Bile acids as constituents for dental composites: *in vitro* cytotoxicity of (meth)acrylate and other ester derivatives of bile acids

Marc A. Gauthier¹, Pierre Simard², Zhao Zhang³ and X. X. Zhu^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, and ²Faculty of Pharmacy, Université de Montréal, PO Box 6128 Downtown Station, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3C 3J7

³Department of Chemistry, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China

Methacrylic derivatives of bile acids have been synthesized for use as monomers in dental composites. Polymeric dental materials are known to leach cytotoxic unreacted monomers and degradation products. In this study, the *in vitro* cytotoxicity of bile acids and their derivatives towards 3T3 fibroblasts has been evaluated by colorimetric MTT assay and compared with that of the common dental monomers BisGMA, UDMA and TEGDMA. In general, the bile acids and their derivatives induced mitochondrial dysfunction at similar or higher concentrations than the commercial dental monomers. Certain monomers did not influence MTT response over their entire range of solubility.

Keywords: bile acids; crosslinking; cytotoxicity; dental polymers; dental monomers

1. INTRODUCTION

Bile acids and their derivatives are currently used for biomedical (Hofmann 1995) and supramolecular applications (Virtanen & Kolehmainen 2004). In particular, polymeric biomaterials with main- or side-chain cholic or lithocholic acid groups (Zhu & Nichifor 2002) have attracted significant attention because their (bio)degradation is expected to lead to the release of endogenous compounds. In fact, the *in vitro* exposure of primary human and pig cells (normal human dermal fibroblasts, pig fibroblasts, pig smooth muscle cells and pig aortic endothelial cells) to polyanhydride implant materials containing main-chain lithocholic acid groups has been shown to adversely affect neither the rate of proliferation nor the morphology of the cells versus unexposed controls (Gouin *et al.* 2000). These results have prompted efforts towards improving the physical and toxicological properties of polymeric biomaterials by incorporation of bile acids into the structure of these materials (Gautrot & Zhu 2006).

Crosslinking methacrylate monomers derived from bile acids have been proposed as monomers for composite dental fillings in an effort to improve their physical properties and potentially reduce the toxicity of leachates (unreacted monomers and (bio)degradation products; Hu *et al.* 2005a; Gauthier 2007). The objective of this study is to evaluate the *in vitro*

cytotoxicity of cholic acid (4), chenodeoxycholic acid (14), deoxycholic acid (17) and lithocholic acid (20) as well as their simple ester (5, 8, 15, 18 and 21) and (meth)acrylate (6, 7, 9–13, 16, 19 and 22) derivatives. The structures of all compounds are listed in figure 1. These compounds correspond either exactly to the monomers proposed for use in dental composites or to their hypothesized degradation products. This study places particular emphasis on comparing the cytotoxicity of these compounds to the common dental monomers 2,2-bis(4-(2-hydroxy-3-methacryloxypropoxy)phenyl)propane (BisGMA, 1) and 1,6-bis(methacryloyloxy-2-ethoxycarbonylamino)-2,4,4-trimethylhexane (UDMA, 2) which are known for their hydrophobicity and *in vitro* cytotoxicity (Yoshii 1997; Geurtsen *et al.* 1998). Another common dental monomer, triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate (TEGDMA, 3), was also tested in order to allow for better comparison with results from the literature. A previously unreported tetra-acrylate derivative of cholic acid (13) was also prepared and evaluated in order to better establish structure–cytotoxicity relationships.

2. EXPERIMENTAL PART

UDMA, TEGDMA, cholic acid (98%), chenodeoxycholic acid, deoxycholic acid, lithocholic acid, ethylene glycol, triethylamine, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS, electrophoresis grade), 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H tetrazolium bromide (MTT), dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and concentrated HCl were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Milwaukee) and used

*Author for correspondence (julian.zhu@umontreal.ca).

Electronic supplementary material is available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2007.1018> or via <http://www.journals.royalsoc.ac.uk>.

molecule	R3	R7	R12	R24
4 (cholic acid)	HO–	HO–	HO–	HO–
5	HO–	HO–	HO–	H ₃ CO–
6	MO–	HO–	HO–	H ₃ CO–
7	MO–	MO–	MO–	H ₃ CO–
8	HO–	HO–	HO–	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
9	HO–	HO–	HO–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
10	MO–	HO–	HO–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
11	MO–	HO–	MO–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
12	MO–	MO–	MO–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
13	AO–	AO–	AO–	AOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
14 (chenodeoxycholic acid)	HO–	HO–	H–	HO–
15	HO–	HO–	H–	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
16	MO–	HO–	H–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
17 (deoxycholic acid)	HO–	H–	HO–	HO–
18	HO–	H–	HO–	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
19	MO–	H–	HO–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
20 (lithocholic acid)	HO–	H–	H–	HO–
21	HO–	H–	H–	HOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–
22	MO–	H–	H–	MOCH ₂ CH ₂ O–

M, methacrylate group A, acrylate group

Figure 1. The chemical structures of the compounds examined in this study.

as received. BisGMA was purchased from Polysciences (Warrington, Pennsylvania) and purified by silica column chromatography (100 g silica per 1 g BisGMA; ethyl acetate: hexane (1/1 v/v) as eluent). Acryloyl and methacryloyl chloride (Aldrich) were distilled immediately prior to use. All organic solvents were used as received except for dichloromethane which was dried using a column solvent purification system.

Compounds **5–12**, **15**, **16**, **18**, **19**, **21** and **22** were synthesized as described previously (Hu *et al.* 2005b;

Gauthier 2007). Compound **13** was prepared in 50% yield in exactly the same fashion as **12**, while replacing methacryloyl chloride with acryloyl chloride. ¹H NMR (400.26 MHz in CDCl₃; **13**): δ (p.p.m.)=0.77 (s, 18-CH₃); 0.82 (d, 21-CH₃); 0.97 (s, 19-CH₃); 4.35 (m, COOCH₂CH₂OCO); 4.64 (m, 3α-CH); 5.05 (m, 7α-CH); 5.21 (m, 12α-CH); 5.75–6.5 (m, =CH). The full ¹H NMR spectrum for this compound is available in the electronic supplementary material. The purity of all substances as well as the octanol–water partition

Figure 2. Dose-response profiles obtained for (a) the commercial monomers, (b) bile acids and (c) bile acid derivatives (methyl or ethylene glycol esters).

coefficients ($\log(K_{OW})$) for previously unreported compounds were evaluated by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described elsewhere (Gauthier 2007). The substances were considered pure when they eluted as a single peak in all solvent systems examined.

Cytotoxicity was evaluated by MTT assay using 3T3 fibroblasts purchased from ATCC (CCL92). A total of 10^4 cells per well were plated into 96-well microplates and pre-cultured for 24 h in 100 μ l Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 vol% foetal bovine serum, 100 U ml^{-1} penicillin G and 100 μ l ml^{-1} streptomycin. Monolayers of exponentially growing 3T3 fibroblasts were then incubated in the 96-well plate with the individual molecule to be tested for 24 h. These molecules were initially dissolved in DMSO

Figure 3. Dose-response profiles obtained for (a) mono-methacrylate, (b) di-methacrylate, (c) tri- and tetra-(meth)acrylate bile acid derivatives.

which served as carrier for distribution into the wells. The concentration of DMSO was always 1 vol% of the total volume of culture medium. Cells treated with 1 vol% DMSO served as controls. The cells were then incubated for 48 h and MTT (10 μ l of a 5 mg ml^{-1} in PBS) was added to each well. After further 3 h of incubation, SDS (100 μ l of a 10% (w/v) solution in 0.01 N hydrochloric acid) was added to each well to dissolve the reduced MTT. The absorbance at 570 nm was read 24 h after the addition of the SDS solution using a Safire² microplate reader from Tecan, US. Cell viability at each concentration and for each analyte was measured nine times (i.e. three times each on three different microplates). The maximum solubility of the analytes in the culture medium was established by examining the individual wells of the microplate at the end of the 24 h incubation

Table 1. Comparison of the cytotoxicity (IC_{50}) and water–octanol partition coefficients ($\log(K_{OW})$) of the compounds examined in this study. (All values displayed as mean $\pm$ s.d. Superscript letters denote equivalent means determined by pairwise comparison (Dunnett T3, $p < 0.05$).)

compound	IC_{50} (mM) ¹	$\log(K_{OW})$ ²
1 (BisGMA)	$0.024 \pm 0.002^{h,g}$	6.6 ± 0.2
2 (UDMA)	0.068 ± 0.004^e	5.0 ± 0.2
3 (TEGDMA) ³	1.4 ± 0.1^b	2.8 ± 0.1
4 (cholic acid) ³	1.69 ± 0.04^a	4.9 ± 0.1
5	0.044 ± 0.009^f	6.1 ± 0.1
6	$0.040 \pm 0.006^{f,g}$	6.9 ± 0.2
7	> 0.37	7.9 ± 0.2
8	0.065 ± 0.002^e	6.0 ± 0.2
9	0.014 ± 0.004^i	6.6 ± 0.2
10	$0.3 \pm 0.1^{c,d,e}$	7.3 ± 0.1
11	> 0.37	7.8 ± 0.1
12	> 0.37	7.2 ± 0.4
13	0.006 ± 0.001^k	6.6 ± 0.1
14 (chenodeoxycholic acid)	0.196 ± 0.007^c	7.1 ± 0.5
15	$0.023 \pm 0.001^{g,h}$	7.5 ± 0.5
16	> 0.37	10.9 ± 0.6
17 (deoxycholic acid)	0.211 ± 0.008^c	7.5 ± 0.5
18	0.019 ± 0.005^i	7.5 ± 0.4
19	> 0.37	10.9 ± 0.6
20 (lithocholic acid)	0.20 ± 0.01^c	6.6 ± 0.1
21 ⁴	0.094 ± 0.009^d	6.7 ± 0.1
22	—	11.2 ± 0.2

¹ Concentration which inhibited 50% cell growth.

² Logarithm of octanol–water partition coefficient. Values taken from Gauthier (2007) except for compound **13**.

³ Maximum solubility in culture medium more than 10 mM.

⁴ Maximum solubility in culture medium less than 0.12 mM.

period with a transmission microscope. The IC_{50} value was obtained from the dose–response curves shown in figures 2 and 3. Statistical comparison of IC_{50} values was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by pairwise comparison of means using Dunnett's T3 *post hoc* test (data was not equivariant).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The concentration-dependent effect of each analyte on the viability of 3T3 fibroblasts was assessed by MTT assay. This cell line and cytotoxicity assay were selected based on their extensive precedence for evaluating the cytotoxicity of dental monomers (Geurtsen *et al.* 1998; Al-Hiyasat *et al.* 2005). The results of these assays are presented in table 1 alongside the octanol–water partition coefficients (useful parameter for comparing compound hydrophobicity) of each analyte obtained from a previous report (Gauthier 2007) (compound **13** reported for the first time in this study). Dose–response profiles were measured for each analyte (figures 2 and 3) up to 10 mM or up to their saturation concentration in the culture medium.

For the commercial monomers BisGMA, UDMA and TEGDMA, IC_{50} values (concentration which inhibited 50% cell growth relative to the controls) were consistent with values found in the literature (Geurtsen *et al.* 1998; Stanislawski *et al.* 2003). The mechanism by which these compounds provoke cell death remains unclear yet previous reports indicate that BisGMA and UDMA cause cell death via necrosis

(disruption of cell membrane), while TEGDMA causes cell death via apoptosis (depletion of intracellular glutathione) as well as by necrosis (Fujisawa *et al.* 1988; Reichl *et al.* 2006).

3.1. Bile acids and their esters

The cytotoxicity of bile acids has been studied extensively in the past given the relationship between abnormally high bile acid concentrations in hepatic tissues and cholestatic liver diseases (Rolo *et al.* 2000, 2004; Ferreira *et al.* 2005). These studies have focused on establishing the mechanism by which bile acids induce hepatocyte death. The issue of extrahepatic bile acid circulation (i.e. in plasma) has also been studied with particular emphasis on assessing the cytotoxicity of unconjugated bile acids towards fibroblast cultures (Ceryak *et al.* 1998). These cell cultures differ from hepatocytes in that they do not possess bile acid-activated death receptors (such as the Fas receptor) and therefore constitute a better model for assessing the cytotoxicity of molecules designed to be used in proximity to dental pulp (odontoblasts) or mucosa (fibroblasts). The cytotoxicity of the primary (**4** and **14**) and secondary bile acids (**17** and **20**) correlated well with the expected hydrophobicity of these compounds as previously reported (Ceryak *et al.* 1998). This is an indication that cellular uptake of the bile acids may arise from passive diffusion. The water–octanol partition coefficient, a term which relates to the hydrophobic/hydrophilic balance of a molecule, measured for

lithocholic acid was anomalously low, possibly due to the inaccuracies related to the HPLC method when used for assessing the hydrophobicity of weak acids when the pH of the mobile phase is not controlled (Lucangioli *et al.* 2001). Unfortunately, literature values for the octanol–water partition coefficient for lithocholic are unavailable, even in those studies which assess the effects of conjugation with amino acids or the pH of the mobile phase on the measured hydrophobicity (Heuman 1989; Roda *et al.* 1990). The concentration-dependent reduction of cell viability for these compounds (figure 2*b*) has been attributed to apoptosis and/or necrosis (depending on bile acid concentration) resulting from oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction. This is thought to arise from the induction of the mitochondrial permeability transition (MPT) by bile acids (Sokol *et al.* 2005), though the exact mechanism by which they induce the formation of the permeability transition pore (PTP) remains unclear (Rolo *et al.* 2001). The ethylene glycol esters of cholic acid, chenodeoxycholic acid and deoxycholic acid (8, 15 and 18) follow a trend similar to that of the free acids but were more cytotoxic (figure 2*c*). Cholic acid with a methyl ester on position 24 was more cytotoxic than its ethylene glycol analogue (8). The greater cytotoxicity of these bile acid esters versus their free acid analogues may result from their greater hydrophobicity which would favour diffusive cellular uptake. The ethylene glycol ester of lithocholic acid had an unexpectedly low $\log(K_{OW})$ and high IC_{50} when compared with the other bile acid esters. The dose–response profile for this compound also shows a more gradual decrease of cell viability with concentration when compared with the other bile acid esters, possibly indicative of a different mechanism of cell lysis or to a less pronounced induction of the MPT for this compound.

3.2. (Meth)acrylate derivatives of bile acids

The cholic acid derivative with a single methacrylate group on position 3 (compound 6) was as cytotoxic as its methyl ester precursor 5, despite being more hydrophobic. The decrease of cell viability with concentration for 6 (figure 3*a*) was also less abrupt than for 5 (figure 2*c*). Contrarily, the mono-methacrylate 9 was more cytotoxic than its precursor, ethylene glycol ester 8, explainable by its greater hydrophobicity. These seemingly contradictory trends are an indication that the mechanism of cell lysis caused by bile acids is relatively structure specific and modifications made at different positions on these compounds may influence cytotoxicity in different manners. In this case, it appears as though hydrophobic modifications made to position 3 on cholic acid may help to reduce cytotoxicity, while similar modifications made to position 24 may increase cytotoxicity, independently of hydrophobicity. The existence of a relationship between the nature of the group on position 24 and cytotoxicity has been previously shown in the literature (Rolo *et al.* 2000).

Of all di-methacrylate derivatives of bile acids, 10 was the only one to induce a reduction of cell viability over its range of solubility (figure 3*b*). This indicates

that simultaneous modification of positions 3 and 24, as well as the number of hydroxyl groups on the steroid backbone influence the compound's susceptibility to induce mitochondrial dysfunction. The insolubility of 22 in DMSO (carrier) prevented the evaluation of its cytotoxicity. The tri- and tetra-methacrylate derivatives of cholic acid (7, 11 and 12) also exhibited no cytotoxic response over their range of solubility, even though the great hydrophobicity of these compounds may lead to their accumulation within the cell membrane, a phenomenon which can be further studied by NMR spectroscopy (Engelmann *et al.* 2001).

The tetra-acrylate derivative of cholic acid (13) was more hydrophilic than its methacrylate analogue (12) and was the most cytotoxic substance tested. It has been suggested that acrylates are more susceptible to reaction with glutathione (via Michael's addition) than methacrylates and deplete the intracellular content of this tri-peptide, thus increasing the cell's susceptibility to death via formation of reactive oxygen species (Stanislawski *et al.* 2003; Schultz *et al.* 2005). The cytotoxicity and hydrophobicity of 13 strongly suggest that the more hydrophobic di- (with the exception of 10), tri- and tetra-methacrylate compounds must also be taken up by the cells, but that neither cell metabolism nor membrane integrity is affected over their range of solubility.

4. CONCLUSION

Our results indicate that di-, tri- and tetra-methacrylate derivatives of bile acids are less cytotoxic than the commercial dental monomers BisGMA and UDMA. In fact, aside from 10, these monomers did not affect cell viability over their entire range of solubility. This indicates that the possible extraction of these compounds from a dental composite by a continuous flow of saliva *in vivo* may be less likely to provoke localized cytotoxic or inflammatory responses when compared with BisGMA or UDMA. The mono-methacrylates and bile acid esters, which are potential intermediates of the (bio)degradation of the multi-methacrylates, had comparable cytotoxicity to BisGMA or UDMA, but the ultimate degradation products of these derivatives are natural bile acids, which were all significantly less cytotoxic than BisGMA or UDMA. These results justify further development of polymeric materials based on bile acid derivatives for biomedical applications and warrant a more extensive evaluation of the mechanism underlying cell lysis and the exact nature of the biodegradation compounds produced for these molecules. This can be accomplished by evaluating cell membrane stability (Issa *et al.* 2004), monitoring the production of reactive oxygen species (Stanislawski *et al.* 2003), investigating the influence of these compounds on cell/mitochondrial metabolism (Sokol *et al.* 2005) by mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy (Engelmann *et al.* 2001).

This study was financially supported by FQRNT of Quebec and NSERC of Canada. M.A.G. acknowledges graduate research scholarships awarded by both organizations. The help and collaboration of G. Giguère and J.-C. Leroux are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Al-Hiyasat, A. S., Darmani, H. & Milhem, M. M. 2005 Cytotoxicity evaluation of dental resin composites and their flowable derivatives. *Clin. Oral Investig.* **9**, 21–5. (doi:10.1007/s00784-004-0293-0)
- Ceryak, S., Bouscarel, B., Malavolti, M. & Fromm, H. 1998 Extrahepatic deposition and cytotoxicity of lithocholic acid: studies in two hamster models of hepatic failure and in cultured human fibroblasts. *Hepatology (Philadelphia)* **27**, 546–556. (doi:10.1002/hep.510270232)
- Engelmann, J., Leyhausen, G., Leibfritz, D. & Geurtsen, W. 2001 Metabolic effects of dental resin components *in vitro* detected by NMR spectroscopy. *J. Dent. Res.* **80**, 869–875.
- Ferreira, M., Coxito, P. M., Sardao, V. A., Palmeira, C. M. & Oliveira, P. J. 2005 Bile acids are toxic for isolated cardiac mitochondria: a possible cause for hepatic-derived cardiomyopathies? *Cardiovasc. Toxicol.* **5**, 63–73. (doi:10.1385/CT:5:1:063)
- Fujisawa, S., Kadoma, Y. & Komoda, Y. 1988 ¹H and ¹³C NMR studies of the interaction of eugenol, phenol, and triethyleneglycol dimethacrylate with phospholipid liposomes as a model system for odontoblast membranes. *J. Dent. Res.* **67**, 1438–1441.
- Gauthier, M. A. 2007 Développement et caractérisation de matériaux dentaires hautement performants. PhD thesis, Université de Montréal, p. 202.
- Gautrot, J. E. & Zhu, X. X. 2006 Main-chain bile acid based degradable elastomers synthesized by entropy-driven ring-opening metathesis polymerization. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **45**, 6872–6874. (doi:10.1002/anie.200602096)
- Geurtsen, W., Lehmann, F., Spahl, W. & Leyhausen, G. 1998 Cytotoxicity of 35 dental resin composite monomers/additives in permanent 3T3 and three human primary fibroblast cultures. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **41**, 474–480. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4636(19980905)41:3<474::AID-JBM18>3.0.CO;2-I)
- Gouin, S., Zhu, X. X. & Lehnert, S. 2000 New polyanhydrides made from a bile acid dimer and sebacic acid: synthesis, characterization, and degradation. *Macromolecules* **33**, 5379–5383. (doi:10.1021/ma991364i)
- Heuman, D. M. 1989 Quantitative estimation of the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance of mixed bile salt solutions. *J. Lipid Res.* **30**, 719–730.
- Hofmann, A. F. 1995 Bile acids as drugs: principles, mechanisms of action and formulations. *Ital. J. Gastroenterol.* **27**, 106–113.
- Hu, X., Zhang, X., Wang, Z. & He, B. 2005a Swelling and wettability of light-cured methacrylate-based dental resins prepared from cholic acid. *Chin. J. React. Polym.* **14**, 35–43.
- Hu, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, X., Li, Z. & Zhu, X. X. 2005b Selective acylation of cholic acid derivatives with multiple methacrylate groups. *Steroids* **70**, 531–537. (doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2004.11.015)
- Issa, Y., Watts, D. C., Brunton, P. A., Waters, C. M. & Duxbury, A. J. 2004 Resin composite monomers alter MTT and LDH activity of human gingival fibroblasts *in vitro*. *Dent. Mater.* **20**, 12–20. (doi:10.1016/S0109-5641(03)00053-8)
- Lucangioli, S. E., Carducci, C. N., Carducci, V. P. & Kenndler, E. 2001 Retention of bile salts in micellar electrokinetic chromatography: relation of capacity factor to octanol–water partition coefficient and critical micellar concentration. *J. Chromatogr. B* **765**, 113–120. (doi:10.1016/S0378-4347(01)00417-0)
- Reichl, F.-X., Esters, M., Simon, S., Seiss, M., Kehe, K., Kleinsasser, N., Folwaczny, M., Glas, J. & Hickel, R. 2006 Cell death effects of resin-based dental material compounds and mercurials in human gingival fibroblasts. *Arch. Toxicol.* **80**, 370–377. (doi:10.1007/s00204-005-0044-2)
- Roda, A., Minutello, A., Angellotti, M. A. & Fini, A. 1990 Bile acid structure–activity relationship: evaluation of bile acid lipophilicity using 1-octanol/water partition coefficient and reverse phase HPLC. *J. Lipid Res.* **31**, 1433–1443.
- Rolo, A. P., Oliveira, P. J., Moreno, A. J. M. & Palmeira, C. M. 2000 Bile acids affect liver mitochondrial bioenergetics: possible relevance for cholestasis therapy. *Toxicol. Sci.* **57**, 177–185. (doi:10.1093/toxsci/57.1.177)
- Rolo, A. P., Oliveira, P. J., Moreno, A. J. M. & Palmeira, C. M. 2001 Chenodeoxycholate is a potent inducer of the permeability transition pore in rat liver mitochondria. *Biosci. Rep.* **21**, 73–80. (doi:10.1023/A:1010438202519)
- Rolo, A. P., Palmeira, C. M., Holy, J. M. & Wallace, K. B. 2004 Role of mitochondrial dysfunction in combined bile acid-induced cytotoxicity: the switch between apoptosis and necrosis. *Toxicol. Sci.* **79**, 196–204. (doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfh078)
- Schultz, T. W., Yarbrough, J. W. & Johnson, E. L. 2005 Structure–activity relationships for reactivity of carbonyl-containing compounds with glutathione. *SAR QSAR Environ. Res.* **16**, 313–322. (doi:10.1080/10659360500204152)
- Sokol, R. J., Dahl, R., Devereaux, M. W., Yerushalmi, B., Kobak, G. E. & Gumprich, E. 2005 Human hepatic mitochondria generate reactive oxygen species and undergo the permeability transition in response to hydrophobic bile acids. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **41**, 235–243. (doi:10.1097/01.MPG.0000170600.80640.88)
- Stanislowski, L., Lefeuvre, M., Bourd, K., Soheili-Majd, E., Goldberg, M. & Perianin, A. 2003 TEGDMA-induced toxicity in human fibroblasts is associated with early and drastic glutathione depletion with subsequent production of oxygen reactive species. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. A* **66A**, 476–482. (doi:10.1002/jbm.a.10600)
- Virtanen, E. & Kolehmainen, E. 2004 Use of bile acids in pharmacological and supramolecular applications. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 3385–3399. (doi:10.1002/ejoc.200300699)
- Yoshii, E. 1997 Cytotoxic effects of acrylates and methacrylates: relationships of monomer structures and cytotoxicity. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* **37**, 517–524. (doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4636(19971215)37:4<517::AID-JBM10>3.0.CO;2-5)
- Zhu, X. X. & Nichifor, M. 2002 Polymeric materials containing bile acids. *Accounts Chem. Res.* **35**, 539–546. (doi:10.1021/ar0101180)