Published in final edited form as:

Schizophr Res. 2008 March ; 100(1-3): 261–269.

EARLY NICOTINE WITHDRAWAL AND TRANSDERMAL NICOTINE EFFECTS ON NEUROCOGNITIVE PERFORMANCE IN SCHIZOPHRENIA

Christopher G. Ahn^a, Paul G. Nestor, Ph.D.^{b,c}, Martha E. Shenton, Ph.D.^c, Robert W. McCarley, M.D.^c, and Margaret A. Niznikiewicz, Ph.D.^c

^aCenter for Alcohol and Addictions Studies, Brown Medical School, Brown University, 121 South Main St., Providence, RI, 02912

^bDepartment of Psychology, University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd., Boston, MA 02125.

^cClinical Neuroscience Division, Laboratory of Neuroscience, VA Boston Healthcare System-Brockton Division, Department of Psychiatry, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA. 940 Belmont St., Psychiatry 116A, Brockton, MA 02301

Abstract

As cigarette smoking prevalence rates approach 90% in schizophrenia, an important emerging question is the role of nicotine in the disease-related disturbance in cognition. We therefore tested a total of 38 male cigarette smokers (22 schizophrenia, 16 normal control), matched on nicotine dependence, on the Attention Network Test (ANT) at three nicotine conditions (baseline, 8hr overnight withdrawal, 3hr 21mg nicotine patch). The results indicated that the groups did not differ in performance on either of three ANT measures (alertness, orienting, and executive) across baseline, patch, and withdrawal conditions. However, in comparison to the controls, the participants with schizophrenia showed faster ANT reaction time (RT) for the nicotine patch in relation to the baseline condition. In comparison to controls, the participants with schizophrenia also showed reduced ANT accuracy at withdrawal but not at patch condition. These results suggest that overall processing speed and accuracy are affected differently by nicotine levels in participants with schizophrenia, with evidence supporting greater impairment from withdrawal and greater improvement from nicotine administration.

Keywords

nicotine; smoking; attention; withdrawal; schizophrenia; cognition

1. Introduction

Prevalence rates of cigarette use in schizophrenia approach 70-90% (Dalack et al., 1998), and the reasons for increased use are not well understood (McCloughen, 2003). Smokers with schizophrenia have elevated rates of lung cancer (Lichtermann et al., 2001), cardiovascular disease (Osby et al., 2000), tardive dyskinesia (Chong et al., 2003) and polydipsia (de Leon et

Address reprint requests to: Christopher G. Ahn, Ph.D., Center for Alcohol and Addictions Studies, Box G-S121-3, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912. Tel: 401-863-3656 Fax: 401-863-3713 Email: christopher_ahnallen@brown.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

al., 2002). Biological abnormalities of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors are also established in schizophrenia including $\alpha 4\beta 2$ (hippocampus, Freedman et al., 1995; striatum, Durany et al., 2000) and $\alpha 7$ subunits (hippocampus, Leonard et al., 1998, 2000).

Smoking may ameliorate many well described cognitive deficits in schizophrenia. Nicotine is known to enhance cognition (Kumari et al., 2003), including attention and memory (George et al., 2001; Levin et al., 1996), which are impaired in schizophrenia (Fioravanti et al., 2005). Previous studies in schizophrenia report improved reaction time with nicotine spray (Smith et al., 2006) but impaired accuracy at withdrawal with a return to baseline following smoking reinstatement (Sacco et al., 2005).

The Attention Network Test (ANT), a novel means of evaluating attention functioning, has received empirical support in the literature (Fan et al., 2002, 2005). In combining flanker (Eriksen & Eriksen, 1974) and cue reaction time (Posner, 1980) paradigms, the ANT provides a measure of the efficiency of three independent networks of attention, referred to as alerting, orienting, and executive (Fan et al., 2002). Each network is associated with differential activation of particular networks of neuroanatomical regions (Fan et al., 2002, 2005). The alerting network, which uses warning signals to provide for faster information processing, is associated with norepinephrine frontal and parietal circuits within the right hemisphere (Coull et al., 1996; Marrocco et al., 1994). The orienting network, which uses directional warning signals to facilitate stimuli location detection, is associated with thalamic, frontal and superior parietal regions (Corbetta et al., 2000; Rafal & Posner, 1987). The executive network, which provides resolution of conflict, processing of novel stimuli and error detection, is associated with the anterior cingulate cortex and lateral prefrontal cortex (Bush et al., 2000; Posner & DiGirolamo, 1998).

The use of the ANT as a cognitive measure has been reported in schizophrenia (Nestor et al., 2007; Gooding et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2005). It has been also used to assess nicotine impact. Following overnight abstinence in cigarette smokers, 21mg nicotine patch had no effect on attention networks but both nicotine and denicotinized cigarettes improved alerting attention (Kleykamp et al., 2007). In schizophrenia, attention studies using the ANT are inconsistent. Wang and colleagues (2005) report more impaired orienting and executive network function in schizophrenia compared to a control group. In contrast, Nestor and colleagues (2007) reported reduced alerting network function, which was associated with a smaller white matter fiber tract in the cingulum bundle. Gooding and colleagues (2006) suggest a specificity of executive attention dysfunction in schizophrenia as well as poorer reaction time and accuracy rates to controls. Despite the variability, the ANT is a useful method of attention assessment in schizophrenia.

We examined the effects of nicotine levels on attention networks and performance functioning in schizophrenia. Using a design that included repeated administration of the ANT at baseline, post-overnight withdrawal and post-transdermal nicotine patch, we planned to understand how nicotine might impact attention networks and performance measures (i.e., RT and accuracy). We predicted that persons with schizophrenia would demonstrate greater impairment in attention network function in comparison to control participants. Nicotine level was expected to predict outcomes (transdermal patch > baseline > withdrawal), with a schizophrenia diagnosis associated with greater impairment. In addition, we analyzed performance measures to better understand how they are affected by nicotine levels manipulations.

2. Materials and Method

2.1 Participants

Participants, 18-60 years old, with DSM-IV (SCID-P; First et al., 1997) schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder were recruited from the VA Boston Healthcare System. Control participants, 18-60 years old, were recruited from the community. Exclusion criteria for schizophrenia participants included alcohol/drug abuse (past year) and dependency (past five years), allergy/hypersensitivity to adhesives, current smoking cessation treatment, history of seizure disorder, neurological illnesses, or severe head injury. Additional exclusion criteria for controls included personal or 1st degree relative history of diagnosed Axis I disorders. All procedures were approved by institutional review boards at the VA Boston Health Care System, Harvard Medical School and University of Massachusetts Boston.

Forty-five cigarette smokers were recruited (27 schizophrenia, 18 control). Twenty-two schizophrenia and 16 control participants completed all testing conditions; two participants with schizophrenia were unable to abstain from smoking overnight and five (3 schizophrenia, 2 normal control) lost interest. Consistent with the literature, the schizophrenia group ($M=12.45$, $SD=1.82$) demonstrated fewer completed years of education than controls ($M=14.25$, $SD=1.65$), $t_{36}=3.12$, $p<.01$. Clinical ratings were completed for current negative (SANS; Andreasen, 1981), positive (SAPS; Andreasen, 1984), and overall (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) symptoms in the patient sample (see Table 1). Full-scale WAIS-III scores, collected as part of an earlier study, are also presented for the participants with schizophrenia in Table 1. These scores were not collected from normal control participants.

2.2 Measures and Instruments

2.2.1 Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND)—The FTND is a 6-item self-report questionnaire of smoking-related nicotine dependence (Heatherton et al., 1991). Participants report information on timing and importance of cigarette use with total scores ranging from 0 to 10. A score of seven or greater is considered highly physically dependent on nicotine.

2.2.2 Attention Network Test (ANT)—The ANT is a 20-minute visual decision task consisting of a central target arrowhead, (e.g., →) flanked by either four arrowheads (e.g., → → → → →) or dashes (— — — — —), presented in black on a light-gray background (see Fan et al., 2002; Figure 1). Arrowhead configurations included both leftward and rightward orientations. Flankers were of similar (congruent) or opposite (incongruent) direction or black lines (neutral). Arrowhead stimuli were presented either above or below a center fixation cross (+). Participants were seated 65cm from a laptop computer screen and the paradigm was administered using E-Prime software. Participants were asked to report the direction of the central arrowhead as quickly and as accurately as possible using two mouse buttons.

Trials included a warning cue (*) condition and target type configuration. The warning cue was presented centrally (center cue), above or below the fixation cross (spatial cue), above and below the fixation cross (double cue) or not at all (no cue). Twenty four practice trials were first provided and included visually-displayed reaction time and cumulative accuracy feedback. A total of 96 test trials were administered (4 warning cue conditions × 2 target arrowhead locations × 2 target arrowhead directions × 3 distractor stimuli conditions × 2 repetitions).

Alertness was calculated by subtracting the median RTs to the target during double-cue from the no-cue condition; orienting was calculated by subtracting the median RTs to targets preceded by the spatial cue from the median RTs in the center cue condition; executive attention

network function was calculated by subtracting the median RTs of congruent from incongruent distractor conditions. Overall mean RT and accuracy (% correct) were calculated.

Repeated administration of the ANT is considered to be reliable, without evidence of practice effects on attention networks (Fan et al., 2002). Participants do not memorize specific stimuli or make responses that may be affected by the novelty of the stimuli. Also, a 5-minute practice session is designed to allow all participants at each session to become familiar with the task. Therefore, practice effects on this task are not considered to interfere with the results.

2.2.3 Transdermal Nicotine Patch—A 21mg Nicoderm® CQ transdermal nicotine patch delivered a controlled level of nicotine to participants. This system is safe and effective for the study of nicotine in smokers with (Chou et al., 2004; Levin et al., 1996) and without (Lawrence et al., 2002) schizophrenia. The nicotine patch, instead of smoking reinstatement, was selected in order to control for the amount of nicotine delivered. Smoking reinstatement is likely to be different according to both individual smoking characteristics and group membership (Tidey et al., 2005). Participants were monitored for adverse side effects of the nicotine patch and concomitant use of cigarettes during patch administration was forbidden (Fant et al., 1999).

2.2.4 Saliva Collection and Analysis—Saliva is a valid and reliable method of measuring nicotine levels (Rose et al., 1993). Unstimulated saliva samples were provided by participants at each assessment. Samples were transferred into 2ml cryogenic vials and stored at -40°C before shipment for nicotine analysis (Labstat International Inc.) using gas chromatography with thermionic specific detection (Dhar, 2004). Nicotine levels were reported in nanograms/milliliter (ng/ml).

2.3 Experimental Procedure

All recruited participants first provided informed consent. On the first day, participants were administered the FTND, baseline ANT (no smoking for 1 hour) and provided a saliva sample. Within a week, participants returned to complete early withdrawal and nicotine patch assessments. For early withdrawal, participants abstained from smoking cigarettes overnight (8 hours). If abstinence was accomplished, participants completed the ANT and gave a saliva sample. If participants were not able to abstain, testing was rescheduled. Following the early withdrawal ANT and saliva assessment, the 21mg Nicoderm CQ patch was applied. During a 3-hour patch administration period, participants viewed an entertainment videotape in the laboratory. Participants then completed the ANT and gave a saliva sample. Following testing, the nicotine patch was removed and psychoeducation on smoking effects and cessation programs was provided.

3. Results

3.1 Nicotine Analyses

Three participants (2 control, 1 schizophrenia) were removed from analyses given abnormally high nicotine levels ($z\text{-score} > 2.50$; Stevens, 1999). These abnormal levels were found at baseline (1 control), withdrawal (1 control, 1 schizophrenia) and nicotine patch (1 control). One control participant had elevated nicotine levels at both baseline and nicotine patch assessments. Analyses were completed with 21 participants with schizophrenia and 14 controls.

A 2×3 mixed-model ANOVA with one between-subject factor of group (schizophrenia, control) and one within-subject factor of session (baseline, early withdrawal, nicotine) was computed for salivary nicotine levels (Table 2). Significant main effects of group, $F(1,32) = 7.00$, $p < .05$ and session, $F(2,31) = 13.00$, $p < .001$, were reported but not the interaction, $F > 1$. Overall, increased nicotine levels were reported in schizophrenia ($M = 253.00$, $SE = 28.37$)

compared to controls ($M = 127.65$, $SE = 36.10$). Across groups, withdrawal nicotine levels ($M = 88.90$, $SE = 28.69$) were reduced compared to baseline ($M = 225.20$, $SE = 45.97$) and patch ($M = 290.32$, $SE = 31.03$) assessments. Post-hoc analyses revealed greater nicotine levels in schizophrenia than controls at early withdrawal, $t_{33} = 2.74$, $p < .05$.

3.2 Self-reported Smoking Behavior

Nicotine dependence ratings (FTND) were similar in schizophrenia ($M = 5.90$, $SD = 1.81$) and controls ($M = 4.71$, $SD = 2.79$). However, item analysis using chi-square statistics indicated that 71.4% of participants with schizophrenia reported the first cigarette of the day as more difficult to give up compared to 35.7% of controls, $\chi^2(1, N = 35) = 4.38$, $p < .05$. Additional chi-square item analyses were not significant (Table 3).

3.3 Attention Network Test

3.3.1 Measures of Alerting, Orienting, and Executive Attention Networks—A $2 \times 3 \times 3$ repeated-measures ANOVA with group (schizophrenia, control) as the between-subject factor along with network type (alerting, orienting, executive) and condition (baseline, withdrawal, patch) as within-subject factors was computed. Performance measures of network types were calculated using RT difference scores as described in section 2.2.2. Analyses revealed a significant main effect of network type, $F(2,32) = 20.72$, $p < .001$, and the interaction between network and condition, $F(2,32) = 3.21$, $p < .05$. Executive network function (113.2ms) was slower than either alerting (28.4ms) or orienting (55.3ms) network measures in both groups. Also, for both groups, faster executive function occurred during nicotine patch (125.1ms) in comparison to baseline (102.0ms), $t_{34} = 2.52$, $p < .05$.

3.3.2 Reaction Time—A 2×3 mixed-model ANOVA revealed that participants with schizophrenia ($M = 744.7\text{ms}$) had overall slower RT than controls ($M = 596.1\text{ms}$), $F(1,33) = 10.78$, $p < .01$. Participants with schizophrenia showed slower RT than controls at baseline ($t_{33} = 3.26$, $p < .01$), withdrawal ($t_{33} = 3.18$, $p < .01$), and nicotine patch ($t_{33} = 2.86$, $p < .01$). Across groups, RT following nicotine patch ($M = 629.6\text{ms}$) was fastest compared with baseline ($M = 691.9\text{ms}$) and withdrawal ($M = 689.4\text{ms}$) conditions, $F(2,32) = 20.25$, $p < .001$. A one-way between-group ANOVA for RT change between nicotine conditions indicated that participants with schizophrenia ($M = 87.38$, $SE = 18.03$), relative to controls ($M = 37.14$, $SE = 12.76$), demonstrated significantly faster RT following nicotine patch compared to baseline, $F(1,33) = 4.21$, $p < .05$ (see Figure 2). Paired samples t -tests indicated participants with schizophrenia showed significantly faster RT at nicotine patch ($M = 690.43\text{ms}$) compared to baseline ($M = 777.81\text{ms}$; $t_{20} = 4.85$, $p < .001$) or withdrawal conditions ($M = 765.81\text{ms}$; $t_{20} = 4.91$, $p < .001$). Controls also improved RT at nicotine patch ($M = 568.86\text{ms}$) compared with baseline ($M = 606.00\text{ms}$, $t_{13} = 2.91$, $p = .01$) or withdrawal conditions ($M = 613.00\text{ms}$, $t_{13} = 2.22$, $p < .05$).

3.3.3 Accuracy—Accuracy rates were submitted to a 2×3 mixed-model ANOVA: group (schizophrenia, control) as the between-subject variable, session (baseline, withdrawal, nicotine) as the within-subject variable. Results indicated trend effects of group, $F(1,33) = 3.33$, $p = .077$, and session, $F(2,32) = 2.67$, $p = .085$, without significant interaction (see Figure 3). Participants with schizophrenia demonstrated a tendency toward decreased accuracy (93.3%) in comparison to normal controls (97.9%). Additionally, across groups accuracy rates tended to differ according to nicotine levels, with superior functioning at nicotine patch (97.1%) in comparison to baseline (95.2%) or withdrawal (94.4%).

Exploratory independent-samples t -tests indicated, at baseline, accuracy rates in schizophrenia (92.4%) tended to be more impaired than in controls (98.1%), $t_{23} = 1.85$, $p = .077$. Following withdrawal, accuracy rates were significantly worse in schizophrenia participants (91.6%) than

controls (97.2%), $t_{26} = 2.18, p < .05$. Importantly, accuracy rates following nicotine patch *did not differ* between groups, $t_{26} = 1.46, p = .16$ (schizophrenia: 95.9% and controls: 98.3%). Additional evidence from paired-samples *t*-tests in schizophrenia demonstrated a near significant difference between accurate responding at withdrawal (91.6%) and following nicotine patch (95.9%), $t_{20} = 2.00, p = .059$.

4. Discussion

The study examined the effects of nicotine on ANT performance in schizophrenia. Results indicated that the groups did not differ in performance on either of three ANT measures (alertness, orienting, and executive) across baseline, patch, and withdrawal conditions. However, compared to controls, the participants with schizophrenia showed faster ANT RT for the nicotine patch in relation to the baseline condition. The participants with schizophrenia also showed reduced ANT accuracy at withdrawal but not at patch condition. These results suggest that overall processing speed and accuracy are affected differently by nicotine levels in schizophrenia, with evidence supporting greater impairment from withdrawal and greater improvement from nicotine administration.

The evidence of greater performance improvement with nicotine replenishment in schizophrenia may help to explain increased rates of cigarette use. Given improved neurocognitive functioning with nicotine, persons with schizophrenia may smoke as a means to alleviate cognitive decline due to the effects of illness and/or medication (Glassman, 1993). Even though reaction time was significantly poorer in schizophrenia across and within nicotine conditions, comparisons between conditions demonstrated greater benefit when administered nicotine directly. While controls also benefited from nicotine administration, the impact on reaction time was not nearly as great as it was observed in the schizophrenia group.

Accuracy of responses in schizophrenia also demonstrated an influence of nicotine. It was expected that participants with schizophrenia would show more impaired accuracy across and within nicotine conditions (Gooding et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005), though similar rates to controls are known in those with paranoid schizophrenia (Neuhaus et al., 2006). In fact, accurate information processing was most impaired following nicotine withdrawal. Most importantly, the accuracy rates at nicotine patch did not differ from control smokers. This finding suggests that a controlled delivery of nicotine, immediately following the withdrawal condition (where participants with schizophrenia were significantly less accurate than normal controls), resulted in significantly improved performance to a level found in the control group. Therefore, in schizophrenia, nicotine depletion has greater adverse effects on accuracy while nicotine administration ameliorates these deficits to levels of a non-psychiatric population.

Nicotine level did affect attention network functioning across groups. Specifically, in both groups, the ability to resolve conflicting information in the executive attention network was improved when assessed at nicotine patch in comparison to existing baseline functioning. This higher order attention network may benefit more by controlled nicotine delivery than other networks. While we did not demonstrate group differences on the attention network task, follow-up research is warranted to explore this effect in schizophrenia given reported executive network dysfunction (Gooding et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2005).

Previous research has identified unique deficits of executive attention functioning in schizophrenia (Gooding et al., 2006). With statistically similar performance between groups on alerting and orienting attention networks, Gooding and colleagues (2006) point to a specificity of executive attention dysfunction, which is consistent with other (Neuhaus et al., 2006), but not all studies (i.e., orienting network, Wang et al., 2005; alerting network, Nestor et al., 2007). While a lack of significant differences between groups in attention network

functioning in this study does not clarify the discrepancies reported in the literature, it does provide additional evidence of the variability of attention functioning in schizophrenia. Given substantial evidence of attention deficits in schizophrenia (Nestor et al., 2001), follow-up examination of the characteristics associated with attention dysfunction in schizophrenia is warranted.

Smokers with schizophrenia demonstrated increased nicotine levels across and within nicotine conditions with significantly higher levels in the patient group at withdrawal. This group difference represents a noteworthy clinical and empirical finding. Increased nicotine levels in schizophrenia may relate to differences in metabolism, behavioral smoking patterns and/or study non-compliance. Increased levels of cotinine, the principal metabolite of nicotine, are reported in schizophrenia (Strand & Nyback, 2005; Jacobsen et al., 2004). Williams and colleagues (2005) refute the idea that metabolism of nicotine is slower in schizophrenia although they acknowledge that their evaluation examined a subset of enzymes associated with nicotine metabolism. Tidey and colleagues (2005) identified more intense smoking behavior in schizophrenia including shorter inter-puff intervals and greater total number of puffs/cigarette. In our study, participants did not provide carbon monoxide samples prior to withdrawal assessment, which may indicate noncompliance with the 8-hour withdrawal period. Nonetheless, salivary nicotine levels did follow the expected pattern in both groups (nicotine patch > baseline > withdrawal), which allowed for comparisons between conditions.

In conclusion, nicotine levels had a greater impact on reaction time and accuracy rates in schizophrenia than in control smokers. Overnight nicotine withdrawal resulted in greater impairment of accuracy in the schizophrenia group while nicotine administration provided greater improvement than that observed in the control group. Nicotine provided greater reaction time benefit in schizophrenia. Despite differences in nicotine levels and a lack of significant group differences in attention network function, these results suggest that cigarette smoking behavior provides ameliorative neurocognitive effects in schizophrenia. Future research on neurocognitive effects of nicotine in schizophrenia will aid in the understanding of increased smoking in this group.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge and appreciate the contribution of all research participants who participated in this study. We would also like to thank Jane Adams, Ph.D. and Tiffany Cunningham, Ph.D. for their contributions to this project development.

References

- Andreasen, NC. Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS). University of Iowa; Iowa City: 1981.
- Andreasen, NC. Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS). University of Iowa; Iowa City: 1984.
- Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI. Cognitive and emotional influences in the anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends in Cognitive Science* 2000;4:215–222.
- Chong S-A, Tan E-C, Tan CH, Mythily MB. Smoking and tardive dyskinesia: Lack of involvement of the CYP1A2 gene. *Journal of Psychiatry Neuroscience* 2003;28:185–189. [PubMed: 12790158]
- Chou K-R, Chen R, Lee J-F, Ku C-H, Lu R-B. The effectiveness of nicotine-patch therapy for smoking cessation in patients with schizophrenia. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2004;41:321–330. [PubMed: 14967189]
- Corbetta M, Kincade JM, Ollinger JM, McAvoy MP, Shulman G. Voluntary orienting is dissociated from target detection in human posterior parietal cortex. *Nature Neuroscience* 2000;3:292–297.
- Coull JT, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS, Grasby PM. A fronto-parietal network for rapid visual information processing: a PET study of sustained attention and working memory. *Neuropsychologia* 1996;34(11): 1085–1095. [PubMed: 8904746]

- Dalack GW, Healy DJ, Meador-Woodruff JH. Nicotine dependence in schizophrenia: Clinical phenomena and laboratory findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 1998;155:1490–1501. [PubMed: 9812108]
- de Leon J, Tracy J, McCann E, McGrory A. Polydipsia and schizophrenia in a psychiatric hospital: A replication study. *Schizophrenia Research* 2002;57:293–301. [PubMed: 12223261]
- Dhar P. Measuring tobacco smoke exposure: Quantifying nicotine/cotinine concentration in biological samples by colorimetry, chromatography and immunoassay methods. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 2004;35:155–168. [PubMed: 15030890]
- Durany N, Zöchling R, Boissl KW, Paulus W, Ransmayr G, Tatschner T, et al. Human post-mortem striatal $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor density in schizophrenia and Parkinson's syndrome. *Neuroscience Letters* 2000;287:109–112. [PubMed: 10854724]
- Eriksen BA, Eriksen CW. Effects of noise letters upon the identification of a target letter in a nonsearch task. *Perception & Psychophysics* 1974;16:143–149.
- Fan J, McCandliss BD, Fossella J, Flombaum JI, Posner MI. The activation of attentional networks. *NeuroImage* 2005;26:471–479. [PubMed: 15907304]
- Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI. Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience* 2002;14:340–347. [PubMed: 11970796]
- Fant RV, Owen LL, Henningfield JE. Nicotine replacement therapy. *Primary Care* 1999;26:633–652. [PubMed: 10436291]
- Fioravanti M, Carlone O, Vitale B, Cinti ME, Clare L. A meta-analysis of cognitive deficits in adults with a diagnosis of schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology Review* 2005;15:73–95. [PubMed: 16211467]
- First, MB.; Spitzer, RL.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, JBW. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders*. American Psychiatric Press; Washington, DC: 1997.
- Freedman R, Hall M, Adler LE, Leonard S. Evidence in postmortem brain tissue for decreased numbers of hippocampal nicotinic receptors in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 1995;38:22–33. [PubMed: 7548469]
- George TP, Vessicchio JC, Termine A, Sahady DM, Head CA, Pepper T, et al. Effects of smoking abstinence on visuospatial working memory function in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2001;26:75–85. [PubMed: 11751034]
- Glassman AH. Cigarette smoking: Implications for psychiatric illness. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 1993;150:546–553. [PubMed: 8465868]
- Gooding DC, Braun JG, Studer JA. Attentional network task performance in patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders: Evidence of a specific deficit. *Schizophrenia Research* 2006;88:169–178. [PubMed: 16950603]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström K-O. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: A revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal of Addiction* 1991;86:1119–1127. [PubMed: 1932883]
- Jacobsen LK, D'Souza DC, Mencl WE, Pugh KR, Skudlarski P, Krystal JH. Nicotine effects on brain function and functional connectivity in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 2004;55:850–858. [PubMed: 15050867]
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 1987;13:261–276. [PubMed: 3616518]
- Kleykamp, B.; Jennings, J.; Sams, C.; Shaffer, D.; Austin, J.; Gray, J., et al. The effects of smoking-related stimuli on cognitive performance; Paper presented at the meeting of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco; Austin, TX. Feb. 2007
- Kumari V, Gray JA, ffytche DH, Mitterschiffthaler MT, Das M, Zachariah E, et al. Cognitive effects of nicotine in humans: An fMRI study. *NeuroImage* 2003;19:1002–1013. [PubMed: 12880828]
- Lawrence NS, Ross TJ, Stein EA. Cognitive mechanisms of nicotine on visual attention. *Neuron* 2002;36:539–548. [PubMed: 12408855]
- Leonard S, Gault J, Adams C, Breese CR, Rollins Y, Adler LE, et al. Nicotinic receptors, smoking and schizophrenia. *Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience* 1998;12:195–201. [PubMed: 12671315]
- Leonard S, Breese C, Adams C, Benhammou K, Gault J, Stevens K, et al. Smoking and schizophrenia: Abnormal nicotinic receptor expression. *European Journal of Pharmacology* 2000;30:237–242. [PubMed: 10771019]

- Levin ED, Wilson W, Rose J, McEvoy J. Nicotine-haloperidol interactions and cognitive performance in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1996;15:429–436. [PubMed: 8914115]
- Lichtermann D, Jesper E, Eero P, Tanskanen A, Lönnqvist J. Incidence of cancer among persons with schizophrenia and their relatives. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2001;58:573–578. [PubMed: 11386986]
- Marrocco RT, Witte EA, Davidson MC. Arousal systems. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 1994;4:166–170. [PubMed: 7913640]
- McCloughen A. The association between schizophrenia and cigarette smoking: A review of the literature and implications for mental health nursing practice. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing* 2003;12:119–129. [PubMed: 12956023]
- Nestor PG, Han SD, Niznikiewicz M, Salisbury D, Spencer K, Shenton ME, et al. Semantic disturbance in schizophrenia and its relationship to the cognitive neuroscience of attention. *Biological Psychology* 2001;57:23–46. [PubMed: 11454433]
- Nestor PG, Kubicki M, Spencer KM, Niznikiewicz M, McCarley RW, Shenton ME. Attentional networks and cingulum bundle in chronic schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* 2007;90:308–315. [PubMed: 17150337]
- Neuhaus AH, Koehler S, Opgen-Rhein C, Urbanek C, Hahn E, Dettling M. Selective anterior cingulate cortex deficit during conflict solution in schizophrenia: An event-related potential study. *Journal of Psychiatry Research*. 2006print copy in press (originally published online August 12, 2006 at <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00223956>)
- Osby U, Correia N, Brandt L, Ekblom A, Sparen P. Mortality and causes of death in schizophrenia in Stockholm county, Sweden. *Schizophrenia Research* 2000;45:21–28. [PubMed: 10978869]
- Posner MI. Orienting of attention. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 1980;32:3–25. [PubMed: 7367577]
- Posner, MI.; DiGirolamo, FJ. Executive attention: conflict, target detection and cognitive control. In: Parasuraman, R., editor. *The attentive brain*. MIT Press; Cambridge, MA: 1998.
- Rose JE, Levin ED, Benowitz N. Saliva nicotine as an index of plasma levels in nicotine skin patch users. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring* 1993;15:431–435. [PubMed: 8249050]
- Rafal RD, Posner MI. Deficits in human visual spatial attention following thalamic lesions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the U.S.A* 1987;84:7349–7353.
- Sacco KA, Termine A, Seyal A, Dudas MM, Vessicchio JC, Krishnan-Sarin S, et al. Effects of cigarette smoking on spatial working memory and attentional deficits in schizophrenia: Involvement of nicotinic receptor mechanisms. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 2005;62:649–659. [PubMed: 15939842]
- Smith RC, Warner-Cohen J, Matute M, Butler E, Kelly E, Vaidhyathanaswamy S, et al. Effects of nicotine nasal spray on cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2006;31:637–643. [PubMed: 16160711]
- Stevens, J. *Intermediate Statistics*. 2nd ed.. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; London: 1999.
- Strand JE, Nyback H. Tobacco use in schizophrenia: A study of cotinine concentrations in the saliva of patients and controls. *European Psychiatry* 2005;20:50–54. [PubMed: 15642444]
- Tidey JW, Rohsenow DJ, Kaplan GB, Swift RM. Cigarette smoking topography in smokers with schizophrenia and matched non-psychiatric controls. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2005;7:421–429.
- Wang K, Fan J, Dong Y, Wang C, Lee TMC, Posner MI. Selective impairment of attentional networks of orienting and executive control in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* 2005;78:235–241. [PubMed: 16154056]
- Wechsler, D. *Wechsler adult intelligence scale*. 3rd ed.. The Psychological Corporation; San Antonio, TX: 1997.
- Williams JM, Ziedonis DM, Abanyie F, Steinberg ML, Foulds J, Benowitz NL. Increased nicotine and cotinine levels in smokers with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorders is not a metabolic effect. *Schizophrenia Research* 2005;79:323–335. [PubMed: 15961287]

Figure 1.
ANT procedure.

Figure 2.
Reaction time change score calculations between nicotine conditions including (a) baseline – withdrawal, (b) withdrawal – nicotine patch and (c) baseline – nicotine patch.

Figure 3.
Accuracy rate differences between subject groups for each nicotine condition.

Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics of groups

Variable	Schizophrenia (<i>n</i> = 22), <i>M</i> (S.D.)	Control (<i>n</i> = 16), <i>M</i> (S.D.)
Age, years	47.59 (8.06)	42.31 (11.18)
Education, years	12.45 (1.82)	14.25 (1.65)**
Height, inches	70.32 (3.76)	71.13 (2.50)
Weight, pounds	206.27 (45.75)	184.31 (29.16)
Body mass index	29.55 (7.27)	25.59 (3.73)*
Age of illness onset, years [^]	22.11 (3.83)	
Illness duration, years [^]	25.79 (8.14)	
WAIS-III FSIQ	88.53 (11.86)	
PANSS positive scale [^]	18.63 (8.56)	
PANSS negative scale [^]	20.84 (8.21)	
PANSS general psychopathology scale [^]	36.68 (14.33)	
PANSS total score [^]	76.16 (26.44)	
SAPS total score [^]	8.47 (3.06)	
SANS total score [^]	10.00 (6.32)	
Time 1 CPZ equivalent (mg/day)	640.91 (531.54)	
Time 2 CPZ equivalent (mg/day)	627.78 (589.67)	

CPZ (Chlorpromazine)

WAIS-III (Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – III; Wechsler, 1997)

PANSS (Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; Kay et al., 1987)

SAPS (Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms; Andreasen, 1984)

SANS (Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; Andreasen, 1981)

*
 $p < .05$

**
 $p < .01$

[^]
 $n = 19$

Table 2
Saliva Nicotine Levels (ng/mL) According To Condition

	Schizophrenia (<i>n</i> = 20), <i>M</i> (<i>S.E.</i>)	Control (<i>n</i> = 14), <i>M</i> (<i>S.E.</i>)
Baseline (no smoking: 1 hour)	285.66 (49.11)	137.76 (86.91)
Early withdrawal (no smoking: 8 hours)	141.06 (46.06)	14.85 (3.98)
Nicotine patch (nicotine: 3 hours)	332.30 (41.47)	230.34 (41.26)

Ng/mL (nanograms per milliliter)

Table 3
Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) Item Percentage Scores For Each Group

Question		Schizophrenia (n = 21)	Control (n = 14)
1. How soon after you wake up do you smoke your first cigarette?	61+ minutes	4.8	14.3
	31-60 minutes	4.8	7.1
	6-30 minutes	52.4	42.9
	Within 5 minutes	38.1	35.7
2. Do you find it difficult to refrain from smoking in places where it is forbidden?	Yes	38.1	50.0
	No	61.9	50.0
3. Which cigarette would you hate most to give up?	The first one in AM	71.4	35.7*
	All others	28.6	64.3*
4. How many cigarettes a day do you smoke?	10 or fewer	9.5	35.7
	11-20	33.3	42.9
	21-30	42.9	14.3
	31+	14.3	7.1
5. Do you smoke more frequently during the first hours after waking than during the rest of the day?	Yes	47.6	42.9
	No	52.4	57.1
6. Do you smoke if you are so ill that you are in bed most of the day?	Yes	47.6	42.9
	No	52.4	57.1

*
 $p < .05$