Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2008 ; 49(19): 3045–3048.

Rational Design of a Fluorescent Hydrogen Peroxide Probe Based on the Umbelliferone Fluorophore

Lupei Du, Minyong Li, Shilong Zheng, and Binghe Wang*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Biotechnology and Drug Design, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30302–4098, USA

Abstract

In this study, we report a novel water-soluble umbelliferone-based fluorescent probe for hydrogen peroxide. This probe shows very large increases (up to 100 fold) in fluorescent intensity upon reaction with hydrogen peroxide, and good selectivity over other reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Keywords

Hydrogen peroxide; reactive oxygen species (ROS); hydroxycoumarin, umbelliferone; fluorescence

Produced during a number of physiological processes, such as Alzheimer's disease, apoptosis and phagocytosis^{1–3}, reactive oxygen species (ROS), including hydroperoxide (ROOH), superoxide (O_2^-), hydroxyl radical ($\cdot OH$) and various peroxides (ROOR') react with a large variety of easily oxidizable cellular components, such as NADH, NADPH, catecholamines, ascorbic acid, histidine, tryptophan, tyrosine, cysteine, glutathione, proteins and nucleic acids.⁴ ROS can also oxidize cholesterol and unsaturated fatty acids, causing membrane lipid peroxidation. Among all the ROS in biological systems, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is vasoactive and has been detected under various pathophysiological conditions such as inflammation, hypoxia-reoxygenation, and deficiency of a co-factor for nitric oxide (NO) synthesis. Hydrogen peroxide is generated in response to various stimuli, including cytokines and growth factors, and is also involved in regulating biological processes as diverse as immune cell activation and vascular remodeling in mammals,⁵ and stomatal closure and root growth in plants.⁶ Moreover, recent evidence demonstrated that hydrogen peroxide generated by mitochondrial respiration is a potent inducer of oxidative damage and mediator of aging.⁷ Although a number of reports have been published, the significance of hydrogen peroxide in biological system and the mechanism of its action are still poorly understood because of the limited availability of detection methods.

There have been several types of probes reported for the detection of hydrogen peroxide and other ROS, including fluorescein analogues,^{8,9} rhodamine analogues, Amplex Red analogues,^{10,11} phosphine-based fluorophores^{12,13} and lanthanide coordination complexes.^{14,15} A recent review has summarized the current state of this field very well.¹⁶ Each of the reported

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-404-413-5544; fax: +1-404-413-5543; e-mail: wang@gsu.edu..

Publisher's Disclaimer: This PDF receipt will only be used as the basis for generating PubMed Central (PMC) documents. PMC documents will be made available for review after conversion (approx. 2–3 weeks time). Any corrections that need to be made will be done at that time. No materials will be released to PMC without the approval of an author. Only the PMC documents will appear on PubMed Central -- this PDF Receipt will not appear on PubMed Central.

Supplementary Data:

Spectroscopic data for **1** and **3**. The supplementary data are available online with the paper in ScienceDirect.

probes has its own advantages and disadvantages. An ideal probe should (1) be specific to the ROS of interest; (2) have the appropriate physicochemical properties to allow for permeation across membrane barriers and to allow certain solubility in water; (3) give off a strong and easily detectable reporting signal upon encountering the appropriate ROS, (4) have the property of turning on the signal upon encountering the appropriate ROS instead of turning off; and (5) easily synthesized from readily available starting materials. Among the detectable signals used, fluorescence is considered one of the more sensitive ones. Some important desirable features for a fluorescent probe include high quantum yield and emission in the visible region. Herein we report the design and synthesis of a fluorescent hydrogen peroxide probe that has most of the desirable features prescribed for such a probe. This probe complements what is already available and should be very useful for the *in vitro* and *in vivo* detection of hydrogen peroxide formation.

Our design strategy depends on the selective hydrogen peroxide-mediated conversion of arylboronates into phenols.^{17,18} In this design, the coumarin moiety was selected as the fluorescent chromophore. The end product after hydrogen peroxide oxidation is 7-hydroxycoumarin (umbelliferone) (Scheme 1), which is a well known fluorophore with high quantum yield.¹⁹⁻²¹ Umbelliferone is also used in sunscreen lotion as an antioxidant and has minimal toxicity.²² In addition, the designed probe can be synthesized easily from readily available starting material (umbelliferone) through a two-step conversion in high overall yield (about 80%).

The synthesis of the designed probe (**1**) started from commercially available 7-hydroxycoumarin (Scheme 2). After conversion to the corresponding triflate (**3**) in over 90% yield²³, palladium-mediated borylation using pinacol-protected diborate gave the final probe (**1**) in about 87% yield.^{24,25}

Compound **1** was evaluated for its ability to detect hydrogen peroxide under near physiological conditions (0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). The probe itself (**1**) displays no fluorescence. Addition of hydrogen peroxide triggers a very significant fluorescence increase (about 100 fold) at 454 nm (Figure 1). NMR and MS experiments also confirmed that umbelliferone (**2**) was the product from the reaction of coumarin-7-boronate (**1**) with hydrogen peroxide.

Next, we investigated the concentration-dependent fluorescence response of compound **1** to the addition of hydrogen peroxide (Figure 2). The fluorescence intensity of compound **1** increased as a function of hydrogen peroxide concentration at below 40 μ M and then it leveled off.

We also investigated whether the fluorescence response of compound **1** was hydrogen peroxide-specific. Figure 3 compares the relative reactivity of compound **1** towards various ROS²⁶. Selectivity data are displayed at several time points over 120 min. Compound **1** exhibits a 100-fold higher response to hydrogen peroxide over similar ROS such as hypochlorite (OCl^-), *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) and *tert*-butoxy radical (*t*-BuO $\cdot$). This probe is also more than 6-fold more responsive to hydrogen peroxide over hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) and over 2-fold more reactive over superoxide (O_2^-). It is particularly noteworthy that the fluorescence response of compound **1** was not influenced significantly by hypochlorite (OCl^-), hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$), *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) and *tert*-butoxy radical (*t*-BuO $\cdot$). Thus, we believe that compound **1** could be a very useful novel fluorescent probe for detecting hydrogen peroxide.

In conclusion, we have designed and synthesized a novel water-soluble fluorescent probe (**1**) for hydrogen peroxide. This probe shows very large increases in fluorescent intensity upon reaction with hydrogen peroxide (up to 100 fold) at 5 μ M. It also shows very good selectivity over other ROS. In addition, the probe also has the advantage of easy synthesis from readily

available inexpensive starting materials. We hope that all these properties will make this coumarin-based fluorescent probe very useful for the *in vitro* and *in vivo* detection of hydrogen peroxide.

Acknowledgement

Financial support from the NIH (CA113917 and CA123329), Georgia Cancer Coalition, Georgia Research Alliance and the Molecular Basis of Diseases program at GSU is gratefully acknowledged.

References and notes

1. Mates JM, Sanchez-Jimenez FM. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol* 2000;32:157–70. [PubMed: 10687951]
2. Schoneich C. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005;1703:111–9. [PubMed: 15680219]
3. Simon HU, Haj-Yehia A, Levi-Schaffer F. *Apoptosis* 2000;5:415–8. [PubMed: 11256882]
4. D'Autreaux B, Toledano MB. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol* 2007;8:813–24. [PubMed: 17848967]
5. Veal EA, Day AM, Morgan BA. *Mol. Cell* 2007;26:1–14. [PubMed: 17434122]
6. Slesak I, Libik M, Karpinska B, Karpinski S, Miszalski Z. *Acta Biochim. Pol* 2007;54:39–50. [PubMed: 17325747]
7. Giorgio M, Trinei M, Migliaccio E, Pelicci PG. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol* 2007;8:722–8. [PubMed: 17700625]
8. Chang MC, Pralle A, Isacoff EY, Chang CJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004;126:15392–3. [PubMed: 15563161]
9. Miller EW, Albers AE, Pralle A, Isacoff EY, Chang CJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2005;127:16652–9. [PubMed: 16305254]
10. Votyakova TV, Reynolds IJ. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys* 2004;431:138–44. [PubMed: 15464736]
11. Miller EW, Tulyathan O, Isacoff EY, Chang CJ. *Nat. Chem. Biol* 2007;3:263–7. [PubMed: 17401379]
12. Onoda M, Tokuyama H, Uchiyama S, Mawatari K, Santa T, Kaneko K, Imai K, Nakagomi K. *Chem. Commun* 2005:1848–50.
13. Soh N, Ariyoshi T, Fukaminato T, Nakano K, Irie M, Imato T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* 2006;16:2943–6. [PubMed: 16554153]
14. Wolfbeis OS, Durkop A, Wu M, Lin Z. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl* 2002;41:4495–8. [PubMed: 12458517]
15. Kozhevnikov VN, Mandl C, Miltschitzky S, Duerkop A, Wolfbeis OS, Koenig B. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2005;358:2445–2448.
16. Soh N. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem* 2006;386:532–43. [PubMed: 16609844]
17. Kuivila HG, Wiles RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1955;77:4830–4834.
18. Kuivila HG, Armour AG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1957;79:5659–5662.
19. Aaron J-J, Buna M, Parkanyi C, Antonious MS, Tine A, Cisse L. *J. Fluoresc* 1995;5:337–47.
20. Moriya T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn* 1988;61:1873–86.
21. Azuma K, Suzuki S, Uchiyama S, Kajiro T, Santa T, Imai K. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci* 2003;2:443–449. [PubMed: 12760545]
22. Ramesh B, Pugalandi KV. *Life Sci* 2006;79:306–10. [PubMed: 16464472]
23. Synthesis of 2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (**3**): To a solution of 7-hydroxycoumarin (0.81 g, 5 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP, 0.61 g, 5 mmol) in DCM (15 mL) was added dropwise trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (1 mL, 6 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched with saturated aqueous sodium carbonate solution. The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After solvent removal, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate-hexane (1:4) as the eluent to afford a yellow solid in 91% yield, mp = 75–77 °C. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.74 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 8.4 and 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 159.2 (s), 154.5 (s), 150.8 (s), 142.1 (d), 129.4 (d), 118.8 (s), 118.6 (q, *J* = 319 Hz; s), 117.74 (d), 117.70 (d), 110.5 (d). GC-MS (EI): 294 (M⁺).

24. Ishiyama T, Itoh Y, Kitano T, Miyaura N. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:3447–3450.
25. Synthesis of 7-(4, 5,5-tetramethyl-[1,3,2]dioxaborolan-2-yl)-chromen-2-one (**1**): A mixture of bis (pinacolato)diboron (0.14 g, 0.55 mmol), bis(diphenylphosphino) ferrocene (3 mol %), 1,1'-bis (diphenylphosphino)-ferrocene]dichloropalladium(II) (3 mol %) and potassium acetate (0.15 g, 1.5 mmol) was flushed with nitrogen; then the triflate (**3**, 0.15 g, 0.5 mmol) and dioxane (3 mL) were added. The resulting reaction mixture was irradiated at 80 °C for 1.5 h using a microwave reactor, diluted with benzene, washed with brine, and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. After removal of solvent, the crude was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate-hexane (1:9) as the eluent to afford a yellow solid in 87% yield, mp = 173–175 °C. ¹H-NMR (400MHz, CDCl₃): 7.74~7.67 (m, 3H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 12H). ¹³C-NMR (100MHz, CDCl₃): 160.8 (s), 153.4 (s), 143.1 (d), 130.2 (d), 127.0 (d), 122.8 (d), 120.8 (s), 117.7 (d), 84.4 (s), 24.8 (q). GC-MS (EI): 272 (M⁺).
26. *Fluorometric analysis*: Buffer reagents were bought from Aldrich and Acros and were used without purification. Water used for the fluorescence studies was doubly distilled and further purified with a Mill-Q filtration system. Quartz cuvettes were used in all studies. A Shimadzu UV-1700 UV-visible spectrometer was used for all absorbance studies. A Shimadzu RF-5301PC fluorometer was used for all fluorescent studies. Solutions of compound **1** (5×10^{-6} M) were prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.4. Then the solutions were transferred into a 1 cm quartz cell and UV absorbance data were recorded immediately. The UV λ_{max} was used as the fluorescence excitation wavelength.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Scheme 1. Reaction of compound **1** with hydrogen peroxide

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of coumarin boronate: a). (TfO)₂O, DMAP, DCM, rt; b). PdCl₂(dppf)/dppf, KOAc, dioxane, 80 °C, microwave.

Figure 1.

Fluorescence response of 5 μM compound **1** to 100 μM H_2O_2 after 30 min. The black and red lines were recorded before and after H_2O_2 addition, respectively (top). Spectra were acquired in 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 332$ nm). The bottom panel shows the color change before (left) and after hydrogen peroxide addition (right).

Figure 2. Emission spectra (top) and concentration-dependent fluorescence intensity changes (bottom) of compound **1** at 454 nm at room temperature: Experiments were conducted in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 with excitation at 332 nm. The emission spectra were obtained 30 min after the addition of hydrogen peroxide to a 5 μM solution of compound **1**

Figure 3.

Fluorescence response of compound **1** (5 μM) to various reactive oxygen species (ROS). Data shown are for 1.5 μM of OCl^- , 50 μM of $\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$, 50 μM of *t*-BuO $\cdot$, and 100 μM of all other ROS. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), and hypochlorite (OCl^-) were diluted from 30%, 70% and 5% aqueous solutions, respectively. Superoxide ($\text{O}_2^{\cdot-}$) was added as solid KO_2 . Hydroxyl radical ($\cdot\text{OH}$) and *tert*-butoxy radical (*t*-BuO $\cdot$) were generated by reaction of 1mM Fe^{2+} with 100 μM H_2O_2 or 100 μM TBHP, respectively. Spectra were acquired in 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and all data were obtained after incubation with the appropriate ROS at room temperature. Emission intensity was collected at 454 nm ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 332 \text{ nm}$).