. However, it must be taken into account that, at pH 7.5, the acceptor amines are largely nitrogen protonated. Studies of the effect of pH on the aminolysis reaction showed that k_4 increases with pH (10), which suggests, reasonably, that the reactive form of the acceptors are the free amines. It is interesting to note in this regard that a crystal structure of a complex of the R61 DD-peptidase with **1** at pH 6.8 shows bound products, including D-alanine (26). The amino nitrogen of this D-alanine does not appear to be hydrogen bonded to either the protein or to water molecules. This would suggest a neutral amine bound rather than one that was protonated, where strong hydrogen bonding to the ammonium ion would be expected. The situation at pH 7.5 for the experiments of Table 4 should therefore best be represented as in Scheme 7 and thus eq 3 and eq 4 should obtain if $pH < pK_a$.

$$k_4^{obs} = k_4 K_a \quad (3)$$

$$D_2O k_4^{obs} = D_2O k_4 D_2O K_a \quad (4)$$

For acids in general, $D_2O pK_a = (0.54 \pm 0.07)$ or $D_2O K_a = 3.47$ (26). To confirm this for an amino acid, we measured $D_2O K_a$ for glycine and obtained the value 3.5. Thus, from eq 4, $D_2O k_4 = 0.74$. Finally, if $\phi^{ES} = 0.7$ (from above), ϕ^T for the aminolysis transition state would be given by $\phi^{ES/D_2O} k_4 = 0.95$. Thus, contrary to initial impressions, there appear to be no protons in motion in the aminolysis transition state. This conclusion is supported by the measurements of $D_2O k_4$ for Gly-L-Ala and Gly-L-Phe at pH 8.9 where both would contain neutral amines; the $D_2O k_4$ values, under these conditions, were 1.07 and 0.90, respectively (Table 4). The $D_2O k_4$ values for Gly-L-Phe and aminomalon-N-ethylamide are essentially the same as those for D-alanine and Gly-L-Ala. The transition states for the better acceptors (the former pair) are thus similar, with respect to proton transfer at least, to those for the poorer acceptors (the latter pair).

The results of the previous paragraph suggest the aminolysis mechanism of Scheme 8, which describes formation and breakdown of a tetrahedral intermediate, where the proton transfers, presumably catalyzed by active site acid/bases $E-BH^+/E-B$, accompany the breakdown step. If the proton transfers are efficiently catalyzed such that $k_2 > k_{-1}$ then the formation of the tetrahedral intermediate (k_1 step) will be rate determining. Since the pK_a of the amine will not be significantly decreased in formation of the tetrahedral intermediate, proton transfer to a base need not accompany this step (33). A direct analogy from the literature is found, for example, in the study by Bruice et al. of the aminolysis of phenyl acetates (34). They observed solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects of 1.0 ± 0.1 and concluded that nucleophilic attack by amine was rate determining. The transition state for the reverse reaction, acylation of the enzyme by a peptide, will, of course, be the same, i.e. the rate-determining step will be breakdown of the zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate after rapid nucleophilic attack and proton rearrangement. This situation seems less common for the aminolysis of other acyl-enzymes since kinetic isotope effects suggest proton motion in the deacylation transition states of chymotrypsin (35), liver transglutaminase (36), and kidney γ -glutamyltranspeptidase (37).

Since water and D-amino acids are good acceptors for the acyl groups of acyl-enzyme intermediates derived from the R61 DD-peptidase, it might be expected that D- α -hydroxy acids

would also have significant acceptor ability. Indeed, Jamin et al. (24) showed that this was true for D-lactate and D-mandelate when the non-specific thioesters **9** and **10** were employed as substrates. We were surprised, therefore, to find that neither D-lactate nor D-phenyllactate accelerated turnover of **3** nor produced the expected acyl transfer products (9). Figure 3 shows the effect of D-mandelate on the turnover rate of **3** and **6** under $[S] > K_m$ conditions when deacylation is rate-determining. D-Mandelate is clearly an inhibitor. Such inhibition was also observed by D-lactate, D-phenyllactate and D-hexahydromandelate; L-phenyllactate also inhibited the reaction, but more weakly than the D-enantiomer. A more detailed investigation with D-hexahydromandelate revealed that the inhibition was of the mixed variety (Scheme 3); the intersecting lines of Figure 4 clearly exclude competitive inhibition (23).

To assess the degree and nature of the competitive component of this inhibition (K_i in Scheme 3), the ability of several compounds to inhibit the reaction between the enzyme and a β -lactam was determined. β -Lactams react essentially irreversibly with the R61 DD-peptidase – hydrolysis of the acyl-enzyme is very slow (5) – and inhibition of this process would most likely reflect direct competition for the active site. In fact, D-mandelate, for example, did inhibit reaction of the enzyme with dansylpenicillin, yielding, by the method described in Experimental Procedures, a K_i value of 11.6 ± 1.2 mM. On fitting to the data on inhibition of the hydrolysis of **3** and **6** by D- α -hydroxy acids and analogues to Scheme 5 (see Figure 3, for example), where values of K_i were derived from the dansylpenicillin experiments described above, values of K_{si} for several inhibitors were obtained (Table 5).

The effect of D-mandelate on turnover of **4** and **5** by the R61 DD-peptidase was quite different from that on turnover of **3** and **6**, described above. Data obtained with the former substrates is shown in Figure 5. In these cases, the rate of turnover increased at low hydroxy acid concentrations, but decreased, reflecting inhibition, at higher concentrations. These data were therefore fitted to Scheme 4 (where, as before, K_i was fixed at the values obtained from the dansylpenicillin experiments). The fitting parameters from this procedure are also found in Table 5. The increase in rate at low hydroxy acid concentration was, according to Scheme 4, ascribed to the action of these compounds as acyl acceptors. This assignment was confirmed by ^1H NMR experiments, carried out as described in Experimental Procedures. NMR spectra of reaction mixtures consisting of **4** or **5** with D-mandelate showed the presence of the acyl transfer product **11** [the mandelate ester distinguished by the methine singlet resonance at δ 5.7, c.f. δ 4.8 for mandelate itself (13)] This mandelate ester was itself a poor substrate of the enzyme and disappeared slowly after all **4** or **5** had been consumed. In contrast, D-mandelate appeared to be only an inhibitor of turnover of **6** by the DD-peptidase; no D-mandelate ester was observed in the NMR spectrum of mixtures of **6** with the enzyme.

Gly-L-Xaa dipeptides are also, as noted above (9,10,32), effective acyl acceptors for all of the substrates employed in these experiments. One might, therefore, expect that analogous hydroxy compounds, **12** and **13**, for example, would be acceptors. Reality, again, was different. The L-

Phe derivative, **13**, inhibited the hydrolysis of **3**, **5** and **6**, while **12** had very little effect on any substrate (e.g. see Figure 6).

12: R = CH₃

13: R = CH₂Ph

The interesting generalization from the experiments with hydroxy acceptors described above is that the D- α -hydroxy acids appeared to act as acyl acceptors for the glycyl substrates **4** and **5** but not for the presumably more specific D-alanyl compounds **3** and **6**. Further, the dipeptide analogues **12** and **13** did not act as acceptors for any of these substrates. The D- α -hydroxy acids and **13**, did, however, act as mixed inhibitors of hydrolysis of these substrates (Table 5), most likely competing with the substrate for the active site, but also binding elsewhere on the acyl enzyme, affecting turnover. This second site could be the acyl acceptor site although the presence of separate small molecule binding sites on this enzyme, with inhibitory activity, has been previously demonstrated (10,14,25). Structural characterization of the latter site(s) has not yet been achieved. It should also be noted at this stage that the hydroxyl group of the hydroxyl acids is not required for inhibition on binding to either the competitive or uncompetitive site. Both D,L- α -fluorophenylacetate and D- α -phenylpropionate (Table 5) also inhibited turnover of **3** in a mixed fashion, although somewhat more weakly than D-mandelate.

Further insight into the issue of hydroxy acid acceptors was obtained through measurements of solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on k_4 values for D-mandelate as an acyl acceptor with the substrate **5**. The value obtained at pH 7.5 was 2.5 ± 0.5 . This shows that the hydroxy acid D-mandelate, like water, and unlike amino acids, requires a general base catalyst in the transition state for deacylation of the enzyme. This is not unexpected since the hydroxyl proton would become very acidic as nucleophilic attack progressed, unlike the situation for amine attack (Scheme 8). It seems that this general base, although not needed in the formation of the aminolysis tetrahedral intermediate, and although it probably would be needed in breakdown of the initially formed tetrahedral species, is required and available for D- α -hydroxy acid attack on glycyl substrates but is not available for D-alanyl substrates. This may reflect a greater mobility of the glycyl acyl enzyme than the D-alanyl, where the D-methyl group is firmly anchored in a hydrophobic pocket (14,26). Thus it may be that the greater mobility of the acyl enzyme derived from the glycyl substrates enables it to access both the nucleophilic hydroxy acid and the general base catalyst earlier along the reaction coordinate to an extent not possible with those derived from D-alanyl substrates.

The lack of acceptor activity in **12** and **13** is also puzzling. Modeling, however, has suggested that D-amino acid and Gly-L-Xaa dipeptide acceptors probably bind in different orientations at the R61 DD-peptidase active site (10). Thus **12** and **13**, perhaps binding analogously to the dipeptide acceptors, may not be able to access the general base catalyst as readily as the D- α -hydroxyacids which may be bound analogously to the D-amino acids. The early requirement of the general base catalyst in hydrolysis and alcoholysis, but not in aminolysis, may be exploited by bacteria to limit the extent of acyl enzyme hydrolysis in transpeptidation.

It is interesting to note in passing here that aminolysis of amino acid esters at the active site of the ribosome does not appear to require general base catalysis (38) – a direct alcohol analogue of the amine nucleophile reacts several orders of magnitude more slowly than the amine and the reaction is pH independent. This may also be a device to limit the metabolic waste of hydrolysis.

We have shown in this paper, by the criterion of solvent kinetic isotope effects, that the transition states of the deacylation step of the R61 DD-peptidase catalyzed hydrolysis of both specific and non-specific substrates are very similar. In spite of the strong accelerating effect of a specific peptidoglycan-mimetic side chain on substrate turnover rate, the rate-determining step of the deacylation reaction probably remains the same. This suggests that the effect of the specific side chain is transmitted uniformly along the reaction coordinate; certainly this is in accord with structural evidence where the polar side chain terminus of **1** seems firmly bound in all of the reaction coordinate analogue structures obtained to date (26,27,39). The transition states for formation of the tetrahedral intermediate in hydrolysis and alcoholysis (by D- α -hydroxy acids) contain a general base catalyst while those for aminolysis do not - in the latter case, rate-determining formation of the tetrahedral intermediate, without accompanying proton transfer, is probably followed by fast proton transfer, presumably catalyzed by the acid/base functional groups of the active site (Lys 67, Tyr 159). Alcoholysis of acyl-enzymes derived from D-alanyl substrates by hydroxy acids does not occur at a measurable rate although that of glycyl substrates does. This result may reflect the inability of a nucleophile approaching the D-alanyl reaction center to access the general base catalyst during nucleophilic attack, while one approaching the more flexible glycyl center does have this ability. We hope to apply this knowledge of mechanism to the further design of transition state analogue inhibitors.

REFERENCES

1. Frère J-M, Joris B. Penicillin-sensitive enzymes in peptidoglycan biosynthesis. *CRC Crit. Rev. Microbiol* 1985;11:299–396.
2. Fisher JF, Meroueh SO, Mobashery S. Bacterial resistance to β -lactam antibiotics: compelling opportunism, compelling opportunity. *Chem. Rev* 2005;105:395–424. [PubMed: 15700950]
3. Scheffers D-J, Pinho MG. Bacterial wall synthesis: new insights from localization studies. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev* 2005;69:585–607. [PubMed: 16339737]
4. Ghuysen J-M. Serine β -lactamases and penicillin-binding proteins. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol* 1994;45:37–67. [PubMed: 1741619]
5. Ghuysen J-M, Frère J-M, Leyh-Bouille M, Coyette J, Dusart J, Nguyen-Distèche M. Use of model enzymes in the determination of the mode of action of penicillins and $\Delta 3$ -cephalosporins. *Annu. Rev. Biochem* 1979;48:73–101. [PubMed: 112913]
6. Frère, J-M. *Streptomyces* R61 D-ala-D-ala carboxypeptidase. In: Barrett, AJ.; Rawlings, ND.; Woessner, JF., editors. *Handbook of Proteolysis Enzymes*. 2nd Ed.. 2. London: Elsevier; 2004. p. 1959-1962.
7. Anderson JW, Pratt RF. Dipeptide binding to the extended active site of the R61 D-alanyl-D-alanine-peptidase: the path to a specific substrate. *Biochemistry* 2000;39:12200–12209. [PubMed: 11015198]
8. Nagarajan R, Pratt RF. Synthesis and evaluation of new substrate analogues of *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase: Dissection of a specific ligand. *J. Org. Chem* 2004;69:7472–7478. [PubMed: 15497971]
9. Kumar I, Pratt RF. Transpeptidation reactions of a specific substrate catalyzed by the *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase: characterization of a chromogenic substrate and acyl acceptor design. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:9971–9979. [PubMed: 16042374]
10. Kumar I, Pratt RF. Transpeptidation reactions of a specific substrate catalyzed by the *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase: the structural basis of acyl acceptor specificity. *Biochemistry* 2005;44:9961–9970. [PubMed: 16042373]

11. Nieto M, Perkins HR, Frère J-M, Ghuysen J-M. Fluorescence and circular dichroism studies on *Streptomyces* R61 DD-carboxypeptidase-transpeptidase. *Biochem. J* 1973;135:493–505. [PubMed: 4772275]
12. Xu Y, Soto G, Adachi H, Van der Linden MPG, Keck W, Pratt RF. Relative specificities of a series of β -lactam-recognizing enzymes towards the side chains of penicillins and of acyclic thioldepsipeptides. *Biochem. J* 1994;302:851–856. [PubMed: 7945212]
13. Govardhan CP, Pratt RF. Kinetics and mechanism of the serine β -lactamase catalyzed hydrolysis of depsipeptides. *Biochemistry* 1987;26:3385–3395. [PubMed: 3115289]
14. Bernstein NJ, Pratt RF. On the importance of a methyl group in β -lactamase evolution: free energy profiles and molecular modeling. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:10499–10510. [PubMed: 10441146]
15. Ho G-J, Emerson KM, Mathre DJ, Shuman RF, Grabowski EJJ. Carbodiimide-mediated amide formation in a two-phase system. A high-yield and low-racemization procedure for peptide synthesis. *J. Org. Chem* 1995;60:3569–3570.
16. Carroll BJ, Moss SJ, Bai L, Kato Y, Toelzer S, Yu T-W, Floss HG. Identification of a set of genes involved in the formation of the substrate for the incorporation of the unusual "glycolate" chain extension unit in ansamitocin biosynthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:4176–4177. [PubMed: 11960423]
17. Kuzmic P. Program DYNAFIT for the analysis of enzyme kinetic data: application to HIV proteinase. *Anal. Biochem* 1996;237:260–273. [PubMed: 8660575]
18. Adediran SA, Pratt RF. β -Secondary and solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on catalysis by the *Streptomyces* R61 DD-peptidase: comparisons with a structurally similar class C β -lactamase. *Biochemistry* 1999;38:1469–1477. [PubMed: 9931012]
19. Adediran SA, Deraniyagala SA, Xu Y, Pratt RF. β -Secondary and solvent deuterium kinetic isotope effects on β -lactamase catalysis. *Biochemistry* 1996;35:3604–3613. [PubMed: 8639512]
20. Varetto L, Frère J-M, Nguyen-Distèche M, Ghuysen J-M, Houssier C. The pH-dependence of the active site serine DD-peptidase of *Streptomyces* R61. *Eur. J. Biochem* 1987;162:525–531. [PubMed: 3830155]
21. Stein RL, Strimpler AM, Hori H, Powers JC. Catalysis by human leukocyte elastase: the proton inventory as a mechanistic probe. *Biochemistry* 1987;26:1305–1314. [PubMed: 3032250]
22. Alberly WJ, Davies MH. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. II* 1972;68:167–181.
23. Cornish-Bowden A. Simple graphical method for determining the inhibition constants of mixed, uncompetitive, and noncompetitive inhibitors. *Biochem. J* 1974;137:143–144. [PubMed: 4206907]
24. Jamin M, Adam M, Damblon C, Christiaens L, Frère J-M. Accumulation of acyl-enzyme in DD-peptidase-catalyzed reactions with analogues of peptide substrates. *Biochem. J* 1991;280:499–506. [PubMed: 1747125]
25. Jamin M, Wilkin JM, Frère J-M. A new kinetic mechanism for the concomitant hydrolysis and transfer reactions catalyzed by bacterial DD-peptidase. *Biochemistry* 1993;32:7278–7295. [PubMed: 8343517]
26. McDonough MA, Anderson JW, Silvaggi NR, Pratt RF, Knox JR, Kelly JA. Structures of two kinetic intermediate reveal species specificity of penicillin-binding proteins. *J. Mol. Biol* 2002;322:111–122. [PubMed: 12215418]
27. Silvaggi NR, Anderson JW, Brinsmade SR, Pratt RF, Kelly JA. The crystal structure of phosphonate-inhibited D-Ala-D-Ala peptidase reveals an analogue of a tetrahedral transition state. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:1199–1208. [PubMed: 12564922]
28. Schowen, KBJ. Solvent hydrogen isotope effects, Chapter 6. In: Gandour, RD.; Schowen, RL., editors. *Transition States of Biochemical Processes*. NY: Plenum Press; 1978.
29. Quinn, D.; Sutton, LD. Theoretical basis and mechanistic utility of solvent isotope effects, Chapter 3. In: Cook, PF., editor. *Enzyme Mechanism from Isotope Effects*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 1991.
30. Seber, GAF.; Wild, CJ. *Non-Linear Regression*. NY: John Wiley and Sons; 1989. p. 228-234.
31. Jamin M, Wilkin JM, Frère J-M. Bacterial DD-transpeptidases and penicillin. *Essays in Biochemistry* 1995;29:1–23. [PubMed: 9189711]
32. Frère J-M, Ghuysen J-M, Perkins HR, Nieto M. Kinetics of concomitant transfer and hydrolysis reactions catalyzed by the exocellular DD-carboxypeptidase-transpeptidase of *Streptomyces* R61. *Biochem J* 1973;135:483–492. [PubMed: 4772274]

33. Jencks WP. Requirements for general acid-base catalysis of complex reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1972;94:4731–4731.
34. Bruice TC, Donzel A, Huffman RW, Butler AR. Aminolysis of phenyl acetates in aqueous solution. VII. Observations on the influence of salts, amine structures, and base strength. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1967;89:2106–2121.
35. Zeeberg B, Caplow M. Transition state distribution in reactions of an acetyltyrosylchymotrypsin intermediate. *J. Biol. Chem* 1973;248:5587–5891.
36. Leblanc A, Gravel C, Labelle J, Keillor J. Kinetic studies of guinea pig liver transglutaminase reveal a general-base-catalyzed deacylation mechanism. *Biochemistry* 2001;40:8335–8342. [PubMed: 11444980]
37. Castonguay R, Lherbet C, Keillor J. Kinetic studies of rat kidney γ -glutamyltranspeptidase deacylation reveal a general base-catalyzed mechanism. *Biochemistry* 2003;42:11504–11513. [PubMed: 14516202]
38. Bieling P, Beringer M, Adio S, Rodnina MV. Peptide bond formation does not involve acid-base catalysis by ribosomal residues. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol* 2006;13:423–428. [PubMed: 16648860]
39. Silvaggi NR, Josephine HR, Kuzin P, Nagarajan R, Pratt RF, Kelly JA. Crystal structures of complexes between the R61 DD-peptidase and peptidoglycan-mimetic β -lactams: a non-covalent complex with a "perfect penicillin". *J. Mol. Biol* 2005;345:521–533. [PubMed: 15581896]

Figure 1.

Fluorescence intensity as a function of time after mixing the R61 DD-peptidase ($0.6\ \mu\text{M}$) with **1** ($100\ \mu\text{M}$). The line represents the fit of the data to Scheme 6 (see text).

Figure 2.

A proton inventory (initial rate, measured in absorbance units at 240 nm/s, vs mole fraction of D_2O in the solvent) for the hydrolysis of **3** (95 μM), catalyzed by the R61 DD-peptidase (5.4 nM).

Figure 3.

Inhibition of the R61 DD-peptidase catalyzed hydrolysis of **3** (100 μM , $\circ$) and **6** (5.0 mM, $\square$) by D-mandelate; the enzyme concentrations were 5.0 nM and 0.18 μM , respectively. The lines represent fits of the data to Scheme 3 (see text).

Figure 4. Inhibition of the R61 DD-peptidase-catalyzed hydrolysis of **3** (30 μ M, $\circ$; 50 μ M, +; 100 μ M, ρ) by D-hexahydromandelate. The intersecting pattern of lines represents a simultaneous fit of all of the data to Scheme 3 (see text) and indicates mixed inhibition.

Figure 5. Effect of D-mandelate on the turnover of **4** (2.0 mM, $\diamond$) and **5** (2.0 mM, $\circ$), catalyzed by the R61 DD-peptidase (0.54 μ M and 0.44 μ M, respectively). The lines represent a simultaneous fit of all of the data to Scheme 4 (see text).

Figure 6. Effects of glycolyl-L-Ala (**12**, $\square$) and glycolyl-L-Phe (**13**, $\circ$) on turnover of **3** (100 μ M), catalyzed by the R61 DD-peptidase (5.0 nM). The lines represent fits of the data to Scheme 3 (see text).

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6.

Scheme 7.

Scheme 8.

Table 1Steady-State Kinetics Parameters for Hydrolyses of **1** – **7**, catalyzed by the R61 DD-peptidase.

Substrate	k_{cat} (s^{-1})	K_{m} (mM)	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ ($\text{s}^{-1}\text{M}^{-1}$)
1 ^a	69	7.9×10^{-3}	8.6×10^6
2 ^b	48	0.26	2.0×10^5
3	81 ± 4	$(4.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	2.1×10^7
4	5.7 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.4	5.5×10^3
5 ^c	1.51	0.76	2.0×10^3
6	31 ± 1	1.37 ± 0.15	2.3×10^4
7 ^c	34.5	9.8	3.5×10^3

^aData from ref. 7^bData from ref. 8^cData from ref. 18

Table 2

Solvent Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects on V for Substrate Hydrolysis by the R61 DD- Peptidase.

Substrate	D_2O V
1	2.04 ± 0.14
2	2.04 ± 0.14
3	1.79 ± 0.14
4	3.08 ± 0.26
5	2.56 ± 0.19^a

^aData from ref. 18

Table 3

Fractionation Factors of Protons involved in Hydrolysis of the Acyl-Enzyme.

Substrate	ϕ^T	ϕ^R
1	0.40 ± 0.06	0.84 ± 0.11
3	0.36 ± 0.07	0.66 ± 0.12
4	0.37 ± 0.05	0.64 ± 0.09

Table 4Deuterium Solvent Kinetic Isotope Effects on Aminolysis of the Depsipeptide **3**.^a

Acyl Acceptor	D_2O k_4
D-alanine	2.5 ± 0.4
Gly-L-Ala	2.1 ± 0.4
	$(1.07 \pm 0.21)^b$
Gly-L-Phe	2.2 ± 0.6
	$(0.90 \pm 0.15)^b$
aminomalon-N-ethylamide	2.8 ± 0.4

^a All measurements at pH 7.5 except where noted^b pH 8.9

Table 5
Rate and Equilibrium Constants for Reactions of the R61 DD-Peptidase with Hydroxy Acids

Substrate	Acceptor/Inhibitor	K_i (mM)	K_{si} (mM)	k_4 ($s^{-1}M^{-1}$)
3	D-Mandelate	11.6 ± 1.2	15.3 ± 1.3	$\leq 10_3^b$
3	D-Phenyllactate	17.8 ± 4.7	16.0 ± 1.7	$\leq 10_3^b$
3	D-Hexahydromandelate	7.0 ± 2.4	41 ± 7	$\leq 10_3^b$
3	Glycolyl-L-Phe	19.3 ± 4.6	32.3 ± 3.1	$\leq 10_3^b$
3	D- α -phenylpropionate	15.5 ± 3.1	50 ± 10	
6	D-mandelate	11.6 ± 1.2	≥ 60	$\leq 10^b$
4 ^a	D-mandelate	11.6 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 4.7	1500 ± 200
5 ^a	D-mandelate	11.6 ± 1.2	9.5 ± 4.7	1500 ± 200

^aData fitted simultaneously (Figure 5)

^bMaximum values that would not substantially affect the fit to the data; for perspective, the value of k_4 for D-Phe, under the same conditions, is ca $4 \times 10^4 s^{-1}M^{-1}$ (9).