Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2005 August 18; 7(17): 3645–3648.

Stereoselective Assembly of a 1,3-Diene, via Coupling between an Allenic Acetate and a (*B*)-Alkylborane: Synthetic Studies on Amphidinolide B1

Amit K. Mandal[†], John S. Schneckloth Jr.[‡], and Craig M. Crews^{*,†,‡,§}

[†]*Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103*

[‡]*Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103*

[§]*Department of Pharmacology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8103*

Abstract

The preparation of three fragments for the total synthesis of amphidinolide B1 has been described. The C16 stereochemistry was set by asymmetric allylic alkylation. C21 and C25 stereogenic centers were set by an enantioselective/diastereoselective double allylation reaction. The C9 configuration was set by an asymmetric heteroene reaction. A differentially substituted stereodefined 1,3-diene iodide was synthesized by iodide-mediated S_N2' reaction. A novel stereoselective method to assemble a 1,3-diene by coupling an allenic acetate and (*B*)-alkylborane is also reported.

Amphidinolide B1 **1**, a polyketide-based 26-membered macrolide, was isolated from a culture of the symbiotic marine dinoflagellate *Amphidinium* sp. (strain Y-5) in 1987 by Kobayashi et al.¹ Compound **1** exhibits potent and selective cytotoxicity against L1210 murine leukemia and KB human epidermoid carcinoma cell lines in vitro (IC₅₀ 0.00014 and 0.0042 μg/mL, respectively).^{1,2} The relative stereochemistry of the nine stereogenic centers in amphidinolide B1 was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis,³ and the absolute stereochemistry was assigned on the basis of the chemical synthesis of the C22–C26 segment.⁴ Due to its potent cytotoxic activity and topological complexities, amphidinolide B1 **1** has attracted the attention of synthetic chemists for over 15 years.⁵ There have been several efforts toward the total synthesis of this natural product; however, no completed total synthesis has yet been reported. Both Pattenden et al. and Kobayashi et al. reported elegant approaches toward **1**.^{5d,6} Nishiyama, Chakraborty, Myles, Lee, and Carter have also reported partial syntheses toward **1**.⁷ In our chemical biology program, we are interested in developing natural products as biological probes to identify novel proteins for therapeutic intervention (target validation) and the exploration of cell biology (chemical genetics). As amphidinolide B1 **1** is a highly potent anticancer agent, it can be useful as a tool for understanding more about cancer biology. As a result of our interest in the biology of this molecule, we initiated a program directed toward completing the total synthesis of amphidinolide B1 **1**.

Our proposed synthetic route to **1** is based on a highly convergent disconnection of the 26-membered macrolide ring: Mitsunobu-type esterification,⁸ Suzuki–Miyaura coupling⁹

craig.crews@yale.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization data, and stereochemical proofs. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

between C12 and C13, aldol reaction¹⁰ between C18 and C19, and Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons reaction across C2–C3 (Scheme 1).

Use of a 1,3-diene iodide system such as fragment **3** as a substrate for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling is unprecedented in the literature.¹¹ We hope to attain a high level of stereo-induction to install the C11 stereogenic center by proper choice of hydroborating agent and fine-tuning of the protecting groups of the C8 and C9 hydroxyl groups to generate the borane from fragment **4**. It is shown that rate of hydroboration is sensitive to electronic as well as steric factors, although steric effects tend to predominate. Electron-rich, unhindered olefins generally react rapidly. It is documented that the 2-propenyl group undergoes hydroboration 600 times faster than a disubstituted internal trans double bond.^{11b} We hope to take advantage of this differential hydroboration rate to preferentially hydroborate the 2-propenyl group, yielding the requisite (*B*)-alkylborane for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. Though Evans and co-workers reported β -alkyl-directed diastereoselective hydroboration in an acyclic system,¹² to the best of our knowledge there is little precedent for β -alkoxy- or β -silyloxy-directed successful diastereoselective hydroboration in acyclic systems.¹³ In this letter, we describe the synthesis of fragments **2**, **3**, and **4** as well as validation of the 1,3-diene iodide fragment **3** as a coupling partner. We also discuss a new stereoselective and regioselective synthesis of a highly substituted 1,3-diene by joining an allenic acetate and an (*B*)-alkylborane in the presence of a palladium catalyst.

The key step in the synthesis of fragment **2** is based on the enantioselective/diastereoselective double allylboration reaction to prepare 1,5-*syn*-diol **8**. Adopting Roush's protocol,¹⁴ we hydroborated allenylboronate **6** using (–)-Ipc₂BH¹⁵ to produce 1,3-boryl-substituted allylboration **7**.

The allylboration **7** was reacted with benzyloxyacetaldehyde first at –78 °C for 2 h, followed by treatment with acetaldehyde at –78 °C for 2 h and at room temperature for 24 h to produce 1,5-*syn*-diol **8** in 95% yield and $\geq 95\%$ ee based on MTPA ester analysis. Thus, the C21 and epi-C25 hydroxy group (which would be inverted during Mitsunobu esterification) were installed efficiently. We hoped that matched allylic and homoallylic hydroxy-directed epoxidation would afford good selectivity.¹⁶ However, diastereo-selective epoxidation using VO(acac)₂/*t*-BuOOH gave poor selectivity. Gratifyingly, epoxidation with *m*CPBA in CH₂Cl₂ furnished epoxy alcohol **9** in an 8:1 diastereoisomeric ratio based on the crude 500 MHz NMR. Bis-silyl protection with TBSOTf/2,6-lutidine was followed by regioselective and stereoselective opening of the epoxide with Me₄AlLi/Me₃Al in refluxing hexane. Further silyl protection provided compound **11**, which was converted to fragment **2** using straightforward functional group manipulations. Stereochemistry at the newly generated hydroxyl-bearing stereocenter was verified by NOE analysis of the acetone obtained by TBAF deprotection of **10** and subsequent treatment with 2,2-dimethoxypropane (Supporting Information).

The synthesis of fragment **3** began with commercially available racemic isoprene monoxide **12**. Palladium- and boron-cocatalyzed dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT) using (*S,S*)-ligand-**13** and *p*-methoxybenzyl alcohol as the nucleophile provided differentially protected alcohol **14** in a highly regio- and enantioselective fashion (90% yield and 94% ee).¹⁷ Application of a hydroboration and oxidation sequence furnished the diol. The less sterically hindered of the two primary alcohols was selectively protected as its mono-TBDPS ether to yield alcohol **15**. The alcohol **15** was converted to methyl ketone **16** using common functional group transformations. Chelation-controlled addition of ethynylmagnesium bromide to methyl ketone **16** produced the ethynyl carbinol (dr 90:10), which was homologated using (CH₂O)_n/*i*-Pr₂NH/CuBr in refluxing dioxane to afford allenic alcohol **17**.¹⁸ Acetylation of **17** proved to be nontrivial. Powerful acetylation protocols such as Ac₂O/catalytic TMSOTf¹⁹ and Ac₂O/catalytic Sc(OTf)₃²⁰ were not successful. However, acetylation was achieved using

Ac₂O/4-pyrrolidinopyridine in reproducible, albeit 40–50% yield. Exposure of the acetate **18** to acetic acid and lithium iodide exclusively produced (*E*)-1,3-diene iodide fragment **3**.²¹ The olefin geometry was verified by NOE experiments.

We believe that the diastereomeric acetates lead exclusively to the (*E*)-product by S_N2' attack of the iodide nucleophile on the central allenic carbon anti to the acetate leaving group on the lowest energy conformation of each diastereomer (**B** and **D**). Due to severe 1,3-allylic strain, anti S_N2' attack of the iodide nucleophile was not favorable on conformations (**A** and **C**), leading to the (*Z*)-isomer.²²

The synthesis of fragment **4** takes advantage of Evans' Cu(II)-Box-catalyzed enantioselective heteroene reaction between *iso*-butene and ethyl glyoxalate **19** to produce homoallylic alcohol **20** in 60% yield and 93% ee (Scheme 4).²³ The free alcohol was protected as its corresponding TBS ether and converted to the Weinreb amide **21**. In parallel, vinyl iodide **23** was prepared from commercially available pentyn-1-ol **22** via two steps²⁴ in 50% overall yield. Addition of the vinyl iodide **23** to the Weinreb amide **21** smoothly produced α,β-unsaturated ketone **24** in 86% yield. Diastereo-selective reduction using Luche conditions produced an alcohol, which was protected as a TIPS ether to provide fragment **4**. Stereochemical assignment was corroborated on NOE experiment of the corresponding acetone (Supporting Information).

With all three fragments securely in hand, we next concentrated our efforts on the key Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. The viability of fragment **3** for use as a coupling partner was demonstrated by its successful coupling with the (*B*)-alkylborane derived from styrene to furnish **26**. Encouraged by this result, we next investigated the possibility of using allenic acetate **18** as a coupling partner to a (*B*)-alkylborane. This would increase the efficiency in the context of our present study and also would provide a novel technology to stereoselectively assemble the highly substituted 1,3-diene. We were aware that allenic carbonates can be used to generate vinylpalladium species.²⁵ However, to the best of our knowledge, this reaction had not been explored in the context of setting a differentially substituted 1,3-diene. We reasoned that we might be able to generate a vinylpalladium species such as **25** directly from allenic acetate **18** by treating it with a palladium source and couple it with a (*B*)-alkylborane. We treated allenic acetate **18** with [Pd(dppf)Cl₂], K₃PO₄, H₂O, and the (*B*)-alkylborane derived from styrene and gratifyingly isolated the coupled product **26** in 47% unoptimized yield. The geometry of the 1,3-diene was confirmed on the basis of NOE experiments. This is the first example of the synthesis of a highly substituted stereodefined 1,3-diene in this fashion. In catalytic reactions, a (π-allyl)palladium intermediate can be generated from an allylic carboxylate.²⁶ We postulate that a (π-allyl)palladium complex **27** is formed by anti oxidative addition on the allenic central carbon. The formed π-allyl complex **27** presumably then isomerizes to the thermodynamically more stable (*E*)-complex **28** via an η³–η¹–η³ rearrangement. This isomerization is presumably faster than the product formation because no identifiable (*Z*)-isomer was observed. More detailed studies on this coupling will be published in due course.

In conclusion, we have accomplished efficient synthetic routes to all the requisite fragments for amphidinolide B1 **1**. Our studies document that a S_N2' reaction can be used to prepare highly substituted stereodefined 1,3-diene iodide **3**. We have also demonstrated that an allenic acetate **18** derived (π-allyl)palladium species can be coupled with a (*B*)-alkylborane to stereoselectively and regioselectively assemble a 1,3-diene. Our continued investigation into the chemical problems posed by amphidinolide B1 **1** and our investigation of its intracellular mode of action will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgment

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from NIH (GM062120). J.S.S. acknowledges the American Chemical Society, Division of Medicinal Chemistry, and Aventis Pharmaceuticals for a predoctoral fellowship. We thank Professor John Wood for his help during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

1. Ishibashi M, Ohizumi Y, Hamashima M, Nakamura H, Hirata Y, Sasaki T, Kobayashi J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun* 1987;1127.
2. Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M, Nakamura H, Ohizumi Y, Yamasu T, Hirata Y, Sasaki T, Ohta T, Nozoe S. *J. Nat. Prod* 1989;52:1036. [PubMed: 2607346]
3. Bauer I, Maranda L, Shimizu Y, Peterson RW, Cornell L, Steiner JR, Clardy J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1994;116:2657.
4. Ishibashi M, Ishiyama H, Kobayashi J. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1994;35:8241.
5. (a) Kobayashi J, Ishibashi M. *Chem. Rev* 1993;93:1753. (b) Chakraborty T, Das S. *Curr. Med. Chem.: Anti-Cancer Agents* 2001;1:131. (c) Kobayashi J, Shimbo K, Kubota T, Tsuda M. *Pure Appl. Chem* 2003;75:337. (d) Kobayashi J, Tsuda M. *Nat. Prod. Rep* 2004;21:77. [PubMed: 15039836]
6. (a) Cid MB, Pattenden G. *Synlett* 1998:540. (b) Cid MB, Pattenden G. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2000;41:7373. (c) Ishiyama H, Takemura T, Tsuda M, Kobayashi J. *Tetrahedron* 1999;55:4583. (d) Ishiyama H, Takemura T, Tsuda M, Kobayashi J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 1999:1163.
7. (a) Ohi K, Shima K, Hamada K, Saito Y, Yamada N, Ohba S, Nishiyama S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn* 1998;71:2433. (b) Ohi K, Nishiyama S. *Synlett* 1999:573. (c) Ohi K, Nishiyama S. *Synlett* 1999:571. (d) Chakraborty TK, Thippeswamy D, Suresh VR, Jayaprakash S. *Chem. Lett* 1997:563. (e) Chakraborty TK, Thippeswamy D. *Synlett* 1999:150. (f) Chakraborty TK, Thippeswamy D, Jayaprakash S. *J. Ind. Chem. Soc* 1998;75:741. (g) Chakraborty TK, Suresh VR, Vayalakkada R. *Chem. Lett* 1997:565. (h) Eng HM, Myles DC. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:2275. (i) Eng HM, Myles DC. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:2279. (j) Lee D-H, Lee S-W. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:7909. (k) Lee D-H, Rho M-D. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc* 1998;19:386. (l) Lee D-H, Rho M-D. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2000;41:2573. (m) Zhang W, Carter RG, Yokochi AFT. *J. Org. Chem* 2004;69:2569. [PubMed: 15049660]
8. Mitsunobu O. *Synthesis* 1981:1.
9. Miyaoura N, Ishiyama T, Sasaki H, Ishikawa M, Satoh M, Suzuki A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1989;111:314.
10. Evans DA, Carter PH, Carreira EM, Charette AB, Prunet JA, Lautens M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1999;121:7540.
11. (a) Miyaoura M, Suzuki A. *Chem. Rev* 1995;95:2457. (b) Chemler SR, Trauner D, Danishefsky SJ. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed* 2001;40:4544.
12. Evans DA, Bartroli J, Godel T. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1982;23:4577.
13. Morimoto Y, Mikami A, Kuwabe S-i, Shirahama H. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1991;32:2909.
14. Flamme EM, Roush WR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2002;124:13644. [PubMed: 12431072]
15. Brown HC, Singaram B. *J. Org. Chem* 1984;49:945.
16. Hoveyda AH, Evans DA, Fu GC. *Chem. Rev* 1993;93:1370.
17. Trost BM, McEachern EJ, Toste FD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1998;120:12702.
18. Searles S, Li Y, Nassim B, Lopes M-TR, Tran PT, Crabbé P. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 1984:747.
19. Procopiou PA, Baugh SPD, Flack SS, Inglis GGA. *J. Org. Chem* 1998;63:2342.
20. Ishihara K, Kubota M, Kurihara H, Yamamoto H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1995;117:4413.
21. Horváth A, Bäckvall J-E. *J. Org. Chem* 2001;66:8120. [PubMed: 11722214]
22. This hypothesis is supported by our observation that the (*E*)-selectivity diminishes slightly as the bulk of R_L decreases (unpublished results).
23. Evans DA, Tregay SW, Burgey CS, Paras NA, Vojkovsky T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2000:7936.
24. Reich HJ, Eisenhart EK, Olson RE, Kelly MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1986;108:7791.
25. Moriya T, Furuuchi T, Miyaoura N, Suzuki A. *Tetrahedron* 1994;50:7961.
26. (a) Trost BM. *Acc. Chem. Res* 1980;13:385. Godleski, SA. Chapter 3.3. In: Trost, BM.; Fleming, I.; Shammellhack, MF., editors. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Vol. 4. Oxford: Pergamon; 1991.

Figure 1.
Stereochemical rationale for S_N2' reaction.

Scheme 1.
Retrosynthetic Analysis

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of Fragment 2

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of Fragment 3

Scheme 4.
Synthesis of Fragment 4

Scheme 5.
Stereoselective Synthesis of Stereodefined 1,3-Diene