Published in final edited form as:

J Mol Biol. 2008 March 21; 377(2): 565–574. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2007.12.079.

Mutations Enhance the Aggregation Propensity of the Alzheimer's A β Peptide

Woojin Kim[#] and Michael H. Hecht^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544

Abstract

Aggregation of the amyloid β peptide (A β) plays a key role in the molecular etiology of Alzheimer's disease. Despite the importance of this process, the relationship between the sequence of A β and the propensity of the peptide to aggregate has not been fully elucidated. The sequence determinants of aggregation can be revealed by probing the ability of amino acid substitutions (mutations) to increase or decrease aggregation. Numerous mutations that decrease aggregation have been isolated by laboratory-based studies. In contrast, very few mutations that increase aggregation have been reported, and most of these were isolated from rare individuals with early onset Familial Alzheimer's disease. To augment the limited data set of clinically derived mutations, we developed an artificial genetic screen to isolate novel mutations that increase aggregation propensity. The screen relies on expression in *E. coli* of a fusion of A β to green fluorescent protein (GFP). In this fusion, the ability of the GFP reporter to fold and fluoresce is inversely correlated with the aggregation propensity of the A β sequence. Implementation of this screen enabled the isolation of 20 mutant versions of A β with amino acid substitutions at 17 positions in the 42-residue sequence of A β . Biophysical studies of synthetic peptides corresponding to sequences isolated by the screen confirm the increased aggregation propensity and amyloidogenic behavior of the mutants. The mutations were isolated using an unbiased screen that makes no assumptions about the sequence determinants of aggregation. Nonetheless, all 16 of the most aggregating mutants contain substitutions that reduce charge and/or increase hydrophobicity. These findings provide compelling evidence supporting the hypothesis that sequence hydrophobicity is a major determinant of A β aggregation.

Introduction

Postmortem studies of the brains of Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients reveal significant quantities of senile plaque. Biochemical analyses of the amyloid fibrils in these plaques indicate that A β peptides are the primary components of the fibrils^{1,2}. These A β peptides are produced by proteolytic cleavage of the Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP). Because cleavage of APP can occur at several sites, A β peptides occur in several different lengths, with the 40-residue A β 40 and the 42-residue A β 42 being the most abundant. Although A β 40 is produced in larger amounts, A β 42 aggregates more readily, and increased ratios of A β 42/A β 40 have been observed in the brains of AD patients^{3,4}.

The molecular details of A β aggregation, and the mechanism through which this aggregation causes AD are not fully understood. Nonetheless, a large number of studies support the

* Corresponding author: Phone: (609) 258-2901 Email: hecht@princeton.edu.

[#]Present Address: Division of Hematology-Oncology, Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

“amyloid cascade” hypothesis⁵, which posits that accumulation of aggregated A β initiates a multi-step cascade that ultimately leads to Alzheimer’s disease. Several lines of evidence support this hypothesis: First, genetic studies show that several forms of Familial Alzheimer’s Disease (FAD) are caused by mutations either in APP or in the enzymes that process APP. Both classes of mutations increase the production and/or aggregation of A β 42, and lead to the early onset of AD^{6–8}. Second, early onset AD is also observed in Down syndrome, wherein trisomy of chromosome 21, which encodes APP, leads to increased production of A β 42^{9–12}. Third, construction of transgenic animals, including nematodes, fruit flies, and mice, has demonstrated that introduction of APP and/or A β produces cognitive and behavioral impairment^{13–15}. Finally, studies of enzymes that metabolize A β confirm the relationship between A β accumulation and AD. For example, decreased expression of insulin degrading enzyme (IDE) or neprilysin – both of which are known to degrade A β – leads to increased accumulation of A β , and ultimately to AD. In contrast, overexpression of these enzymes reduces A β levels and attenuates A β -related memory deficit^{16–20}. Together, these studies provide a compelling case for the role of A β aggregation in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease.

Although A β accumulation and aggregation clearly play a role in AD, recent studies indicate that the insoluble fibrils themselves may not be the toxic species. Instead, it now appears that oligomers or intermediates in the aggregation process are the major toxic species in AD. For example, Lesne *et al.* demonstrated that extracellular accumulation of a 56 kDa soluble oligomer of A β 42 (presumably a dodecamer) causes memory deficits in transgenic mice²¹. Similarly, Walsh *et al.* demonstrated that small oligomers of A β inhibit long term potentiation of neurons, resulting in memory deficits, whereas monomers or fibrils of A β showed no effect^{22,23}.

To enhance understanding of the molecular etiology of AD, we and others have probed the amino acid sequence determinants of A β aggregation^{24–29}. Previously, our lab developed an artificial genetic system to screen for mutations in the sequence of A β 42 that prevent aggregation²⁴. By using this system to screen randomly generated libraries of mutations, we demonstrated that replacement of nonpolar residues with polar residues inhibited aggregation and caused dramatic increases in the solubility of A β 42. More recently, we also showed that at many positions in the A β 42 sequence, random mutations of nonpolar residues to other nonpolar residues had little or no effect, thereby demonstrating that “generic” hydrophobic residues – rather than particular nonpolar side chains – are sufficient to promote the aggregation of A β 42.

Complementary studies by both Wetzel’s group and Shirasawa’s group used proline scanning mutagenesis to demonstrate that disruption of the β -sheet regions of A β decreases aggregation propensity^{27,28}. Thus, mutagenesis experiments have shown that both sequence hydrophobicity and β -sheet propensity are key determinants of aggregation. Experimental and bioinformatics approaches by Chiti *et al.* support these findings – both for A β 42 and for other amyloidogenic proteins²⁹.

In addition to the laboratory-generated mutations described above, naturally occurring mutants in the human population provide insights into the sequence determinants of A β aggregation. Several examples of familial early onset AD are caused by mutations in A β that increase its aggregation propensity. For example, the Dutch mutant, Glu22 $\rightarrow$ Gln, increases A β aggregation and leads to early onset AD³⁰.

Laboratory-based studies of the sequence determinants of A β aggregation have focused primarily on mutations that *decrease* aggregation. In contrast, genetic studies of early onset FAD in the human population have discovered mutations that *increase* aggregation propensity.

In this later class, however, only a few mutants are known – presumably because those mutations that cause the most dramatic increase in aggregation are lethal and do not survive in the population. To augment the clinically isolated collection of aggregation prone mutants in A β , we have developed an unbiased screen for mutations that increase aggregation. Here we describe the implementation of this screen to isolate a collection of mutations that increase aggregation propensity beyond that of wild-type A β .

Results

Amino acid substitutions produce variants of A β with increased propensities to aggregate

Previously, our lab described a high throughput screen for mutations in A β 42 that inhibit aggregation. Our screen relied on fusions of A β 42 to green fluorescent protein (GFP). In such fusions, the correct folding and fluorescence of GFP depends on the solubility of A β 42^{24,31}. Consequently, fusions of wild type A β 42 to GFP yield colorless samples. However, mutations in A β 42 that inhibit aggregation allow GFP to fold, and yield fluorescent samples. This fusion system was adapted to high throughput screening by expressing the fusion protein in *E. coli* and screening for green colonies on Petri dishes. We have used this system previously (i) to develop an unbiased screen for random mutations that diminish A β 42 aggregation²⁴; (ii) to probe the importance of side chains at positions 41 and 42 in causing A β 42 to aggregate more readily than A β 40²⁶; (iii) to demonstrate that generic hydrophobic residues (as compared to specific side chains) at many positions in the A β 42 sequence are sufficient to promote aggregation and fibrillogenesis²⁵; and (iv) to develop a high throughput screen for small molecule inhibitors of A β 42 aggregation³².

In the current report, we demonstrate that A β -GFP fusions can be used not only to isolate *inhibitors of aggregation* (either mutations in the sequence or exogenous small molecules), but also to find amino acid substitutions that actually *enhance* aggregation propensity.

Isolating mutants with enhanced aggregation propensity required that we use the A β -GFP screen in an inverse form: Instead of searching for rare green colonies (indicating A β solubility and GFP folding) amidst collections of white colonies, we sought to isolate rare white colonies (indicating A β aggregation and GFP misfolding) amidst collections of green samples. This inverse screen was made possible by modifying our original screen in two significant ways: First, instead of using fusions to A β 42, we used fusions to the less aggregating A β 40. Second, instead of running the screen at 37°C, we ran it at 30°C, where aggregation occurs more slowly. With these modifications, wild-type A β 40-GFP fusions produce colonies that are slightly fluorescent²⁶. Thus, we could isolate mutants that enhance aggregation by searching for rare white colonies amidst collections of slightly green colonies.

We constructed a library of random mutations in A β 40 using error prone PCR. GFP fusions to this library were expressed at 30°C and screened for white colonies, indicating enhanced aggregation relative to the wild-type A β 40-GFP fusion. In principle, however, white colonies could result either from amino acid substitutions in A β 40 that increase its aggregation propensity or from spurious mutations including (i) deletion of all or part of the GFP construct; (ii) frameshifts and/or stop codons; (iii) diminished protein expression. To ensure that the white phenotype was *not* due to deletions, frameshifts, stop codons, or reduced expression, all white colonies were assayed for protein expression by SDS-PAGE (data not shown), and only those clones that expressed at levels similar to the wild-type A β 40-GFP fusion were pursued for further studies. The sequences of these mutants are shown in Figure 1.

The aggregation propensities of the mutant sequences of A β 40 were compared to wild type A β 40 (and A β 42) by measuring the fluorescence of cultures expressing the corresponding GFP fusions. As shown in previous work, there is a direct correlation between the fluorescence of

such cultures and the solubility of the A β -GFP fusion: Fusions yielding lower fluorescence are less soluble^{24–26}. Figure 2 shows that mutant versions of A β 40-GFP fusions display a range of fluorescence. Some are similar to wild type A β 40-GFP fusions, while others are considerably lower. Strikingly, some of the mutations in A β 40 produce signals even lower than wild type A β 42, indicating that these amino acid replacements cause A β 40 to aggregate even more readily than wild type A β 42. For example, GFP fusions to mutants WM1-WM5 (WM = White Mutant) show less fluorescence than the wild type A β 42-GFP fusion. Considering that WM1-WM5 are mutants of A β 40, and wild type A β 40 is much less prone to aggregate than wild type A β 42^{3,4,33}, these results indicate that the amino acid substitutions present in WM1-WM5 exert a substantial effect on the aggregation propensity of A β .

Mutant peptides have high propensities to form amyloid

To confirm that mutations isolated by screening GFP fusions in *E. coli* actually increase the aggregation propensity of the A β peptide in isolation, we compared the rate of aggregation of mutant and wild-type forms of synthetic peptides (without GFP). Five peptides were synthesized and characterized: WM1 (Gln15→Leu) and WM5 (Asp23→Tyr) were chosen because they are the most aggregating single mutants. These were compared with a peptide corresponding to the clinically isolated Dutch mutant (Glu22→Gln). All of these mutants were synthesized in the context of A β 40. In addition, two versions of the wild-type sequence, A β 40 and A β 42, were also synthesized.

Each peptide was dissolved at 20 μ M and incubated at 37°C under quiescent conditions. At various time points, aliquots were removed and mixed with Thioflavin T (ThT), which is widely used to assay for amyloid aggregates³⁴. Binding of ThT was quantified by measuring fluorescence at 490 nm. As anticipated from the results with the GFP fusions (Fig. 2), mutants WM1 and WM5 have a much higher propensity to aggregate than the corresponding wild-type peptide, A β 40 (Figure 3A). Moreover, at early time points (< 5 hours), WM1 and WM5 aggregate even faster than wild-type A β 42. This is striking because the mutants were studied in the context of the shorter and less aggregation-prone 40-residue peptide.

After long incubations (1 to 2 weeks), A β 42 produced slightly more aggregation than the WM1 and WM5 mutants in A β 40 (Figure 3B). Both Dutch (in the context of A β 40) and wild type A β 40 do not produce significant quantities of amyloid until several days had elapsed. The relatively slow aggregation of the Dutch mutant was unexpected, since Dutch had been reported previously as a mutation that increases aggregation propensity³⁵. (Under agitated condition the Dutch mutant did indeed aggregate more rapidly than wild type A β 40, with ThT fluorescence appearing after 30 min for the Dutch mutant and only after 150 min for wild type A β 40 – data not shown).

Mutant peptides rapidly form fibrils

The effects of the mutations on peptide fibrillogenesis were assessed by electron microscopy (EM). Peptides were dissolved at 20 μ M in phosphate buffer and incubated under quiescent conditions. EM images were recorded after 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28 days. After 1 day of incubation, fibrils were observed for wild-type A β 42 and for mutants WM1 and WM5 (Fig. 4A). Again, it is noteworthy that although the WM1 and WM5 mutants were studied in the context of the shorter A β 40 sequence, they formed fibrils in the same time period as wild type A β 42. As shown in figure 4A, wild type A β 40 and the Dutch mutant of A β 40 did not show fibrils after one day; these peptides formed fibrils more slowly, with fibrils only observed in EM images after a week of incubation (Fig. 4B). The EM results are consistent with the ThT assays: WM1 and WM5 (in the context of A β 40) and wild type A β 42 form fibrils rapidly, whereas the Dutch mutant and wild type A β 40 are much slower.

Solubility of mutant peptides

The ThT and EM experiments described above were designed to detect the later steps in A β aggregation, namely the formation of amyloid fibrils. To compare the behavior of mutant and wild-type sequences in the earlier steps of the aggregation pathway, we monitored the disappearance of soluble peptide. Samples at a concentration of 10 μ M were incubated at 37°C under quiescent conditions. After 1 or 3 days of incubation, the samples were centrifuged at 100,000 g for 30 min to pellet insoluble material. The amount of soluble peptide remaining in the supernatant was then quantified by reverse phase HPLC. In each case, the entire chromatogram was analyzed for the presence of soluble peptide corresponding to mutant sequences and/or multimeric species. As shown in figure 5, following one day of incubation, almost no soluble peptide could be detected for WM5(A β 40) or wild type A β 42. Thus these peptides had rapidly aggregated into insoluble material. In contrast, the Dutch mutant and wild type A β 40 contained significant soluble peptide even after 3 days of incubation. These results are consistent with the fluorescence data for the GFP fusions (Fig. 2), the ThT assays (Fig. 3), and the EM analysis (Fig. 4).

The solubility studies of the WM1 mutant produced an unexpected result. WM1 had the lowest fluorescence in the GFP fusion assay, and showed the fastest kinetics of fibril formation in the ThT and EM assays; yet a significant amount of WM1 peptide remained soluble after one day of incubation. Analysis after 3 days of incubation still revealed soluble peptide (WM1 shows significant amount of soluble peptide even at 20 μ M, the concentration at which ThT and EM assays were performed; data not shown). These observations are discussed below.

Discussion

Amino Acid substitutions increase aggregation propensity

We described the construction of an artificial genetic system to screen for amino acid substitutions that increase the aggregation propensity of the Alzheimer's peptide. The screen enabled the isolation and characterization of 20 different mutant sequences of A β 40. The first 16 of these (WM1-WM16) show unambiguous phenotypes indicating enhanced aggregation relative to wild type A β 40 (Figs. 1 & 2). [WM17-WM20 displayed borderline phenotypes similar to wild type, and will not be discussed further.]

Analysis of the 16 sequences with enhanced aggregation propensity (WM1 to WM16) shows that *all* of them contain amino acid substitutions that increase hydrophobicity. For example, WM1 has a Gln $\rightarrow$ Leu mutation, and WM5 has an Asp $\rightarrow$ Tyr mutation. In another set of examples, WM2 and WM13 both contain a Lys $\rightarrow$ Thr substitution at the 16th residue. However, WM2 has the additional mutation Ala $\rightarrow$ Val at position 21, while WM13 has the additional mutation Val $\rightarrow$ Ala at position 24. Apparently, the increased hydrophobicity of the Ala $\rightarrow$ Val substitution relative to the Val $\rightarrow$ Ala substitution accounts for the enhanced aggregation propensity of WM2 relative to WM13 (Fig. 2).

WM5 (Asp23 $\rightarrow$ Tyr), WM9 (Glu22 $\rightarrow$ Ala) and WM17 (Glu22 $\rightarrow$ Asp) have single mutations in the region that forms a turn in structural models of A β fibrils^{36–39}. This is also true for the clinically isolated mutants, Dutch (Glu22 $\rightarrow$ Gln), Arctic (Glu22 $\rightarrow$ Gly) and Iowa (Asp23 $\rightarrow$ Asn).

As shown in figures 1 and 2, WM5 and WM9 lost a charge and show enhanced aggregation propensity. In contrast, WM17 has a mutation that conserves charge and has an aggregation propensity similar to wild type A β 40. These data support the hypothesis that increased hydrophobicity and diminished charge enhance aggregation propensity (Ref 29 and below).

Solubility and Aggregation

The phenotype of WM1 (Gln15→Leu) in the GFP fusion system showed this sequence has the highest aggregation propensity of the mutants in the collection (Figs. 1 & 2). The enhanced propensity of WM1 to aggregate was confirmed by biochemical studies with synthetic peptide: Both the ThT assay (Fig. 3) and EM studies (Fig. 4) demonstrate that WM1 forms fibrils much more rapidly than wild type Aβ40. However, quantification of soluble peptide by HPLC showed that following a full day of incubation, a significant quantity of WM1 peptide remained in solution (Fig. 5). Taken together, these results show that (i) WM1 forms amyloid fibrils rapidly, but (ii) nonetheless a pool of material remains in solution.

To better understand these results, we analyzed the structural model of Aβ fibrils, built from solid state NMR constraints by Tycko and coworkers³⁶. As shown in figure 6, in this model Gln15 is packed against Val36, Gly37 and Gly38. The mutation of Gln15 to leucine may interfere with this packing and thereby enable a significant fraction of the sample to avoid aggregation and remain in solution. At the same time, the increased hydrophobicity associated with the Gln→Leu substitution might enhance the rate of aggregation for that fraction of the sample that successfully nucleates a structure with altered packing around the Leu side chain. The altered packing around the mutant leucine side chain may account for the observation that the initial fibrils formed by WM1 are shorter than those formed by other peptides (Fig. 4A). Dynamic equilibrium between these short fibrils and monomeric peptide would sustain significant levels of soluble material (Fig. 5).

Comparison with theoretical studies

Which features of an amino acid sequence are responsible for peptide aggregation? Dubay *et al.* formulated a theory to account for aggregation propensity as a function of the following properties: hydrophobicity, β-sheet propensity, α-helix propensity, binary patterning⁴⁰ of polar and nonpolar residues, and charge.⁴¹ According to their theory, the aggregation propensity of a sequence (P_{agg}) can be calculated with the following equation,

$$P_{agg} = \alpha_{hyd} I^{hyd} + \alpha_{\alpha} I^{\alpha} + \alpha_{\beta} I^{\beta} + \alpha_{pat} I^{pat} + \alpha_{ch} I^{ch}$$

where I^{hyd} , I^{α} , I^{β} , I^{pat} and I^{ch} are terms corresponding to the hydrophobicity, α-helix propensity, β-sheet propensity, binary patterning, and charge of a sequence, respectively. The coefficients α_{hyd} , α_{α} , α_{β} , α_{pat} , α_{ch} assign the appropriate weighting for each of these parameters.

The fluorescence of mutant forms of Aβ40 fused to GFP can be compared with the aggregation propensity predicted by this equation. However, it must be noted that aggregation can include the formation oligomers or fibrils or both, and recent studies by Luheshi *et al.* indicate that the sequence dependence of the oligomerization rate and the fibrilization rate are similar but not identical⁴². Because we do not know how our mutants affect the relative rates of oligomerization versus fibrilization, we have compared the fluorescence of our mutations to the cumulative aggregation rate indicated by the equation above. As shown in figure 7, there is a strong inverse correlation between GFP fluorescence (which reports on solubility) and the aggregation propensity predicted by this equation.

Comparison with clinically isolated mutations

The occurrence of early onset Familial Alzheimer's Disease (FAD) has led to the clinical isolation of several mutations that alter the aggregation behavior of Aβ. Three clinical mutants that have been studied extensively are Dutch (E22Q), Iowa (D23N), and Arctic (E22G). In all three cases, the mutant peptide aggregates into protofibrils and/or fibrils more rapidly than wild-type Aβ^{30,43–45}. All three of these mutants contain amino acid substitutions that reduce charge and increase hydrophobicity. However, it is difficult to draw general conclusions about

aggregation propensity from a collection comprising only three mutations at two positions in the sequence.

To supplement this naturally occurring collection, we have used an artificial genetic selection to isolate novel mutations that enhance A β aggregation. This new collection augments the naturally-occurring collection in the following ways:

- i. The new collection of mutants is larger and more diverse than the naturally-occurring collection: The sequences shown figure 1 comprise 20 mutant sequences with amino acid substitutions at 17 different positions.
- ii. Many of the mutations in our collection have dramatic effects on the aggregation propensity of A β ; Several cause the 40-residue version of A β to aggregate more readily than wild-type A β 42. These mutations have a much greater effect on peptide aggregation than those found in Familial Alzheimer's Disease. Indeed, the clinically isolated mutations have subtle effects on peptide aggregation. This is not surprising since mutations that cause the most dramatic increase in aggregation presumably would be lethal and would not survive in the population. Thus, the studies described here enabled isolation of mutant substitutions having more dramatic aggregation phenotypes than those found naturally.
- iii. The mutations described in this study were isolated using an unbiased screen that does not incorporate any preconceived assumptions about the relationship between amino acid sequence and aggregation propensity. Nonetheless, as illustrated in figures 1 and 2, all 16 of our most aggregating mutants contain substitutions that reduce charge and/or increase hydrophobicity. Thus, our studies provide compelling evidence in support of the hypothesis that hydrophobicity is the major determinant of A β aggregation.

Materials and Methods

Mutagenesis

Mutagenesis of A β 40 was performed using nucleotide analogs as described in Ref. 46. Nucleotide analogs, dPTP and 8-oxo-dGTP (Trilink biotech, San Diego CA) were used for error prone PCR using Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison Wisconsin). After the first round of PCR, products were purified and used as templates for a 2nd round of PCR to replace the nucleotide analogs with A, G, T, and C. Purified PCR products were double digested using NdeI and BamHI (NEB, Ipswich MA) then cloned into a pET28 vector containing the GFP gene.

Screening mutants for enhanced aggregation propensity

Plasmid pET28 vectors containing libraries of mutated A β 40-GFP fusion genes were transformed into XL1-Blue competent cells (Stratagene, La Jolla CA) and plated for overnight growth as described previously²⁴. Libraries of plasmids from these plates were recovered then transformed into BL21(DE3) (Stratagene, La Jolla CA) for screening. After transformation, cells were plated onto nitrocellulose filters placed on top of LB plates containing Kanamycin (35 μ g/ml). After overnight growth at 37°C, the nitrocellulose filters were transferred onto a plate containing 1mM IPTG to induce protein expression. To produce the appropriate dynamic range of phenotype, plates were incubated at 30°C. At this temperature, colonies expressing wild type A β 40-GFP fusion appear light green²⁶. To select mutants with enhanced aggregation propensity, white colonies were picked. Since a white phenotype could also result from the failure of protein expression, all white colonies were also checked for protein expression. Those that expressed at levels similar to the wild-type fusion were sequenced. The GFP fluorescence of each mutant was quantified as describe in Ref. 24.

Peptide purification

Crude peptides were purchased from the Keck Institute at Yale University, and purified using a C4 reverse phase (RP) column. Solvent gradients were run at 65°C using Solvent A: 95% water, 5% Acetonitrile, 0.1% TFA; and Solvent B: 50 % Acetonitrile, 50% water, 0.1% TFA. Molecular weights of the purified peptides were confirmed using mass spectrometry, and purity was checked using an analytical RP-HPLC C4 column (Vydac, CA). Purified peptides were treated with TFA to remove pre-existing aggregates⁴⁷.

Thioflavin T Assay

Peptides were dissolved in 300 µl DMSO and diluted with 6 ml of 8 mM NaOH (20 µM final peptide concentration). Concentrated PBS buffer was added to the solution to adjust the pH (final concentration: 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 100 mM NaCl, 0.02% NaN₃, pH 7.3–7.4). Samples were incubated at 37°C under quiescent conditions. At various time points, 500 µl of sample were mixed with 2.4 ml of a solution of ThT (7 µM ThT, 50 mM Glycerol-NaOH, pH 8.5) and fluorescence was measured at 490 nm (excitation at 450 nm).

Electron Microscopy

Solutions were prepared as described above at peptide concentrations of 20 µM. Samples were incubated at 37°C under quiescent condition for 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days. Following the incubation, Formvar carbon-coated grids were floated on a drop of each sample for 2 min, washed twice with distilled water, and then stained for 2 min with 1% uranyl acetate. Samples were imaged using a Zeiss 912ab Electron Microscope.

Detection of soluble peptide

Peptides were dissolved to give a final concentration of 10 µM in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 100 mM NaCl, 0.02% NaN₃ (pH 7.3–7.4). Each sample was incubated at 37°C under gently agitated or quiescent conditions. After incubation, samples were centrifuged at 100,000 X g for 30 min to remove insoluble materials, and soluble peptides in the supernatant were quantified by analytical RP-HPLC. Since different mutant peptides and different oligomeric states would be expected to elute at different points in the HPLC gradient, the entire chromatogram was scanned for the presence of peaks corresponding to the elution of any soluble species of peptide.

References

1. Selkoe DJ. Alzheimer's disease: genes, proteins, and therapy. *Physiol Rev* 2001;81:741–766. [PubMed: 11274343]
2. Roher AE, Lowenson JD, Clarke S, Woods AS, Cotter RJ, Gowing E, Ball MJ. β -Amyloid-(1-42) is a major component of cerebrovascular amyloid deposits: implications for the pathology of Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1993;90:10836–10840. [PubMed: 8248178]
3. Jarrett JT, Berger EP, Lansbury PT Jr. The carboxy terminus of the β -amyloid protein is critical for the seeding of amyloid formation: implications for the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease. *Biochemistry* 1993;32:4693–4697. [PubMed: 8490014]
4. Harper JD, Lansbury PT Jr. Models of amyloid seeding in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie: mechanistic truths and physiological consequences of the time-dependent solubility of amyloid proteins. *Annu Rev Biochem* 1997;66:385–407. [PubMed: 9242912]
5. Hardy JA, Higgins GA. Alzheimer's Disease: The Amyloid Cascade Hypothesis. *Science* 1992;256:184–185. [PubMed: 1566067]
6. Tomita T, Tokuhito S, Hashimoto T, Aiba K, Saido TC, Maruyama K, Iwatsubo T. Molecular Dissection of Domains in Mutant Presenilin 2 That Mediate Overproduction of Amyloidogenic Forms of Amyloid β -Peptides. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273:21153–21160. [PubMed: 9694871]
7. Ikeuchi T, Dolios G, Kim SH, Wang R, Sisodia SS. Familial Alzheimer Disease-linked Presenilin 1 Variants Enhanced Production of Both A β 1-40 and A β 1-42 Peptides that are only partially sensitive

- to a potent Aspartyl protease transition state Inhibitor of “ γ -secretase”. *J Biol Chem* 2003;278:7010–7018. [PubMed: 12493731]
8. De Jonghe C, Zehr C, Yager D, Prada CM, Younkin S, Hendriks L, Van Broeckhoven C, Eckman CB. Flemish and Dutch mutations in Amyloid β precursor Protein have different effects on Amyloid β Secretion. *Neurobiology of Disease* 1998;5:281–286. [PubMed: 9848098]
 9. Sun X, Tong Y, Qing H, Chen C-H, Song W. Increased BACE1 maturation contributes to the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease in down syndrome. *FASEB J* 2006;20:1361–1368. [PubMed: 16816111]
 10. Cataldo AM, Petanceska S, Terio NB, Peterhoff CM, Durham R, Mercken M, Mehta PD, Buxbaum J, Haroutunian V, Nixon RA. A β localization in abnormal endosomes: association with earliest A β elevations in AD and Down syndrome. *Neurobiol Aging* 2004;25:1263–1272. [PubMed: 15465622]
 11. Head E, Lott IT. Down syndrome and β -amyloid deposition. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2004;17:95–100. [PubMed: 15021233]
 12. Teller JK, Russo C, DeBusk LM, Angelini G, Zaccheo D, Dagna-Bricarelli F, Scartezzini P, Bertolini S, Mann DM, Tabaton M, Gambetti P. Presence of soluble amyloid β -peptide precedes amyloid plaque formation in Down’s syndrome. *Nat Med* 1996;2:93–95. [PubMed: 8564851]
 13. Johnson-Wood K, Lee M, Motter R, Hu K, Gordon G, Barbour R, Kahn K, Gordon M, Tan H, Games D, Lieberburg I, Schenk D, Seubert P, McConlogue L. Amyloid precursor protein processing and A β 42 deposition in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94:1550–1555. [PubMed: 9037091]
 14. Crowther DC, Kinghorn KJ, Miranda E, Page R, Curry JA, Duthie FA, Gubb DC, Lomas DA. Intraneural A β , non-amyloid aggregates and neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of Alzheimer’s disease. *Neuroscience* 2005;132:123–135. [PubMed: 15780472]
 15. Link C, Taft A, Kapulkin V, Duke K, Kim S, Fei Q, Wood D, Sahagan B. Gene expression analysis in a transgenic *Caenorhabditis elegans* Alzheimer’s disease model. *Neurobiol Aging* 2003;24:397–413. [PubMed: 12600716]
 16. Wang D-S, Dickson DW, Malter JS. β -amyloid degradation and Alzheimer’s disease. *J Biomed Biotechnol* 2006;2006:1–12.
 17. Miners JS, Van Helmond Z, Chalmers K, Wilcock G, Love S, Kehoe PG. Decreased expression and activity of neprilysin in Alzheimer disease are associated with cerebral amyloid angiopathy. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2006;65:1012–1021. [PubMed: 17021406]
 18. Eckman EA, Adams SK, Troendle FJ, Stodola BA, Kahn MA, Fauq AH, Xiao HD, Bernstein KE, Eckman CB. Regulation of steady-state β -amyloid levels in the brain by neprilysin and endothelin-converting enzyme but not angiotensin-converting enzyme. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:30471–30478. [PubMed: 16912050]
 19. Russo R, Borghi R, Markesbery W, Tabaton M, Piccini A. Neprilysin decreases uniformly in Alzheimer’s disease and in normal aging. *FEBS Lett* 2005;579:6027–6030. [PubMed: 16226260]
 20. Poirier R, Wolfer DP, Welzl H, Tracy J, Galsworthy MJ, Nitsch RM, Mohajeri MH. Neuronal neprilysin overexpression is associated with attenuation of A β -related memory deficit. *Neurobiol Dis* 2006;24:475–483.
 21. Lesne S, Koh MT, Kotilinek L, Kaye R, Glabe CG, Yang A, Gallagher M, Ashe KH. A specific amyloid- β protein assembly in the brain impairs memory. *Nature* 2006;440:352–357. [PubMed: 16541076]
 22. Walsh DM, Townsend M, Podlisny MB, Shankar GM, Fadeeva JV, Agnaf OE, Hartley DM, Selkoe DJ. Certain inhibitors of synthetic amyloid β -peptide (A β) fibrillogenesis block oligomerization of natural A β and thereby rescue long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:2455–2462. [PubMed: 15758153]
 23. Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Fadeeva JV, Cullen WK, Anwyl R, Wolfe MS, Rowan MJ, Selkoe DJ. Naturally secreted oligomers of amyloid- β protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation *in vivo*. *Nature* 2002;416:535–539. [PubMed: 11932745]
 24. Wurth C, Guimard NK, Hecht MH. Mutations that reduce aggregation of the Alzheimer’s A β 42 peptide: An unbiased search for the sequence determinants of A β amyloidogenesis. *J Mol Biol* 2002;319:1279–1290. [PubMed: 12079364]

25. Kim W, Hecht MH. Generic hydrophobic residues are sufficient to promote aggregation of the Alzheimer's A β 42 peptide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:15824–15829. [PubMed: 17038501]
26. Kim W, Hecht MH. Sequence determinants of enhanced amyloidogenicity of Alzheimer A β 42 peptide relative to A β 40. *J Biol Chem* 2005;280:35069–35076. [PubMed: 16079141]
27. Williams AD, Portelius E, Kheterpal I, Guo J, Cook KD, Xu Y, Wetzel R. Mapping A β amyloid fibril secondary structure using scanning proline mutagenesis. *J Mol Biol* 2004;335:833–842. [PubMed: 14687578]
28. Morimoto A, Irie K, Murakami K, Masuda Y, Ohigashi H, Nagao M, Fukuda H, Shimizu T, Shirasawa T. Analysis of the secondary structure of β -amyloid (A β 42) fibrils by systematic proline replacement. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:52781–52788. [PubMed: 15459202]
29. Chiti F, Stefani M, Taddei N, Ramponi G, Dobson CM. Rationalization of the effects of mutations on peptide and protein aggregation rates. *Nature* 2003;424:805–808. [PubMed: 12917692]
30. Massi F, Klimov D, Thirumalai D, Straub JE. Charge states rather than propensity for β -structure determine enhanced fibrillogenesis in wild-type Alzheimer's β -amyloid peptide compared to E22Q Dutch mutant. *Protein Sci* 2002;11:1639–1647. [PubMed: 12070316]
31. Waldo GS, Standish BM, Berendzen J, Terwilliger TC. Rapid protein-folding assay using green fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotech* 1999;17:691–695.
32. Kim W, Kim Y, Min J, Kim D, Chang Y, Hecht M. A high-throughput screen for compounds that inhibit aggregation of the Alzheimer's peptide. *ACS Chem Biol* 2006;1:461–469. [PubMed: 17168524]
33. Jarrett JT, Lansbury PT Jr. Seeding “one-dimensional crystallization” of amyloid: a pathogenic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease and scrapie? *Cell* 1993;73:1055–1058. [PubMed: 8513491]
34. Levine H III. Thioflavin T interaction with synthetic Alzheimer's disease β -amyloid peptides: Detection of amyloid aggregation in solution. *Protein Sci* 1993;2:404–410. [PubMed: 8453378]
35. Davis J, Van Nostrand WE. Enhanced pathologic properties of dutch-type mutant amyloid β -protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996;93:2996–3000. [PubMed: 8610157]
36. Petkova AT, Yau WM, Tycko R. Experimental constraints on quaternary structure in Alzheimer's β -Amyloid fibrils. *Biochemistry* 2006;45:498–512. [PubMed: 16401079]
37. Petkova AT, Leapman R, Guo Z, Yau W, Mattson M, Tycko R. Self-propagating, molecular-level polymorphism in Alzheimer's β -Amyloid fibrils. *Science* 2005;307:262–265. [PubMed: 15653506]
38. Petkova A, Ishii Y, Balbach J, Antzutkin O, Leapman R, Delaglio F, Tycko R. A structural model for Alzheimer's β -amyloid fibrils based on experimental constraints from solid state NMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:16742–16747. [PubMed: 12481027]
39. Lührs T, Ritter C, Adrian M, Riek-Loher D, Bohrmann B, Döbeli H, Schubert D, Riek R. 3D structure of Alzheimer's amyloid- β (1–42) fibrils. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:17342–17347. [PubMed: 16293696]
40. Broome BM, Hecht MH. Nature Disfavors Sequences of Alternating Polar and Nonpolar Amino Acids: Implications for Amyloidogenesis. *J Molecular Biology* 2000;296:961–968.
41. Dubay KF, Pawar AP, Chiti F, Zurdo J, Dobson CM, Vendruscolo M. Prediction of the absolute aggregation rates of amyloidogenic polypeptide chains. *J Mol Biol* 2004;341:1317–1326. [PubMed: 15302561]
42. Luheshi LM, Tartaglia GG, Brorsson AC, Pawar AP, Watson IE, Chiti F, Vendruscolo M, Lomas DA, Dobson CM, Crowther DC. Systematic in vivo analysis of the intrinsic determinants of amyloid β pathogenicity. *PLoS Biology* 2007;5:2493–2500.
43. Van Nostrand WE, Melchor JP, Cho HS, Greenberg SM, Rebeck GW. Pathogenic effects of D23N Iowa mutant amyloid β -protein. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276:32860–32866. [PubMed: 11441013]
44. Nilsberth C, Westlind-Danielsson A, Eckman CB, Condron MM, Axelman K, Forsell C, Sten H, Luthman J, Teplow DB, Younkin SG, Naslund J, Lannfelt L. The ‘Arctic’ APP mutation (E693G) causes Alzheimer's disease by enhanced A β protofibril formation. *Nat Neurosci* 2001;4:887–893. [PubMed: 11528419]
45. Whalen B, Selkoe DJ, Hartley D. Small non-fibrillar assemblies of amyloid- β protein bearing the Arctic mutation induce rapid neuritic degeneration. *Neurobiology of Disease* 2005;20:254–266. [PubMed: 16242634]

46. Zaccolo M, Williams D, Brown D, Gherardi E. An approach to random mutagenesis of DNA using mixtures of triphosphate derivatives of nucleoside analogues. *J Mol Biol* 1996;255:589–603. [PubMed: 8568899]
47. Jao S, Ma K, Talafous J, Orlando R, Zagorski MG. Trifluoroacetic acid pretreatment reproducibly disaggregates the amyloid- β peptide. *Amyloid: Int, J Exp Clin Invest* 1997;4:240–252.

residue	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	#
wt.DNA	GAT	CCG	GAA	TTT	CCG	CAT	GAT	TCT	GGC	TAT	GAA	GTG	CAT	CAG	AAA	CTG	GTG	TTT	TTT	CCG	GAA	GAT	GGC	GGC	TCT	AAC	AAA	GGG	CCG	ATT	ATT	GGC	CTG	ATG	GTG	GGC	GGC	GTG	GTG	0	
wt(1-40)	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	0

WM1	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM2	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM3	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM4	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM5	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM6	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM7	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	M	3
WM8	G	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM9	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM10	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM11	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	3
WM12	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM13	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM14	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	2
WM15	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM16	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM17	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM18	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM19	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1
WM20	D	A	E	F	R	H	D	S	G	Y	E	V	H	H	O	K	L	V	F	F	A	E	D	V	G	S	N	K	G	A	I	I	G	L	M	V	G	G	V	V	1

Figure 1.

Amino acid substitutions that enhance the aggregation propensity of A β . Mutants of A β 40 with enhanced aggregation propensities are listed in order of decreasing aggregation propensity. (WM = White Mutant). Red, yellow, and blue designate polar, nonpolar, and glycine, respectively. The number of amino acid substitutions is listed at the right of each sequence.

Figure 2.

Fluorescence of cultures expressing fusions of mutant forms of A β 40 to GFP. Values are plotted relative to the wild type A β 40 GFP fusion. Low values indicate that aggregation of the A β fusion partner causes GFP to misfold, thereby preventing green fluorescence. The most aggregating mutants, WM1 - WM5, cause A β 40 to aggregate more readily than wild type A β 42. Amino acid sequences are shown in Fig 1.

Figure 3
Time course of Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence. Synthetic peptides were incubated for the designated times and then mixed with ThT. All sequences, except wild-type Aβ42, are in the

context of the 40-residue peptide. **(A)** Relative ThT fluorescence after 0 to 24 hour incubation. **(B)** Relative ThT fluorescence after 0 to 14 days of incubation.

A

WM1 WM5 A β 42 Dutch A β 40

B

WM1 WM5 A β 42 Dutch A β 40 **Figure 4.**

Electron microscopy of fibrils imaged after 1 day (**A**) or 14 days (**B**) of incubation. All sequences, except wild-type A β 42, are in the context of the 40-residue peptide. The WM1 and WM5 mutants form fibrils much faster than either the Dutch or wild type versions of A β 40.

Figure 5.

Peptide solubility. Peptide samples were incubated for 1 day at 37°C under quiescent conditions. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation, and supernatants were assayed by reverse-phase HPLC. Wild type Aβ40, and the Dutch and WM1 mutants of Aβ40 display soluble material. In contrast, the WM5 mutant of Aβ40 and wild type Aβ42 show minimal quantities of soluble peptide.

Figure 6.

Model of the structure of A β 40 fibrils based on solid state NMR constraints. Gln15 (red) is packed tightly against Val36 (yellow), Gly37 (cyan) and Gly38 (cyan). Coordinates were generously provided by Robert Tycko at NIH. **(A)** Space filling (left) and stick (right) models viewed from the side of the fibril. **(B)** Space filling (left) and stick (right) models viewed along the fibril axis.

Figure 7. Correlation between the fluorescence of A β 40 mutants fused to GFP and the aggregation propensity predicted by the equation from Ref. 41. Decreased fluorescence in the GFP system correlates with a prediction for higher aggregation propensity.