Published in final edited form as:

J Surg Res. 2008 June 15; 147(2): 247–252.

Intralymphatic chemotherapy using a hyaluronan-cisplatin conjugate

Shuang Cai, MS¹, Yumei Xie, PhD¹, Taryn R. Bagby, BS¹, Mark S. Cohen, MD^{2*}, and M. Laird Forrest, PhD.^{1*}

¹The University of Kansas, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 2095 Constant Ave, Lawrence, KS 66047.

²The University of Kansas Medical Center, Department of Surgery, 3901 Rainbow Blvd, Kansas City, KS 66160

Abstract

Background—Breast cancers typically spread to regional lymph nodes once they disseminate from the primary tumor, thus adequate evaluation and treatment of the axillary lymph nodes is paramount in early stage disease. One significant problem with current therapy is the side effects chemotherapy agents create systemically either alone or in combination. The purpose of this study is to determine if lymphatically targeted cisplatin carriers will increase the localized dose in lymphatic metastases without systemic toxicities.

Methods—Hyaluronan (HA) is a highly biocompatible polymer that follows lymphatic drainage from the interstitial spaces. We formed complexes of HA and cisplatin by non-covalent conjugation. Complexes were injected subcutaneously into the upper mammary fat pad of female rats, and the tissue distribution determined.

Results—Cisplatin-HA contained up to 0.25 w/w of Pt and released drug with a half-life of 10 hours in saline. Cisplatin-HA conjugates had high anti-tumor activity in vitro similar to the free drug: cisplatin-HA IC₅₀ 7μg/mL in MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells (free cisplatin IC₅₀ 7μg/mL). Cisplatin-HA conjugates were well tolerated in rodents with no signs of injection site morbidity or major organ toxicity after 96 hours. The AUC of cisplatin in the axially lymph nodes after injection with cisplatin-HA increased 74% compared to normal cisplatin.

Conclusions—This study demonstrates a novel intralymphatic drug delivery method in breast cancer to preferentially treat at-risk regional lymph nodes and avoid systemic toxicities. Further in vivo testing related to efficacy of this approach with regard to survival, toxicity and pharmacokinetics is warranted to support its use in human trials.

Keywords

cancer; polymeric drug carrier; lymphatic transport; cancer chemotherapy

*Correspondence may be addressed to MLF, mforrest@ku.edu, Ph: +1 (785) 864-4388, Fax: +1 (785) 864-5736; or MSC, mcohen@kumc.edu, Ph: +1 (913) 588-2706, Fax: +1 (913) 588-4593.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

INTRODUCTION

Early breast cancers spread initially from the primary tumor site to regional lymph nodes in the axilla prior to systemic dissemination. Surgery and radiation therapy can be effective for loco-regional disease, but carry a moderate morbidity risk including painful lymphedema (1). We therefore sought to develop chemotherapeutic formulation that could be given locally and isolated to the draining lymphatic basin of the breast, where early metastases are more prevalent. Cisplatin is rarely used in routine treatment of breast cancer, but may be useful in combination therapy as several recent studies have demonstrated the efficacy of platinum combinations with taxanes and trastuzumab (2).

The inclusion of platinum in regimens has been associated with several toxicities including increased risk of leukopenia, nausea, hair loss, acute nephrotoxicity, chronic neurotoxicity, and anemia (3). Thus, a locoregional therapy approach for disease confined to the breast and axilla may greatly improve the use of platinum in breast cancer chemotherapy. For this purpose, hyaluronan may be an ideal carrier for localizing cisplatin to the lymph nodes. Hyaluronan (HA) is a polysaccharide, of alternating D-glucuronic acid and N-acetyl D-glucosamine, found in the connective tissues of the body and cleared primarily by the lymphatic system (12 to 72 hrs turnover half-life (4)). After entering the lymphatic vessel, HA is transported to nodes where it is catabolized by receptor-mediated endocytosis and lysosomal degradation. Several studies have correlated increased HA synthesis and uptake with cancer progression and metastatic potential (5,6). Breast cancer cells are known to have greater uptake of HA than normal tissues (7), requiring HA for high P-glycoprotein expression, the primary contributor to multi-drug resistance (8). Knockout of HA receptors has been reported to prevent migration of cancers that initially spread intralymphatically (9). Furthermore, invasive breast cancer cells overexpress CD44, the primary receptor for HA (10), and are dependent on high concentrations of CD44-internalized HA for proliferation (reviewed in (7)). Cisplatin conjugates to HA may be very efficacious against lymphatic metastases.

Previous reports have demonstrated the ability of HA to form stable conjugates with platinum drugs (11,12), but this is the first in vivo study of cisplatin-HA and the first examination of HA-drug conjugates designed for lymphatic deposition and retention. Furthermore, we show that HA is drained to the axilla basin of rats after subcutaneous injection into the mammary fatpad, laying the foundation for future studies of tissue distribution, pharmacokinetics, and anti-tumor activity in rodent models of breast cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Hyaluronan from microbial fermentation was purchased from Lifecore Biomedical (Chaska, MN) as sodium hyaluronate and used without further purification. All other reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO) or Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA) and were of ACS grade or better. Milli-Q water was used in all experiments. Cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA) and were maintained according to ATCC recommendations. Animal procedures were approved by the University of Kansas Animal Care and Use committee (AUS#168-3 & 4). **Caution:** Cisplatin is extremely toxic and all chemical waste and animal tissues were treated as hazardous waste and disposed of accordingly.

Synthesis of cisplatin-HA conjugates

Cisplatin was conjugated to hyaluronan (HA) (35 000 g/mol) using silver nitrate as an activating reagent (12). HA (100 mg), cisplatin (45 mg), and silver nitrate (25.5 mg) were

dissolved in H₂O (20 mL) and stirred in the dark for 18 hrs at ambient temperature (ca. 25°C). The reaction mixture was filtered (0.2- μ m nylon membrane) and dialyzed against H₂O (3 500 MWCO, Pierce, Rockford, IL) for 48 hrs at 4°C. Following dialysis, the crude product was concentrated and stored as a 9.1 mg/mL solution, and the degree of cisplatin substitution was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). The resulting conjugate is referred to as cisplatin-HA for clarity, although the conjugate is [PtCl(H₂O)(NH₃)₂]OOCO-HA (Scheme 1). During the course of completing this study, a similar procedure for conjugation to high molecular weight HA was reported by Jeong et al.(11)

Fluorescent conjugates of HA were formed by condensation of Texas Red hydrazide to HA. HA (35 000 MW, 100 mg) in 10 mL of 30% H₂O:EtOH was activated with 2-chloro-1-methylpyridinium iodide (33 mg) and triethylamine (35 μ L). After the addition of Texas Red hydrazide (AnaSpec Inc., San Jose, CA) (2 mg in 0.4 mL of DMSO), the mixture was refluxed for 24 hrs. Workup proceeded by dialysis against H₂O for 48 hrs at ambient temperature, followed by lyophilization. Conjugation efficiency was determined using a molar extinction coefficient of 81 800 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ at λ 588 nm.

In vitro drug release

In vitro release rate of cisplatin from cisplatin-HA was determined in phosphate buffer with and without saline. Cisplatin-HA was added to 3 500 MWCO dialysis bag (Pierce) and placed in a phosphate-buffered water bath (pH 7.4, 37°C) or physiological saline (140 mM). Samples were taken from the dialysis bags at predetermined time points and remaining Pt concentration determined by AAS.

Cell toxicity

Cell lines were seeded into 96-well plates (5 000 cells/well) in DMEM medium supplemented with 5% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. After 24 hrs, cisplatin, cisplatin-HA, or HA was applied (n=12, 7 concentrations), and 72 hrs post-addition, reazurin blue in 10 μ L PBS was applied to each well (final concentration of 5 mM). After 4 hrs, well fluorescence was measured (λ_{ex} 560 nm, λ_{em} 590 nm) (SpectraMax Gemini, Molecular Devices), and the IC₅₀ determined as the midpoint between saline (positive) and cell-free (negative) controls.

Tissue distribution

Sprague-Dawley rats (200–250 g females, Charles River) were placed under isoflurane anesthesia and injected subcutaneously (100 μ L) into the right mammary fat pad with cisplatin-HA or cisplatin in 0.9% saline (3.5 mg/kg equivalent cisplatin) (n=5). Animals were allowed to recover with access to food and water. After 1, 4, 12, 24, 48 and 96 hrs post-injection, animals were euthanized by isoflurane overdose. Organs and tissues were washed with 0.9% saline and frozen (–80°C) until analysis. Plasma was separated by centrifugation from whole blood and frozen (–80 °C).

Atomic absorption spectroscopy for cisplatin (AAS)

In vitro release samples (n=3) and plasma samples (n=5) were diluted 200-fold and 10-fold, respectively, with 0.1% nitric acid for analysis. Tissue samples (except for lymph nodes) were prepared by digesting 50 mg of tissue in 1.5 mL of 6.7% nitric acid for 1 hr at 80°C. Lymph nodes were processed similarly using 10 mg of tissue. After digestion, samples were homogenized (Tissue Tearor, BioSpec Products Inc., Bartlesville, OK). All samples were centrifuged (17 000 $\times$ g, 20 min), and the supernate used for analysis.

Analysis was performed on a Varian SpectrAA GTA-110 with graphite furnace and partition tubes. Samples (21 μ L) were injected using the autosampler, followed by 19 μ L of 0.1% nitric

acid. Every 10 samples were bracked by calibration standards at 150, 300, and 450 ng/mL, and a quality control sample (150 or 300 ng/mL) every 5 samples. A full calibration curve was prepared from 1 to 450 ng/mL in 0.1% nitric acid (10 concentrations). Pt recovery was determined by spiking tissue blanks with cisplatin or cisplatin-HA (50 µg/g) and processing as above. The furnace program was as follows: ramp 25 to 80°C, hold 2 s, ramp to 120°C, hold 10 s, ramp to 1000°C, hold 5 s, ramp to 2700°C, hold 2 s, cool to 25°C over 20 s. The graphite partition tube was cleaned every 40 samples by baking at 2800°C for 7 s. Argon was used as the injection and carrier gas.

In vivo imaging

Lymphatic breast tumor metastasis were induced in nude mice according to the procedure of Chambers and coworkers (13), who were kind enough to provide the lymphatically metastatic breast tumor cell line MDA-MB-468LN. Nude mice (25–30 g females, Charles River) were anesthetized with pentobarbital (50 mg/kg), and 100 µL of MDA-MB-468LN (10^7 cells/mL) was injected orthotopically into the left second thoracic mammary fatpad through a small incision later closed with a wound clip. Tumors were palpable after 4–5 wks (100–300 mm³). Before imaging, mice were anesthetized, and Texas Red-HA (10 mg/mL in saline, 20 µL) was injected subcutaneously over the left mammary fatpad. The injection area was massaged gently for 5 min and fluorescently imaged after 5 and 18 hrs (CRI Maestro Flex, CRI Inc., Woburn, MA) using a 445- to 490-nm filtered halogen excitation light and a 515-nm longpass emission filter.

Fluorescence was measured in 10-nm bandpass segments from 520 to 720 nm, using a cooled CCD camera with autoexposure. Images were spectrally unmixed using the automatic deconvolution tools (Maestro ver. 2.4) to limit skin and intestine autofluorescence resulting from chlorophyll in food.

RESULTS

Cisplatin-HA conjugation, release, and measurement

Cisplatin was highly conjugated to HA, with typical conjugations of 0.25 w/w cisplatin/complex using a starting ratio of 0.5 w/w cisplatin/HA. Up to 0.75 w/w cisplatin/complex was attempted with decreasing efficiency (Table 1). The release rate of cisplatin from complexes was determined in both phosphate buffered saline and water. The Cl⁻ in saline was expected to more rapidly displace cisplatin, increasing the release rate. The release of drug showed near first order release kinetics with a release half-life of 42 h in water and 10 h in physiological saline. The AAS produced a linear concentration curve from 10 to 450 ng/mL ($R^2=0.9998$), with a limit of detection of 5 ng/mL and a limit of quantification of 10 ng/mL (5% standard deviation). Pt recovery from cisplatin-HA spiked tissues was: plasma, 82±4% (±STD); lymph nodes, 92±2%; bladder, 88±1%; brain, 94±0.3%; heart, 97±1%; kidneys, 98±1%; liver, 100±1%; lung, 94±1%; muscle, 95±1%; spleen, 97±1%. Pt recovery from cisplatin-spiked tissues was: plasma, 80±3%; lymph nodes, 92±6%; bladder, 86±3%; brain, 93±10%; heart, 93±5%; kidneys, 100±2%; liver, 100±7%; lung, 95±8%; muscle, 100±5%; spleen, 96±9%.

Cell toxicity

Cisplatin-HA conjugates had similar toxicities to free cisplatin in cell culture. Toxicity was evaluated in the highly metastatic human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 (Figure 1). In both cell lines, there was no appreciable difference in toxicity between cisplatin-HA (IC₅₀ 7 µg/mL, cisplatin basis) and cisplatin (IC₅₀ 7 µg/mL). HA had no toxicity to human cells over the concentration range examined (up to 10 mg/mL, data not shown).

Tissue distribution

Conjugation of cisplatin to HA impacted the local concentration of cisplatin in draining lymph nodes with a minor effect on systemic concentrations (Figure 3, Table 2). Over the experimental timeframe of 96 hrs, the area-under-the-curve (AUC) of cisplatin-HA conjugates in the right lymph node (RLN), which drains the injection site, was 74% greater than cisplatin in saline ($p=0.0001$), and the RLN had increased tissue concentrations over the examined period (Figure 3A). The AUC of cisplatin-HA in the non-draining left lymph node (LLN) was not significantly different from cisplatin in saline ($p=0.12$).

A burst release of free cisplatin appeared in the plasma concentration profile (Figure 3B), whereas cisplatin-HA demonstrated a longer, sustained release into the plasma. This is significant because dose-limiting toxicities of cisplatin therapy are strongly influenced by peak plasma concentration (14). There was not a significant difference in the plasma AUC between cisplatin-HA and cisplatin ($p=0.13$). Thus, localized therapy with cisplatin-HA may generate sufficient serum concentrations to treat distant metastases, while providing a boost therapy for the breast lymphatics. The distribution of Pt to other organs was not significantly different over the study period (Figure 4, Table 2).

In vivo imaging

Fluorescent conjugates of HA to Texas Red (1 dye/23 HA molecules) drained into the left lymphatic basins after a subcutaneous injection into the left mammary fatpad, with no observed bilateral drainage into the right basin. After 5 hrs, localization of HA in the nodes surrounding the tumor can be clearly observed (Figure 5B). Most of the carrier has localized in the basin of the tumor at 18 hrs with a lesser amount remaining the adjacent axillary node basins (Figure 5C).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that intralymphatic delivery of platinum chemotherapeutics may greatly increase the local concentration in drain lymph node basin, with no determination impact on non-targeted organs or systemic concentrations. Cisplatin, while not typically given as single agent chemotherapy in breast cancer has been used in combination therapies (2). One problem associated with platinum-based chemotherapies is renal toxicity. If a better method of delivering a somewhat toxic agent like cisplatin could limit organ toxicity while maintaining tumor efficacy and delivering a higher drug concentration to nodal tissue where most locoregional tumor cells reside, this would open up several more treatment strategies which have previously been abandoned. Our intralymphatic delivery model using cisplatin-HA not only increases drug concentrations in locoregional nodal tissue significantly above the standard cisplatin formulation (74% greater AUC), but it also exhibits sustained release kinetics, allowing lower C_{max} levels which could translate into lower organ toxicity over time. Looking at tissue distribution of the drug and comparing tissue levels of cisplatin with cisplatin-HA, the only tissue level that was significantly different was the axillary lymph nodes ipsilateral to the drug injection. This translated into almost double the concentration of cisplatin penetrating the locoregional nodes using cisplatin-HA compared to cisplatin injected directly into the breast subcutaneously. This nodal level achieved by cisplatin-HA would be even more significant if you compared IV cisplatin to peritumoral injected cisplatin-HA, since most of IV cisplatin is cleared through the liver and kidneys and only a very small fraction of the injected dose penetrates the nodal tissue of concern. Therefore cisplatin-HA delivered through the lymphatics would significantly boost the concentration of drug locoregional tumor cells come in contact with. This may lead to more effective tumor reduction in these areas and possibly lower locoregional recurrence rates clinically.

To date this is the first successful application of intralymphatic delivery of chemotherapeutics using hyaluronan as a targeted nanocarrier to the axilla. An intralymphatic drug delivery method in breast cancer may preferentially treat at-risk regional lymph nodes and avoid systemic toxicities associated with IV or oral drug administration. Once breast cancer has spread to locoregional nodes, it is likely to assume that tumor cells may have disseminated into the systemic circulation. In fact, for patients with sentinel nodes containing micrometastases, systemic chemotherapy is routinely given after axillary nodal dissection. We believe the benefit of this delivery model is that it not only achieves adequate systemic drug levels in a more sustained-release manner than standard therapy, but it also provides a much-needed boost to the locoregional nodal tissue, which is at risk for harboring tumor cells not removed by nodal dissection. Additionally, this modality may have its best application in the neoadjuvant setting for locally advanced breast cancers, where the goal of disease control and regression can be enhanced by the drug concentration boost this intralymphatic delivery gives to locoregional nodes, harboring the bulk of tumor in this condition. In using this delivery method to increase locoregional efficacy, it may be possible to improve overall and disease-free survival rates compared to current therapy regimens. Further in vivo testing related to the efficacy of this approach with regard to survival, toxicity and pharmacokinetics in rodents and large mammals is warranted to support its use in human trials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge NIH-COBRE P20 RR015563 (PI: B. Timmermann, subproject PI: Forrest), the New Investigators Program of the American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy, and the Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry. Special thanks are given to Ann Chambers (London Health Sciences Center, London, Ontario) for providing the MDA-MB-468LN cell line.

REFERENCES

1. National Cancer Institute: Breast cancer PDQ treatment. [Accessed 5/12/2007]. <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/treatment/breast/HealthProfessional>
2. Crown J, Pegram M. Platinum-taxane combinations in metastatic breast cancer: an evolving role in the era of molecularly targeted therapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2003;79:S11. [PubMed: 12868801]
3. Carrick S, Ghera D, Wilcken N, et al. Platinum containing regimens for metastatic breast cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2004CD003374
4. Fraser JR, Laurent TC. Turnover and metabolism of hyaluronan. *Ciba Found Symp* 1989;143:41. [PubMed: 2680348]
5. Ahrens T, Assmann V, Fieber C, et al. CD44 is the principal mediator of hyaluronic-acid-induced melanoma cell proliferation. *J Invest Dermatol* 2001;116:93. [PubMed: 11168803]
6. Dietrich A, Tanczos E, Vanscheidt W, et al. High CD44 surface expression on primary tumours of malignant melanoma correlates with increased metastatic risk and reduced survival. *Eur J Cancer* 1997;33:926. [PubMed: 9291817]
7. Gotte M, Yip GW. Heparanase, hyaluronan, and CD44 in cancers: a breast carcinoma perspective. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:10233. [PubMed: 17079438]
8. Misra S, Ghatak S, Toole BP. Regulation of MDR1 expression and drug resistance by a positive feedback loop involving hyaluronan, phosphoinositide 3-kinase, and ErbB2. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:20310. [PubMed: 15784621]
9. Bartolazzi A, Peach R, Aruffo A, et al. Interaction between CD44 and hyaluronate is directly implicated in the regulation of tumor development. *J Exp Med* 1994;180:53. [PubMed: 7516417]
10. Asplund T, Heldin P. Hyaluronan receptors are expressed on human malignant mesothelioma cells but not on normal mesothelial cells. *Cancer Res* 1994;54:4516.
11. Jeong YI, Kim ST, Jin SG, et al. Cisplatin-incorporated hyaluronic acid nanoparticles based on ion-complex formation. *J Pharm Sci*. 2008(Epub.).
12. Maeda M, Takasuka N, Suga T, et al. Antitumor activity of a new series of platinum complexes: trans (+/-)-1,2-cyclohexanediammineplatinum(II) conjugated to acid polysaccharides. *Anticancer Drugs* 1993;4:167. [PubMed: 8490195]

13. Vantyghem SA, Allan AL, Postenka CO, et al. A new model for lymphatic metastasis: development of a variant of the MDA-MB-468 human breast cancer cell line that aggressively metastasizes to lymph nodes. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2005;22:351. [PubMed: 16170671]
14. Hartmann JT, Kollmannsberger C, Kanz L, et al. Platinum organ toxicity and possible prevention in patients with testicular cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1999;83:866. [PubMed: 10597214]

Figure 1. Inhibition of human cancer cell growth by cisplatin and cisplatin-HA after 72 hrs. HA showed no toxicity over the examined concentrations (up to 10 mg/mL, data not shown).

Figure 2.

The axial lymph node package was excised after euthanizing animals (white arrows). In order to identify draining lymph nodes, 100 μ L of patent blue was injected subcutaneously into the fatpad and the animal euthanized after 5 min (patent blue was not used in distribution studies).

Figure 3.

A) Tissue concentration of Pt in right axilla lymph nodes (RLN) and left axilla lymph nodes (LLN) after subcutaneous injection of cisplatin or cisplatin-HA (3.5 mg/kg Pt basis) into right mammary fatpad. B) Plasma concentration of Pt.

Figure 4.

Tissue concentration of Pt after subcutaneous injection of cisplatin or cisplatin-HA (10 mg/kg Pt basis) into right mammary fatpad.

Figure 5.

Nude mice bearing MDA-MB-468 breast lymphatic tumors (expressing green fluorescent protein [GFP], panel A) were subcutaneously injected with Texas Red-HA in the left mammary fat pad. After 5 hrs and 18 hrs (B and C, respectively), significant HA localized in the draining nodes and colocalized with the tumor (GFP-channel in green, Texas Red channel in red, blue arrow is injection site).

Scheme 1.

Table 1

Conjugation efficiency of cisplatin to HA.

Cisplatin added w/w cisplatin/HA	Conjugated w/w cisplatin/HA	Conjugation Efficiency, %
0.03	0.022	73 %
0.08	0.040	50 %
0.15	0.086	57 %
0.20	0.119	60 %
0.30	0.149	50 %
0.40	0.210	53 %
0.50	0.254	51 %
0.60	0.263	44 %
0.70	0.241	34 %

Efficiency calculated as (cisplatin added/cisplatin incorporated) $\times$ 100%.

Table 2

Tissue AUC of cisplatin and cisplatin-HA.

Tissue	AUC(0–96 hrs), $\mu\text{g hr/g}$	
	Cisplatin	Cisplatin-HA
Bladder	208.5	194.5
Brain	442.5	343.7
Heart	459.0	465.3
Kidneys	650.9	668.6
Liver	415.6	495.0
Lungs	409.8	347.3
Muscle	371.5	262.1
Spleen	349.1	383.4
Left lymph node (LLN)	349.5	413.4
Right lymph node (RLN)	446.0 [†]	776.0 [†]
Plasma	174.1	186.1

Area-under-the-curve (AUC) of Pt after subcutaneous administration of cisplatin or cisplatin-HA into the right mammary fatpad of female rats (n=5).

Significance determined by 2-way ANOVA analysis with replicates

[†]
p<0.05.