Published in final edited form as:

J AAPOS. 2008 April ; 12(2): 136–140.

Improvement in Motor Development Following Surgery for Infantile Esotropia

James R. Drover, David R. Stager, Sarah E. Morale, Joel N. Leffler, and Eileen E. Birch

Abstract

Purpose—Infantile esotropia is associated with abnormal visual development and thus may delay the achievement of developmental milestones. Although early surgery can improve visual function, less is known about its effect on motor development. Here we address whether early surgery can improve motor development.

Methods—Recently, our lab devised the Infant Developmental Skills Survey, a 25-item questionnaire designed to assess sensorimotor and gross motor development. The questionnaire was completed by the parents of 3–10 month-old patients with infantile esotropia prior to surgery (N = 143) and the parents of 6–11 month-old patients following surgery (N = 58). A subset of parents (N = 40) completed the questionnaire both before and after surgery. For comparison, the questionnaire was completed by the parents of infants with normal ocular alignment (N = 194).

Results—Before surgery, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and 10 month-old patients showed delayed achievement of sensorimotor milestones ($p < 0.01$) and 5, 9 and 10 month-old patients demonstrated delayed attainment of gross motor milestones ($p < 0.05$) compared to normal children. However, following surgery, patients demonstrated a greater rate of sensorimotor development than age-matched controls ($p < 0.0001$) and caught up with normal children on both sensorimotor and gross motor skills.

Conclusions—Prior to surgery, patients with infantile esotropia were delayed in their achievement of developmental milestones. However, following surgery, a comparison group of patients showed rapid development and possessed motor skills comparable to those of normal children, suggesting that early surgery is beneficial to both visual and motor development.

It is widely accepted that infantile esotropia is associated with severe deficits of stereopsis and fusion.^{1,2} Given the normal rapid development of stereopsis and fusion between 3 and 8 months of age,^{3–5} it is possible that infantile esotropia negatively impacts the achievement of early developmental motor milestones (grasping objects, walking, and crawling) which rely, at least to an extent, on normal visual development.⁶ Poor stereoacuity has been shown to impede motor skills in older children. Recently, in a study of preschoolers investigating the effects of unilateral visual impairment, Hrisos et al.⁷ found that reduced stereoacuity is associated with poor performance on tasks assessing hand-eye coordination and visuomotor skills.⁷

The visual outcomes of patients with infantile esotropia are substantially improved if the misalignment is corrected surgically early in life. Recent reports suggest that early muscle surgery is associated with greater prevalence of stereopsis and fusion along with reduced

The authors have no commercial interest

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

severity of amblyopia.^{1,8–14} Thus, it is possible that early surgery is also beneficial to subsequent development. To date, only two small sample studies have investigated this possibility, with mixed results.^{15,16}

In the present study, we assess whether infantile esotropia delays the achievement of developmental milestones, and investigate the potentially beneficial effects of early surgery on subsequent motor development in a large sample of patients. To address these issues, the parents of children with infantile esotropia completed the Infant Developmental Skills Survey (IDSS), a new questionnaire developed and validated (see Appendix, published electronically) at the Retina Foundation of the Southwest (Morale & Birch, 2002). The IDSS, available online at <http://www.retinafoundation.org/AdobeFiles/IDSS.pdf>, consists of 25 items that evaluate an infant's sensorimotor and gross motor skills. The questionnaire was completed before surgery, after surgery, or both.

Methods

Participants

Participants included 161 patients diagnosed with infantile esotropia with constant esodeviations of ≥ 30 prism diopters. Patients ranged from 3 to 11 months of age and were referred by 14 pediatric ophthalmologists in the Dallas-Fort Worth area. All patients were diagnosed by 6 months of age and average age at surgery was 7.1 months ($SD = 1.8$ months). All patients were free from neurological and systemic disorders. For comparison, a control group of 194 3- to 11-month old, full-term, healthy infants with normal ocular alignment also participated in the study. Informed, written consent was obtained from the parents of all participants after an explanation of the nature and any possible consequences of the study. The research protocol followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center. This research was compliant with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act.

Patients with infantile esotropia were placed in one of two groups based on whether their parents completed the IDSS (described below) before surgery (i.e., pre-surgery group) or after surgery (i.e., post-surgery group). Parents of children in the pre-surgery group completed the IDSS a median of 1.6 months prior to surgery whereas the parents of children in the post-surgery group completed the IDSS a median of 1.8 months following surgery. Only patients who were orthotropic or only intermittently heterotropic (< 10 PD of heterotropia), with or without spectacle correction, at the 6–8 week post-operative visit were included in the post-surgery group (5 patients were excluded from the post-surgery group due to constant heterotropia ≥ 10 pd). A subset of parents completed the questionnaire for their children ($N = 40$) both before and after surgery. These infants are included in both patient groups. The distribution of ages at which the parents completed the IDSS is provided for each patient group and the control group in Table 1.

Infant Developmental Skills Survey (IDSS)

The IDSS is designed to evaluate specific aspects of an infant's early motor development. It consists of 25 yes/no items that were selected carefully from a number of currently existing developmental questionnaires and checklists (O'Brien, 1976).^{17–23} The first 10 items assess sensorimotor skills, i.e., fine motor skills such as the ability to manipulate small objects and hand-eye coordination tasks (e.g., grasping a toy or holding a bottle). The final 15 items assess gross motor skills which require balance, along with the movement and coordination of large muscles groups (e.g., sitting, standing, and walking). The sensorimotor and gross motor sections of the questionnaire are scored separately, and in each case, the score equals the number of "yes" responses.

Note that for all participants, parents completed the questionnaires without supervision. In each case, they were instructed to respond to the questions as honestly as possible, and they were informed that their child was not expected to have mastered all milestones on the questionnaire.

Statistical Analyses

One of the goals of the present study was to determine whether infantile esotropia delays the achievement of developmental milestones prior to surgery, and whether any evidence of delay persists following surgery. Thus, to test for pre-surgery delay, two-way ANOVAs (group X age) were conducted to compare the sensorimotor and gross motor scores of the pre-surgery group to the control children across the same age range (i.e., 3 to 10 months of age). Similarly, two-way ANOVAs (group X age) were conducted to test for differences between the post-surgery patients and control children across the same age range (i.e., 6 to 11 months of age). If the above omnibus ANOVAs demonstrated significant differences, planned comparisons were carried out in 1-month age bins to determine at what ages attainment of milestones was delayed.

A second goal of the present study was to determine whether children with infantile esotropia exhibited greater than normal rates of achievement of developmental milestones following surgery. Thus, for each patient who was assessed both pre-surgery and post-surgery ($N = 40$), rate of development was determined by dividing his/her difference in scores on the IDSS from pre- to post-surgery by his/her difference in age. Each patient's rate of development was then matched to the average rate of development of control children across the same age range. Finally, the patient group's overall mean rate of development was then compared to the mean age-matched rate of development of control children using independent samples t-tests. Comparisons were conducted separately for sensorimotor and gross motor rates of development.

Results

Pre-surgery Group vs. Control Group

Sensorimotor and gross motor scores of the pre-surgery group are compared to those of the control group as a function of age in Figures 1A and B. Relative to the control group, the pre-surgery group showed delayed achievement on both sensorimotor milestones ($F_{1,311} = 70.09$, $p < 0.0001$) and gross motor milestones ($F_{1,311} = 13.75$, $p < 0.0005$). Planned comparisons indicated that the delay of sensorimotor milestones was significant at 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10 months of age ($p < 0.01$). Delay of gross motor milestones was significant at 5, 9, and 10 months of age ($p < 0.05$).

Post-surgery Group vs. Control Group

Sensorimotor and gross motor scores of the post-surgery group are compared to those of the control group as a function of age in Figures 2A and B. Unlike the pre-surgery group, the post-surgery group showed no evidence of delayed achievement on sensorimotor milestones ($F_{1,148} = 1.59$, $p = 0.21$) or gross motor milestones ($F_{1,148} = 2.82$, $p = 0.10$).

Rate of Development: Surgery Group vs. Control Group

Mean rates of development for those children assessed both pre-surgery and post-surgery, and those of the control group are provided in Table 2. The table indicates that patients showed a significantly higher rate of sensorimotor development than age-matched control children ($p < 0.0001$). However, there was no significant difference between the groups on rate of gross motor development ($p = 0.11$). Note that although the normal rate of development is higher

for gross motor milestones than for sensorimotor milestones, this trend is reversed for patients as they displayed a higher rate of sensorimotor development.

To examine whether the event of surgery itself affected rate of development, the rates of sensorimotor and gross motor development of the children who had unsuccessful surgery ($N = 5$) were compared to those of control children across the same age range. Patients and control children did not differ significantly on either rate of development ($p_{\text{sensorimotor}} = 0.58$; $p_{\text{gross motor}} = 0.34$).

Discussion

Our findings indicate that children with infantile esotropia exhibited delayed achievement of developmental milestones prior to surgery. The impairment of sensorimotor development was particularly profound and was evident at virtually all ages assessed. This result is predictable as the majority of sensorimotor milestones included in the questionnaire are visually demanding (e.g., grasping a toy, grasping tiny objects, following a moving toy). Therefore, if a child has abnormal binocular function (e.g., no stereopsis and/or fusion), achievement of such tasks might be difficult. This appears to be the case with older children, as preschoolers with no stereopsis or gross stereopsis have been shown to perform poorly on visuomotor tasks and those relying on hand-eye coordination.⁷

The delay of gross motor milestones in pre-surgery patients was also significant, but not as severe. A possible explanation for this finding is that the majority of attainable gross motor milestones on the IDSS are more reflective of balance and coordination of large muscle groups rather than visual functioning. Note however, several of the gross motor items likely require adequate binocular function, but are well beyond the developmental capabilities of most 3- to 10-month-old infants regardless of binocular status (e.g., walking without support, running, climbing stairs). Therefore, it would be interesting to assess whether infantile esotropia delays the achievement of these relatively advanced gross motor milestones in slightly older infants.

Following surgery, patients no longer showed impaired achievement of sensorimotor or gross motor milestones. In fact, they exhibited greater rates of development after surgery as their rate of improvement on sensorimotor items was twice that of the control children. However, there was no significant difference between patients and control children on gross motor items (means = 1.25 and 1.08, respectively). Once again, this may reflect the notion that compared to the sensorimotor items on the IDSS, attainable gross motor milestones rely less on visual functioning.

Two prior studies have investigated motor development milestone achievement in children with infantile esotropia.^{15,16} Both studies had methodological limitations. Rogers et al.¹⁵ reported that, compared to children with normal alignment, patients with infantile esotropia showed greater rates of improvement on the Mental Development Index (MDI) of the Bayley Scale of Infant Development. Yet this study is limited by its small sample size (18 patients; 8 control children) and its failure to match the ages of the control group to patients with infantile esotropia. In addition, children were not evaluated on the Psychomotor Development Index (PDI) which assesses posture control, coordination of large muscle groups, and manipulation of the fingers and hands. Conversely, Wheeler et al.¹⁶ found no improvement on MDI or PDI scores in a very small sample ($N = 11$) of patients with infantile esotropia assessed before and after surgery.

Although the results presented here suggest that early muscle surgery is beneficial to subsequent sensorimotor development, this study possesses several limitations. First, it is possible that it is the event of surgery itself, rather than successful realignment that led to

improved sensorimotor development. To examine this possibility, we analyzed whether those patients who had unsuccessful realignment surgery also demonstrated improved achievement of developmental milestones following surgery. Although the results indicated that these patients did not show significant sensorimotor or gross motor improvement compared to control children, the analysis was limited by poor statistical power due to the small sample size ($N = 5$).

Second, the results presented here may simply reflect the parents' expectation of post-surgery improvement. However, if this was the case, we might expect to see an increased rate of development for both scales. Instead, the rapid rate of development was seen only for the sensorimotor scale. Furthermore, the IDSS has been validated previously (Morale & Birch, 2002; see appendix, published electronically); there was excellent agreement between scores on the IDSS and an independent masked examiner's assessment of whether a child could demonstrate achievement of the milestones on the questionnaire.

Finally, it must be noted that the results presented here do not indicate clearly that sensorimotor improvement was the result of improved binocular function due to surgical realignment since the evaluation of stereopsis and fusion were not part of this study protocol. In the future, it would be wise to assess these aspects of binocular function in patients with infantile esotropia both before and after surgical alignment.

Despite these limitations, it is our opinion that the rapid rate of development shown in the present study is the result of improved binocular function following surgical alignment. Early surgery is associated with improved stereoacuity outcomes, lower rates of subsequent misalignment, and reduced risk of moderate to severe amblyopia.^{23,24} Because many of the items on the IDSS are visually demanding, improved binocular function might be expected to facilitate the achievement of these items.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Christina S. Cheng and Lauren Wyatt for their assistance in data collection.

References

1. Birch EE, Stager DR. Long-term motor and sensory outcomes after early surgery for infantile esotropia. *J AAPOS* 2006;10:409–13. [PubMed: 17070474]
2. Fawcett SL, Birch EE. Motion VEPs, stereopsis, and bifoveal fusion in children with strabismus. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;41:411–6. [PubMed: 10670470]
3. Held R, Birch EE, Gwiazda J. Stereo acuity of human infants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1980;77:5572–4.
4. Birch EE, Salomao S. Infant random dot stereo acuity cards. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1998;35:86–90. [PubMed: 9580381]
5. Birch EE, Morale SE, Jeffrey BG, O'Connor AR, Fawcett SL. Measurement of stereacuity outcomes at ages 1 to 24 months: Randot® Stereocards. *J AAPOS* 2005;9:31–6. [PubMed: 15729278]
6. Levitzion-Korach O, Tennenbaum A, Schnitzer R, Ornoy A. Early motor development of blind children. *J Paediatr Child Health* 2000;36:226–9. [PubMed: 10849221]
7. Hrisos S, Clarke MP, Kelly T, Henderson J, Wright CM. Unilateral visual impairment and neurodevelopmental performance in preschool children. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2006;90:836–8. [PubMed: 16540485]

8. Birch EE, Fawcett SL, Stager DR Sr. Why does early surgical alignment improve stereoacuity outcomes in infantile esotropia? *J AAPOS* 2000;4:10. [PubMed: 10675865]
9. Birch EE, Stager DR, Everett ME. Random dot stereo acuity following surgical correction of infantile esotropia. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1995;32:231–5. [PubMed: 7494159]
10. Ing MR. Early surgical alignment for congenital esotropia. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc* 1981;79:625–63. [PubMed: 7043869]
11. Ing MR. Outcome study of surgical alignment before six months of age for congenital esotropia. *Ophthalmology* 1995;102:2041–5. [PubMed: 9098315]
12. Simonsz HJ, Kolling GH, Unnebrink K. Final report of the early vs. late infantile strabismus surgery study (ELISSS), a controlled, prospective, multicenter study. *Strabismus* 2005;13:169–99. [PubMed: 16361188]
13. Wright KW, Edelman PM, McVey JH, Terry AP, Lin M. High-grade stereoacuity after early surgery for congenital esotropia. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1994;112:913–9. [PubMed: 8031270]
14. Zak TA, Morin JD. Early surgery for infantile esotropia: results and influence of age upon results. *Can J Ophthalmol* 1983;18:175–7. [PubMed: 6883194]
15. Rogers GL, Chazan S, Fellows R, Tsou BH. Strabismus surgery and its effects upon infant development in congenital esotropia. *Ophthalmology* 1982;89:479–83. [PubMed: 7099566]
16. Wheeler MB, Stonesifer K, Kenny M. Developmental evaluation in congenital esotropia. *Ophthalmology* 1979;86:2161–4. [PubMed: 95522]
17. Bayley, N. Bayley Scales of Infant Development. 2. San Antonio: The Psychological Corporation Harcourt Brace & Company;
18. Frankenburg WK, Dodds JB. The Denver developmental screening test. *J Pediatr* 1967;71:181–91. [PubMed: 6029467]
19. Frankenburg, WK.; Bresnick, B. Denver II Prescreening Questionnaire (PDQ II). Denver CO: Denver Developmental Materials, Inc; 1998.
20. Frankenburg WK, Dodds J, Archer P, Shapiro H, Bresnick B. The Denver II: a major revision and restandardization of the Denver Developmental Screening Test. *Pediatrics* 1992;89:91–7. [PubMed: 1370185]
21. Frankenburg WK, van Doornink WJ, Liddell TN, Dick NP. The Denver prescreening developmental questionnaire (PDQ). *Pediatrics* 1976;57:744–53. [PubMed: 940714]
22. Illingworth, RS. Basic Developmental Screening: 0 – 4 years. 5. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1990.
23. McKee, S. Binocular functioning and visual acuity in amblyopia. In: Hartmann, E., editor. *Vision Screening in the Preschool Child*. McLean, VA: National Maternal and Child Health Clearing House; 1998. p. 206-28.
24. Birch EE, Fawcett SL, Stager DR. Risk factors for the development of accommodative esotropia following treatment for infantile esotropia. *J AAPOS* 2002;6:174–81. [PubMed: 12075294]

Figure 1. Comparison of sensorimotor (1A) and gross motor (1B) scores of the presurgery and control groups. Bars represent one standard error. Asterisks represent significant differences at the 0.01 level (1A) or the 0.05 level (1B).

Figure 2. Comparison of sensorimotor (2A) and gross motor (2B) scores of the post-surgery and control groups. Error bars represent one standard error.

Table 1

Age distribution of participants by study group. Note that age refers to the infant's age when parents completed the IDSS.

Age (mos)	Number of infants per group		
	Presurgery group	Postsurgery group	Control group
3	13	0	31
4	16	0	28
5	29	0	33
6	23	3	26
7	27	9	15
8	17	11	15
9	11	14	16
10	7	9	19
11	0	12	11
N	143	58	194

Table 2

Rate of sensorimotor and gross motor development by group. Numbers in parentheses represent standard deviations.

	N	Surgery group	Control group	Difference
Rate of sensorimotor development	40	1.41 (0.99)	0.63 (0.17)	0.78 [*]
Rate of gross motor development	40	1.25 (0.62)	1.08 (0.44)	0.17

^{*}
 $p < 0.0001$