Van der Sijs-Bos and colleagues claim that our evidence is insufficient to challenge the specificity of prenatal diagnosis of Turner's syndrome karyotypes made by amniocentesis, but at the same time they present data that point in the same direction as our data.

We must re-emphasise the conclusion of our paper: the only study that will resolve this question is one looking at every fetus with a prenatal diagnosis of a Turner's syndrome karyotype, with special reference to the distribution of cells in cases of mosaic karyotypes, results from karyotyping of aborted fetuses, and karyotyping of infants with the syndrome who have not yet been examined postnatally.

CLAUS HØJBJERG GRAVHOLT
Research fellow

Medical Department M,
Århus Kommunehospital,
8000 Århus C,
Denmark

SVEND JUUL
Associate professor

Department of Epidemiology and Social Medicine,
Århus University

RUNE WEIS NAERAA
Registrar

Paediatric Department A,
Århus Kommunehospital

Vietnamese people in study may have had language difficulties

EDITOR,—J Atri and colleagues report that Chinese and Vietnamese adults living in Tower Hamlets, inner London, were the least likely of the ethnic groups studied to have all aspects of preventive care recorded in their general practice records.¹ Although these differences were significant only for the recording of family history and weight, the universality of these findings contrasts with the findings for all the other ethnic groups surveyed.

In Nottingham a structured interview survey of 135 Vietnamese adults has recently been completed (response rate 92%). Interviews were conducted in either Cantonese or Vietnamese, and over one third of local Vietnamese residents were directly represented.² Altogether 82 of 134 interviewees spoke only a few words of English or none at all; not surprisingly, a large proportion also reported difficulty in deciding when to attend their general practitioner (56/130), making the appointment (61/130), explaining to the doctor what was wrong (86/129), and understanding any advice given (90/130). Almost half (61/130) had decided, on at least one occasion, not to visit their general practitioner because of language difficulties.

We believe that these data help to explain why the levels of preventive activity recorded for Vietnamese people in Tower Hamlets may have been so low. Further initiatives are needed to make local health care services easier for Vietnamese people to use, especially with regard to communication with members of the primary health care team. It is a popular misconception that Vietnamese people have adapted easily to life in Britain and therefore require little special attention from health professionals.

JONATHAN S NGUYEN-VAN-TAM
Lecturer
RICHARD J MADELEY
Professor

Department of Public Health Medicine and Epidemiology,
University of Nottingham Medical School,
Queens Medical Centre,
Nottingham NG7 2UH

1 Atri J, Falshaw M, Livingstone A, Robson J, for Healthy East-enders Project. Fair shares in health care? Ethnic and socioeconomic influences on recording of preventive care in selected inner London general practices. *BMJ* 1996;312:614-7. (9 March.)

2 Nguyen-Van-Tam JS, Simpson J, Madeley RJ, Davies LM. Health care experiences of Vietnamese families in Nottingham. *Health Trends* 1995; 27:106-10.

Smoking in public places

Restaurants' policies do not reflect public's preferences

EDITOR,—Simon Chapman's call for political will and legislation to curb smoking in restaurants will strike a chord with all agencies involved in reducing the harm from passive smoking.¹ The public's attitude on this issue is clear. A lifestyle survey conducted in Solihull showed that nearly half of the district's residents preferred smoking to be banned in restaurants and a similar number preferred smoking to be restricted to certain rooms.²

Table 1—Management policy on smoking in 40 restaurants in Solihull

Policy	No of restaurants
No smoke free areas	25
Some smoke free areas:	
<33%	2
33-50%	3
50-75%	5
≥75%	1
Smoke free areas separate and ventilated	2
Completely smoke free	2

I conducted a telephone survey of restaurants in Solihull to ascertain smoking policies and to see whether they reflected the public's preferences. I randomly selected 40 restaurants in the borough and asked the managers to state their policy on smoking on their premises. Nearly two thirds of the restaurants had no effective operational policy on smoking (table 1). Most of the managers claimed that a policy to restrict smoking would result in a decrease in their revenues, though there is no published evidence to back this claim.¹ The management policies do not reflect the public's strong preference for restrictions on smoking in restaurants. My survey has highlighted the huge public health task of making restaurants into smoke free zones.

KISHOR PADKI
Senior registrar

Department of Public Health,
Coventry Health Authority,
Coventry CV1 2GQ

1 Chapman S. Smoking in public places. *BMJ* 1996;312:1501-2. (27 April.)

2 Solihull lifestyle survey: annual report of the director of public health for Solihull 1991. Solihull: Solihull Health Authority, 1991.

Vast majority of both smokers and non-smokers favour at least a partial ban

EDITOR,—Simon Chapman highlights the growing support for smoke free public areas.¹ It is important to note that most smokers also support such measures. A recent lifestyle survey in Solihull (part of the west midlands 1995

health survey) asked 3000 residents for their opinions on smoking in various public places. Table 1 shows the responses of both smokers and non-smokers. The vast majority of both smokers and non-smokers were in favour of at least a partial ban on cigarette smoking in most public places. Even in pubs, where, as would be expected, few smokers favoured a complete ban, over half of them agreed with partial restrictions. Almost all smokers and non-smokers thought that smoking should be banned in schools. Perhaps these results are linked to a belief that stopping smoking is easier in a smoke free environment: three out of every four smokers expressed a wish to give up smoking.

Results such as these should be used to counter any propaganda from the tobacco industry suggesting that legislation to encourage smoke free areas would be against the interests of smokers.

GERRY WALDRON
Consultant in public health medicine

Solihull Health Authority,
Solihull B91 3AH

1 Chapman S. Smoking in public places. *BMJ* 1996;312:1051-2. (27 April.)

Medical students do not think they have authority to advise patients to stop smoking

EDITOR,—We wish to report a study of the prevalence of smoking among medical students at the University of Manchester Medical School and the students' attitudes towards advising patients to stop smoking. Self administered questionnaires were completed by 621 undergraduate medical students (292 men and 329 women; response rate 57%) from all five years of the medical course. The anonymous questionnaires were distributed to first, second, and third year students during a class; completed during breaks between lectures; and collected at the end of the teaching session. The questionnaires were posted to fourth and fifth year students, who were on clinical practice in hospitals and posted them back.

Altogether 67 (23%) of the men and 51 (16%) of the women currently smoked cigarettes; this was a higher prevalence than in 1981 (when it was 18% and 15% respectively).¹ The highest prevalence of smoking was found in students from the south of England (22/67 (33%) for men and 22/86 (26%) for women), and the prevalence was higher in students from non-manual than manual backgrounds (56/236 (24%) for men and 46/285 (16%) for women v 3/37 (8%) and 3/29 (10%) respectively). Levels of stress and self esteem were not significantly associated with smoking. The most interesting findings were the students' perceptions of their roles with regard to smoking. Sixteen (24%) male smokers and 20 (39%) female smokers compared with 230 (52%) of the non-smokers

Table 1—Number (percentage) of smokers and non-smokers who supported complete or partial ban on cigarette smoking in various public places*

Location	Smokers (n = 793)		Non-smokers (n = 2503)	
	Complete ban	Partial ban	Complete ban	Partial ban
Public transport	461 (61)	256 (34)	2088 (86)	311 (13)
Restaurants	208 (27)	510 (66)	1617 (66)	780 (32)
Pubs	47 (6)	537 (59)	659 (28)	1440 (61)
Cinemas	479 (63)	236 (31)	2034 (84)	337 (14)
Workplaces	188 (26)	474 (64)	1388 (58)	907 (38)
Schools	660 (87)	75 (10)	2257 (93)	117 (5)
Colleges	482 (64)	241 (32)	1896 (79)	464 (19)

*Some respondents did not answer every question.