AN ADDRESS

ON THE

HISTORY, CONSTITUTION, AND OBJECTS
OF THE BRITISH MEDICAL
ASSOCIATION :

AND ON MEDICAL ORGANISATION IN GLASGOW.

*Delivered at the First Annual Meeting of the Glasgow and West of
Scotland Branch.**By ALLEN THOMSON, M.D., LL.D., F.R.S.S.L. & E.,
Professor of Anatomy in the University of Glasgow; President of the Branch; etc.

ENGAGED, as many of us are, in promoting the best and highest objects of medical practice and teaching, we are too apt to allow our ideas to be magnified of what has been accomplished in both of these directions; and to shut our eyes to some of the shortcomings of our professional system—more especially, it may be, in our own case, to those which arise, not so much from any want of exertion or attention on the part of the members of the profession itself, as from the circumstances which are inseparable from the over-rapid changes occurring in a community and district like ours, where the population has of late years increased with transatlantic rapidity.

A glance at the progress of medical institutions in Glasgow will serve to show within how short a time the whole of the present system has sprung up; how much of it has been, in some measure, the result of accident and the force of circumstances; and how much, therefore, there might be to alter, modify, or arrange, were it in our power to do things in the way or to the extent which might appear most desirable.

In considering the present state of the profession, and the circumstances which may affect its welfare and progress, it is curious to look back from the stringent system of education, examination, and licensing of the medical practitioner, which has been introduced in our own time, to a period of three hundred years ago, when entire liberty seems to have prevailed in regard to medical or surgical practice, and nothing seems to have prevented the assumption of medical titles or duties, unless, perhaps, the discouragement arising from the absence of a sufficient number of patients desiring to avail themselves of the services of the intending medical practitioner. It is interesting also to turn from a time like our own—in which the inquiries of the profession and the community are directed with intense care and attention to every circumstance that can affect the conditions of public health, and when the crowding of human and animal life into narrow spaces opposes greater and greater obstacles in the way of securing proper sanitary conditions—back to the remoter period when diseases were left comparatively to themselves; and when the disinfecting and antiseptic powers of earth and water, in the larger proportion which they then bore to the sources of infection and disease, very generally proved in ordinary circumstances sufficient to diminish the virulence and check the ravages of epidemic diseases.

But, while we know that the proportional small number of the inhabitants secured to them some degree of immunity from the dangers which belong to the more crowded conditions of modern life, yet it is known that in many instances unchecked epidemics acted as scourges which almost exterminated large sections of the population; and it is undoubted that the rate of mortality from disease was much higher, in proportion to the population, than it is in our own time. And we have thus abundant proof that, as population increases, there is not only a decided advantage to the community from a fuller supply of regularly instructed medical and surgical practitioners, but there is shown to be an absolute necessity for these practitioners bearing a certain proportion to the community at large, in order to secure the measure of health and well-being which the other conditions of modern civilisation render possible.

In former times, it would appear that the magnates of our profession were for the most part graduates of foreign universities, and these were apparently received without much inquiry as to their credentials, or on their own assertion of their titles; and, when duly accredited, were regarded with honour as the possessors of all the scholastic learning of the profession which could emanate from famous universities, such as Leyden, Paris, or Bologna.

* Concluded from page 37 of last number.

It is curious to view the different position now accorded in this country to these degrees, which are generally looked upon with distrust, and are refused a place in the authorised *Register*, more immediately because they are not, as all our own licences are, under the control of regulation and inspection by the General Medical Council of the Kingdom, and more indirectly on account of the very loose manner in which degrees have been granted by some of the foreign universities, without the evidence of regular study and without examination.

Although in several of the Universities of Scotland a *mediciner* or medical professor may have existed from an early period, the office does not appear to have been maintained with regularity, and the degree of doctor of medicine was only occasionally and very rarely conferred. It need scarcely be said that in these circumstances there was no regular system of medical instruction pursued in the Universities. Even in Edinburgh, which in the middle and latter part of the last century acquired great renown and was much frequented as a medical school, it was only in 1726, or exactly a century and a half ago, that the medical school of the University came into operation by the first Monro, with his coadjutors, beginning to deliver systematic instruction within the precincts of the University. And in our own University of Glasgow, although the two chairs of Medicine and Anatomy were occupied from 1714 and 1718, the respective dates of the appointments of Dr. John Johnstoun to the chair of Medicine and Dr. Thomas Brisbane to that of Anatomy and Botany, yet it was not till 1745-6 that a regular course of instruction by lectures can be said to have begun in Glasgow, when Cullen undertook the first course of lectures on the Practice of Physic which was delivered in our city. And it shows how imperfect were the arrangements to form a school, that Cullen also taught *Materia Medica* and Botany along with Mr. Carrick, and for a time somewhat later conducted a class of Chemistry.

Dr. Johnstoun and Dr. Brisbane had, it is true, given occasional instructions in their respective subjects of Medicine, Anatomy, and Botany; but, in 1742, Dr. Robert Hamilton was appointed to the chair of Anatomy, and he appears to have conducted more regularly courses on the two subjects included in his commission.

It is, therefore, no more than one hundred and thirty years since the system of medical instruction by means of lectures took its rise in Glasgow.

The chair of Chemistry was occupied by Black, the celebrated chemist, from 1756 to 1766; and in 1756, when Cullen was transferred to Edinburgh, Robert Hamilton was appointed to the Practice of Physic chair, and his younger brother Thomas became the occupant of the chair of Anatomy and Botany. In 1781, he was succeeded by his son William at the early age of 23, a man of great ability, the father of Sir William Hamilton the metaphysician, and whose early death in 1789 alone prevented him from attaining to great eminence.*

In 1788, Dr. Cleghorn, well-known as one of the earlier supporters of the University medical school, was appointed lecturer on *Materia Medica*, and three years later on Chemistry. But as yet there was no infirmary in Glasgow; and, for a time at least, the only means of public clinical instruction for the pupils of the nascent school was in the Town's Hospital or Poor's House, where a certain number of cases of disease were treated. Dr. Cowan has stated, in his introductory address to the medical students of the University in 1869-70, that he has in his possession tickets of admission to lectures on the cases of patients in the Town's Hospital delivered by Dr. Cleghorn in 1787-8. It was only in the latter year, or less than ninety years ago, that the proposal to establish an infirmary or public sick hospital in Glasgow was set on foot by Mr. Jardine, the Professor of Logic in the University. In this, he was ably assisted by Dr. Stevenson, the Professor of the Practice of Physic; and in 1794 the building was opened for the reception of patients.

At this time, it is deserving of note that the population of Glasgow was about 75,000, and the number of beds provided by the new hospital was 160.

The teaching of surgery was considered to belong to the chair of Anatomy, and it was not till 1815 that a separate professorship of Surgery was instituted. Physiology, though taught separately for some years by an appointed lecturer, was only erected into a separate professorship in 1839, when my colleague Dr. Buchanan was appointed to the office.

The first foundation of the chemical chair as a professorship took place in 1817, when Dr. Thomas Thomson was chosen to fill it, and gave all his ability and learning in teaching his science.

The Botany chair, with which the honoured name of Hooker is connected, was founded in 1818.

* I have heard my father, Dr. John Thomson, who was a student of William Hamilton's in 1787-88, speak with great delight of his instructions. He furnished his master with plants for conducting his botanical lectures.

But, although the formation of a medical school within the University has only been effected in the later times now mentioned, medical teaching was not entirely in abeyance in Glasgow during the previous century and a half; for the Faculty of Physicians and Surgeons, to which the profession in Glasgow owes a deep debt of gratitude, had as early as 1599 (as has been related in our excellent friend Dr. Weir's *Historical Sketch of the Faculty*) acquired its original charter granted by James VI to Mr. Peter Lowe the famous surgeon, and Mr. Robert Hamilton styled Professor of Medicine, giving to these gentlemen the right to examine all those intending to practise surgery and pharmacy in the town of Glasgow and counties of Lanark, Renfrew, Dumbarton, and Ayr. Thus arose the Corporation of the Faculty of Physicians and Surgeons, in whose hall we are now met—a body of men composed, as McCure in his quaint *History of Glasgow* says, “for the most part, of persons of singular ingenuity, candour, and integrity”, and which from the first has endeavoured to secure proper qualifications on the part of those to whom the care of the sick was to be committed—which for a considerable period provided the only medical and surgical education to be obtained in Glasgow, and which has steadily maintained the credit of the profession in this city and the west of Scotland.

The parallel body of Edinburgh, the College of Surgeons, was of earlier foundation, having obtained its charter from James IV in 1505, and had exercised the same influence in the capital in connection with the very incomplete medical education which the circumstances of the time required and deemed sufficient.

The charter of the College of Surgeons, from an extension granted, however, only so late as in 1695, gave an exclusive right of practice in surgery and pharmacy in the counties of the Lothians, as well as in Peebles, Selkirk, Roxburgh, Berwick, and Fife; while the rights of physicians or graduates to practise medicine appear to have been reserved.

These corporations, as is well known, were of the nature of burgh guilds, and they were both connected for a time with the barbers; though, as shown by Dr. Weir, that connection was not original in the case of the Glasgow faculty, and it was never very intimate in either of the bodies.

But, as medical education improved in modern times, and the scientific character of the profession became more and more established, the unsuitable nature of guild privileges and restrictions on practice came more and more to be felt; and, at the time of the reform of the Corporations, the College of Surgeons and the Faculty of Physicians and Surgeons ceased to belong to the City Corporations, and assumed the place and dignity of guardians of scientific professions. In our own time, as is well known, a very different kind of guildry or restrictive control of medical or surgical practice has arisen, by the endeavour to subject all registered practitioners to uniform and fixed rules of study and examination for qualification, an attempt which, in the estimation of some, may be carried to too great an extent, though we can scarcely now doubt the propriety of securing the full, and up to a certain standard, the equal education of all those who are recognised as qualified to practise under the Medical Act of 1858.

We know very little of the nature of the instruction given by the College of Surgeons or Faculty of Physicians and Surgeons in the sixteenth or seventeenth centuries. A great part was probably after the manner of apprenticeship; but, in Edinburgh, anatomical demonstrations were given for some time before the establishment of the University schools, as those by Mr. Alexander Monteith from 1694, by Robert Elliott from 1705, and by him along with Drummond from 1708; and later by Drummond and McGill from 1716, and by Alexander Monro, the famous anatomist, from 1720. Monro was named professor of the University in 1822, but did not teach within its precincts till some years later.

The apprenticeship system, to which allusion has been made, appears on the whole to have worked well; and, although, from the absence of regular courses, there was no attendance on lectures required, it appears from Dr. Weir's researches, that a long term of practical training, amounting to seven years, was insisted on; and as many as three examinations had to be passed at successive stages of the candidate's progress before he could be qualified to practise under the charter of the faculty. There can be no doubt that, if such a system of general and clinical instruction were faithfully carried out by a sufficiently informed and intelligent master, it might, in older times at least, lead to the very best results in the attainment of practical knowledge and skill. The progress of science in connection with medicine has rendered such a method of study altogether insufficient in our times, but its value in the clinical part would still be the same, and it is in some measure to be regretted that it cannot be more frequently pursued.

As licensing bodies recognised under the Medical Act of 1858, the

Faculty of Physicians and Surgeons and the University of Glasgow are equally subject, as regards the terms on which their licences and degrees are granted, to the control and superintendence of the General Medical Council; and I am happy to say that, whatever differences may have at one time arisen between these bodies from the University assuming the function of granting degrees in surgery, these differences have now entirely disappeared, and both bodies are earnestly bent upon securing the best mode of conducting their examinations, and testing the qualifications of candidates for licence to practise.

Of the medical schools of Glasgow, I think I may say that signs of increased vitality are visible, in the steady increase of the number of pupils which has occurred in recent years. In the University, the number of medical students enrolled in the past session was upwards of four hundred, being exactly double the number in the session 1851-2.

It is also a most favourable sign of the vigour of the school of Glasgow as a whole, that the managers of the Royal Infirmary, deeming that it would be advantageous to the institution itself, as well as to the medical profession and the public, that a larger number of students should avail themselves of the means of instruction afforded by the infirmary, have resolved to give accommodation to lecturers on the different branches in the medical curriculum within the infirmary buildings or others in the immediate vicinity. And I think we have reason to expect that the Glasgow school as a whole will gain in efficiency and reputation by the competition of new lecturers and the addition to the number of students which may be anticipated.

We can also look back to a period when other private schools existed in Glasgow; and several names known to fame in their respective departments could be mentioned as having taken part in the work of these schools. Among these I will only mention Allan Burns as one of the most accomplished anatomists of his time; and we are glad to acknowledge the benefits which have accrued to medicine and science, as well as to the University herself, from the spirit of rivalry excited, and from the original investigations and teaching of the occupants of chairs in Anderson's University, many of whom have, as is well known, been transferred to the University. It would be unpardonable to pass over in silence the names of Graham and Gregory as occupants of the Andersonian chair of Chemistry.

Nor can I omit here the mention of several societies which have exercised a beneficial influence on the spread of medical knowledge among the members of our profession, and among these the Medico-Chirurgical Society of Glasgow, which has existed since 1814, and has enrolled on its list of members all the leading medical men of Glasgow during the period. The Southern Medical Society and the Pathological and Clinical Society contribute their share to the progress of medical and surgical knowledge.

A medical journal has at various times been published in Glasgow, but not, I regret to say, continuously. In 1868, an Association of the profession was formed to maintain the present journal, and since that period, under a succession of able and zealous editors the journal has been published, as regards the number of subscribers with fair success, but, as regards its conduct and matter, with such cordial approbation of all good judges as may entitle it to expect a far wider circulation than it has yet enjoyed.

The establishment of a connection through our Branch with the main body of the British Medical Association, may be the means of aiding in the very desirable object of extending the usefulness of our valuable local medical record.

To the sanitary board, as medically represented by its able head, Dr. Russell, a reference will be made hereafter.

We have reason to be proud of our public hospitals for the sick. At the time when the Royal Infirmary of Glasgow was opened for the treatment of patients in 1794, it contained only a hundred and sixty beds; a larger proportion, however, to the existing population of the time (about 75,000) than at a more recent period, when the extent of its accommodation had been greatly increased. By that increase, going on from time to time, the number of beds has now reached five hundred and eighty.

The number of beds contained in the part of the Western Infirmary which has been constructed and which was opened in 1874, amounts to two hundred; the entire hospital, when completed, being planned for a service of three hundred and fifty beds.

These hospitals are entirely supported by voluntary annual contributions and donations.

The two hospitals for contagious epidemic diseases, maintained by assessment under the Glasgow Police Act for sanitary purposes, at Parliamentary Road and Belvidere, contain two hundred and fifty beds each, and in addition there is being now constructed at the latter place, in which spacious grounds have been secured, a small-pox hospital to contain a hundred and twenty beds. And to these is soon to be added a con-

siderable hospital of the same kind, which is being erected at Anniesland Toll, under the Partick, Hillhead, and Maryhill Combination of Joint Authorities.

Nor is this all; for, in connection with the City, in the Barony and the Govan Poor-Houses there are set aside wards for the sick and for lying-in women, which are, of course, available to the poor in the respective parishes.

The great Lunatic Asylum at Gartnavel is known to be one of the best in the kingdom; and, in connection with the several poor-houses, excellent lunatic asylums are provided, of which that of the City Poor-House and the beautiful new asylum of the Barony, now situated at Lenzie, deserve special mention.

Of smaller hospitals, the new Eye Hospital and the Lock Hospital may be added to the list of established infirmaries; and besides these, there are still other charities for the cure of the sick which I can do no more than name, such as the Skin Dispensary, the Ophthalmic Institution, the dispensaries for the Ear, the Chest and Throat, as well as those more important general dispensaries to which I allude hereafter in connection with the medical mission of Glasgow.

I must not forget that our Branch Association is not confined to Glasgow, but includes the neighbouring counties; and it is right, therefore, and I have the greatest pleasure to add to my enumeration of the institutions which combine charitable care of the sick with the instruction of the attending medical men, the admirably well-managed hospitals of Paisley and Greenock.

But while we have reason to congratulate ourselves on the efficient condition of such medical institutions as I have now referred to, and may think that, on the whole, much is doing for medicine in certain directions, we cannot shut our eyes to serious defects which may be detected in other aspects of the profession, more especially in that of its relation to the service of the sick poor in our city—defects in which the public or the community at large is more to blame than the members of the profession.

In the very able Report, recently made by our excellent Medical Officer of Health, Dr. J. B. Russell, on the large number of uncertified deaths in Glasgow, to which attention was called as early as 1871 by Dr. Fergus, the proportion of the medical men to the population is referred to in a most interesting manner, and much valuable information is collected, to which I cannot avoid alluding somewhat more at length on the present occasion, as it involves some of the most important topics which can come under the consideration of the profession.

Dr. Russell shows, in the first place, that there is throughout the country a well-marked proportion between the completeness of the registration of deaths and the extent and quality of the medical assistance obtained by the population in sickness.

Now, it appeared that in Glasgow, in the years 1872-3-4, with an average death-rate of $29\frac{1}{2}$ per 1,000, as many as 22 or 23 per cent. of the deaths were uncertified. Of these uncertified deaths, fully a half were of children under five years of age; the proportions were greatest in the districts with the highest mortality, and they included a larger proportion of illegitimate than of legitimate children—thus showing, in Dr. Russell's telling words, page 11, "that the more dependent and helpless of itself the life is, the less attention it receives from those on whom it depends".

Taking the year 1874 for comparison, in Edinburgh the proportion of deaths which are uncertified is 6 per cent., scarcely more than one-fourth of that in Glasgow. In Liverpool it is only $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent., and in the worst districts of London it does not amount to 1 per cent.; while that in the worst part of Glasgow attains the enormous proportion of 44 per cent.

The unfavourable result of a comparison of Scotland and England, as regards the medical relief of the sick poor, has, however, been long known; for as early as in 1855 Dr. Stark, Superintendent of Statistics under the Registrar-General, gave the following view of the proportion of deaths, in the several cities of Scotland named, which appear to have taken place without medical attendance, viz.:

Leith	about 8 per cent.	Glasgow	about 20 per cent.
Aberdeen ..	" 10 "	Dundee	" 25 "
Edinburgh ..	" 12 "	Greenock ..	" 38 "
Perth	" 13 "	Paisley	" 40 "

While in London and Liverpool, three years later, the percentage varied only from 1 to 2 per cent.

If we consider further, that the numbers previously stated refer to deaths alone, and that each death may be held to represent the illness of from twenty to twenty-five other persons, who have not had medical aid, we may form some idea of the very large number of sick persons who have been left destitute of assistance in

various degrees of suffering. Time will not allow me to follow Dr. Russell through the very full and interesting statement by which he shows, in the most convincing manner, that in Glasgow there is some serious defect in the system of medical relief to the poor—statements which demand the most serious consideration by us all, and which will well repay the trouble of a most careful perusal; but I must hasten to the consideration of the circumstances which appear most immediately to lead to the defects so much to be deplored.

There can be very little doubt, from a comparison with other places, that one cause of the large number of uncertified deaths, and of the concomitant proved deficiency of medical relief to the sick poor, is to be found in the fact, that the number of medical practitioners, in proportion to the population, actually falls considerably below that which exists in most other places, and which, from experience, has been deemed sufficient for the supply of the medical wants of a community.

If, according to the census of 1871, we take the population of Great Britain and Ireland at about 31,500,000, and if we take the number of medical practitioners from the *Register* of the Medical Council at about 21,200, the proportion of medical men throughout the country to the general body of the population is nearly 1 to 1,486. But if from the whole number of registered practitioners there be subtracted the number of those residing abroad and of those employed in the military and naval services, amounting together probably to 3,500, the proportion of medical men to the population of the whole kingdom will be reduced to 1 in 1,780.

In London and in Edinburgh, the proportion seems to be as high as one medical man to 1,000 or 1,100 of the population. In Liverpool, it is about 1 to 1,500; but in Glasgow, it appears to be as low as 1 in 2,500, or even considerably lower.

Dr. Acland, the President of the General Medical Council, in his introductory address on May 24th, called attention to the inadequate supply of medical practitioners which appears to be taking place throughout the whole of the British dominions, and to the remarkable diminution in relation to the population which appears to be occurring in England and Scotland during the last twenty or twenty-five years. His attention was drawn to the fact, as regards England, by Dr. Farr referring him to the statement in the supplement to the Thirty-fifth Report of the Registrar-General, by which it appears that there is a medical man to every 1,276 of the general population of England; but in 1851 the proportion appears to have been 1 to 1,031, and in 1861 it had diminished to 1 in 1,205, and in 1871 to about 1 in 1,280.

In Scotland, the supply was more defective in 1851 than in England, being only as 1 in 1,338; in 1861, nearly as 1 in 1,640; and in 1871, as low as 1 in 1,900.

In Ireland, the supply was very defective in 1851, being only in the proportion of about one medical man to 2,500 of population; while in 1861 it had risen to 1 in 2,000, and in 1871 to 1 in 1,666.

It is not for me to enter into the consideration of the circumstances influencing these and other changes. I wish only to call your attention to the fact that the proportion is at its lowest in Glasgow; and that, while the population has been increasing with immense rapidity, so as to have doubled itself during the last thirty years, the number of medical men (as entered in the list of the *Town Directory*), has remained nearly the same during three decennial periods—thus making the proportion of the medical men to the population only a half of that which it was in 1845 or 1846. In round numbers, the proportions for certain decennial periods, extending back to 1841, during which the lists seem to be fairly comparable, are as follows:

	Population.	Phys. & Surgs.	Proportion.
1841-2	280,000*	217	nearly 1 to 1,300
1851-2	360,000	239	" 1,500
1861-2	403,000	250	" 1,750
1871-2	566,000	256	" 2,400
1875	640,000	237	" 2,700

It does not appear probable that the place of regularly qualified men is, to any extent, supplied by irregular or unqualified practitioners; and if, as has generally been held, one medical practitioner to a thousand of the population be looked upon as any approach to the proportion required for the due care of the sick, no doubt can prevail that the supply is miserably deficient in Glasgow.

But besides the actual deficiency in the number of medical men in Glasgow, it would appear that there is also, as compared with other great cities, a want of the proper distribution of their services to the poor in the manner calculated to be most effective in the relief of sickness, as will appear from the subjoined extract from Dr. Russell's table of the comparative facilities for obtaining medical aid by the poor

* The above for Glasgow and suburbs.

in Glasgow, Liverpool, and Edinburgh, under the three heads of treatment in hospitals, at home, and in dispensaries, in 1874 :

Number of patients treated	Glasgow.	Liverpool.	Edinburgh.
1. In hospitals	6,654	7,463	5,344
2. At home	1,550	20,575	9,234
3. In dispensaries	29,409	92,578	27,811
Total treated	37,569	120,616	42,389
Population*	525,448	510,640	211,691

Thus the proportion of the poor treated charitably to 1,000 of the population is 236 in Liverpool, 200 in Edinburgh, and only 72 in Glasgow, or a third of that in the other two cities.

Now, it may easily be seen that the inferiority of Glasgow does not lie in hospital treatment, which in the meantime may be considered as fairly commensurate with the wants of the community, but rather mainly in the absence or very defective condition of the home visitation and dispensary treatment of the sick poor.

Both of these forms of medical charities have long existed in Edinburgh and Liverpool; and in the first of these places, they have been found, not only most effective in the relief of the poor, but a very valuable aid also in instruction as connected with the medical schools. In Edinburgh and Liverpool the dispensary system has grown with the increase of the population; but in Glasgow, an enormous and rapid growth of the population has taken place without any corresponding extension of the means of affording medical relief of this kind to the poor.

A most praiseworthy attempt, no doubt, has been made to supply this want by the medical mission; but its powers are entirely inadequate to meet the exigencies of the case, and it is abundantly clear, that what is mainly wanted in Glasgow is a great development of the dispensary system, which, at a comparatively low cost, will provide in the various most convenient localities throughout the city the readiest access to medical and surgical advice, and, under certain restrictions, will afford medicines to the poor; and, through the same agency, the organisation of a system of home visitation, in which a number of the medical practitioners will take a part, and will be assisted, greatly to their own benefit, by a large number of the more advanced students from the different medical schools.

For the foundation of such dispensaries, something may be done by the Charity Organisation Society; and funds will be required to carry out a thoroughly efficient system. But when the urgency of the case is known, it is not probable that these will be long wanting. Several of the existing institutions may be made to subserve or assist in the establishment of the new dispensaries; and it is deserving of notice that this mode of medical relief of the poor is by far the most economical that can be adopted; while it is certain that it has not, in any of the places where it has been best carried out, had any tendency to increase pauperism. Nor has it interfered with the due exercise of their profession by medical practitioners, nor been productive of any other evils. On the contrary, it has everywhere been attended with the purest beneficial results.

With Dr. Russell, we must acknowledge the truth that Glasgow has in this respect entirely outgrown its charitable organisation for the sick; and this has taken place so rapidly that the inhabitants have not been aware of the deficiencies. It will be for the medical profession of Glasgow and the west to point out these defects and to indicate the nature of the remedies by which they can be removed. And I need scarcely point out to you that, in such a work, a Branch of an Association which forms so large a proportion of the whole profession in the kingdom, may expect important assistance from that combination of opinion and action which in a good cause is sure to lead to happy results.

Great as has been the liberality of some of the wealthier inhabitants of Glasgow and the west, these individually, but more especially the community at large, have yet to learn that greater demands will be made on their charitable contributions. The giving of such charity must not, as heretofore, be confined to the willing few, but must extend itself to every one who is able in proportion to his means; and if a sufficient response be not made to such appeals as the exigency of the circumstances demands, it will very soon become apparent that the deficiency must be supplied by the levying of a rate over the whole of the inhabitants. It is worthy of remark that the local authorities have all the necessary powers for dealing with the treatment of diseases which are infectious among the poor, and levy rates for the purpose. The epidemic hospitals already described are so supported; and, if needful, local dispensaries and home attendance and nursing could at any time be provided from the same rates for the same class of diseases. It well deserves consideration whether similar powers should not be ex-

tended to other diseases affecting the lower classes of society, which, when existing for any length of time, and allowed to run their course without medical aid, deprive the sufferers and their families of the means of support, tend to reduce them to indigence, and thus increase the rank of paupers in the country.*

There is another subject to which, in any combined movement of the profession for the improvement of attendance on the sick, whether poor or rich, it is most desirable that the attention of medical men should be directed, I mean the training of skilled nurses. Whatever may be our views upon the much debated question of the suitability of women assuming the functions of physicians and surgeons, and there is much room for difference of opinion on the subject, I presume we shall find entire unanimity in the view of the peculiar fitness of women for the business of sick nursing, and of the desirableness of substituting an improved system of training them to a knowledge of the best way of performing this service, in place of the altogether fortuitous and irregular modes of instruction which have hitherto prevailed.

It may be matter of consideration how far theoretical or preliminary knowledge ought to precede or be combined with the practical education of the nurse; but none can entertain any doubt as to the advantages of the regular system of practical training which is now being introduced in this and some other places. It seems scarcely to require any argument to prove that a good previous education will in regard to the acquisition of skill in practical details give the same facility of learning and completeness of knowledge which it lends to other kinds of study and acquirement. And more especially to those who are to be the instructors or trainers of others in the business of nursing, it will be at once admitted that higher qualifications will be most useful—indeed, essential to the success of their labours. For, to be an intelligent and successful teacher, even of the most mechanical art, it is of importance that the instructor should not only be thoroughly familiar with the practical details, but should also know something of the theory or the scientific principles on which the best practical methods are founded. Moreover, their influence with the pupils will be greatly aided by the superiority of intelligence resulting from a good general education. Together with the practical training, therefore, which is to be obtained by the actual service of nursing in taking care of the sick, under superintendence, in our hospitals and in private life, much good may be done by the combination of more systematic instruction from medical teachers, as is well shown by several interesting works on the subject which have recently appeared, and by such lectures on the duties and business of nurses as the experimental course of twelve lectures recently delivered with entire success by our friends Dr. Finlayson and Mr. William James Fleming, in the Western Infirmary.

I am persuaded that there is no matter so nearly affecting the condition of the sick which deserves, and indeed urgently demands, the united attention of the profession as this one of the training of good nurses; and I would fain hope that it may be one of the principal subjects to which the exertions of our Branch Association will be directed. The Nursing Institution in St. George's Road, and that in Sauchiehall Street, in connection with which Mrs. Higginbotham has distinguished herself, as well as the efforts now making in both our public hospitals, are all calculated to do much for the advancement of this cause.

The whole range of sanitary science and practice lies before us, with all its difficult but deeply interesting questions urgently pressing for solution, and this in an age of rapid scientific invention, and still more rapid increase of the causes of disease. There lies also before us, inviting our consideration, the whole range of our noble and self-sacrificing profession in its relations to science, in its moral, social, and political aspects; and, as we have met here to-day as a Branch of the Association which, through its existence of nearly half a century, has kept steadily before it the attainment of objects which affect alike the interests of its individual members and the good of the community at large, I trust that the success of our combination, either as a distinct Branch, or in co-operation with the main body of the Association, will be as great as in any other district, and that it will contribute its due share to the promotion of the welfare and credit of the profession.

* From a paper by Dr. Russell accompanying the Mortality Tables in the City of Glasgow for the quarter ending March 31st, 1876, and which has been published since this was written, it appears that a considerable diminution in the number of uncertified deaths has already taken place during this quarter throughout the city—mostly in those under five years of age, and more especially in those under one year: and it is suggested as extremely probable that this improvement is attributable to the operation of the Friendly Societies' Act of last session of Parliament, which has come into force this year, and which enacts that no society shall pay any sum of money on the death of a member unless on the production of a certificate of registration, and provides with peculiar stringency for the cases of death under ten years of age.