Published in final edited form as:

J Evol Biol. 2008 May ; 21(3): 668–681.

Widespread unidirectional transfer of mitochondrial DNA: a case in western Palearctic water frogs

Jörg Plötner¹, Thomas Uzzell², Peter Beerli³, Christina Spolsky², Torsten Ohst¹, Spartak N. Litvinchuk⁴, Gaston-Denis Guex⁵, Heinz-Ulrich Reyer⁵, and Hansjürg Hotz^{1,5}

¹Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Museum für Naturkunde, Zoologie, Invalidenstrasse 43, 10115 Berlin, Germany ²Academy of Natural Sciences, Laboratory for Molecular Systematics and Ecology, 1900 B. F. Parkway, Philadelphia 19103, USA ³School of Computational Science and Department of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306-4120, USA ⁴Institute of Cytology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Tikhoretsky pr. 4, 194064 St. Petersburg, Russia ⁵Universität Zürich-Irchel, Zoologisches Institut, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

Interspecies transfer of mitochondrial (mt) DNA is a common phenomenon in plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates, normally linked with hybridization of closely related species in zones of sympatry or parapatry. In Central Europe, in an area north of 48° N latitude and between 08° and 22° E longitude, western Palearctic water frogs show massive unidirectional introgression of mtDNA: 33.7% of 407 *R. ridibunda* possessed mtDNA specific for *R. lessonae*. In contrast, no *R. lessonae* with *R. ridibunda* mtDNA was observed. That *R. ridibunda* with introgressed mitochondrial genomes were found exclusively within the range of the hybrid *R. esculenta* and that most hybrids had *lessonae* mtDNA (90.4% of 335 individuals investigated) is evidence that *R. esculenta* serves as a vehicle for transfer of *lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*. Such introgression has occurred several times independently. The abundance and wide distribution of individuals with introgressed mitochondrial genomes show that *R. lessonae* mt genomes work successfully in a *R. ridibunda* chromosomal background despite their high sequence divergence from *R. ridibunda* mtDNAs (14.2–15.2% in the ND2/ND3 genes). Greater effectiveness of enzymes encoded by *R. lessonae* mtDNA may be advantageous to individuals of *R. ridibunda* and probably *R. esculenta* in the northern parts of their ranges.

Keywords

clonal reproduction; hybridization; hybridogenesis; interspecies introgression; mtDNA; *Rana esculenta* complex

Introduction

Interspecies transfer of mitochondrial (mt) DNA has been detected in a variety of organisms, for example in flowering plants (e.g. Bergthorsson *et al.*, 2003), invertebrates (e.g. Croucher *et al.*, 2004; Abe *et al.*, 2005; Bachtrog *et al.*, 2006), and among vertebrates in fish (e.g. Yamada *et al.* 2001, Sullivan *et al.* 2004), amphibians (e.g. Babik *et al.*, 2005), birds (e.g. Dabrowski *et al.* 2005) and mammals (e.g. Ruedi *et al.*, 1997, Fredsted *et al.*, 2006). In most cases

introgressed mtDNA was found in very closely related species (especially in sister species) and was directly linked to interspecies hybridization in zones of sympatry or parapatry. Such genome transfer is always mediated by fertile or at least partially fertile hybrids that transmit their maternal mtDNA to the paternal gene pool via backcrosses with males of the paternal parental species. In some populations introduced foreign mtDNA can completely replace the resident mtDNA either through genetic drift or natural selection (Ballard & Whitlock, 2004). The detection and analysis of introgressive hybridization is not only important for taxonomic purposes and phylogenetic inference, it may also provide fundamental insights into evolutionary processes, especially for studying the role of nuclear/mitochondrial co-adaptation in speciation, including hybrid breakdown and reproductive isolation (e.g., Ballard & Whitlock, 2004; Burton *et al.*, 2006).

The western Palearctic water frog group offers significant opportunities to study these problems because it comprises several evolutionary species that are not reproductively isolated. There are large areas of sympatry in which fertile hybrids are formed (reviewed by Plötner, 2005). At least three of the known hybrid forms reproduce hemiclonally by a hybridogenetic (Schultz, 1969) gametogenesis: in their germ line one parental genome is excluded while the remaining one is endoreduplicated prior to meiosis (reviewed by Graf & Polls Pelaz, 1989; Plötner, 2005).

The best-studied hybrid form is *Rana esculenta* (genomic composition LR) which originated from natural crosses between *Rana lessonae* (LL) and *Rana ridibunda* (RR). In the germ line of this hybrid, one parental genome (usually L, sometimes R) is excluded and haploid gametes (ova or sperm) are produced that contain an intact, unrecombined genome of the other parental species (reviewed by Graf & Polls Pelaz, 1989; Plötner, 2005). Such hybrid lineages in most cases coexist with and reproductively depend on one parental species. Matings with that species replace the genome lost during gametogenesis and restore somatic hybridity in each hybrid generation. In the widespread and abundant *lessonae-esculenta* (L-E) system, in which both male and female hybrids occur, *R. esculenta* usually coexist, as sexual parasites, with *R. lessonae* and exclude the L genome prior to meiosis. In populations of the less frequent *ridibunda-esculenta* (R-E) system, in which hybrids are only males, they live together with *R. ridibunda* and usually exclude the R genome (Uzzell & Berger, 1975; Uzzell *et al.*, 1977; Günther & Plötner, 1988). In addition to diploid LR hybrids, some water frog populations, especially in north-eastern Germany and north-western Poland, contain triploid (3N) hybrids (Günther, 1975, 1983; Rybacki & Berger, 2001; Plötner, 2005) that normally have either two *R. lessonae* genomes and one *R. ridibunda* genome (genotype LLR) or one *R. lessonae* genome and two *R. ridibunda* genomes (genotype RRL). They usually exclude the rarer genome and form unrecombined haploid gametes (Günther *et al.*, 1979; Günther, 1983; Berger & Günther, 1988; Vinogradov *et al.*, 1991).

For size-related behavioral reasons, natural primary hybridizations between *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae* occur between the large females of *R. ridibunda* and the small males of *R. lessonae* rather than the reciprocal (Berger, 1970; Borkin *et al.*, 1979). As in most vertebrates, frog mtDNA is inherited strictly from the mother, so all newly formed *R. esculenta* lineages contain *R. ridibunda* mtDNA. In the L-E population system, most matings take place between *R. esculenta* females and *R. lessonae* males, but successful matings occur also in the reciprocal combination (Blankenhorn, 1977; Lengagne, *et al.* 2006). Each such mating between an *R. lessonae* female and an *R. esculenta* male generates *R. esculenta* lineages with *R. lessonae* mtDNA. In the absence of the parental species *R. ridibunda*, such a transfer of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into a hybridogenetic lineage is irreversible, and indeed, most hemiclonal hybrids of the L-E system contain mtDNA of their local host parental species rather than *R. ridibunda* mtDNA, a result of such occasional matings of host females with hybrid males (Spolsky & Uzzell 1986). With repeated formation of *R. esculenta* lineages containing *R. lessonae* mtDNA

and chance losses of lineages containing *R. ridibunda* mtDNA, all hybrid individuals in L-E system populations come to have *R. lessonae* mtDNA. When such hemiclonally-reproducing hybrid lineages occur within the range of *R. ridibunda*, however, they provide a vehicle for interspecific introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*. Matings between female *R. esculenta* with *R. lessonae* mtDNA and male *R. ridibunda* (or *R. esculenta*) lead to *R. ridibunda* progeny of both sexes (or females only) with *R. lessonae* mtDNA (Spolsky & Uzzell, 1984; Hotz *et al.*, 1992).

Three geographical ranges are relevant to the introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*: (1) The area of sympatry of *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*, because it defines the region where primary hybridizations between them can occur. Such sympatry presently exists from northern Ukraine and western Russia to eastern Austria and central Germany (Günther 1990; 1997a,b). (2) The area in which *R. ridibunda* genomes in hybrids between *R. lessonae* and *R. ridibunda* lead to germ line exclusion of the L genome and thus found hemiclonally-reproducing hybrid lineages rather than non-hybridogenetic sterile F1 hybrids. Here, *R. esculenta* lineages can constitute vehicles for the introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*. This area currently comprises most parts of the sympatry region (Central and eastern Europe), but excludes an eastern zone from northern Ukraine to the Danube delta in eastern Romania. In this zone and in more southern parts of the *R. ridibunda* range, the *R. ridibunda* genome does not induce hemiclonal gametogenesis in hybrids with *R. lessonae* (cf. Hotz *et al.*, 1985; Bucci *et al.*, 1990; Günther *et al.*, 1991, Berger *et al.*, 1994, unpublished data). (3) Areas in which the sex ratio in *R. esculenta* hinders regular matings between female *R. lessonae* and male *R. esculenta*; such matings are necessary to transfer *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. esculenta* lineages and via these lineages into *R. ridibunda*. Whereas *R. esculenta* lineages in most parts of Central Europe contain both sexes, usually with a slight excess of females, they consist almost exclusively (~97%) of females in many populations of the Pannonian Basin (eastern Austria, northern Bosnia and Herzegovina, northeastern Croatia, Hungary, northern Serbia, southern lowlands of Slovakia, northeastern Slovenia, western Romania, and Ukraine west of the Carpathian Mountains; Berger *et al.*, 1988; Gubányi, 1992; Morozov-Leonov *et al.*, 2003; unpublished data), and in the Rostov Province of Russia (Borkin *et al.*, 2006).

We examined mtDNA of water frogs from 194 localities throughout and beyond the sympatry area of *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*, determining species origin and haplotype of mtDNA. We asked how often and where the introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda* occurred, and addressed the geographic relation of the region of *R. lessonae* mtDNA introgressed into *R. ridibunda* with (1) the sympatry area of *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*; (2) the area in which hybrids reproduce hemiclonally; and (3) the area in which hybrids are almost exclusively females.

Material and Methods

Sampling, taxon designation, and ploidy determination

Localities of 407 *R. ridibunda*, 117 *R. lessonae*, 335 *R. esculenta*, and two individuals of uncertain identification (*R. lessonae* or *R. esculenta*) are listed in Appendix S1 (Supplementary Material online). We use the name *R. ridibunda* for individuals distributed in Europe, and include frogs currently known as *Rana kurtmuelleri*. Unpublished molecular data suggest that individuals from the type locality of *R. ridibunda* (north coast of the Caspian Sea) may not be conspecific with individuals from Europe, in which case the oldest available name for the European frogs is *R. fortis* Boulenger, 1884 (Dubois & Ohler, 1994). Except for two individuals from France (localities 31 and 33), for which we used DNA sequences for haplotype comparisons and sequence statistics, *R. ridibunda* from France and Switzerland were not considered because all lake frog populations in these countries trace back to individuals

introduced not only from other European regions but also from Anatolia (Grossenbacher, 1988; Pagano *et al.*, 2003; Plötner & Ohst, unpublished results).

Specimens were assigned to taxa on the basis of their external morphology; Günther (1990) and Plötner (2005) described diagnostic characters. Ploidy was determined by measurements of erythrocytes based on the finding that triploid (3N) erythrocytes are on average 30% larger than diploid (2N) ones (Uzzell & Berger, 1975; Günther, 1977). The kind of mtDNA present in all individuals in our sample was determined by one or more methods: sequencing of ND2, ND3, and parts of 12S rRNA gene, endonuclease restriction of whole mtDNA, *AluI* restriction of a PCR-amplified segment of the ND2 gene. Our sequence data are supplemented by published restriction fragment analyses (Spolsky & Uzzell, 1984, 1986).

DNA extraction, PCR conditions, sequencing

Genomic DNA was isolated from blood, muscle and liver tissue using QIAmp blood and tissue kits (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany) according to the company's protocols. Before DNA isolation, ethanol preserved material was soaked in three changes of distilled water over a 48 h period. Double stranded amplification and cycle sequencing of mtDNA (1038 bp of ND2, 340 bp of ND3, 374 bp of 12S rDNA) were carried out as described in Appendix S2 (Supplementary Material online). The sequenced sites correspond to positions 6546–7583 (ND2), 12089–12428 (ND3), and 3156–3528 (12S) in the genome of *Rana nigromaculata* (AB043889, Sumida *et al.*, 2001). Amplified DNA was purified using QIAquick PCR purification kits (QIAGEN). A Big Dye Ready Reaction Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used to perform the sequencing reaction. Electrophoresis and detection of fluorescent dye labelled nucleotide fragments was done with an automated DNA sequencer (ABI Prism 377). All sequences were deposited in the EMBL Nucleotide Sequence Database under the accession numbers listed in Appendix S1 (Supplementary Material online).

Restriction fragment analysis (RFA)

Isolation and purification of mtDNA followed standard methods (Spolsky & Uzzell, 1984, 1986). Approximately 5–10 ng of each DNA were digested to completion with 19 different restriction enzymes (*AvaI*, *BamHI*, *BclI*, *BglII*, *EcoRI*, *EcoRV*, *HaeII*, *HincII*, *HindIII*, *HpaI*, *KpnI*, *MluI*, *PstI*, *PvuII*, *SalI*, *SmaI*, *SstI*, *XbaI*, *XhoI*) under conditions recommended by the supplier (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals, Bethesda Research Laboratories, or New England Biolabs). Resulting DNA fragments were end-labeled with a mixture of four α -³²P-triphosphate deoxynucleosides (adenine, cytosine, guanine, and tyrosine). Restriction-enzyme-fragment patterns were determined from autoradiographs after electrophoresis through horizontal 1% agarose or vertical 3.5–4% polyacrylamide gels. For each gel, fragment sizes were estimated from mobilities of size standards (λ and PM2 DNAs, each cut with *HindIII* for agarose gels; ϕ X174 cut with *HincII* and pBR322 cut with *AluI* for polyacrylamide gels).

AluI restriction of ND2 PCR products

Mitochondrial ND2 sequences of 287 western Palearctic water frogs representing at least ten evolutionary species were analyzed with the online tool WEB CUTTER (www.medkem.gu.se/cutter) to find specific restriction sites that allow differentiation between *R. lessonae* and *R. ridibunda* mtDNAs. One *AluI* restriction site (AG↓CT) spanning positions 633–636 was detected in each of the 44 *R. ridibunda* ND2 sequences, in seven ND2 sequences of *R. bedriagae* from the Near East (western Syria, Jordan, Nile delta) and in nine of *R. cretensis* but not in the ND2 sequences of 56 *R. lessonae* or any of other western Palearctic water frogs (unpublished results). To distinguish between *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae* specific mitochondrial genomes only a 770 bp long mtDNA segment that contained this restriction site was amplified using the primers L2 and H2 (Appendix S2, Supplementary Material online). 2

μl (20 units) *AluI* (Boehringer Mannheim [BM] or Angewandte Gentechnologie Systeme GmbH [AGS]), 2 μl supplied incubation buffer (BM incubation buffer A or AGS buffer violet), and 1 μl double distilled water were added to 15 μl of the solution that contained the PCR product. After incubation for 2 h at 37 °C, the fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a horizontal 2% agarose gel. *R. ridibunda*-specific mtDNA was cut into two fragments with lengths of 319 bp and 451 bp, whereas mtDNA of *R. lessonae* remained undigested as evidenced by a single band in the gel.

Data analysis

Mitochondrial DNA sequences were initially aligned using CLUSTAL V (Higgins *et al.*, 1992). Subsequently, alignments were improved manually. They are available from the EMBL align database under the accession number ALIGN_001211. For sequence statistics the programs MEGA 3.1 (Kumar *et al.*, 2004) and DnaSP 4.10.9 (Rozas *et al.*, 2003) were used. We calculated the total number of transitions, transversions, and amino acid substitutions, the nucleotide diversity (π), and uncorrected p distances (a simple measure of sequence divergence). We applied Tajima's D-test (Tajima, 1989) to investigate departures from selective neutrality at the ND2 and ND3 genes in both *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*, and a McDonald-Kreitman (MK) test (McDonald & Kreitman, 1991) to test for neutrality in interspecies sequence divergence. The neutrality index (NI) was calculated using Rand & Kann's (1996) formula. The restriction profiles were analyzed by calculating the amount of sequence divergence from the fraction of restriction fragments shared by a pair of mtDNAs (Nei & Li, 1979), using Upholt's (1977) formula.

Mitochondrial haplotype genealogies were estimated from complete ND2 and ND3 sequences with maximum likelihood (PAUP 4.0b10: Swofford, 2003) and Bayesian algorithms (MrBayes 3.1.2: Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003; Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2005). For both maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference, the general time reversible model with corrections for invariant characters and gamma distributed rate heterogeneity (GTR+I+G) was applied as recommended by Huelsenbeck & Rannala (2004). Model parameters were estimated from the data set. For MrBayes, the posterior probabilities of phylogenetic trees were calculated by employing a 10^7 -generation Metropolis-coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (4 chains, chain-temperature parameter=0.2) as implemented in the program. Trees were sampled every 1000 generations; the first 1000 recorded trees were discarded; a total of 9000 recorded trees was examined. The autocorrelation behavior of MrBayes was explored with program-internal statistics and the tool AWTY (<http://ceb.fsu.edu/awty>; Nylander *et al.*, 2007). Both analyses suggested convergence of the split frequencies (standard deviation after 3500 recorded trees was lower than 0.01). The convergence of the model parameter estimates was checked using the potential scale reduction factor calculated in MrBayes: the limit of the statistic of 1.0 was reached for all model parameters.

For the phylogenetic analysis we augmented the *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae* sequences with sequences of *R. epeirotica* and *R. cretensis* as an outgroup, and *R. shqiperica*, the presumptive sister species of *R. lessonae* sensu lato. This allowed a consistent rooting of the haplotypes for *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae* on the tree.

To analyze the effects of location (longitude, latitude) on haplotype composition in *R. esculenta* and on the introgression rate of *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*, we calculated the relative frequency (f_i) of *R. lessonae*-specific haplotypes (including haplotypes of the Italian *R. lessonae* stock) for each population with sample size larger than one. We performed simple and multiple regression analyses with f_i as the dependent variable and latitude and longitude as independent variables using the software packet Statgraphics Plus 4.1 (Statistical Graphics Corp.). To judge the relative magnitude of the residuals with respect to the explanatory power of the selected independent variables, a component-plus-residuals plot

(Wood, 1973) was made. In the regression analyses we also incorporated data published by (Spolsky & Uzzell 1984, 1986) and Monnerot *et al.* (1985) (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material online).

Results

Inter- and intraspecific variation of mtDNA

Both mitochondrial sequences (ND2, ND3, 12S rDNA) and digest profiles of whole mtDNAs revealed clear differences between *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*. Interspecific comparisons of the 12S rRNA gene segments yielded substitution numbers between 9 and 12, which correspond to sequence divergences of 2.4% and 3.2%, respectively (Plötner & Ohst, 2001). The interspecific differences in the less conserved ND2+ND3 sequences are about five to six times higher than in the 12S rRNA gene segment. Nevertheless, because there are at least nine substitutions, the species-specificity of mtDNA could be clearly determined on the basis of this gene segment.

The *R. ridibunda* ND2+ND3 sequences contained 38 (2.8%) variable sites (31 in ND2, seven in ND3) defining 19 haplotypes (Table 1, Fig. 1); 31 (2.3%) sites were parsimony informative. In both genes almost all nucleotide substitutions were transitions, most of them at the third codon position; only three were found in the first position (two in ND2, one in ND3) and two in the second position (both in ND2). Only five substitutions resulted in amino acid replacements (four in ND2, one in ND3). Nucleotide diversity (π) amounted to 0.007 ± 0.0005 . Genetic distance ranged from 0.07 to 1.6% among *R. ridibunda*-specific haplotypes with an overall nucleotide diversity of 0.74%.

In the ND2+ND3 sequences of *R. lessonae*, the intraspecific variability is about 2.5 times lower than in *R. ridibunda*. Intraspecific p distances ranged between 0.1 and 0.7% with an average of 0.3%. Only 24 variable sites (1.7%) were found (21 in ND2, three in ND3); almost all substitutions were transitions at the first (10) or third (11) codon position (Table 2). Seven sites (0.5%) were parsimony informative. Twelve amino acid replacements were observed caused by eleven substitutions in the first codon position and one substitution in the second codon position. A π -value of 0.00187 ± 0.00033 was calculated.

A total of 17 *R. lessonae*-specific haplotypes could be distinguished (Table 2), which represent three main clusters as supported by the results of maximum likelihood (data not shown) and Bayesian analysis (Fig. 1). Cluster 1 comprises the very closely related haplotypes L1–L12: cluster 2 consists of only one haplotype (L13), which perhaps represents an ancestral state within the *R. lessonae* clade; and cluster 3 includes haplotypes L14–L17, which differ from the other *R. lessonae*-specific haplotypes by at least four substitutions (three in ND2, one in ND3).

The digest profiles of *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae* mtDNAs were described in detail by (Spolsky & Uzzell 1984, 1986). The proportion of fragments shared by both species ranged between 0.256 and 0.258, which corresponds to sequence differences of $8.1 \pm 1.0\%$ and $8.2 \pm 1.0\%$, respectively. In the ND2 gene, *R. ridibunda* differed from *R. lessonae* in at least 134 sites (12.9%); in the ND3 gene a minimum of 48 substitutions (14.1%) were detected. The sequence divergence (p distance) obtained as a result of interspecific comparisons of the complete ND2+ND3 sequences ranged between 14.2% and 15.2%.

Compared to the 36 mitochondrial haplotypes differentiated on the basis of ND2+ND3 sequences (Table 1 and 2), only three types of mtDNAs (A–C) were detected by restriction fragment patterns of total mtDNA (Spolsky & Uzzell, 1984). Type A was found in *R. ridibunda* and *R. esculenta* but not in *R. lessonae* and thus was considered as *R. ridibunda*-

specific. Type A probably contains several of the 19 ND2+ND3 haplotypes; because there are no differences in the recognition sites in the ND2 and ND3 genes of *R. ridibunda* for the restriction enzymes used by Spolsky & Uzzell, the ND2+ND3 sequence diversity would not have been revealed in their study.

Types B and C, which were considered as *R. lessonae*-specific, showed a sequence divergence of only 0.3%, consistent with the p distances obtained from intraspecific comparisons of the ND2 and ND3 sequences (0.1–0.7%). One *Bam*HI restriction site (G↓GATCC) was found in the ND3 gene (positions 1165–1170) of haplotypes L1–L12 and L13, but not in haplotypes L14–L17. An assignment of single haplotypes to a *R. lessonae*-specific fragment pattern type, however, is not possible. *Bam*HI cuts mtDNA of types B and C into three fragments with sizes of 8,000, 6,400, and 5,600 bp (type B) and 11,500, 8,000 and 470 bp (type C), respectively. Because a *Bam*HI restriction site exists in all the *R. lessonae* ND3 sequences except for haplotypes L14–L17, these cleavage patterns suggest that one of the restriction sites responsible for the 8,000 bp fragment in types B and C patterns is in the ND3 gene. If so, haplotypes L14–L17 represent neither type B nor C, but an additional, less frequent, *R. lessonae*-specific haplotype that is probably identical to type LC described by Monnerot *et al.* (1985) on the basis of the digest profiles of *Bam*HI, *Eco*RI, and *Pst*II.

Spolsky & Uzzell (1986) also described pattern type D, which is characteristic of Italian water frogs but was also found in *R. lessonae* and *R. esculenta* from Switzerland (Hotz *et al.*, 1992) and southern Germany (Ohst & Plötner, unpublished results). The sequence divergence, calculated from shared restriction fragments between the mtDNAs of *R. lessonae* (B and C) and type D (3.4%), are consistent with distance values obtained on the basis of the ND2+ND3 sequences (3.8–5.0%, mean: 4.4±0.28%).

Tests of neutrality

Tajima's D-test, which compares the number of segregating sites per site with the nucleotide diversity, revealed no departure from a neutral model of sequence evolution within either *R. ridibunda* ($D = -1.62$; $0.10 > P > 0.05$) or *R. lessonae* ($D = 0.42$; $P > 0.10$). For ND2 and ND3 together, we observed 43 synonymous substitutions and 17 nonsynonymous substitutions within the two species, and 26 amino acid replacements and 156 silent fixed differences between them. The ratios of nonsynonymous to synonymous substitutions within and between species are significantly different from each other (Fisher's exact test, two tailed: $P = 0.02$, G-test: $G = 5.64$; $P = 0.02$). A NI of 2.37 indicates an excess of amino acid polymorphism, which is consistent with the hypothesis that most segregating functional variants are deleterious and thus underlying purifying selection. If ND2 and ND3 are considered separately, the NI-values are 1.96 and 5.75, respectively. There is, however, no significant departure from neutrality (ND2: Fisher's exact test, two tailed: $P = 0.10$, $G = 3.03$, $P = 0.08$; ND3: Fisher's exact test, two tailed: $P = 0.13$, $G = 2.48$; $P = 0.12$).

Geographical distribution of haplotypes

In *R. ridibunda*, 16 specific haplotypes were found at single localities; only three (R2, R13, R17) were found at two or more localities (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material online). Haplotypes R1–R11 occur in *R. ridibunda* from the Balkan peninsular (Greece and Albania). Haplotype R13 was found in southern, southeastern, and Central Europe. Haplotype R17 is the most common and characteristic haplotype of Central European *R. ridibunda*.

Among the *R. lessonae*-specific haplotypes, three (L1, L2, L17) were found at different localities (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material online). L2, the most common haplotype of *R. lessonae*, is distributed from southeastern Europe (Danube delta), over Central Europe to Scandinavia. The closely related haplotypes L1 and L3–L12 occur in Central and northern

Europe. The less frequent haplotypes L13–L17 were found in northern Italy (L13), in southeastern Europe (L14), and in Central and eastern Europe (L15–L17).

Interspecific genome transfer and haplotype composition in *R. esculenta*

Of 407 *R. ridibunda*, 137 (33.7%) possessed mtDNA specific for *R. lessonae*. The introgressed genomes represent at least six different haplotypes (L1, L2, L8, L10, L12, L15), three of them (L8, L12, L15) observed only in *R. ridibunda*, two (L1, L10) in both *R. ridibunda* and *R. esculenta*, and one (L2) in all three water frog forms. In contrast to *R. ridibunda*, introgression of *R. ridibunda*-specific mtDNA has not been detected in *R. lessonae*.

Of 335 *R. esculenta*, the majority (90.4%) possessed mtDNA characteristic of *R. lessonae* or the Italian taxon (*R. lessonae sensu lato*); haplotypes specific for the Italian *R. lessonae* stock represented 6.6% of these. Only 9.6% of the *R. esculenta* contained *R. ridibunda*-specific mtDNA, represented by haplotypes R13 and (according to ND3 data only) probably R17. All triploid individuals had only *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material Online).

R. ridibunda individuals with *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA are restricted to Central Europe whereas all individuals examined from southern and eastern Europe (south of 48° N latitude, east of 22° E longitude) possessed exclusively *R. ridibunda*-specific mtDNA (Fig. 2). This pattern is expressed in a statistically significant relationship between the frequency of individuals with *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA (f_l) and the geographical coordinates at the 99% confidence level in both *R. ridibunda* and *R. esculenta* (Table 3 and Appendix S3, Supplementary Material online). The fitted multiple linear regression models explain 46.3% of the variability in f_l for *R. ridibunda* and 44.5% for *R. esculenta*. There is a moderately strong negative correlation of f_l with longitude under a linear regression model in *R. ridibunda* ($r = -0.55$, ANOVA: F-ratio=32.16, d.f.=75, $P=0$) and in *R. esculenta* ($r = -0.57$, ANOVA: F-ratio=33.53, d.f.=71, $P=0$); and a significant positive correlation between f_l and latitude in both forms (*R. ridibunda*: $r = 0.56$, F-ratio=33.72, d.f.=75, $P=0$; *R. esculenta*: $r = 0.41$, F-ratio=13.81, d.f.=71, $P=0.0004$).

Discussion

Patterns of genome transfer

In western Palaearctic water frogs, introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda* is closely related to the occurrence of the hybridogenetic hybrid *R. esculenta*. *R. ridibunda* individuals with introgressed *R. lessonae* mtDNA are restricted to Central Europe north of 48° N latitude and between 8° and 22° E longitude. In this area, hybridogenetic hybrids mainly live syntopically with a parental species in either *lessonae-esculenta* (L-E) or *ridibunda-esculenta* (R-E) populations (reviewed by Plötner, 2005). In eastern Europe (especially Russia and Ukraine), *R. ridibunda* also co-occurs with hybridogenetic *R. esculenta*, but in this region, introgression of mitochondrial genomes has not been observed. In contrast to Central European populations, where hybrids are both diploid (LR) and triploid (LLR, RRL), almost all *R. esculenta* in eastern Europe are diploid (Borkin *et al.*, 1986; Rybacki & Berger, 2001; Borkin *et al.*, 2004, 2006 described exceptions). The observed geographical patterns of mtDNA introgression, in concert with the uniform presence of *R. lessonae* mtDNA in triploid individuals and the geographical distribution patterns of triploids (Rybacki & Berger, 2001) suggest that the *R. lessonae* mitochondrial genome was, or is, transferred into *R. ridibunda* not only by LR females but also, at least in some populations, via triploid females. The occurrence in *R. ridibunda* of different *R. lessonae*-specific haplotypes that belong to distinct well-defined clades shows that the genome transfer traces back to several independent transmission events, although it is possible that each of these *R. lessonae* haplotypes seen in *R. ridibunda* was

introgressed many times independently. Because some of the more divergent haplotypes have not been observed in *R. lessonae* itself, it is possible that they result from pre-Holocene introgression events.

Four of 38 *R. ridibunda* (10.5%) examined from the Pannonian Basin possessed *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA, which shows that genome introgression takes place despite the scarcity of hybrid males (Berger *et al.*, 1988; Gubányi, 1992; Morozov-Leonov *et al.*, 2003). The unexpectedly high proportion of LR individuals with *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA (11 of 23 *R. esculenta*; 48%) seems, however, to contradict the comparatively low introgression rate observed in Pannonian *R. ridibunda*. Crosses between diploid hybrid males (LR) and *R. lessonae* females are a precondition for the formation of LR females with *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA that probably act as vehicles for the introgression of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda*. In contrast to our expectation, such hybrid females have been found, for example, in Hungary (locality 88: one of four individuals) and Slovakia (locality 154 and 156: four of five individuals; Appendix S1, Supplementary Material online). Obviously, crosses between *R. ridibunda* males and LR females with *R. lessonae* mtDNA are rare, possibly because of specific mate recognition mechanisms, or do not result in fertile RR progeny.

Presumptive selective forces and mitochondrial-nuclear coadaptation

Whether sequence variation in mtDNA is selectively neutral or under selective constraints is still controversial. In our data set the overall genetic variability in the ND2+ND3 genes as measured by nucleotide substitutions is higher in *R. ridibunda*, but amino acid substitutions, caused mainly by mutations in the first codon position, are about two times higher in *R. lessonae*. As indicated by the results of the neutrality tests this difference may reflect purifying selection rather than adaptation, supporting the hypothesis that most segregating functional variants are deleterious and rarely contribute to divergence (e.g., Meiklejohn *et al.*, 2007). Our data do not corroborate the assumption of Bazin *et al.* (2006) that population size does not influence genetic diversity in mtDNA. The higher genetic variability in *R. ridibunda* mtDNA is instead consistent with the hypothesis that mtDNA diversity and population size are positively related in animals (e.g., Mulligan *et al.*, 2006). *R. ridibunda* has a distribution about 0.5 times larger than that of *R. lessonae* (e.g., Plötner 2005) and based on empirical field data and measured heterozygosity of nuclear loci (Litvinchuk, unpublished), probably has a larger effective population size. This problem needs further investigation, however, particularly because it is possible that the measured genetic variability is influenced by sample biases linked with our concept of species delineation.

Individuals of both *R. esculenta* and *R. ridibunda* with *R. lessonae* mtDNA may have an adaptive advantage rather than disadvantage, especially in their northern ranges, despite possible disruption of nuclear/mitochondrial protein coadaptation in *R. ridibunda* with introgressed *R. lessonae* mtDNA. In particular, the O₂/CO₂ metabolism of introgressed compared to non-introgressed individuals, both as larvae and after metamorphosis, may be significant. Under hypoxic conditions, larvae of *R. ridibunda* develop less well than larvae of *R. lessonae* (Plenet *et al.*, 2000a,b). If *R. lessonae* mitochondria are more effective than *R. ridibunda* mitochondria under hypoxic conditions, and if this difference depends on enzymes that are encoded in part by the mitochondrial genome, *R. ridibunda* larvae with *R. lessonae*-specific mtDNA may be less sensitive to oxygen deficiency caused by hypertrophic conditions or pollution than “normal” *R. ridibunda* larvae. For metamorphosed *R. ridibunda*, the type of mitochondrial DNA may also play a role in their survival during hibernation. Because *R. ridibunda* hibernates exclusively beneath the water surface (Berger, 1982), it is exposed to an oxygen deficiency especially if the waters are covered with an ice sheet for a long period of time. Such condition can lead to a high mortality or even extermination of whole populations (Berger, 1982). Under reduced oxygen pressure, the survival time of *R. lessonae* metamorphs

was higher than those of *R. ridibunda* metamorphs (Tunner & Nopp, 1979; Lutschinger, 1988), which also demonstrates that *R. ridibunda* is more sensitive to oxygen deficiency than *R. lessonae*.

The large divergence between both the nuclear and the mt genomes of *R. lessonae* and *R. ridibunda* (the modified Nei distances calculated on the basis of 31 protein coding loci varied between 0.39 and 0.60; Beerli *et al.*, 1996) suggests that intergenomic coadaptation would be strongly disrupted when *R. lessonae* mtDNAs are introgressed into *R. ridibunda*. Because more than 90% of mitochondrial proteins are encoded in the nucleus, intergenomic protein coadaptation is assumed to be directly correlated with fitness (e.g., Burton *et al.*, 2006; Rand *et al.*, 2006; Gusdon *et al.*, 2007). The extent of transfer of *R. lessonae* mtDNA into *R. ridibunda* (33.7%) suggests that the presence of *R. lessonae* mtDNA does not significantly influence mitochondrial–nuclear interaction and hence, the fitness of this species, despite large divergence between the mitochondrial genomes of *R. lessonae* and *R. ridibunda*. It is possible, of course, that disruption of nuclear/mitochondrial protein coadaptation in water frogs with introgressed mtDNA is variable in its significance. The nature of this nuclear-mtDNA epistasis is not clear, however.

Although the possibility that introgressed *R. lessonae* mtDNA genomes provide an adaptive advantage to northern *R. ridibunda* (and perhaps also to northern *R. esculenta*) is a tempting hypothesis to be tested, there is, in clonal mole salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma*, a striking case of “introgressed” mitochondrial genomes in which the phylogenetic divergence between the nuclear genomes of the normal host species and the phylogenetically closest possible source of the mitochondrial sequences is enormous. Here, an almost invariant mitochondrial genome (Spolsky *et al.*, 1992; Spolsky & Uzzell, unpublished) exists independently for perhaps 50–105 years (Spolsky *et al.*, 1992; Hedges *et al.*, 1992) within the widespread triploid taxa *Ambystoma platineum* and *A. tremblayi* (Uzzell, 1964) of the subgenus *Ambystoma*, although the mitochondrial sequence itself apparently is derived from *A. barbouri* (Robertson *et al.*, 2006) of the distantly related subgenus *Linguaelapsus*. If strong coadaptation between proteins encoded by the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes of a taxon or population is a general rule and the disruption of this coadaptation by hybridization a frequent source of postzygotic incompatibility between taxa (Burton *et al.*, 2006), the mitochondrial genomes of clonal *Ambystoma* must possess remarkable properties. What these might be for clonal *Ambystoma* is completely unknown, but at least for the frogs a plausible though not yet tested hypothesis can be proposed.

Biogeographic implications

Provided that the mt ND2 and ND3 genes of western Palearctic water frogs diverge at about 2.0–2.5% per Myr (estimated from the sequence divergence in these two genes between *R. cretensis* and Anatolian water frogs, which are thought to have been separated for about 5 My, Beerli *et al.* 1996), the divergence between different haplotypes within both *R. ridibunda* (maximum distance value 1.6%) and *R. lessonae* (maximum distance value 0.7%) indicates that much of the early intraspecific differentiation took place prior to the most recent glacial cycle. During the Würm glaciation, presumptive refuges are the southern Balkans (especially the Peloponnisos and adjacent areas) for *R. ridibunda* and the western (and probably northern) Black Sea region (for both *R. ridibunda* and *R. lessonae*). Haplotypes R1–R9 (the Greek *ridibunda* lineage sensu stricto) remained more or less confined to their potential refuge and nearby regions, resulting in a much smaller current range than other haplotypes (for example R13 and R17), which probably underwent rapid northward expansion with the advent of Holocene warming. Similar scenarios have been suggested for the European pond turtle, *Emys orbicularis* (Lenk *et al.*, 1999), the newt species *Triturus vulgaris* (Babik *et al.*, 2005), the fire-

bellied toad *Bombina bombina* (Hofmann *et al.*, 2007) and the grasshopper *Chorthippus parallelus* (Hewitt, 2001).

Because of climatic oscillations, the post-Würm colonization of Central Europe probably involved a sequence of range expansions followed by contractions and attendant reductions in population sizes (bottlenecks) that may have reduced genetic diversity in the northern populations. Such reductions might be lower in cold-tolerant taxa (Taberlet *et al.*, 1998, Hewitt, 2004). This hypothesis is supported by the immunological (Uzzell, 1979, 1983), mitochondrial, and ecological data obtained in Central and northern European water frog populations. Based on a comparison of the distribution ranges (*R. lessonae* goes farther north than *R. ridibunda*), the altitude distribution of water frog species (*R. lessonae* populates habitats up to 1,000 m above sea level while *R. ridibunda* is restricted to the lowlands; Günther, 1990, 1997a,b), and the results of preliminary ecological experiments that showed a higher hatching success of *R. lessonae* larvae at temperatures below 13 °C (Günther, 1974), it seems probable that *R. ridibunda* is a rather cold sensitive species that recolonized the deglaciated northern parts of Europe later than *R. lessonae*. The amount of genetic variability observed in the mtDNA of *R. lessonae* (15 haplotypes) and *R. ridibunda* (only two haplotypes) from Central and northern Europe corresponds well with this assumption.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Bradley Anholt and two anonymous reviewers for constructive and critical comments on the manuscript. For providing water frog samples, we thank Martina Arioli and Christian Jakob (Zürich), Rainer Günther (Berlin), Petr Kotlík (Libeňov), Peter Mikulíček (Prague), Andreas Nöllert (Jena), Ulrich Scheidt (Erfurt), and Dirk Schmeller (Leipzig). Tissue samples from Greece were made available by the Greek Ministry of Rural Development and Food, kindly mediated by Doris Tippmann (Embassy of the FRG, Athens). Grateful thanks are given to Robert Schreiber, Kai-Joachim Schultze, and Ronny Knop (Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin), and Alexandra Schulmeister (University Bergen, Norway) for technical assistance. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grants PL 213/3-1, 3-2, 3-3) and the Swiss National Fund (grants 31-37579.93, 31-59144.99, 31-103903/1, and 31-64004.00). Peter Beerli was partly supported by the joint NSF/NIGMS Mathematical Biology program under NIH grant R01 GM 078985. Spartak N. Litvinchuk was partly supported by a DAAD grant under A/03/06782.

References

- Abe TA, Spence JR, Sperling FAH. Mitochondrial introgression is restricted relative to nuclear markers in a water strider (Hemiptera: Gerridae) hybrid zone. *Can. J. Zool* 2005;83:432–444.
- Babik W, Branicki W, Crnobrnja-Isailović J, Cogălniceanu D, Sas I, Olgun K, Poyarkov HA, Garcia-París M, Arntzen JW. Phylogeography of two European newt species – discordance between mtDNA and morphology. *Mol. Ecol* 2005;14:2475–2491. [PubMed: 15969729]
- Bachtrog D, Thornton K, Clark A, Andolfatto P. Extensive introgression of mitochondrial DNA relative to nuclear genes in the *Drosophila yakuba* species group. *Evolution* 2006;60:292–302. [PubMed: 16610321]
- Ballard JWO, Whitlock MC. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. *Mol. Ecol* 2004;13:729–744. [PubMed: 15012752]
- Bazin E, Glémin S, Galtier N. Population size does not influence mitochondrial genetic diversity in animals. *Science* 2006;312:570–572. [PubMed: 16645093]
- Beerli P, Hotz H, Uzzell T. Geologically dated sea barriers calibrate a protein clock for Aegean water frogs. *Evolution* 1996;50:1676–1687.
- Berger L. Some characteristics of the crosses within *Rana esculenta* complex in postlarval development. *Ann. Zool* 1970;27:373–416.
- Berger L. Hibernation of the European water frogs. *Zoologica Poloniae* 1982;29:187–202.

- Berger L, Günther R. Genetic composition and reproduction of water frog populations (*Rana kl. esculenta* Synklepton) near nature reserve Serrahn, GDR. Archiv Naturschutz u. Landschaftsf., Berlin 1988;28:265–280.
- Berger L, Uzzell T, Hotz H. Sex determination and sex ratios in western Palearctic water frogs: XX and XY female hybrids in the Pannonian Basin? Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila 1988;140:220–239.
- Berger L, Uzzell T, Hotz H. Postzygotic reproductive isolation between Mendelian species of European water frogs. Zoologica Poloniae 1994;39:209–242.
- Berghthorsson U, Adams KL, Thomason B, Palmer JD. Widespread horizontal transfer of mitochondrial genes in flowering plants. Nature 2003;424:197–201. [PubMed: 12853958]
- Blankenhorn, HJ. Reproduction and mating behavior in *Rana lessonae*-*Rana esculenta* mixed populations. In: Taylor, DH.; Guttman, SI., editors. The Reproductive Biology of Amphibians. New York & London: Plenum Press; 1977. p. 389-410.
- Borkin LJ, Garanin WI, Tichenko NT, Zaune IA. Some results in the green frogs survey in the USSR. Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 1979;55:153–170.
- Borkin, LJ.; Zaune, IA.; Pikulik, MM.; Sokolova, TM. Distribution and structure of green frog complex in the USSR. In: Roček, Z., editor. Studies in Herpetology. 1986. p. 675-678. Prague
- Borkin LJ, Lada GA, Litvinchuk SN, Melnikov DA, Rosanov JM. The first record of mass triploidy in hybridogenic green frog *Rana esculenta* in Russia (Rostov Oblast). Russ. J. Herpetology 2006;13:77–82.
- Borkin LJ, Korshunov AV, Lada GA, Litvinchuk SN, Rosanov JM, Shabanov DA, Zinenko AI. Mass occurrence of polyploid green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex) in eastern Ukraine. Russ. J. Herpetology 2004;11:194–213.
- Bucci S, Raggiante M, Mancino G, Berger L, Hotz H, Uzzell T. Lampbrush and mitotic chromosomes of the hemiclonally reproducing hybrid *Rana esculenta* and its parental species. J. Exp. Zool 1990;255:37–56. [PubMed: 2391468]
- Burton RS, Ellison CK, Harrison JS. The sorry state of F2 hybrids: consequences of rapid mitochondrial DNA evolution in allopatric populations. Am. Nat 2006;168:S14–S24. [PubMed: 17109325]
- Croucher PJP, Oxford GS, Searle JB. Mitochondrial differentiation, introgression and phylogeny of species in the *Tegenaria atrica* group (Araneae: Agelenidae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc 2004;81:79–89.
- Dabrowski A, Fraser R, Confer JL, Lovette IJ. Geographic variability in mitochondrial introgression among hybridizing populations of Golden-winged (*Vermivora chrysoptera*) and Blue-winged (*V. pinus*) warblers. Conserv. Genet 2005;6:843–853.
- Dubois A, Ohler A. Frogs of the subgenus *Pelophylax* (Amphibia, Anura, Genus *Rana*): a catalogue of available scientific names, with comments on name-bearing types, complete synonymies, proposed common names, and maps showing all type localities. Zoologica Poloniae 1994;39:139–204.
- Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. 1. Biometrika 1950;37:409–428. [PubMed: 14801065]
- Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. 2. Biometrika 1951;38:159–177. [PubMed: 14848121]
- Durbin J, Watson GS. Testing for serial correlation in least squares regression. 3. Biometrika 1971;58:1–19.
- Fredsted T, Wincentz T, Villesen P. Introgression of mountain hare (*Lepus timidus*) mitochondrial DNA into brown hares (*Lepus europaeus*) in Denmark. BMC Ecology 2006;6:17. [PubMed: 17105672] online publication, <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6785/6/17>
- Graf, J-D.; Polls Pelaz, M. Evolutionary genetics of the *Rana esculenta* complex. In: Dawley, RM.; Bogart, JP., editors. Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates. New York State Museum; 1989. p. 289-301. Bulletin 466
- Grossenbacher, K. Verbreitung der Wasserfrösche in der Schweiz. In: Günther, R.; Klewen, R., editors. Beiträge zur Biologie und Bibliographie (1960–1987) der europäischen Wasserfrösche. Duisburg: Verlag für Ökologie u. Faunistik; 1988. p. 129-134. (Fre).Jb. Feldherp., Beiheft 1
- Gubányi, A. Distribution of green frogs (*Rana esculenta* complex, Anura: Ranidae) in Hungary. In: Korsós, Z.; Kiss, I., editors. Proc. Sixth Ord. Gen. Meet. S. E. H. Budapest, Hungary: 1992. p. 205-210.

- Günther R. Neue Daten zur Verbreitung und Ökologie der Grünfrösche (Anura, Ranidae) in der DDR. Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 1974;50:287–298.
- Günther R. Zum natürlichen Vorkommen und zur Morphologie triploider Teichfrösche, "*Rana esculenta*", L., in der DDR (Anura, Ranidae). Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 1975;51:145–158.
- Günther R. Die Erythrozytengröße als Kriterium zur Unterscheidung diploider und triploider Teichfrösche, *Rana „esculenta“* L. (Anura). Biol. Zentralblatt 1977;96:457–466.
- Günther R. Zur Populationsgenetik der mitteleuropäischen Wasserfrösche des *Rana esculenta*-Synkleptons (Anura, Ranidae). Zool. Anz. Jena 1983;211:43–54.
- Günther, R. Die Wasserfrösche Europas. A. Ziemsen, Wittenberg-Lutherstadt; 1990. Die Neue Brehm-Bücherei 600
- Günther, R. *Rana lessonae* Camerano, 1882. In: Gasc, JP.; Cabela, A.; Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., et al., editors. Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe. Paris: Societas Europaea Herpetologica, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle; 1997a. p. 148-149. (Fre).
- Günther, R. *Rana ridibunda* Pallas, 1771. In: Gasc, JP.; Cabela, A.; Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., et al., editors. Atlas of Amphibians and Reptiles in Europe. Paris: Societas Europaea Herpetologica, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle; 1997b. p. 154-155. (Fre).
- Günther, R.; Plötner, J. Zur Problematik der klonalen Vererbung bei *Rana* kl. *esculenta* (Anura). In: Günther, R.; Klewen, R., editors. Beiträge zur Biologie und Bibliographie (1960–1987) der europäischen Wasserfrösche. Duisburg: Verlag für Ökologie u. Faunistik; 1988. p. 23-46. (Fre).Jb. Feldherp., Beiheft 1
- Günther R, Plötner J, Tetzlaff I. Zu einigen Merkmalen der Wasserfrösche (*Rana* synkl. *esculenta*) des Donau-Deltas. Salamandra 1991;27:246–265.
- Günther R, Uzzell T, Berger L. Inheritance patterns in triploid *Rana „esculenta“* (Amphibia, Salientia). Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 1979;55:35–57.
- Gusdon AM, Votyakova TV, Reynolds IJ, Mathews CE. Nuclear and mitochondrial interaction involving mt-ND2 leads to increased mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production. J. Biol. Chemistry 2007;282:5171–5179.
- Hedges SB, Bogart JP, Maxson LR. Ancestry of unisexual salamanders. Nature 1992;356:708–710. [PubMed: 1570014]
- Hewitt GM. Speciation, hybrid zones and phylogeography - or seeing genes in space and time. Mol. Ecol 2001;10:537–549. [PubMed: 11298967]
- Hewitt GM. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London B 2004;359:83–195.
- Higgins DG, Bleasby AJ, Fuchs R. CLUSTAL V: improved software for multiple sequence alignment. CABIOS 1992;8:189–191. [PubMed: 1591615]
- Hofmann S, Spolsky C, Uzzell T, Cogălniceanu D, Babik W, Szymura JM. Phylogeography of the fire-bellied toads *Bombina*: independent Pleistocene histories inferred from mitochondrial genomes. Mol. Ecol 2007;16:2301–2316. [PubMed: 17561892]
- Hotz H, Mancino G, Bucci-Innocenti S, Raggianti M, Berger L, Uzzell T. *Rana ridibunda* varies geographically in inducing clonal gametogenesis in interspecies hybrids. J. Exp. Zool 1985;236:199–210.
- Hotz H, Beerli P, Spolsky C. Mitochondrial DNA reveals formation of nonhybrid frogs by natural matings between hemiclinal hybrids. Mol. Biol. Evol 1992;9:610–620. [PubMed: 1352842]
- Huelsenbeck JP, Rannala B. Frequentist properties of Bayesian posterior probabilities of phylogenetic trees under simple and complex substitution models. Syst. Biol 2004;53:904–913. [PubMed: 15764559]
- Huelsenbeck, JP.; Ronquist, F. Bayesian analysis of molecular evolution using MrBayes. In: Nielsen, R., editor. Statistical Methods in Molecular Evolution. New York: Springer; 2005. p. 183-232.
- Kocher TD, Thomas WK, Mayer A, Edwards SV, Pääbo S, Villablanca FX, Wilson AC. Dynamics of mitochondrial evolution in animals: amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1989;86:6196–6200. [PubMed: 2762322]
- Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M. MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. Brief. Bioinform 2004;5:150–163. [PubMed: 15260895]

- Lengagne T, Grolet O, Joly P. Male mating speed promote hybridization in the *Rana lessonae*-*Rana esculenta* waterfrog system. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol* 2006;60:123–130.
- Lenk P, Fritz U, Joger U, Wink M. Mitochondrial phylogeography of the European pond turtle, *Emys orbicularis* (Linnaeus 1758). *Mol. Ecol* 1999;8:1911–1922. [PubMed: 10620234]
- Lutschinger, G. Zur Überwinterung der Wasserfrösche in den Donau- und Marchauen. In: Günther, R.; Klewen, R., editors. Beiträge zur Biologie und Bibliographie (1960–1987) der europäischen Wasserfrösche. Duisburg: Verlag für Ökologie u. Faunistik; 1988. p. 153–160. (Fre).Jb. Feldherp. Beiheft 1
- McDonald JHM, Kreitman M. Adaptive protein evolution at the Adh locus in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 1991;351:652–654. [PubMed: 1904993]
- Meyer, A. Evolution of mitochondrial DNA in fishes. In: Hochachka, PW.; Mommsen, TP., editors. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Fishes. Vol. 2. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1993. p. 1–38.
- Meiklejohn CD, Montooth KL, Rand DM. Positive and negative selection on the mitochondrial genome. *Trends Genet* 2007;23:259–263. [PubMed: 17418445]
- Monnerot M, Dubois A, Tunner H. Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism among *Rana ridibunda*, *Rana lessonae* and *Rana kl. esculenta*: preliminary study. *Alytes* 1985;4:101–112.
- Morozov-Leonov SY, Mezhzherin SV, Kurtyak FF. The Genetic structure of unisexual hybrid populations of frogs *Rana esculenta* complex from the Transcarpathian lowlands. *Cytol. Genet* 2003;37:43–47.
- Mulligan CJ, Kitchen A, Miyamoto MM. Comment on “Population size does not influence mitochondrial genetic diversity in animals”. *Science* 2006;314:1390a. [PubMed: 17138883]
- Nei M, Li WH. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1979;76:5269–5273. [PubMed: 291943]
- Nylander JAA, Wilgenbusch JC, Warren DL, Swofford DL. AWTY (Are We There Yet?): a system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. *Bioinformatics*. 2007 Advance Access (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/uk/>)
- Pagano A, Dubois A, Lesbarrères D, Lodé T. Frog alien species: a way for genetic invasion. *C. R. Biol* 2003;326:85–92.
- Plenet S, Hervant F, Joly P. Ecology of the hybridogenetic *Rana esculenta* complex: differential oxygen requirements of tadpoles. *Evol. Ecol* 2000;14:13–23.
- Plenet S, Pagano A, Joly P, Fouillet P. Variation of plastic responses to oxygen availability within the hybridogenetic *Rana esculenta* complex. *J. Evol. Biol* 2000;13:20–28.
- Plötner, J. Von Märtyrern der Wissenschaft zur biologischen Sensation. Laurenti Verlag, Bielefeld; 2005. *Die westpaläarktischen Wasserfrösche*.
- Plötner J, Ohst T. New hypotheses on the systematics of the western Palearctic water frog complex (Anura, Ranidae). *Mitt. Mus. Nat.kd. Berl., Zool. Reihe* 2001;77:5–21.
- Rand DM, Kann LM. Excess amino acid polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA: contrasts among genes from *Drosophila*, mice, and humans. *Mol. Biol. Evol* 1996;13:735–748. [PubMed: 8754210]
- Rand DM, Fry A, Sheldahl L. Nuclear-mitochondrial epistasis and *Drosophila* aging: introgression of *Drosophila simulans* mtDNA modifies longevity in *D. melanogaster* nuclear backgrounds. *Genetics* 2006;172:329–341. [PubMed: 16219776]
- Reichert AS, Neupert W. Mitochondriomics or what makes us breathe. *Trends Genet* 2004;20:555–562. [PubMed: 15475115]
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 2003;19:1572–1574. [PubMed: 12912839]
- Robertson AV, Ramsden C, Niedzwiecki J, Fu J, Bogart JP. An unexpected recent ancestor of unisexual *Ambystoma*. *Mol. Ecol* 2006;15:3339–3351. [PubMed: 16968274]
- Rozas J, Sánchez JC, DelBarrio X, Rozas R. DnaSP, DNA polymorphism analysis by the coalescent and other methods. *Bioinformatics* 2003;19:2496–2497. [PubMed: 14668244]
- Ruedi M, Smith MF, Patton JL. Phylogenetic evidence of mitochondrial DNA introgression among pocket gophers in New Mexico (family Geomyidae). *Mol. Ecol* 1997;6:453–462. [PubMed: 9161013]

- Rybacki M, Berger L. Types of water frog populations (*Rana esculenta* complex) in Poland. Mitt. Mus. Nat.kd. Berl., Zool. Reihe 2001;77:51–57.
- Schultz RJ. Hybridization, unisexuality and polyploidy in the teleost *Poeciliopsis* (Poeciliidae) and other vertebrates. Am. Nat 1969;103:605–619.
- Spolsky C, Uzzell T. Natural interspecies transfer of mitochondrial DNA in amphibians. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1984;81:5802–5805. [PubMed: 6091109]
- Spolsky C, Uzzell T. Evolutionary history of the hybridogenetic hybrid frog *Rana esculenta* as deduced from mtDNA analyses. Mol. Biol. Evol 1986;3:44–56. [PubMed: 2832687]
- Spolsky CM, Phillips CA, Uzzell T. Antiquity of clonal salamander lineages revealed by mitochondrial DNA. Nature 1992;356:706–708. [PubMed: 1570013]
- Sullivan JP, Lavoué S, Arnegard ME, Hopkins CD. AFLPs resolve phylogeny and reveal mitochondrial introgression within a species flock of African electric fish (Mormyroidea: Teleostei). Evolution 2004;58:825–841. [PubMed: 15154558]
- Sumida M, Kanamori Y, Kaneda H, Kato Y, Nishioka M, Hasegawa M, Yonekawa H. Complete nucleotide sequence and gene rearrangement of the mitochondrial genome of the Japanese pond frog *Rana nigromaculata*. Genes Genet. Syst 2001;76:311–325. [PubMed: 11817647]
- Swofford, DL. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and other Methods). Version 4. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates; 2003. PAUP*.
- Taberlet P, Fumagalli L, Wust-Saucy A-G, Cosson J-F. Comparative phylogeography and postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Mol. Ecol 1998;7:453–464. [PubMed: 9628000]
- Tajima F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics 1989;123:585–595. [PubMed: 2513255]
- Tunner H-G, Nopp H. Heterosis in the common European water frog. Naturwissenschaften, Berlin 1979;66:268–269.
- Upholt WB. Estimation of DNA sequence divergence from comparison of restriction endonuclease digests. Nucleic Acids Res 1977;4:1257–1265. [PubMed: 896461]
- Uzzell T. Relations of the diploid and triploid species of the *Ambystoma jeffersonianum* complex (Amphibia, Caudata). Copeia 1964;1964:257–300.
- Uzzell T. Immunological variation in *Rana lessonae* (Amphibia, Salientia). Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin 1979;55:29–33.
- Uzzell T. An immunological survey of Italian water frogs (Salientia Ranidae). Herpetologica 1983;39:225–234.
- Uzzell T, Berger L. Electrophoretic phenotypes of *Rana ridibunda*, *Rana lessonae*, and their hybridogenetic associate, *Rana esculenta*. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila 1975;127:13–24.
- Uzzell T, Günther R, Berger L. *Rana ridibunda* and *Rana esculenta*: a leaky hybridogenetic system (Amphibia Salientia). Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila 1977;128:147–171.
- Vinogradov AE, Borkin LJ, Günther R, Rosanov JM. Genome elimination in diploid and triploid *Rana esculenta* males: cytological evidence from DNA flow cytometry. Genome 1991;33:619–627. [PubMed: 2262136]
- Wood FS. The use of individual effects and residuals in fitting equation to data. Technometrics 1973;15:677–695.
- Yamada M, Higuchi M, Goto A. Extensive introgression of mitochondrial DNA found between two genetically divergent forms of threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*, around Japan. Environ. Biol. Fishes 2001;61:269–284.

Fig. 1.

Phylogenetic relationships of *R. lessonae* and *R. ridibunda* haplotypes. (A) Complete MrBayes maximum posterior tree of all haplotypes with *R. shqiperica* included as the sister taxon of *R. lessonae* and two presumptive outgroups of *R. ridibunda* (*R. cretensis* and *R. epeirotica*). (B) *R. ridibunda* clade: labels mark the *ridibunda* haplotype (R1–R19) and corresponding sequence numbers (Table 2); (C) *R. lessonae* clade: labels mark the *lessonae* haplotype (L1–L17) and sequence number (Table 3). The values at the branches are posterior probabilities for the clade to the right of that branch. The units of the scale bar in A, B and C are the expected mutations per site; B and C are on the same scale. Sequence numbers are specified in Supplementary Material online.

Fig. 2.
Geographical distribution of haplotypes found in *R. ridibunda* and *R. esculenta*.

J. Evol. Biol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2008 August 11.

[illegible]

Variable positions and nucleotide composition in the mt ND2 and ND3 gene defining 17 different haplotypes (HT) across 39 populations of *R. lessoniae*. Transversions are indicated by asterisks. The numbers below nucleotide positions indicate the codon position. Bold face numbers indicate amino acid replacement substitutions.

Sequence No.		Site (position)																ND3																HT	
		ND2																																	
0	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	0	0	1	2	5	5							
7	1	0	0	6	6	1	6	7	8	9	9	9	3	6	0	3	3	3	3	6	9	9	1	8	2	2	5	5							
8	5	1	8	6	9	5	3	2	9	1	2	8	2	7	2	5	2	5	2	4	6	1	5	9	9	9	5	5							
3	1	3	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	1	2	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3							
1-8 9-33 34 35 36-40 41 42 43 44-45 46-47 48 49 50-51 52 53 54 55-56	G	G	C	C	C	A	A	A	C	G	G	C	T	G	A	G	G	G	C	A	A	T	A	A	A	T	C	C	L1						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	G	.	.	C	C	L2						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L3						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L4						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L5						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L6						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L7						
	.	.	.	A	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L8						
	.	A	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L9						
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	C	C	C	L10					
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	.	.	C	C	C	L11					
	.	.	.	.	.	G	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L12					
	A	.	.	G	.	G	.	G	.	.	A	.	.	.	G	.	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L13					
	.	.	.	.	T	G	G	.	.	.	A	.	.	.	G	A	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	C	C	C	L14					
	.	.	.	.	T	G	G	.	.	.	A	.	C	.	G	A	.	.	.	T	.	.	.	.	G	C	C	C	C	L15					
	.	.	.	.	T	G	G	.	.	.	A	.	.	C	A	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	C	C	C	C	L16					
	.	.	.	.	T	G	G	.	.	.	A	.	.	C	.	G	A	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	G	C	C	C	C	L17					

Table 3

Results of the multiple regression analysis with the relative frequency of *lessonae*-specific haplotypes (f_l) as the dependent variable and longitude and latitude as independent variables. The Durbin-Watson (DW) statistic tests (Durban & Watson, 1950, 1951, 1971) the residuals to determine if there is any significant correlation based on the order in which they occur in the data file. DW values >1.4 indicate that there is not any serious autocorrelation in the residuals. SE: standard error; MAE: mean absolute error (average value of residuals); Df: degrees of freedom.

Parameter	<i>R. ridibunda</i>		<i>R. esculenta</i>	
	Model	Residual	Model	Residual
Sum of Squares	7.01	8.11	1.78	2.08
Degrees of Freedom	2	73	2	69
Mean Square	3.50	0.11	0.89	0.03
F-Ratio		31.5		29.5
P-Value		0		0
R^2		46.33		46.1
Standard Error of Estimates		0.33		0.17
Mean Absolute Error		0.26		0.12
DW statistic		1.94		1.86