Hospital for operation, and he arrived four days later none the worse for the journey. Assistant-Surgeon Nobin Chunder Dutt and myself then made a careful examination of the patient, and were perfectly convinced that we had to deal with the spleen, and not the liver; and further, that the only treatment advisable was removal of the prolapsed organ.

CONDITION OF THE PARTS.

The spleen projected from the side of the body like a huge fungus, completely overlapping on all sides the opening through which it had escaped. It was about two and a-half times its normal size, having contracted greatly during the past fortnight; the splenic notch was well marked, though compressed somewhat, so that it appeared more like a fissure or depression than a notch on the inner side of the organ, and it was this appearance which in all probability misled the surgeon who saw the case originally.

The internal surface of the mass was dark-red and granular in patches where slight ulceration had occurred; the margins were thick, rounded, and hard, and below the under surface had, by its middle, formed a firm attachment to the skin, this central adhesion being about 6 inches in circumference; the whole mass bore a striking resemblance to a "Tam o' Shanter" cap. The union of the spleen with the cutaneous surface was very firm all round, except at one spot at its upper part, where there was an ulcerated opening which admitted the finger and led to the tenth rib, which was fractured, the broken edges being sharp and bare. In the immediate neighbourhood of this opening the adhesions between the skin and the spleen were soft and very vascular, bleeding on very slight manipulation; elsewhere they were extremely firm, so that their separation would have been a matter of great difficulty, and would probably have resulted in free hæmorrhage. Hence, I decided not to attempt to free the organ by attacking the adhesions from without, but to undermine them from within.

OPERATION.

The patient was prepared for operation, and chloroform having been administered on the morning of May 16th, I proceeded to operate, assisted by Assistant-Surgeon N. C. Dutt, and Hospital Assistants Shama Charan Tapadar and Kali Das Ghose. The mass and its vicinity having been rendered surgically clean, I made an oblique incision 2 inches to the inner side of, and parallel to, the original wound, and gradually dissected down to the pedicle (the splenic vessels), which was found without difficulty, and which was free on all sides. Round this a ligature was passed which would command the vessels in the event of hæmorrhage occurring from above. Dr. N. C. Dutt took charge of this. I then passed my index finger from within outwards, reaching the spot which led to the fractured rib, and proceeded to break down the adhesions and free the organ from the point of least attachment. This led to brisk hæmorrhage, but the ligature was tightened and restrained the bleeding, and I then rapidly separated the adhesions all round, some of them being extremely firm. Separation being completed, a second stout silk ligature was applied just above the first for greater security, and the mass was removed with scissors. Having satisfied myself that the pedicle was thoroughly secured, I removed the sharp and bare ends of the broken rib with bone forceps, being careful not to wound the pleura, which was in unpleasant proximity to that bone.

The wounds were then lightly washed with carbolic lotion; the lips of the recent incision were brought together with horsehair sutures; and the margins of the original wound, which were hard and callous, were freely scraped with a sharp spoon and brought into approximation with wire sutures; but I had very little hope of their remaining in coaptation. The parts were then dusted with iodoform, covered with salicylic wool, and a broad bandage was applied round the body. The operation lasted about half an hour, and the patient rallied very satisfactorily; he made no complaint of pain, was in very good spirits, and was not sick.

AFTER-HISTORY.

Before the operation he had had daily accession of fever, the temperature rising to 103.5°, or higher every evening; but the temperature fell after the operation, and ceased to rise above the normal point after three days. There was a good

deal of discharge for the first week, necessitating a change of dressings twice a day; but this gradually diminished till, a fortnight after the operation, there was scarcely any. The recent wound healed by first intention, the other by granulation, contracting rapidly. The ligatures came away on June 11th, twenty-six days after the operation.

The absolutely uneventful after-history of the patient was remarkable, for, after the spleen was removed, his progress towards recovery was unmarked by any complaint of pain or discomfort, except on one occasion, when he had a sharp attack of diarrhœa, the result of his friends having given him forbidden articles of diet.

REMARKS.

The above case was interesting in many ways. The enlarged spleen prolapsed through the long rent in the side of the abdomen without receiving any injury. It was examined, within a few hours, by an experienced man, who mistook it for the liver, and whose attempts to reduce it were unsuccessful. It slowly contracted, becoming, within a month, less by half in size, and contracted very firm adhesions to the skin, the peritoneal cavity, meanwhile, being completely shut off. As there had been a compound fracture of the tenth rib, with subsequent necrosis of the broken ends of the bone, a sinus remained, leading from the prolapsed organ to the bone, and here the adhesions were very vascular. The pleura, fortunately, escaped injury, otherwise the case might have been seriously complicated.

My line of action—namely, working down to the pedicle from below, instead of simply breaking down the adhesions from above—was, I think, justified by the result, there being absolute command over the vessels, and the operation being thus rendered simple and expeditious.

I am greatly indebted to Dr. Nobin Chunder Dutt for his skilled and intelligent assistance, and to Hospital Assistants Shama Charan Tapadar and Kali Das Ghose, who rendered valuable help.

EUCAINE AS A LOCAL ANÆSTHETIC IN THE SURGERY OF THE THROAT, NOSE, AND EAR.

[PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION.]

BY

W. JOBSON HORNE, M.A., and MACLEOD YEARSLEY,
M.B.Camb., M.R.C.P.Lond., F.R.C.S.Eng.,
Physician in Charge Surgeon in Charge
of the Department for Diseases of the Throat, Nose, and Ear,
Farringdon General Dispensary and Lying-in Charity.

SINCE the discovery of the anæsthetic action of cocaine by Niemann and its introduction into practice by Koller that alkaloid has held its own among the more valuable drugs of the *Pharmacopœia*, despite its disadvantages. But the untoward effects which have unexpectedly followed the use of cocaine have led those constantly using it to realise that its action is uncertain, and that it is therefore as well to keep antidotal remedies at hand.

Quite recently a rival has been introduced in the shape of eucaine, which is said to possess the anæsthetic action of cocaine without producing any of the toxic effects. The importance of a drug which could make good its claims to those properties it would be difficult to overrate, and, in order to arrive at its clinical value, we have lately used eucaine exclusively in throat, nose, and ear surgery.

Eucaine was first investigated by Dr. Gaetano Vinci, under the direction of Professor Liebreich. At the same time that Vinci's results were communicated to the Hufeland Society in Berlin,¹ Professor Emile Berger,² in Paris, was engaged in an extensive clinical examination of the drug. Both Vinci's and Berger's investigations, however, deal chiefly with eucaine from its ophthalmological aspect, one which is not within the province of this communication to deal with. A third observer in this branch of surgery is Professor Deneffe,³ of the University of Gand, Belgium. Dr. Hal Foster⁴ recently published some short notes on the Use of Eucaine

¹ April 16th, 1896.

² *Revue de Thérapeutique Médico-Chirurgicale*, 1896, No. 12.

³ *Le Scalpel*, 1896, No. 11.

⁴ *Lancet*, August, 1896.

Hydrochloride in the Nose and Throat, giving two cases—one of turbinate hypertrophy reduced by galvano-cautery, one of tonsillotomy. He also mentions other cases. His results were completely successful.

Dr. A. L. Fuller⁵ used eucaine (by the endermic injection of 20 minims of a 10 per cent. solution) with complete success for the removal of a mole. He mentions also a case in which it was used to anæsthetise a tuberculous ulcer for curetting. Parlaghy, of Paris, is reported to have demonstrated the powers of eucaine before several physicians in the Assistance Publique de Paris, who proclaimed it an ideal anæsthetic. Other dental surgeons who have experimented with it are Kiesel, Warnekros, and Wolff, of Berlin, their verdicts are uniformly favourable. We shall have occasion to refer again to Kiesel's work.

Eucaine is the methylester of benzoyl-n-methyl-tetra methyl-gamma-oxy-piperidine-carboxylic acid. Its physical characters were thus defined to the Berlin Pharmaceutical Society by G. Merling.

Eucaine base crystallises from ether or alcohol in large glistening prisms, which melt at 104° C. Eucaine hydrochloride crystallises from methyl alcohol solutions in large glistening efflorescent prisms containing two molecules of methyl alcohol, and from watery solutions in glistening leaflets containing one molecule of water of crystallisation and permanent in the air. Eucaine hydrochloride dissolves in about ten parts of water at room temperature.

Vinci carried out certain physiological experiments with eucaine on mice, rabbits, and dogs. He found that the instillation into the eye of a rabbit or dog of a 2 to 5 per cent. solution produced complete anæsthesia in one or two minutes, which lasted on an average ten or twenty minutes. A slight hyperæmic action was thereby developed, sometimes accompanied by slight symptoms of irritation of the conjunctiva. Eucaine acts upon the central nervous system at first as an excitant, later on in toxic doses producing paralysis. Small doses of eucaine increase the reflex excitability of mice and rabbits. Doses of $\frac{1}{2}$ grain per kilo body weight cause tonic and clonic convulsions, which last several seconds and recur at moderate intervals. An increase of the dose causes paralysis, under which the animal dies. Should the dose be resisted, the paralysis following upon the convulsions totally disappears. Vinci found that the pulse is gradually slowed by subcutaneous and intravenous injections of small and moderate doses to the extent of 20 to 30 beats per minute. There is no increase of blood pressure.

Berger's investigations corroborated those of Vinci upon all important points. The former demonstrated the action of eucaine to be analogous to that of cocaine, with the following important differences:

1. Eucaine is less toxic.
2. It slows the pulse.
3. It does not affect the pupils.

Dr. Charteris, Professor of Materia Medica and Therapeutics at the University of Glasgow, read a paper before the Royal Society of Edinburgh, in which he described experiments corroborating those of Vinci and Berger.

Before concluding this short review of the work done by others we should like to quote the following remarks of Kiesel⁶ summarising the advantages of eucaine:

1. The heart is not influenced in any way; with nervous patients I have often had the opportunity of observing that the pulse before the operation had risen to 120 or 130, whilst after injection it rapidly fell to its normal rate without irregularity, and maintained its normal character.
2. Anæsthesia is more extensive than with cocaine, both as regards time and locality. In my experience the anæsthesia has in individual cases extended to the muscular tissues.
3. Solutions prepared with sterilised water and maintained at the room temperature remain always clear even without the addition of carbolic or salicylic acid, and never become flocculent like those of cocaine.

One more advantage—considered by Berger to be one of its most valuable properties—is that eucaine can be sterilised by boiling without undergoing decomposition.

In investigating the uses of eucaine in the surgery of the throat, nose, and ear, we feel that the points to which we should endeavour to direct special attention are:

1. The strength of solution required.
2. The rapidity, intensity, and extent of the anæsthesia.
3. The general and local action upon the circulatory system.
4. The after-effects.

Although upon all these points the opinions we have been enabled to form are favourable, we wish it to be clearly understood that they are but tentative, and our final verdict is withheld until we have given the drug a more extended trial.

The number of occasions on which we have employed eucaine is thirty-two, and they may be classified as follows:

A. Examinations:				
1. Ear	...	...	...	2
2. Laryngoscopy and posterior rhinoscopy	...	...	...	4
B. Operations:				
I. Ear:				
1. Myringotomy	...	...	...	4
2. Furuncle	...	...	...	1
II. Nose:				
1. Galvano-cautery	...	...	...	11
2. Spurs	...	...	...	4
3. Polypi	...	...	...	1
4. Other growths	...	...	...	1
III. Throat:				
Tonsillotomy	...	...	...	4

Of these, 19 were males varying in age from 4 to 42, and 13 were females from 6 to 70 years. Moreover, 6 of the patients (3 males and 3 females) had experienced the local anæsthesia of cocaine, a fact to which we shall revert later.

1. *Strength of Solution required.*—The solutions used were in three strengths, 2, 5, and 8 per cent. Of these, we found that the 2 per cent. is quite sufficient for anæsthetising the uvula, etc., for laryngoscopy or posterior rhinoscopy, and for aural examinations. In one case (Case II, male, aged 21) seven drops of a warm 2 per cent. solution dropped into the left ear and retained (with the head inclined to the right) for 5 minutes caused complete anæsthesia of the membrana tympani lasting nearly 20 minutes. Another man (Case XXVII, aged 42) who was most intolerant of laryngoscopy, permitted a complete examination. In the latter case, the 8 per cent. solution was first used, but on the next occasion the 2 per cent. was found sufficient, as also for Case XXXII and for Case XXIX (posterior rhinoscopy). The 5 or 8 per cent. solutions have been used for operative procedures on nose, throat, and ear; and, although in Case IV an aural furuncle was incised without pain under the 5 per cent., and in Cases III, VI, VII, and X the same solution sufficiently anæsthetised the membrana tympani to permit of myringotomy, it was generally found that for all operative measures on throat, nose, or ear the 8 per cent. solution was the more reliable. Furthermore (as will be noted below), eucaine being in our experience (so far) devoid of unpleasant after-effects, it is of advantage to use the stronger solution. In Case I an attempt was made to cauterise an inferior turbinate body under a 2 per cent. solution, thus obtaining our only unsatisfactory result, the case requiring the 5 per cent. before proceeding.

Methods of Application Used.—1. For the ear, warm instillation retained for from five to eight minutes by inclining the head to the opposite side. 2. For the nose, either simple swabbing, or the insertion of a pledget of cotton-wool (soaked in eucaine) for five to ten minutes. 3. For the throat, simple swabbing with a pledget of cotton wool. On no occasion was eucaine applied by means of a spray.

2. *Rapidity, Intensity, and Extent of Anæsthesia.*—We have found that the anæsthesia is slightly slower in onset than that of cocaine, and that the cases required from five to ten minutes to elapse before they were ready for operation. When established the anæsthesia is fully equal to that of cocaine, and in this our opinion is endorsed by the patients who had previously experienced the latter. The duration of the anæsthesia is from ten to twenty minutes, fifteen minutes being the most usual. In the extent of the anæsthesia we have had no reason for dissatisfaction. We found that when the membrana tympani was anæsthetic, the tympanum and ossicular chain were equally so. A pledget of wool passed into the anterior half of the naris rendered the inferior turbinate body anæsthetic in its whole extent. Similarly, simple swabbing of the tonsils rendered them anæsthetic throughout.

3. *Action upon the Circulation.*—a. *General.* So far our investigations as to the effect of eucaine on the pulse are inconclusive. In many instances the observations must be excluded as unreliable, on account of the mental influences in the patient due to operation. In the few instances, however, in which the observations may be considered reliable, the pulse has remained the same in rate and character through-

⁵ *International Journal of Surgery*, ix, p. 259.

⁶ *Zahnärztliche Rundschau*, 1896, No. 196.

out. In no instance have we noted any slowing of the pulse. In only three cases have we seen any unpleasant effects upon the circulation following an operation or examination under eucaïne, and in each of these there was sufficient reason to otherwise account for the trouble without attributing it to the drug. We have judged it best to relate briefly these three occurrences.

CASE XV.—J. W., aged 25, male, has been suffering from chronic suppurative otitis media for twenty years. A warm 8 per cent. solution of eucaïne was introduced into both ears and retained for ten minutes to allow of an examination with the probe. The anæsthesia was complete, but on touching a small granulation on the inner wall of the left tympanum the patient fell from the chair in a swoon, his face becoming livid, his lips blue, and his pulse small and irregular. He revived as soon as he was laid upon the floor, and then broke out into a profuse cold sweat. Later he stated that with the swooning sensation he experienced one of intense nausea, and thought he would vomit. He had experienced similar sensations before, while syringing the left ear. The unpleasant symptoms in this case were undoubtedly due to the combined cardiac and gastric aural reflexes.

CASE XVI.—A. C., aged 70, female, suffering from a large new growth in the right nostril. A piece was removed for microscopic examination after being swabbed with 8 per cent. eucaïne. The pulse was 72, and remained unchanged in rate or character throughout. The local anæsthesia was complete. After the operation the patient complained of feeling faint. She had, however, been suffering from attacks of profuse epistaxis for five months, and was also the subject of aortic insufficiency.

CASE XXV.—A. A., aged 28, female.¹ A nasal polypus was removed from this patient under an 8 per cent. solution of eucaïne. Immediately after the operation she became faint, but did not lose consciousness. Pulse 108. This patient was in a very low state of health, having active syphilitic ulceration of the soft palate, and active tuberculous deposit at both apices, while she was also suffering from anæmia and debility consequent upon a recent miscarriage.

b. Local.—Our observations upon the local effects of eucaïne on the circulation are at present incomplete, several points having arisen requiring further consideration. We have not, however, found it to cause hyperæmia of the turbinate bodies, in fact in several cases it has induced slight ischæmia. In two cases it has been noted that a turbinate body which before the application was in contact with the septum was not touching it in any part when anæsthesia was established. Of course, any ischæmia observed was not to be compared to that caused by cocaine. This is a point upon which we hope to make further observations in the future. In no case has there been the hæmorrhage after an operation which so often forms an unpleasant feature of cocaine anæsthesia. We would here wish to mention an apparent effect upon the salivary secretion. In the first case (xxvii), in which an 8 per cent. solution was used to anæsthetise the uvula for laryngoscopy, a considerable increase of saliva was noted. On another occasion, when a 2 per cent. solution was used, this feature was absent. A similar increased salivation was noted in Case xxxii under the 2 per cent. In Case xxix (posterior rhinoscopy) the saliva was not increased. Out of four tonsillotomies, in only one was increased salivation noted. This is an important point for decision by future observation, as its upholding will establish another point of difference from cocaine (which decreases the salivary secretion), and may detract from the usefulness of eucaïne in operations upon the oral cavity.

4. After-effects.—With the exception of Cases xv, xvi, and xxv, we have not noted a single instance of what might be construed as an unpleasant after-effect; the 3 excepted cases have been already related and explained. We have alluded to 6 cases as having experienced the effects of cocaine. In the first of these (Case iii) a female, aged 55, the use of cocaine for the removal of a nasal spur had caused such alarming syncope as to necessitate the employment of ether and amyl nitrite. This case has since been three times under eucaïne without the least discomfort. The 5 remaining cases all declared that, whilst cocaine had caused unpleasant sensations in the mouth and throat (variously described as "contracting," "freezing," and "numbing"), lasting for some hours, eucaïne on the contrary rendered them anæsthetic to the operation without causing any after-effect greater than (in one case) an unpleasant taste lasting half an hour.

Several points remain for further experience to decide, but we consider that our results so far justify us in continuing the investigation. Eucaïne cannot, however, wholly replace cocaine, since the effect of the latter in reducing the size of the turbinate bodies gives it a value as an aid to diagnosis which eucaïne does not appear to possess.

THE TREATMENT OF PHTHISIS BY GUAIACOLATE OF PIPERIDINE.

BY

ARNOLD CHAPLIN, and F. W. TUNNICLIFFE,

M.D., M.R.C.P.,

M.D., M.R.C.P.

Assistant Physician, City of London
Hospital for Diseases of the Chest,
Victoria Park, and the East London
Hospital for Children.

THE success which has attended the treatment of phthisis by creasote and its derivatives, especially in the earlier stages, has led us to try a newly synthesised body, piperidine guaiacolate, which not only contains an antiseptic moiety, but also a constituent which possesses a nervine and vascular tonic action. In order to put our results with this substance as succinctly as possible, we shall divide this paper into three parts—(I) Chemistry, (II) Pharmacology, (III) Therapeutics.

I. CHEMISTRY.

Piperidine guaiacolate has been prepared by Dr. Schidrowitz, and for its chemistry we are indebted to him.¹ It is a compound formed by the action of piperidine on guaiacol in a suitable solvent, such as benzol or petroleic ether, and it must be regarded as a guaiacolate of piperidine, having the formula $C_6H_4NC_4H_9O_2$. Its exact chemical composition is still under investigation. It crystallises in prismatic needles or plates. It is soluble to the extent of 3.5 per cent. in water; it is also easily soluble in most organic solvents. It melts at 79.81° C. It is decomposed into its constituents by mineral acids and alkalis. The chemical property which from a pharmaceutical standpoint is most worthy of note is the relative solubility of this salt. When the insolubility of the carbonate of guaiacol is borne in mind this becomes emphasised. The solubility is such that gr. x can be administered in an ounce draught of simple water, or if the specific gravity of the medium be raised by the addition of a little glycerine or mucilage, a dose of gr. xx to xxx can be given.

II. PHARMACOLOGY.

The pharmacology of the guaiacolate of piperidine resolves itself into the pharmacology of guaiacol and piperidine, for it is into these substances that the salt is decomposed, probably not in the acid medium of the stomach, but in the alkaline one of the duodenum. The reason for this assumption is that large doses—3j—can be given without the slightest eructation of guaiacol. The action of guaiacol is too well known to be discussed here; it acts in the intestine as an antiseptic, in the structures through which it is excreted, for example, the respiratory mucous membrane, it acts also as an antiseptic. We will only give here a brief summary of the pharmacology of piperidine, as this subject forms part of a research to be published elsewhere by one of us (Tunncliffe) in conjunction with Dr. Lauder Brunton. When hydrochlorate of piperidine, suitably diluted, is injected into the circulation in doses of 0.05 g. pro kilo body weight, the heart is slowed and the vessels contracted, a considerable rise of blood pressure taking place. When injected under the skin in doses of from 1 to 2 cg. pro kilo, an increase in reflex excitability occurs, so that if the drug is pushed convulsions may develop. Thus in suitable doses piperidine must be regarded as a cardio-vascular tonic and spinal stimulant.

III. THERAPEUTICS.

During the last three months an inquiry as to the value of piperidine guaiacolate in the treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis has been carried out at the City of London Hospital for Diseases of the Chest. The patients to whom the drug was given were subjected to close observation, and the effects of the medicine were from time to time noted. In all, 14 cases were placed under observation, of which 8 were out-patients and 6 in-patients. The duration of the observations varied, but as a general statement it may be said that six weeks was the average. In order to test efficiently the value of the drug, cases were chosen more or less haphazard, some being early

¹ *Proc. Laryng. Soc. Lond.*, vol. iv, I. p. 14

¹ The manufacturers are Messrs. Joseph Turner and Co., Queensferry, Flintshire