Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2007 July 2; 63(27): 6124–6130.

Concise, Biomimetic Total Synthesis of *d,l*-Marcfortine C

Thomas J. Greshock¹, Alan W. Grubbs¹, and Robert M. Williams^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

²University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, Colorado 80045

Abstract

A biomimetic total synthesis of the fungal metabolite marcfortine C utilizing an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction is described. In addition, a key stereoselective oxaziridine-mediated oxidation/pinacol rearrangement of indole **24** was used to complete the total synthesis.

Keywords

marcfortine; Diels-Alder; indole; natural product; azadiene

1. Introduction

Fungi produce an exhausting abundance of biologically active natural products possessing complex and diverse ring systems. Prenylated indole alkaloids such as the paraherquamides,¹ brevianamides,² stephacidins,³ and notoamides⁴ are fungal metabolites whose synthesis and biogenetic origin have been extensively investigated, in our laboratory and that of others.⁵ These fungal metabolites are all believed to arise biogenetically from tryptophan, isoprene, and proline and derivatives of proline.⁵ Significantly, a myriad of biological activities are displayed within this family including insecticidal, anti-tumor, anthelmintic, and anti-bacterial, among others. Another sub-class in this family of prenylated indole alkaloids, the marcfortines (**1-3**) (Figure 1), were isolated from *Penicillium roqueforti* in 1980 by Polonsky and coworkers.⁶ These metabolites are uniquely derived from pipecolic acid rather than a proline derivative. Like many of the paraherquamides, the marcfortines, as well as several of their derivatives, have proven to possess potent antiparasitic and anthelmintic activity.⁷

Common structural features of these compounds include a sensitive indolopyran or dioxepin ring system and a tryptophan/proline-derived (or, in the case of the marcfortines, pipecolic acid) bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane embedded in their core. Due to their inherent biological activity and structurally diverse ring systems, this family of prenylated indole alkaloids have become the subject of intense synthetic endeavors. Recent work in this family includes the total synthesis of avrainvillamide and stephacidin B by Myers,⁸ and more recently stephacidin A (**4**) enroute to avrainvillamide and stephacidin B by Baran and coworkers.⁹ Our laboratory has extensively studied both the synthesis and biosynthesis of prenylated indole alkaloids of this family,⁵ and have recently reported the biomimetic total syntheses of no to amides B (**6**), C (**9**), and D, as well as stephacidin A (**4**) and deoxysclerotiamide A (**10**) (Figure 1).¹⁰ We

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 970 491 6747; fax: +1 970 491 3944; e-mail: rmw@chem.colostate.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

now wish to report the application of a general biomimetic strategy to the first concise total synthesis of marcfortine C (**3**).

Previous disclosures from our laboratory⁵ as well as those of Birch¹¹ and Sammes¹² have suggested that the core bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane ring system that is characteristic of this family of alkaloids likely arises in Nature *via* a biosynthetic intramolecular Diels-Alder construction. While [4+2] cycloaddition reactions are perhaps the most powerful tool for rapid construction of highly functionalized six-membered rings in synthetic chemistry, there are relatively few examples of natural products that have been rigorously proven to arise *via* a biological Diels-Alder reaction, despite a multitude of proposed biogeneses. We have recently proposed some interesting biogenetic relationships between the stephacidins and notoamides¹⁰ in which an intramolecular [4+2] hetero-Diels-Alder cycloaddition is the key transformation in linking natural products such as notoamide C (**9**) and norgeamides A (**7**) and B (**8**) to the core bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane ring system embodied in notoamides A (**5**) and B (**6**) as well as stephacidin A (**4**) and furthermore avrainvillamide and stephacidin B.

We have also contemplated a parallel biosynthetic relationship enroute to the fungal metabolite marcfortine C (**3**); two plausible biosynthetic pathways to marcfortine C (**3**) are outlined in Scheme 1. Thus, a [4+2] cycloaddition reaction of compound **11**-derived azadiene **13** with the reverse prenyl group serving as the dienophile, would directly establish the bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane core. Following a selective reduction of the tertiary amide of the diketopiperazine, a subsequent oxidative ring contraction to the *spiro*-oxindole would give rise to marcfortine C (**3**). Alternatively, initial oxidation and rearrangement of indole **11** would produce the pipercolic acid-derived *spiro*-oxindole **12**, analogous to the natural proline-derived congener notoamide C (**12**, R = H) or norgeamides A (**12**, R = OMe) and B (**12**, R = OH). Following an oxidation of (R = H) or an elimination/tautomerization (R = OMe, OH) of *spiro*-oxindole **12**, the resulting azadiene **14** could then be trapped by the proximal isoprenyl group to directly afford marcfortine C (**3**), following reduction of the tertiary amide. We currently prefer the former pathway proceeding through **13** as the *spiro*-6 IMDA reaction in such systems have intrinsic facial bias favoring the natural *syn*-relative stereochemistry at C-20 although both pathways merit complete experimental evaluation.⁵

Based on our recent successful deployment of a biomimetic Diels-Alder cycloaddition reaction in the total synthesis of stephacidin A *enroute* to the first biomimetic total synthesis of notoamide B,^{10b} we were compelled that a similar strategy could be employed to complete the first total synthesis of the prenylated indole alkaloid marcfortine C. Retrosynthetically, it was envisaged that marcfortine C (**3**) could arise from compound **15** (Scheme 2) *via* a chemoselective reduction of the tertiary amide over the secondary amide of the diketopiperazine, followed by a well precedented¹⁰ stereoselective oxidative ring contraction of the 2,3-disubstituted indole. A biomimetic intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of the azadiene **16** with the isoprene residue should proceed preferentially to produce the desired *syn*-relative stereochemistry at the C11-C20 ring fusion required to access marcfortine C (**3**). In addition to the stephacidin A/notoamide B synthesis, our laboratory has previously reported related biomimetic Diels-Alder cyclizations,^{5a,13} which culminated in the total synthesis of the fungal metabolites VM55599^{13b} and brevianamide B.^{13c} Based on our experiences with similar substrates, it seemed unlikely that azadiene **13** would be stable, and therefore a functional equivalent (**16**) would be generated from enamide **17** *via* lactim ether formation, followed by a base-induced tautomerization. Here in, we detail implementation of this strategy to the biomimetic total synthesis of marcfortine C which has not hitherto, succumbed to total synthesis.

2. Results and Discussion

Our plan mandated the construction of the key enamide **17**, which should be available through coupling of tryptophan derivative **19** with *cis*-3-hydroxyproline ethyl ester (**18**), followed by Fmoc removal, concomitant diketopiperazine formation, and dehydration. Tryptophan derivative **19** is readily available from the corresponding tryptamine **20** and has been recently synthesized in our group on gram-scale.^{10a,14}

Our synthesis commenced with a coupling of *cis*-3-hydroxyproline ethyl ester (**18**)¹⁵ and *d,l*-acid **19** in the presence of BOP and *i*Pr₂NEt to afford amide **21** (Scheme 3) as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers in 77% yield. Subjecting peptide **21** to a solution of morpholine in THF at room temperature effected removal of the *N*-Fmoc group and a concomitant cyclization of the resultant amine onto the ethyl ester to provide diketopiperazine **22**, once again produced as an inseparable and inconsequential mixture of diastereomers. As previously described in our stephacidin A synthesis,^{10b} we had planned to eliminate the alcohol of compound **22** under Mitsunobu conditions to afford enamide **17** (Scheme 3). Following lactim ether formation and a KOH-induced tautomerization, azadiene **16** (see Scheme 2) would then undergo the desired cycloaddition reaction to construct the desired bicyclo[2.2.2]diazaoctane core. However, upon subjection of alcohol **22** to our standard elimination conditions (PBU₃, DEAD, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature), only a small amount of enamide **17** (~15%) was obtained, along with large amounts of recovered starting material. In an effort to thermally force the Mitsunobu reaction to completion, we were surprisingly pleased to find that heating alcohol **22** with excess PBU₃ and DEAD to 40 °C for 20 h, not only effected the anticipated dehydration, but the incipient enamide **17** spontaneously underwent enolization and tautomerization directly furnishing the desired intramolecular [4+2] aza-Diels-Alder reaction that smoothly provided cycloadducts **15** and **23** as a 2.4 : 1 mixture diastereomers favoring the desired *syn*-stereoisomer. This amazing one-pot transformation must produce azadiene **13** *in situ*, which then undergoes the subsequent cycloaddition reaction to produce cycloadducts **15** and **23**. We currently have no experimental data with which to speculate about the possible roles the tributylphosphine, DEAD, or the diethyl 1,2-hydrazinedicarboxylate by-product may have in this fortuitous sequence. It was found, however, that resubjecting enamide **17** (isolated from a room temperature Mitsunobu reaction) to the same reaction conditions (PBU₃, DEAD, CH₂Cl₂) at 40 °C did produce varying amounts of cycloadducts **15** and **23** albeit in diminished yields (25-45%). To our knowledge, this is the very first example of such a cycloaddition reaction wherein the putative azadiene species (**13**) is generated with a free hydroxyl residue. The facility with which putative azadiene species **13** was generated under these conditions was striking and provides provocative support for the possible intermediacy of such a species in the biological construction of this ring system within this family of secondary metabolites.

The tentative stereochemical assignment for *syn*-cycloadduct **15** was confirmed by its transformation to racemic marcfortine C (**3**). Thus, selective reduction of the tertiary amide over the secondary amide was accomplished by treatment of **15** with excess DIBAL-H (20 equiv) to provide amine **24** in 89% yield (Scheme 4). We have previously been successful in effecting the desired oxidation/pinacol rearrangement in the total synthesis of notoamide B (**6**)^{10b} by employing oxaziridine **26**.¹⁶ In the case at hand, however, **24** contains a tertiary amine that can be easily oxidized by the oxaziridine, an incompatibility issue borne out experimentally in this specific case. To circumvent this inherent problem, we surveyed a variety of acids that would be acidic enough to protonate the tertiary amine and render it unreactive toward oxidizing agents, but still be compatible with the sensitive oxaziridine reagent. We were pleased to find that pyridinium *para*-toluene sulfonate (PPTS) met both criteria. Thus, treatment of amine **24** with 1.25 equiv. of PPTS for 15 minutes at room temperature allowed for protonation to the amine salt **25**, which was then treated with excess oxaziridine **26** in

CH₂Cl₂ to effect the desired stereoselective oxidation and pinacol rearrangement to produce marcfortine C (**3**) as a single diastereomer in 77% yield. The stereochemical result can be rationalized by epoxidation of the 2,3-disubstituted indole from the less hindered α -face, followed by ring opening of the incipient epoxide to the 2-alkoxyindole intermediate **27**. A subsequent α -face ring contraction by a [1,5]-sigmatropic shift successfully furnishes **3** as a single diastereomer.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral properties of the synthetic material were identical to those published by Polonsky and coworkers.^{6b} In addition, the synthetic material was identical by mobility on TLC and ¹H nmr to an authentic specimen kindly provided by Prof. David Miller of Carleton University, Canada. Once again, the deployment of oxaziridine **26** for the one-step conversion of a 2,3-disubstituted indole to the corresponding *spiro*-oxindole has proven to be a highly useful transformation that provides a mild alternative to the standard methods that have been utilized over the years for effecting such a transformation.^{17,18}

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have completed a concise biomimetic total synthesis of marcfortine C (**3**) *via* an intramolecular azadiene Diels-Alder reaction in fifteen steps and 3.7% overall yield from commercially available 6-hydroxyindole and constitutes the first total synthesis of this alkaloid.¹⁹ This work underscores the low activation barriers inherent in this specific class of azadiene IMDA reactions that have been strongly implicated in the construction of the bicyclo [2.2.2]diazaoctane core ring system common to the paraherquamide/stephacidin/marcfortine family of prenylated indole alkaloids. Significantly, enamide species such as **17**, provide a provocative new venue for generating the hitherto elusive azadiene species **13** which have been strongly implicated as biosynthetic intermediates. Further studies to experimentally corroborate the biosynthesis of this family of fungal metabolites are under investigation and will be reported in due course.

4. Experimental

4.1. General methods

Unless otherwise noted, all materials were obtained from commercial sources and used without purification. All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed under a positive pressure of argon using flame-dried glassware. Dichloromethane, acetonitrile, and tetrahydrofuran were degassed with argon and dried through a solvent purification system (J.C. Meyer of Glass Contour) prior to use. Flash chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel Kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh) from EM science with the indicated solvent. ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 300 or 400 MHz spectrometers as indicated. For all NMR spectra, δ values are given in parts per million and *J* values are given in hertz. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Avatar 320-FT IR spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained at the Colorado State University CIF on a Fisons VG Autospec.

4.2. Amide (**21**)

To a solution of acid **19**^{10a,14} (230 mg, 0.40 mmol) in CH₃CN was added HATU (228 mg, 0.60 mmol), *i*Pr₂NEt (209 μ L, 1.20 mmol), and *cis*-3-hydroxypiperic acid ethyl ester (**18**)¹⁵ successively at rt. The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h. The resulting solution was quenched with 1 M aqueous HCl and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated. Purification by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate : hexane, 2 : 3) afforded amide **21** as a mixture of diastereomers and amide rotamers (225 mg, 77%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.12 - 1.24 (m, 3 H), 1.40 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (s, 3 H), 1.64 (s, 3 H), 1.66 (s, 3 H), 1.70 - 1.77 (m, 2 H), 2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.75 (m, 1 H), 3.08 - 3.47 (m, 4 H),

3.72 (m, 1 H), 4.05 - 4.29 (m, 3 H), 4.33 - 4.49 (m, 3 H), 5.05 (m, 1 H), 5.21 (d, $J = 10.4$ Hz, 1 H), 5.27 (d, $J = 17.4$ Hz, 1 H), 5.65 (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.00 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (dd, $J = 10.4, 17.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.58 (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.67 (m, 1 H), 7.25 - 7.45 (m, 5 H), 7.60 - 7.65 (m, 2 H), 7.70 - 7.80 (m, 2 H), 7.95 (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 13.9, 14.1, 14.2, 21.9, 22.3, 26.6, 27.4, 27.5, 27.6, 27.8, 29.3, 29.5, 29.6, 30.8, 30.9, 38.9, 39.2, 42.8, 43.1, 47.2, 50.8, 51.2, 53.9, 55.6, 59.1, 61.7, 62.0, 67.9, 68.0, 68.4, 75.6, 104.7, 105.5, 105.8, 110.7, 110.8, 112.5, 112.8, 117.0, 117.2, 118.7, 120.0, 125.0, 125.1, 125.2, 127.1, 127.8, 129.8, 130.0, 130.2, 130.3, 139.1, 139.6, 141.3, 143.82, 143.88, 143.9, 145.6, 146.4, 148.8, 155.4, 155.6, 170.4, 170.8, 172.2, 172.7; IR (neat) 3370, 2972, 1720, 1638 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (MH^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{44}\text{H}_{50}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$ 732.3649, found 732.3622.

4.3. Diketopiperazine (22)

To a solution of amide **21** (225 mg, 0.31 mmol) in THF (12 mL) at rt was added morpholine (3 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h. The resulting solution was concentrated to afford the crude diketopiperazine **22** as a mixture of diastereomers. The inseparable mixture of diastereomers was purified by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate) to afford diketopiperazines **22 a** and **b** as a yellow gum (134 mg, 94%); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.40 (s, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.47 (s, 3 H), 1.50 (s, 3 H), 1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.85 - 1.96 (m, 2 H), 2.50 (m, 1 H), 2.63 (m, 1 H), 3.06 (**a**) (dd, $J = 11.4, 14.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.25 (**b**) (dd, $J = 11.4, 14.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.66 (dd, $J = 2.9, 14.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.80 (**a**) (s, 1 H), 3.85 (**b**) (s, 1 H), 4.25 (m, 1 H), 4.39 (m, 1 H), 4.67 (m, 1 H), 5.09 - 5.15 (m, 2 H), 5.62 (**b**) (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 5.63 (**a**) (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 5.80 (**a**) (s, 1 H), 5.90 (**b**) (s, 1 H), 6.07 (**a**) (dd, $J = 10.4, 17.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.09 (**b**) (dd, $J = 10.4, 17.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.58 (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.61 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.18 (**a**) (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.30 (**b**) (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 1 H), 7.95 (**b**) (s, 1 H), 8.00 (**a**) (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 18.6 (**a**), 18.8 (**b**), 27.4, 27.5, 27.9, 28.0, 30.0 (**a**), 31.2 (**a**), 31.4 (**b**), 31.6 (**b**), 39.1 (**a**), 39.2 (**b**), 42.6 (**b**), 42.9 (**a**), 54.3 (**a**), 55.9 (**b**), 62.9 (**b**), 63.6 (**a**), 66.1 (**b**), 66.8 (**a**), 75.7, 104.7 (**b**), 104.9 (**a**), 105.2 (**a**), 105.9 (**b**), 110.7 (**a**), 110.8 (**b**), 112.2 (**a**), 112.4 (**b**), 117.0, 118.5 (**a**), 118.9 (**b**), 123.6 (**a**), 124.2 (**b**), 129.7 (**b**), 129.9 (**a**), 130.8 (**b**), 130.9 (**a**), 139.9 (**b**), 140.4 (**a**), 146.0 (**a**), 146.1 (**b**), 148.7 (**b**), 148.8 (**a**), 165.4 (**a**), 165.5 (**b**), 166.1 (**a**), 166.3 (**b**); IR (neat) 3365, 2971, 2930, 1674, 1641 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (M^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_3\text{O}_4$ 463.2471, found 463.2474.

4.4. Cycloadduct (15)

To a solution of alcohols **22** (55 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) at rt was added DEAD (112 μL , 0.71 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 5 min and PBu_3 (178 μL , 0.71 mmol) was then added. The solution was heated to 40 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 20 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated. Purification by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate : hexane, 1 : 1) afforded cycloadducts **15** and **23** as a 2.4 : 1 crude mixture of diastereomers. This mixture was further separated by preparative TLC (MeOH : CH_2Cl_2 , 3 : 97) to afford *syn* cycloadduct **15** as a colorless oil (22.4 mg, 42%) along with *anti* cycloadduct **23** as a colorless oil (9.4 mg, 18%); *Syn* Cycloadduct **15**: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 - CD_3OD , 10 : 1) δ 1.00 (s, 3 H), 1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (s, 6 H), 1.58 - 1.69 (m, 5 H), 1.92 (dd, $J = 10.3, 13.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.02 (dd, $J = 5.0, 13.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.38 (m, 1 H), 2.43 (dd, $J = 5.0, 10.3$ Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 1 H), 3.30 - 3.37 (m, 2 H), 3.64 (d, $J = 15.5$ Hz, 1 H), 5.57 (d, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1 H), 6.54 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (d, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 8.99 (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 - CD_3OD , 10 : 1) δ 17.4, 21.4, 21.9, 24.7, 26.1, 26.98, 27.00, 28.0, 32.0, 34.7, 39.0, 48.3, 58.8, 59.7, 75.5, 104.1, 105.3, 109.3, 117.7, 117.9, 121.8, 129.1, 133.2, 138.8, 148.1, 172.4, 174.1; IR (neat) 3300, 2917, 1685 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (MH^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{32}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3$ 446.2438, found 446.2433. *Anti* Cycloadduct **23**: ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 - CD_3OD , 10 : 1) δ 1.17 (s, 3 H), 1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 1.55 - 1.70 (m, 5 H), 1.75 (dd, $J = 3.3, 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 2.12 (dd, $J = 3.3, 10.3$ Hz, 1 H), 2.21 (dd, $J = 10.3, 13.5$ Hz, 1 H), 2.37 (m, 1 H), 2.79 (d, $J = 17.7$ Hz, 1 H), 3.45 (m, 1 H), 3.73 (d, $J = 17.7$ Hz, 1 H), 4.11 (m, 1 H), 5.59 (d, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1

H), 6.56 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (d, $J = 9.8$ Hz, 1 H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 9.12 (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 - CD_3OD , 10 : 1) δ 17.7, 21.6, 23.7, 24.5, 26.3, 27.1, 27.4, 28.7, 33.6, 34.6, 39.6, 44.8, 59.9, 60.3, 75.6, 103.4, 105.3, 109.8, 117.5, 118.0, 122.1, 129.5, 133.2, 138.9, 148.4, 171.1, 173.3; IR (neat) 3300, 2917, 1686 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (MH^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{32}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3$ 446.2438, found 446.2437.

4.5. Amine (24)

To a solution of lactam **15** (11.8 mg, 0.027 mmol) in toluene (5.3 mL) at 0 °C was added DIBAL (1.0 M in toluene, 530 μL , 0.53 mmol). The mixture was slowly warmed to rt and stirred at rt for 3 h. The resulting solution was quenched by the slow addition of solid $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (500 mg). The mixture was stirred for an additional hour at rt. The resulting mixture was filtered through a fritted glass funnel to remove the solid and subsequently washed with ethyl acetate and concentrated. Purification by silica gel chromatography (MeOH : CH_2Cl_2 , 5 : 95) afforded amine **24** as a colorless oil (10.1 mg, 89%); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.44 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (s, 6 H), 1.49 - 1.64 (m, 3 H), 1.75 - 1.90 (m, 4 H), 2.05 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1 H), 2.07 (m, 1 H), 2.19 (m, 1 H), 2.25 (dd, $J = 3.0, 11.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.67 (m, 1 H), 2.70 (d, $J = 15.4$ Hz, 1 H), 2.87 (d, $J = 15.4$ Hz, 1 H), 3.54 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1 H), 5.67 (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.25 (s, 1 H), 6.61 (d, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.14 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.82 (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 18.1, 21.5, 24.5, 26.0, 27.5, 30.7, 30.9, 31.4, 34.1, 34.5, 46.8, 55.4, 55.7, 58.3, 60.9, 75.7, 104.9, 105.2, 110.2, 117.2, 118.0, 121.7, 130.0, 132.9, 139.4, 148.9, 175.0; IR (neat) 3311, 2931, 1673 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (MH^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{34}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$ 432.2646, found 432.2643.

4.6. Marcfortine C (3)

To a solution of amine **24** (14.0 mg, 0.032 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3.2 mL) at rt was added PPTS (10.2 mg, 0.041 mmol). The mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min. To the resulting solution was added oxaziridine **26** (31.1 mg, 0.13 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 18 h. The resulting solution was placed directly on a column of silica gel and eluted with CH_2Cl_2 , followed by MeOH : CH_2Cl_2 (5 : 95 then 10 : 90) to afford marcfortine C (**3**) ($R_f = 0.4$, MeOH : CH_2Cl_2 , 10 : 90) as a white solid (11.2 mg, 77%); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 0.82 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 1.44 (s, 3 H), 1.45 (s, 3 H), 1.55 - 1.85 (m, 7 H), 1.93 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz, 1 H), 2.13 (br d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 2.26 (d, $J = 15.3$ Hz, 1 H), 2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.45 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 2.68 (br d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 3.11 (t, $J = 10.1$ Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1 H), 5.72 (d, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1 H), 6.40 (d, $J = 9.9$ Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.90 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.40 (s, 1 H), 9.18 (s, 1 H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , 3 drops CD_3OD) δ 20.6, 20.7, 24.0, 25.7, 27.8, 28.0, 30.9, 31.4, 39.9, 46.3, 54.2, 54.6, 61.0, 61.1, 61.3, 62.4, 76.3, 105.4, 109.4, 116.4, 121.5, 125.6, 130.9, 137.7, 152.9, 177.2, 184.5; IR (neat) 3298, 2923, 1672, 1601 cm^{-1} ; FAB-HRMS (MH^+) calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{34}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3$ 448.2600, found 448.2608.

Acknowledgements

We wish to dedicate this paper to Professor Victor Snieckus on the occasion of his 70th birthday. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Institutes of Health (CA70375). Mass spectra were obtained on instruments supported by the NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant GM49631. We are very grateful to Prof. David Miller and Mark Sumarah of Carleton University, Canada, for providing an authentic specimen of marcfortine C along with spectral data.

References and notes

- (a) Yamazaki M, Okuyama E. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1981;22:135. (b) Ondeyka JG, Goegelman RT, Schaeffer JM, Kelemen L, Zitano L. *J Antibiot* 1990;43:1375. [PubMed: 2272914] (c) Liesch JM, Wichmann CF. *J Antibiot* 1990;43:1380. [PubMed: 2272915] (d) Banks RM, Blanchflower SE, Everett JR, Manfer BR, Reading CJ. *J Antibiot* 1997;50:840. [PubMed: 9402989]

2. (a) Birch AJ, Wright JJ. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 1969:644. (b) Birch AJ, Wright JJ. *Tetrahedron* 1970;26:2329. [PubMed: 5419195] (c) Birch AJ, Russell RA. *Tetrahedron* 1972;28:2999. (d) Bird BA, Remaley AT, Campbell IM. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1981;42:521. [PubMed: 16345847] (e) Bird BA, Campbell IM. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1982;43:345. [PubMed: 16345939] (f) Robbers JE, Straus JW. *Lloydia* 1975;38:355. [PubMed: 1186436] (g) Paterson RRM, Hawksworth DL. *Trans Br Mycol Soc* 1985;85:95. (h) Wilson BJ, Yang DTC, Harris TM. *Appl Microbiol* 1973;26:633. [PubMed: 4751807] (i) Coetzer J. *Acta Crystallogr* 1974;B30:2254.
3. (a) Qian-Cutrone J, Huang S, Shu YZ, Vyas D, Fairchild C, Menendez A, Krampitz K, Dalterio R, Klohr SE, Gao Q. *J Am Chem Soc* 2002;124:14556. [PubMed: 12465964] Qian-Cutrone, J.; Krampitz, K.; Shu, YZ.; Chang, LP. US Patent 6,291,461. 2001.
4. Kato H, Yoshida T, Tokue T, Nojiri Y, Hirota H, Ohta T, Williams RM, Tsukamoto S. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:0000.(published on-line February 16, 2007)
5. (a) Williams RM, Cox RJ. *Acc Chem Res* 2003;36:127. [PubMed: 12589698] (b) Williams RM. *Chem Pharm Bull* 2002;50:711. [PubMed: 12045324] (c) Williams RM, Stocking EM, Sanz-Cervera JF. *Topics Cur Chem* 2000;209:98.
6. (a) Polonsky J, Merrien MA, Prangé T, Pascard C. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 1980:601. (b) Prangé T, Billion MA, Vuilhorgne M, Pascard C, Polonsky J. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1981;22:1977.
7. For anthelmintic activity of the marcfortines and derivatives, see (a) MrozikHUS Patent 4,866,060/1989(b) BlizzardTAMrozikHUS Patent 4,923,867/1990 For the synthesis of marcfortine A derivatives, see (c) Lee BH, Clothier MF. *J Org Chem* 1997;62:1863. (d) Lee BH, Clothier MF. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 1998;8:3415. [PubMed: 9873744] and references cited therein.
8. (a) Herzon SB, Myers AG. *J Am Chem Soc* 2005;127:5342. [PubMed: 15826171] (b) Myers AG, Herzon SB. *J Am Chem Soc* 2003;125:12080. [PubMed: 14518979]
9. (a) Baran PS, Guerrero CA, Ambhaikar NB, Hafensteiner BD. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2005;44:606. (b) Baran PS, Guerrero CA, Hafensteiner BD, Ambhaikar NB. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2005;44:3892. (c) Baran PS, Hafensteiner BD, Ambhaikar NB, Guerrero CA, Gallagher JD. *J Am Chem Soc* 2006;128:8678. [PubMed: 16802835]
10. (a) Grubbs AW, Artman GD III, Tsukamoto S, Williams RM. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:0000. (published on-line February 16, 2007) (b) Greshock TJ, Grubbs AW, Tsukamoto S, Williams RM. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2007;46:0000.(published on-line February 16, 2007)(c) Greshock, T. J.; Grubbs, A.W.; Jiao, P.; Gloer, J. B.; Williams, R. M. *unpublished results*.
11. Baldas J, Birch AJ, Russell RA. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans I* 1974:50.
12. Porter AEA, Sammes PG. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun* 1970:1103.
13. (a) Williams RM, Sanz-Cervera JF, Sancenón F, Marco JA, Halligan K. *J Am Chem Soc* 1998;120:1090. (b) Stocking EM, Sanz-Cervera JF, Williams RM. *J Am Chem Soc* 2000;122:1675. (c) Adams LA, Valente MWN, Williams RM. *Tetrahedron* 2006;62:5195.
14. Grubbs AW, Artman GD III, Williams RM. *Tetrahedron Lett* 2005;46:9013.
15. *cis*-3-Hydroxypipicolinic acid ethyl ester (**18**) was prepared in two steps from 3-hydroxypicolinic acid by: 1) hydrogenation, Rh/C, NH₄OH (Drummond J, Johnson G, Nickell DG, Ortwine DF, Burns RF, Welbaum B. *J Med Chem* 1989;32:2116. [PubMed: 2549245]) followed by 2) esterification, SOCl₂, ethanol, reflux.
16. (a) Davis FA, Townson JC, Vashi DB, Thimma Ready R, McCauley JP, Harakal ME, Gosciniak DJ. *J Org Chem* 1990;55:1254. (b) Snider BB, Zeng H. *J Org Chem* 2003;68:545. [PubMed: 12530882]
17. Our group has previously achieved oxidation of the C2-C3 double bond using *m*CPBA and *tert*-BuOCl, see: (a) Williams RM, Glinka T, Kwast E, Coffman H. *J Am Chem Soc* 1990;112:808. (b) Cushing TD, Sanz-Cervera JF, Williams RM. *J Am Chem Soc* 1996;118:557. (c) Williams RM, Glinka T, Kwast E. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1989;30:5575.
18. For previous one-pot procedures generating oxindoles from indole, see: (a) Finch N, Taylor WI. *J Am Chem Soc* 1962;84:1318. (b) Hollinshead SP, Grubisha DS, Bennett DW, Cook JM. *Heterocycles* 1989;29:529. (c) Edmondson S, Danishefsky SJ, Sepp-Lorenzino L, Rosen N. *J Am Chem Soc* 1999;121:2147. (d) Wang H, Ganesan A. *J Org Chem* 2000;65:4685. [PubMed: 10959875]
19. *Note in revision*: A total synthesis of the related alkaloid, *d,l*-marcfortine B (**2**) was very recently published, see: Trost BM, Cramer N, Bernsmann H. *J Am Chem Soc* 2007;129:0000.(published on-line February 22, 2007)

Figure 1.
Structures of the marcfortines and various prenylated indole alkaloids.

Scheme 1.
Postulated biosyntheses of marcfortine C.

Scheme 2.
Biomimetic retrosynthesis of marcfortine C.

Scheme 3.
Construction of the marcfortine C ring system *via* an intramolecular Diels-Alder cycloaddition

Scheme 4.
Conversion of **15** to marcfortine C.