Dissociation of somatic growth from segmentation drives gigantism in snakes

Jason J. Head^{1,*} and P. David Polly²

¹Department of Biology, University of Toronto at Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Road N., Mississauga, Ontario L5L1C6, Canada

²Department of Geological Sciences, Indiana University, 1001 E 10th Street, Bloomington, IN 47405-1405, USA

*Author for correspondence (jason.head@utoronto.ca).

Body size is significantly correlated with number of vertebrae (pleomerism) in multiple vertebrate lineages, indicating that change in number of body segments produced during somitogenesis is an important factor in evolutionary change in body size, but the role of segmentation in the evolution of extreme sizes, including gigantism, has not been examined. We explored the relationship between body size and vertebral count in basal snakes that exhibit gigantism. Boids, pythonids and the typhlopoid genera, *Typhlops* and *Rhinotyphlops*, possess a positive relationship between body size and vertebral count, confirming the importance of pleomerism; however, giant taxa possessed fewer than expected vertebrae, indicating that a separate process underlies the evolution of gigantism in snakes. The lack of correlation between body size and vertebral number in giant taxa demonstrates dissociation of segment production in early development from somatic growth during maturation, indicating that gigantism is achieved by modifying development at a different stage from that normally selected for changes in body size.

Keywords: gigantism; pleomerism; somitogenesis; dissociation; heterochrony; snakes

1. INTRODUCTION

Extrinsic selective factors influencing the evolution of body size extremes in vertebrates have been heavily studied (Hanken & Wake 1993; Alexander 1998), but the intrinsic developmental mechanisms underlying evolutionary body size change are poorly known. Phyletic body size increases are associated with increased somite numbers (pleomerism) in actinopterygian fish (Lindsey 1975), plethodontid salamanders (Jockusch 1997) and derived colubroid snakes (Lindell 1994), but not in groups whose vertebral column is highly regionalized and functionally constrained like mammals and birds (Wake 1979), in which body size changes are a function of post-embryonic somatic growth. Although pleomerism has been established as a mechanism of body size evolution in snakes, its role in the evolution of gigantism is unknown.

Gigantism (Gould & McFadden 2004, p. 220) is pronounced in boids, pythonids and typhlopids among snakes (Greene 1997; Murphy & Henderson 1997; Kley 2003). The largest extant snakes consist of the pythonids, *Python molurus*, *Python sebae* (incl. *natalensis*), and *Python reticulatus* and the boid *Eunectes murinus* (green anaconda), with reliable maximum body lengths between 7 and 9 m (Murphy & Henderson 1997). The typhlopoid genera *Typhlops* and *Rhinotyphlops* include some of the smallest snake species (total body length; TBL approx. 10 cm) as well as comparative giants including *Typhlops punctatus*, *Typhlops angolensis*, *Typhlops lineolatus* and *Rhinotyphlops schlegelii*, which achieve body lengths approaching 1 m (Roux-Estève 1974; Kley 2003).

Here, we test developmental mechanisms underlying the evolution of gigantism by examining the relationship between body size and vertebral numbers among the largest and the smallest snakes. If pleomerism is the primary mechanism of body size evolution in snakes, then body size and axial segment counts should covary, but if other mechanisms, such as regulation of somatic growth, are responsible for body size evolution, then size and segment number will be unrelated.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Body size measurements and vertebral counts were collected from examination of museum osteological collections and the literature (see electronic supplementary material). Body size was measured as snout-vent length (SVL) in Boidae (Boinae, Ungaliophiinae and Erycinae; Lawson *et al.* 2004; Burbrink 2005) and Pythonidae, and vertebral counts represent precloacal elements. *Typhlops* and *Rhinotyphlops* possess extremely abbreviated tails representing approximately 1% of TBL (Kley 2003). As a result, TBL approximates SVL in these taxa, and was compared to maximum total number of vertebrae (Roux-Estève 1974). No SVL statistics are published for the largest individuals of *Eunectes* and *Python*, so we estimated those by taking TBL for the largest verifiable published specimens (Murphy & Henderson 1997) and multiplying by the proportion of SVL/TBL derived from data on smaller individuals of *Eunectes notaeus* (Dirksen 2002) and *Python* (Shine *et al.* 1998).

Ventral scale counts correlate with vertebral numbers in most alethinophidian snakes (Alexander & Gans 1966) and are commonly used as proxy data for vertebral counts (Lindell 1994; Shine 2000). We used scale counts where skeletal material and vertebral counts were not available, and used the average of male and female counts for boids and pythonids because sex data were often not available for either specimens or the literature, despite strong dimorphism in vertebral numbers and body lengths for many taxa (e.g. Shine 2000).

We used phylogenetic generalized least squares regression (PGLS; Martins & Hansen 1997) to determine the relationship of body size to vertebral numbers while taking into account the effects of phylogenetic autocorrelation, with body size as the dependent variable regressed onto independent vertebral counts using COMPARE v. 4.6b (Martins 2004). We incorporated phylogenetic topologies derived from molecular and morphological datasets (electronic supplementary material). Resolved branch lengths were set to 1.0. Unresolved polytomies were artificially resolved with branch lengths of 0.001 to satisfy the algorithmic requirements of COMPARE. The small length of unresolved branches is effectively zero as far as the results are concerned (Martins & Hansen 1997).

3. RESULTS

Phylogenetic generalized least squares regression produced a positive and significant correlation in Boidae ($r=0.46$, $p<0.01$), Pythonidae ($r=0.38$, $p=0.03$) and *Typhlops* ($r=0.41$, $p=0.03$; figure 1). *Rhinotyphlops* possessed positive but non-significant correlations (*Rhinotyphlops*: $r=0.31$, $p=0.12$), resulting from extremely low vertebral numbers in the largest taxa. Excluding giants from the regressions increased the positive relationships between vertebral counts and

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2007.0069> or via <http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk>.

Figure 1. Average vertebral counts plotted against maximum body length for (a) Boidae and Pythonidae and (b) typhlopids. Overlain trend lines are PGLS regressions omitting giant taxa. Dashed lines represent (a) Boidae and (b) *Typhlops*. PGLS Regression equations (omitting giant taxa) are: Boidae, $y = 11.29x - 1202.2$; Pythonidae, $y = 9.25x - 628.2$; *Typhlops*, $y = 1.95x - 157.2$; *Rhinotyphlops*, $y = 0.89x + 89.8$. Squares represent giant taxa.

body size in all clades (Boidae: $r = 0.50$, $p < 0.01$; Pythonidae: $r = 0.71$, $p < 0.01$; *Typhlops*: $r = 0.63$, $p < 0.01$; *Rhinotyphlops*: $r = 0.70$, $p < 0.01$). The largest species in all four clades have fewer than the expected precloacal vertebrae (figure 1). The largest boids, pythonids and *Typhlops* have vertebral counts near the mean for their respective clades, and the *R. schlegelii* complex possessed among the lowest counts in the genus.

4. DISCUSSION

Results of this study demonstrate pleomerism in basal taxa, indicating that the phenomenon occurs throughout snake phylogeny. Snakes have a greater variability in vertebral numbers than do other amniotes, probably resulting from developmental homogenization of the axial skeleton. In snakes, axial regionalization is reduced by anterior expansion of expression domains for *Hox* genes that code for the dorsal region of the vertebral column, suppressing expression of a distinct cervical region (Cohn & Tickle 1999). As a result, normal constraints on vertebral numbers may be released, allowing for greater variability and providing an additional mechanism for the evolutionary modification of body size (Polly *et al.* 2001).

Correlation between vertebral number and body size does not itself imply causation; however, experiments on early stage embryos have shown that manipulation of body size results in changes in somite size, but not number (Tam 1981). Studies on the relationship between size, growth and vertebral numbers in *Vipera* demonstrated that vertebral counts have direct effects on both overall body size and growth rates (Lindell 1996), and the number of vertebrae in the adult and body size of the embryo in squamates appear to depend on the number of somites that have been produced at the tailbud stage (Raynaud 1994; Richardson *et al.* 1998). The concomitance of segment count and body size at the tailbud stage and in adults suggests that changes in vertebral number in snakes affect body size, but not the converse.

Giant taxa possess relatively low numbers of vertebrae indicating that pleomerism is not the primary mechanism underlying attainment of exceptionally large body size. Pleomerism is a phenomenon associated with segmentation and somitogenesis in the early stages of embryogenesis, but the large size attained by giant snakes must be due to modification of post-somitogenic somatic growth. Normally, in snakes somite number and post-embryonic growth are closely associated, but in giant species these two developmental processes are dissociated (*sensu* Raff 1996).

With the exception of three viperid taxa (Lindell 1994, fig. 11F), dissociation does not appear to play a role in attainment of maximum body sizes in derived colubroid snakes. Colubroids do not achieve the extreme absolute sizes or magnitude of size range in boids and pythonids, but do achieve the sizes and ranges in examined typhlopids. The absence of dissociation in the majority of examined derived taxa may represent greater constraint on somatic growth relative to basal snakes.

The dissociation of somite number and somatic growth suggests that gigantism in basal snakes has evolved in an adaptive milieu where selection works on variation associated with growth processes, whereas in other contexts it acts on variation in segment number. In other giant amniotes, large body size can be achieved by either prolonging growth (Erickson & Brochu 1999) or by increasing the rate of growth (Erickson *et al.* 2004). Life-history data for *Python reticulatus* is consistent with the former, as the onset of sexual maturity occurs at a proportionally smaller SVL size than in other snakes (Shine *et al.* 1998) indicating heterochronic extension of somatic growth well into sexual adulthood. Selection on variation in post-embryonic growth duration may represent a more rapid or economic approach to achieving giant body size as opposed to sexual selection and environmental influences on heritable variation in segment number in smaller snakes (Dohm & Garland 1993; Shine 2000). Whatever the

case, giant taxa are exceptional in having evolved through different mechanisms from those driving the body size evolution in most snakes.

We thank Kevin de Queiroz, Roy McDiarmid and George Zug (Smithsonian Institution), Kevin Seymour (Royal Ontario Museum), Charles Cole (American Museum of Natural History) and Harold Voris (Field Museum of Natural History) for access to specimens. Initial components of this study were funded by a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship in Biological Informatics (NSF 98-162, award number 0204082) to J.J.H.

- Alexander, R. M. 1998 All-time giants: the largest animals and their problems. *Palaeontology* **41**, 1231–1245.
- Alexander, A. A. & Gans, C. 1966 The pattern of dermal-vertebral correlation in snakes and amphisbaenians. *Zool. Meded.* **41**, 171–190.
- Burbrink, F. T. 2005 Inferring the phylogenetic position of *Boa constrictor* among the Boinae. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **34**, 167–180. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.08.017)
- Cohn, M. J. & Tickle, C. 1999 Developmental basis of limblessness and axial patterning in snakes. *Nature* **399**, 474–479. (doi:10.1038/20944)
- Erickson, G. M. & Brochu, C. A. 1999 How the 'terror crocodile' grew so big. *Nature* **398**, 205–206. (doi:10.1038/18343)
- Erickson, G. M., Mackovicky, P. J., Currie, P. J., Norell, M. A., Yerby, S. A. & Brochu, C. A. 2004 Gigantism and comparative life-history parameters of tyrannosaurid dinosaurs. *Nature* **430**, 772–775. (doi:10.1038/nature02699)
- Dirksen, L. 2002 *Anakondas: monographische Revision der Gattung Eunectes Wagler 1830 (Serpentes, Boidae)*. Natur und Tier Verlag GmbH.
- Dohm, M. R. & Garland Jr, T. 1993 Quantitative genetics of scale counts in the garter snake, *Thamnophis sirtalis*. *Copeia* **1993**, 987–1002. (doi:10.2307/1447076)
- Gould, G. C. & McFadden, B. J. 2004 Gigantism, dwarfism, and Cope's Rule: "Nothing in evolution makes sense without a phylogeny". *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* **285**, 219–237. (doi:10.1206/0003-0090(2004)285<0219:C>2.0.CO;2)
- Greene, H. W. 1997 *Snakes, the evolution of mystery in nature*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Hanken, J. & Wake, D. B. 1993 Miniaturization of body size—organismal consequences and evolutionary significance. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **24**, 501–519. (doi:10.1146/annurev.es.24.110193.002441)
- Jockusch, E. L. 1997 Geographic variation and phenotypic plasticity of number of trunk vertebrae in slender salamanders, *Batrachoseps* (Caudata: Plethodontidae). *Evolution* **51**, 196–1982. (doi:10.2307/2411017)
- Kley, N. J. 2003 Blindsnakes (Typhlopidae). In *Grzimek's animal life encyclopedia* (eds M. Hutchins, J. B. Murphy & N. Schlager), pp. 379–385, 2nd edn. Farmington Hills, MI: Gale Group.
- Lawson, R., Slowinski, J. T. & Burbrink, F. T. 2004 A molecular approach to discerning the phylogenetic placement of the enigmatic snake *Xenophidion schaeferi* among the Alethinophidia. *J. Zool.* **263**, 285–294. (doi:10.1017/S0952836904005278)
- Lindell, L. E. 1994 The evolution of vertebral number and body size in snakes. *Funct. Ecol.* **8**, 708–719. (doi:10.2307/2390230)
- Lindell, L. E. 1996 Vertebral number in adders, *Vipera berus*: direct and indirect effects on growth. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **59**, 69–85. (doi:10.1006/bjll.1996.0054)
- Lindsey, C. C. 1975 Pleomerism, the widespread tendency among related fish species for vertebral number to be correlated with maximum body length. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* **32**, 2453–2469.
- Martins, E. P. 2004 COMPARE, version 4.6b. Computer programs for the statistical analysis of comparative data. Distributed by the author at <http://compare.bio.indiana.edu/>. Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington IN.
- Martins, E. P. & Hansen, T. F. 1997 Phylogenies and the comparative method: a general approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of interspecific data. *Am. Nat.* **149**, 646–667. (doi:10.1086/286013)
- Murphy, J. C. & Henderson, R. W. 1997 *Tales of giant snakes: a natural history of anacondas and pythons*. Malabar, FL: Krieger Publishing.
- Polly, P. D., Head, J. J. & Cohn, M. J. 2001 Testing modularity and dissociation: the evolution of regional proportions of snakes. In *Beyond heterochrony: the evolution of development* (ed. M. L. Zelditch), pp. 305–335. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Raff, R. A. 1996 *The shape of life: genes, development, and the evolution of animal form*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Raynaud, A. 1994 Données préliminaires sur l'allongement du corps et la somitogenèse chez les jeunes embryons d'orvet (*Anguis fragilis*, L.) et de lézard vert (*Lacerta viridis*, Laur.). *Bull. Soc. Hist. Nat. Toulouse* **130**, 47–52.
- Richardson, M. K., Allen, S. P., Wright, G. M., Raynaud, A. & Hanken, J. 1998 Somite number and vertebrate evolution. *Development* **125**, 151–160.
- Roux-Estève, R. 1974 Révision systématique des Typhlopidae d'Afrique Reptilia-Serpentes. *Mém. Mus. Nat. Hist. Nat. Sér. A* **87**, 1–313.
- Shine, R. 2000 Vertebral numbers in male and female snakes: the roles of natural, sexual and fecundity selection. *J. Evol. Biol.* **13**, 455–465. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2000.00181.x)
- Shine, R., Harlow, P. S., Keogh, J. S. & Boeadi 1998 The allometry of life-history traits: insights from a study of giant snakes (*Python reticulatus*). *J. Zool.* **244**, 405–414. (doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1998.tb00045.x)
- Tam, P. P. 1981 The control of somitogenesis in mouse embryos. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morph.* **65**, 103–128.
- Wake, D. B. 1979 The endoskeleton: the comparative anatomy of the vertebral column and ribs. In *Hyman's comparative vertebrate anatomy* (ed. M. H. Wake), pp. 192–237. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.