Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2008 June 2; 64(23): 5497–5501. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2008.03.109.

A three-carbon ($n+1+2$) ring expansion method for the synthesis of macrocyclic enones. Application to muscone synthesis

Ihsan Erden^{*}, Weiguo Cao, Mary Price, and Michael Colton

San Francisco State University, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132, USA

Abstract

The three-carbon ring expansion methodology commences with the preparation of a cyclic allene (C9, C11, C13), readily available from the corresponding cycloalkene via dibromocarbene addition and subsequent treatment with methyllithium. Dichloroketene addition to the cyclic allene regioselectively provides the [2+2] cycloadduct which is reductively dechlorinated with zinc in methanol. The resulting cyclobutanone is then catalytically hydrogenated; cyclobutanone ring opening is affected with trimethylsilyl iodide; immediate dehydroiodination of the resulting β -iodocycloalkanone with diazabicycloundecane (DBU) provides the corresponding macrocyclic enone. The 15-membered enone was converted to *dl*,-muscone with $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CuLi}$.

1. Introduction

Macrocyclic ring synthesis has become increasingly important due to the abundance of large rings in various naturally occurring systems.¹ Muscone and its 3-demethyl analog exaltone (cyclopentadecanone) are prominent members of the class of macrocyclic musk odorants² and have been popular targets for demonstrating individually developed synthetic methodologies.³ In the course of our studies on dichloroketene cycloadditions,^{4a–d} we have combined a number of well-known reactions into a straightforward and practical three-carbon ring expansion methodology⁵ starting from the readily available cycloalkenes and featuring dichloroketene additions to cyclic allenes.

This paper describes the applicability of this methodology to the synthesis of 11, 13 and 15-membered cyclic enones, as well the naturally occurring muscone, the odorous principle of musk.⁶ The muscone synthesis commences with the commercially available cyclododecene. The ring expansion sequence utilizes consecutive one- and two-carbon ring homologations (Scheme 1).⁷

2. Results and discussion

Cyclotrideca-1,2-diene (**1**), obtained in high yield from cyclododecene *via* the dibromocarbene adduct and subsequent treatment with methyllithium,⁸ was reacted with a slight excess of dichloroketene (DCK),⁹ generated *in situ* from trichloroacetyl chloride and activated zinc in

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 415 338 1627; fax: +1 415 338 2384; e-mail: ierden@sfsu.edu.

This paper is dedicated to Professor Waldemar Adam on the occasion of his 75th birthday

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

the presence of POCl_3 in diethyl ether at room temperature,^{10,11} agitation of the mixture was provided by an ultrasound bath.¹² The ^1H NMR spectrum of the cycloadduct showed a 7.5:1 mixture of two stereoisomers (total yield 83% after chromatography on silica gel). The major product turned out to be the expected (*E*)-isomer, whereas the minor isomer was (*Z*), according to its characteristic ^1H NMR spectrum. Although their separation was not necessary for the subsequent synthetic protocol, analytical samples of both isomers were obtained by careful column chromatography (the (*Z*)-isomer eluted faster than the (*E*)-isomer) on silica gel and characterized by spectroscopy. The reductive dechlorination of the cycloadduct with simultaneous hydrogenolysis of the double bond with $\text{H}_2/\text{Pd/C}$ in ethyl acetate solution in a single step was attempted next. Presumably due to catalyst poisoning by HCl , only partial reduction could be realized under these conditions leading to the corresponding α -chlorocyclobutanone (two isomers).¹³ In an attempt to circumvent this problem the catalytic reduction was repeated in the presence of triethylamine; however, this variation proved unsatisfactory in terms of the isolated yield on the fully reduced cyclobutanone (35%) as well as problems separating **4** from undesired side products. The remaining chlorine atom was, therefore, reduced with zinc in acetic acid at 90 °C in an overall yield of 78% in the two-step reduction sequence. This reduction protocol was abandoned in favor of a much more efficient route described below.

The chlorine atoms in **2** were selectively reduced with zinc in methanol, saturated with NH_4Cl ¹⁴ to give the α,β -unsaturated cyclobutanone **3**; catalytic hydrogenation of **3** in ethyl acetate over Pd/C again delivered a mixture of both isomers of **4** (75% *cis*, 25% *trans*) in 78% overall yield, starting from **2**. The bicyclo[11.2.0]pentadecan-13-one (**4**) thus obtained gave a satisfactory elemental analysis for the $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}$ composition. Upon treatment of this mixture with KOH in methanol at room temperature, complete conversion of the *cis* isomer to the *trans* isomer took place. The *trans* stereochemistry in **4** was inferred from the coupling constants^{15,16} between the cyclobutanone ring hydrogens, obtained from selective decoupling experiments. Moreover, inspection of Dreiding models of the two isomers suggests that the *trans* isomer is indeed the less strained, thus thermodynamically more stable isomer. The preference for the *trans* stereochemistry has also been observed in α,α -dimethylcyclobutanones fused to nine-membered rings.^{17,18}

The cyclobutanone cleavage in **4** was realized with trimethylsilyl iodide in CCl_4 in the presence of metallic mercury according to Miller and McKean's method.¹⁹ The resulting 3-iodocyclopentadecanone²⁰ was treated without further purification with an equimolar amount of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in CH_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature to furnish (*E*)-2-cyclopentadecen-1-one **5**²¹ (76% from **4**). Finally, treatment of **5** with lithium dimethylcuprate in diethylether at -25 °C gave an 85% yield of *d,l*-muscone (**6**). We have successfully applied the three-carbon ring expansion methodology described above to the synthesis of two other macrocyclic enones, (*E*)-2-cycloundecen-1-one (**14**) and (*E*)-2-cyclotridecen-1-one (**10**), respectively (Table 1).

On an interesting note, the DCK-cyclonona-1,2-diene adduct **12**²² was subjected to reaction with NaOMe in methanol. It was known that α,α -dihalocyclobutanones undergo ring cleavage to γ,γ -dihalocarboxylic acids with base, however, *cis*-fused α,α -dichlorocyclobutanones undergo quantitative ring contraction into bifunctional cyclopropanes.²³ On the other hand, Harding et al. found that the *cis*-fused α,α -dichlorocyclobutanone to cyclohexene gave, upon treatment with NaOMe in a "Cine" substitution to give the methoxychlorocyclobutanones.²⁴ The question was, what role the α,β -unsaturation at the cyclobutanone ring of the bicyclic framework would play during the base attack.

Upon treatment of **12** with sodium methoxide at room temperature, the solution immediately turned red. After stirring at room temperature and monitoring the progress of the reaction by

TLC, the reaction was stopped, and after work-up and column chromatography on silica gel, two fractions were isolated in a ratio of 5:1, to which the structures **15** and **16** were assigned, respectively, based on spectroscopic data. Compound **16** clearly stems from a double Cine substitution, whereas compound **15** is a secondary product derived from **16** via base-catalyzed double bond isomerization. A few cases of similar double Cine substitutions in DCK-adducts onto ordinary alkenes have previously been observed.^{25–29}

3. Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a convenient and general three carbon ring expansion methodology starting with readily available cycloalkenes. After a well-documented one-carbon expansion to the corresponding cyclic allene, regioselective dichloroketene addition, followed by reduction of the chlorine atoms and double bond, the ring opening with TMSI/Hg and immediate dehydriodination completes the sequence. In the case of the 15-membered system, the enone was converted to *dl*-muscone by reaction with Me₂CuLi.

4. Experimental

¹H NMR spectra were obtained with a GE-Nicolet QE-Plus 300 MHz and Bruker Avance DRX 300 MHz spectrometers, using CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as internal standard, unless otherwise specified. IR spectra were obtained with a Nicolet 20DBX FT-IR instrument. Non-deuterated solvents were dried and distilled before use. The cyclic allenes **1**, **7** and **11** can readily be synthesized from the corresponding cycloalkenes by the two-step protocol according to Moore and Ward, as well as Skattebol's method by dibromocarbene addition followed by treatment of the adduct with methyllithium at –20 °C. All three cyclic allenes used in this study were previously reported in literature.³⁰ For the dibromocarbene additions, we used the Makosza method employing a two-phase system using a phase-transfer catalyst.³¹ Full details for the two-step protocol are described below.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Allenes

- a. Dibromocarbene additions: To an ice-cold solution of 20 mmol of cycloalkene, bromoform (15 g, 60 mmol), 145 mg of benzyltriethylammonium chloride (*aka* TEBA) and 1 mL of ethanol was added dropwise a 50% aqueous KOH solution (from 10 g KOH) was added dropwise, with efficient mechanical stirring (Hershberg-stirrer). After stirring the brown mixture for 12 hours, 100 mL of H₂O was added, and the product extracted with *n*-hexane (5×30 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 30 mL of brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and the solvent rotaevaporated. The residue was purified by Kugelrohr distillation. Yields: 9,9-dibromobicyclo[6.1.0]nonane (90%), 11,11-dibromo[8.1.0]undecane (76%), 13,13-dibromobicyclo[10.1.0]tridecane (84%).
- b. Treatment of the dibromocarbene adducts with MeLi. To a solution of 20 mmol of the dibromocarbene adduct in 40 mL of anhydrous ether was added dropwise 27 mL of MeLi (38 mmol, 1.4 M) at –78 °C under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring the 15 min, the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature (22 °C). Water (40 mL) was added dropwise, and the ether layer was separated, washed once with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, twice with brine (each 20 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The solvent was rotaevaporated and the product isolated by Kugelrohr distillation.

1,2-Cyclononadiene (11, 72%), ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.26 (m, 2H), 2.20 (m, 4H), 1.2–1.9 (m, 8H).

1,2-Cycloundecadiene (7, 68%), ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.25 (m, 1H), 1.8–2.2 (m, 4H), 1.2–1.8 (m, 12H) ppm.

1,2-Cyclotridecatriene (1, 82%) ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 5.13 (m, 2H), 2.1 (m, 4H), 1.0–1.7 (m, 16H) ppm.

Dichloroketene Additions—In a 250 mL two-necked flask equipped with a reflux condenser carrying a drying tube, and a 50 mL pressure-equalizing dropping funnel was placed a solution of 0.01 mol of cyclic 1,2-diene in 50 mL dry ether, and 1.71 g of zinc dust was added. The suspension was partially submerged in a Branson B-321 ultrasonic cleaner (50/60 Hz, 117 Volt) filled 95% with water in a place that produced maximum agitation. To this suspension, 3.05 g (0.017 mol) of freshly distilled trichloroacetyl chloride in 25 mL dry ether was added dropwise within 30 min while sonication continued. Ice was added occasionally to the water bath to maintain the bath temperature between 15–20 °C. After the completion of the reaction (ca. 60 min), it was quenched with wet ether (10 mL) and the reaction mixture suction-filtered through Celite. The filtrate was washed successively with water (2×20 mL), saturated aqueous bicarbonate (5×20 mL) and brine solution (2×20 mL). After drying the ether solution over Na_2SO_4 , the solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the product isolated by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ CH_2Cl_2 (90:10).

(E)-11,11-dichlorobicyclo[7.2.0]undec-8-en-10-one (12)—(77%); mp 62–64 °C (from pet. ether). Though this compound had been described by Brady et al., its ^1H NMR was recorded on a lower resolution instrument. Below, high resolution NMR spectra of **12** and its IR data are described: ^1H (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.73 (ddd, J = 2.8, 6.9, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dm, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.2–2.5 (m, 3H), 2.1 (m, 1H), 1.7 (m, 2H), 1.3–1.6 (m, 6H) ppm; ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , TMS, 75 MHz) δ 187.0, 146.2, 141.7, 88.5, 58.8, 31.0, 29.3, 26.5, 25.9, 25.7, 22.6 ppm; FT-IR (KBr): 2930, 2860, 1772.5, 1660, 1457, 911, 747 cm^{-1} .

(E)-13,13-Dichlorobicyclo[9.2.0]tridec-10-en-12-one (8)—82%; ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , TMS): δ 6.8 (m, 1H), 3.5 (m, 1H), 2.1–2.5 (m, 4H), 1.3–1.7 (m, 8H) ppm; FT-IR (film): 2928, 2859, 1774, 1658, 1455, 1442, 910, 751 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{18}\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$: C 59.78; H 6.95; Cl 27.15. Found: C 59.76; H 6.94; Cl 26.96.

(E)-15,15-Dichlorobicyclo[11.2.0]pentadec-12-en-14-one (2a)—79%; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.8 (ddd, J = 2.9, 6.1, 10.3 Hz, 1H); 3.57 (m, 1H); 2.22 (m, 2H); 1.97 (m, 1H); 1.8 (m, 1H); 1.2–1.7 (m, 16H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 186.3, 143.5, 142.4, 87.7, 55.9, 29.9, 27.9, 26.4, 26.1, 25.9, 25.83, 25.81, 24.6, 24.5, 24.2 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2932, 2860, 1774, 1655, 1463 and 1446 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$: C 62.29; H 7.67; Cl 24.51. Found C 62.26; H 7.66; Cl 24.46.

(Z)-15,15-Dichlorobicyclo[11.2.0]pentadec-12-en-14-one (2b)—11%; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.35 (ddd, J 2.1, 5.1, 11.6 Hz), , 3.15 (m, 1H), 3.0 (m, 1H), 2.1 (m, 2H), 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.2–1.75 (m, 16H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 188.0, 146.5, 143.5, 86.8, 55.8, 31.0, 30.5, 27.1, 26.8, 26.2, 25.3, 25.1, 24.8, 23.7, 23.0 ppm; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{Cl}_2\text{O}$: C 62.29; H 7.67; Cl 24.51. Found C 62.28; H 7.64; Cl 24.58.

Reductive Dechlorination of Allene-DCK cycloadducts—To a solution of 0.1 mol of the DCK- allene cycloadduct in 100 mL of methanol (previously saturated with NH_4Cl) 2 g of zinc dust was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solid was removed by suction filtration, the filter cake washed with 50 mL of ether. To the filtrate, another 150 mL of ether was added, and the solution transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted sequentially with each 200 mL of water, 200 mL of brine and 100 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 solution, respectively. The ethereal solution was then dried over MgSO_4 ,

and the solvent rotaevaporated to give a yellowish oil that was purified by flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether) on silica gel.

(E)-Bicyclo[7.2.0]undec-8-en-10-one— ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.3 (m, 1H), 3.1 (dd, J = 9.0, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (m, 1H), 2.48 (dd, 4.8, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 2.3 (m, 1H), 2.0 (m, 1H), 1.3–1.7 (m, 10H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 199.6, 153.4, 130.3, 51.6, 37.1, 33.25, 29.8, 28.9, 27.2, 26.2, 22.9 ppm; FT-IR (neat): 2923, 2861, 1750, 1669, 1450, 1120.4, 1049 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}$; C 80.44; H 9.82. Found: C 80.48; H 9.86.

(E)-Bicyclo[9.2.0]tridec-10-en-12-one— ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.25 (ddd, 2.47, 3.48, 12 Hz, 1H), 3.15 (m, 1H), 3.0 (dd, 8.9, 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, 4.72, 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.1 (m, 1H), 1.2–1.7 (m, 14H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , TMS, 75MHz): δ 199.3, 151.4, 131.7, 49.1, 35.1, 32.4, 26.9, 26.7, 26.1, 26.0, 24.5, 23.6 ppm; FT-IR (neat): 2925, 2860, 1751, 1656, 1469, 1445, 1122 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{20}\text{O}$; C 81.20; H 10.48. Found: C 81.24; H 10.45.

(E)-Bicyclo[11.2.0]pentadec-12-ene-14-one (3)—mp 39–40 °C, ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 6.25 (ddd, J = 2.5, 5.4, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.2 (m, 1H), 3.0 (dd, J = 9.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.7 (dd, J = 5.4, 17.4 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (m, 2H), 1.9 (m, 1H), 1.2–1.7 (m, 16H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 199.2, 150.8, 132.1, 48.6, 33.8, 31.3, 26.8, 26.7, 26.5, 26.0, 24.7, 24.6, 24.4, 23.0 ppm; FT-IR: 2927, 2856, 1749, 1663 cm^{-1} ; Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{24}\text{O}$; C 81.76; H 10.98. Found: C 81.73; H 10.94.

General procedure for the catalytic hydrogenations of the α,β -unsaturated cyclobutanones—A solution of 0.1 mol of unsaturated compound obtained by reductive dechlorination of the DCK-adduct was dissolved in 25 mL of ethyl acetate, and hydrogenated over 0.1 g of Pd/C. The progress of hydrogen uptake was monitored by means of a burette. After filtration of the catalyst, the solvent was rotaevaporated to give the fully saturated bicyclic cyclobutanone as a mixture of *cis-trans* isomers. Though for the TMSI-promoted cyclobutanone ring opening it was not necessary to isomerize the *cis-trans* mixtures to the more stable *trans* isomers, small amounts were subjected to base-catalyzed isomerization for characterization purposes.

General Procedure for the base-catalyzed isomerization of the *cis-trans* mixtures to the *trans* isomers—Bicyclic cyclobutanone (0.01 mol) dissolved in 5 mL of methanol was added dropwise to a solution of 0.5 g of KOH in 10 mL of methanol at 0 °C. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, then 50 mL water and 20 mL of ether were added, the layers separated, the aqueous layer extracted with two 20 mL portions of ether, the combined ether extracts dried over MgSO_4 and the solvent rotaevaporated. The residue was purified by preparative TLC, eluting with 15% EtOAc/hexane.

***trans*-Bicyclo[7.2.0]undecan-10-one (13)**, ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.0 (ddd, J = 2.1, 8.1, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (m, 1H), 2.65 (ddd, J = 2.1, 9.3, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.85 (m, 1H), 1.25–1.7 (m, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (75MHz, CDCl_3) δ 199.6, 68.5, 52.6, 37.4, 35.3, 28.4, 27.9, 26.7, 26.6, 26.0 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2919, 2860, 1784, 1476, 1448, 1136 cm^{-1} ; this compound has previously been prepared by Ghosez et al.¹⁸.

***trans*-Bicyclo[9.2.0]tridecan-12-one (9)**, ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.1 (ddd, J = 2.6, 8.8, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.9 (m, 1H), 2.65 (ddd, J = 2.8, 7.2, 17.5 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (m, 1H), 1.9 (2H), 1.2–1.65 (m, 16H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 212, 66.2, 51.4, 35.3, 32.5, 27.1, 26.6, 26.2, 25.9, 25.7, 24.9, 22.9 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2929, 2859, 1778, 1459, 1445, 1160 cm^{-1} . a *cis-trans* mixture of this compound has previously been reported by an alternate route, see ref. 31.

***trans*-Bicyclo[11.2.0]pentadecan-14-one (4)**, ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 3.05 (ddd, J = 2.8, 8.7, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (m, 1H), 2.6 (ddd, J = 3.3, 7.2, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 2.0 (m, 1H), 1.8 (m, 2H), 1.1–1.7 (m, 20H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 65.3, 50.3, 36.5, 31.3, 28.2, 27.2, 26.1, 25.8, 25.7, 25.5, 25.4, 25.1, 23.9 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2930, 2861, 1779, 1462, 1450 1150 cm^{-1} . Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{26}\text{O}$: C 81.02; H 11.79. Found: C 81.06; H 11.77.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the cyclic enones—Cyclobutanone (1 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL of CCl_4 and 150 mg of Hg was added. The mixture was kept under an argon atmosphere while freshly distilled TMSiI (0.6 g, 3 mmol) was added, and the mixture stirred for 2 hr. The mixture was then diluted with 25 mL of ether, and washed with 5% Na_2SO_3 , followed by 5 mL of NaHCO_3 , dried and the solvent rotaevaporated. The β -iodocycloalkanone was dissolved in 10 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 and 1 mmol of DBU was added dropwise at 0°C . The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, then 10 mL of H_2O was added, the organic layer washed successively with 5% HCl and NaHCO_3 , the solution dried over MgSO_4 , the filtrate rotaevaporated, and the residue purified by column chromatography on silica gel (15% EtOAc/hexane) to give the macrocyclic enone. During the TMSI promoted ring opening reaction of **9**, a small aliquote was isolated and analyzed by ^1H NMR spectrum. The β -iodocyclotridecanone derivative was clearly discernible in the spectrum due to the caharacteristic 3° β -hydrogen at 4.3 ppm (m, 1H), and the α - CH_2 group exhibiting an AB system at 2.7 (dd, J = 9.2, 17.2 Hz, 1H) and 2.15 (dd, J = 7.0, 17.2 Hz, 1H).

(E)-Cycloundec-2-enone (14), (67%)— ^1H NMR δ 6.8 (dt, J = 7.8, 16.5 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dt, J = 1.2, 16.5 Hz), 2.6 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.25 (m, 2H), 1.66 (m, 4H), 1.3 (m, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 205.5, 149.1, 134.3, 37.3, 33.9, 28.1, 26.4, 26.1, 23.9, 23.0, 22.4 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2931, 2859, 1690, 1668, 1165, 1463, 1445, 1212, 985 cm^{-1} ; see ref ³³.

(E)-Cyclotridec-2-one (10)—75%; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 6.8 (dt, J = 7.5, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dt, J = 1.2, 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.2 (m, 2H), 1.67 (m, 2H), 1.53 (m, 2H) 1.2–1.3 (m, 12H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 204.1, 149.3, 132.8, 39.2, 33.4, 27.4, 27.3, 26.9, 26.7, 26.6, 26.1, 25.9, 25.8 ppm; FT-IR (film): 2972, 2924, 2865, 1689, 1659, 1622, 1464, 1442, 1387, 1350, 1119, 912.6, 740 cm^{-1} ; see ref. ³⁴.

(E)-Cyclopentadec-2-one (5)—73%; All spectral data were identical to those reported in literature, see refs. ^{3, 35}.

***d,l*-Muscone (6)**—Dried CuI (2.8 g, 14.7 mmol) was placed in a 50 mL two-necked round-bottomed flask, equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a rubber septum. Dry ether (25 mL) was added to the flask and the mixture was cooled to ca. -25°C under an argon atmosphere. To the stirred suspension was added MeLi (23 mL of a 1.18 M ethereal solution, 26.7 mmol) with stirring. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, a solution of enone **5** (1g, 45 mmol) in 10 mL of ether was added over a period of 25 min. The mixture was stirred for 1h at -25°C , and 25 mL of H_2O and 3N HCl were added. The mixture was extracted with 3×25 mL of ether, the combined extracts were washed washed with NaHCO_3 solution, then Na_2SO_3 solution, and finally with brine. After drying over MgSO_4 , the solvent was rotaevaporated. The resulting yellowish oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with 15% EtOAc/pet.ether to give 1.1 g of *d,l*-muscone (85%), identical in all respects to an authentic sample.

Reaction of 12 with NaOMe—(*E*)-11,11-dichlorobicyclo[7.2.0]undec-8-en-10-one (1g, 4.3 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of MeOH. This solution was added dropwise to a NaOMe solution (preformed from 0.2g, 8.3 mmol of Na and 20 mL of MeOH) at 0°C . Upon addition, the color of solution immediately turned red. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 min, then heated to 50°C for 1h. It was poured onto 100 mL of ice-water, acidified with 10% HCl,

extracted with 3×50 mL of ether,. the combined ether extracts were dried over MgSO₄, the solvent rotaevaporated, and the yellowish waxy material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (15%EtOAc/pet.ether) to give two products.

(E)-11,11-dimethoxybicyclo[7.2.0]undec-8-en-10-one (16), 13%—¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.6 (ddd, J= 2.9, 7.4, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 3.5 (s, 3H), 3.3 (s, 3H), 3.0 (m, 1H), 2.35 (m, 2H), 2.0 (m, 2H), 1.4–1.7 (m, 8H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.0, 147.8, 138.3, 121.9, 52.9, 51.6, 51.2, 30.4, 29.6, 26.7, 26.5, 26.4, 22.5 ppm; Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂O₂: C 75.63; H 9.97. Found: C 75.60; H 9.95.

(Z)-11,11-dimethoxybicyclo[7.2.0]undec-7-en-10-one (15), 65%—¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.73 (dd, J= 6.8, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (ddt, J= 1.7, 6.2, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, J= 6.8, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 3.4 (s, 3H), 3.3 (s, 3H), 2.2 (m, 2H), 2.1 (m, 2H), 1.85 (s, 1H), 1.2–1.7 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.7, 133.1, 125.3, 58.5, 53.5, 50.4, 46.7, 27.5, 27.1, 26.6, 26.0, 21.8 ppm; FT-IR (film): 3012, 2931, 2861, 2834, 1785, 1445, 1256, 1210, 1125, 1078, 1044, 997, 909, 797, 727 cm⁻¹; Anal. Calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂O₂: C 75.63; H 9.97. Found: C 75.65; H 9.96.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funds from the National Science Foundation (CHE-9729001), the National Institutes of Health, MBRS-SCORE Program-NIGMS (Grant No. GM52588) and in part from a grant (P20 MD) from the Research Infrastructure in Minority Institutions Program, NCMHD, NIH.

References and Notes

1. a) Weinheimer AJ, Chang CWJ, Matson JA. *Fortschr Chem Org Naturst* 1979;36:285. b) Hanson JR. *Nat Prod Reports* 1984;1:171. Omura, S., editor. Academic; New York: 1984. d) Sorm F. *Fortschr Chem Org Naturst* 1961;19:1.
2. Mookherjee, BD.; Wilson, RA. *Fragrance Chemistry*. Theimer, ET., editor. Academic; New York: 1982. p. 433
3. For recent syntheses, see: a) Ruedi G, Hansen HJ. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2004;45:5143. b) Hisanaga Y, Asumi Y, Takahashi M, Shimizu Y, Mase N, Yoda H, Takabe K. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2008;49:548. c) Scafato P, Larocca A, Rosini C. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2006;17:2511.
4. a) Erden I, Sorensen EM. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1983;23:2731. b) Erden AI, de Meijere A. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1983;24:3811. c) Erden I. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1984;25:1535. d) Erden I. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1985;26:5635.
5. A 3-carbon ring expansion methodology via cyclopentenone annulation to cyclododecanone has been applied to muscone synthesis: Tsuji J, Yamada T, Shimizu I. *J Org Chem* 1980;45:5209.
6. See: The Merck Index, 13th Edition, for a review, see: Ohloff G. *Fortschritte Chem Forsch* 1969;12:185.
7. Other ring expansion methodology based on dichloroketene cycloadditions have been reported: a) Greene AG, Depres J-P. *J Am Chem Soc* 1979;101:4003. one-carbon ring expansion: Greene AE, Luche MJ, Serra AA. *J Org Chem* 1985;50:3957. b) Depres J-P, Navarro B, Greene AE. *Tetrahedron* 1989;45:2989. two-carbon expansion: c) free-radical 5- and 6-carbon ring expansions: Zhang W, Collins MR, Mahmood K, Dowd P. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1995;36:2729. and references cited therein.
8. a) von Doering WE, La Flamme PM. *Tetrahedron* 1958;2:75. b) Moore WR, Ward HR. *J Org Chem* 1962;27:4179. c) Skattebol L. *Acta Chem Scand* 1963;17:1683. Gutsche, CD.; Redmore, D. *Carbocyclic Ring Expansion Reactions*. Academic Press; N. Y.: 1968. Krow GR. *Tetrahedron* 1987;43:3. and references cited therein.
9. Tidwell, TT. *Ketenes*. Wiley-Interscience; N. Y.: 1995. Lee-Ruff, E. *Advances in Strain in Organic Chemistry*. Halton, B., editor. I. JAI Press; London: 1991. p. 167-213. b) Namylo JC, Kaufmann DE. *Chem Rev* 2003;103:1485. [PubMed: 12683789] c) Tidwell TT. *Eur J Org Chem* 2006:563–576.
10. Bak DA, Brady WTJ. *J Org Chem* 1979;44:107.
11. Krepski LR, Hassner A. *J Org Chem* 1978;43:2879.

12. Mehta G, Rao HSP. *Synth Commun* 1985;15:991.
13. A 2:1 mixture of two epimers, as indicated by the characteristic doublets of doublets at δ 4.95 and 5.00 ppm for the respective CHCl hydrogens.
14. Pinder AR. *Synthesis* 1980:425.and references cited therein
15. a) Jeffs PW, Molina G, Cass MW, Cortese NAJ. *Org Chem* 1982;47:3871. b) Rosini G, Ballini R. *Tetrahedron* 1983;39:1085.
16. Braillon, B.; Salaun, J.; Gore, J. *Conia J M Bull Soc Chim. France*: 1964. 1981.
17. a) Bertrand M, Gras JL, Gore J. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1972:1189. b) Bertrand M, Maurin R, Gras JL, Gil G. *Tetrahedron* 1975;31:849. c) Bertrand M, Gras JL, Gore J. *Tetrahedron* 1975;31:857.
18. Marko I, Ronsmans B, Hesbain-Frisque AM, Dumas S, Ghosez L. *J Am Chem Soc* 1985;107:2192.
19. Miller RD, McKean DR. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1980:2639.
20. ^1H NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): δ 4.5 (m, 1H); 3.05 (m, 2H); 2.0-2.8 (m, 4H); 1.4 (m, 20H).
21. Mookherjee BD, Patel RR, Ledig WO. *J Org Chem* 1971;36:4124.
22. Compound 12 has previously been prepared by Brady et al. by dichloroketene addition, generated from dichloroacetyl chloride with triethylamine, to 1,2-cyclononadiene: Brady WT, Stockton JD, Patel AD. *J Org Chem* 1974;39:236.
23. Conia JM, Robson MJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1975;14:473.and references cited therein.
24. Harding KE, Trotter JW, May LM. *J Org Chem* 1977;42:2715.
25. Cagnon JR, Marchand-Brynaert J, Ghosez L. *J Brazil Chem Soc* 1996;7:371.
26. a) Paryzek Z, Blaszczyk. *Liebigs Ann* 1993:615. b) Paryzek Z, Blaszczyk. *Liebigs Ann* 1995:341.
27. Hassner A, Naidorf-Meir S, Frimer AA. *J Org Chem* 1996;61:4051. [PubMed: 11667282]
28. Chun KH, Yoon T, Shin YEN, Jo C, Jo YJ, Yun H, Oh J. *Bull Korean Chem Soc* 2005;26:1104.
29. Donskaya NA, Bessmertnykh AG, Drobysh VA, Shabarov YS. *Zh Org Khimii* 1987;23:751.
30. a) Brown J, Pawar DM, Noe EA. *J Org Chem* 2003;68:3420. [PubMed: 12713341] b) Nozaki H, Aratani T, Toraya T, Noyori R. *Tetrahedron* 1971;27:905. c) Shea K, Kim JS. *J Am Chem Soc* 1992;114:3044.
31. Makosza M. *Pure Appl Chem* 1975;43:439.
32. Abad A, Agullo C, Arno M, Seoane E. *Tetrahedron* 1986;42:2429.
33. Friedrich E, Lutz W. *Chem Ber* 1980;113:1245.
34. a) Ruedi G, Hansen HJ. *Helv Chim Acta* 2004;87:1628. b) Ruedi G, Oberli MA, Nagel M, Hansen HJ. *Org Lett* 2004;6:3179. [PubMed: 15330617]
35. Tsuji J, Yamada T, Shimizu I. *J Org Chem* 1980;45:5211.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Table 1

Macrocyclic enones from cyclic allenes

Entry	Cyclic Allene	DCK-Adduct	Cyclobutanone ^a	Macrocyclic Enone
1	1	2 90%	4 78%	5 72%
2	7	8 82%	9 86%	10 75%
3	11	12 77%	13 83%	14 67%

^a Overall yields on compounds **4**, **9**, and **13** for the two-step reductive dechlorination-catalytic hydrogenation sequence