Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2008 January 1; 18(1): 329–335.

Identification of *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides as Agents Capable of Down-Regulating NFκB Activity within Two Separate High-Throughput Screens of NFκB Activation

Yuli Xie^a, ShiXian Deng^a, Craig J. Thomas^b, Yidong Liu^a, Ya-Qin Zhang^b, Alison Rinderspacher^a, Wenwei Huang^b, Gangli Gong^a, Michael Wyler^c, Efithia Cayanis^c, Nathalie Aulner^c, Udo Többen^c, Caty Chung^d, Sergey Pompou^c, Noel Southall^b, Dušica Vidović^d, Stephan Schürer^d, Lars Branden^c, R. Eric Davis^e, Louis M. Staudt^e, James Ingles^b, Christopher P. Austin^b, Donald W. Landry^{a*}, Deborah H. Smith^c, and Douglas S. Auld^{b*}

^aDepartment of Medicine, Columbia University, 630 West 168th Street, New York, NY 10032, USA

^bNIH Chemical Genomics Center, National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, 9800 Medical Center Drive, MSC 3370 Bethesda, MD 20892-3370 USA

^cMLSCN Center at Columbia University, Columbia Genome Center, 1150 St Nicholas Ave., New York, NY 10032, USA

^dScientific Computing, The Scripps Research Institute, 5353 Parkside Drive, Jupiter FL 33458 USA

^eMetabolism Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, NIH, 9000 Rockville Pike, Building 10, Room 5A/02, Bethesda, MD 20892 USA

Abstract

We describe here a series of *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides capable of suppressing the NFκB pathway identified from two high-throughput screens run at two centers of the NIH Molecular Libraries Initiative. These small molecules were confirmed in both primary and secondary assays of NFκB activation and expanded upon through analogue synthesis. The series exhibited potencies in the cell-based assays as low as 0.6 μM, and several indications suggest that the targeted activity lies within a common region of the NFκB pathway.

Nuclear factor-κB (NFκB) is the designation for a collection of transcription factors including homo- and heterodimers of the Rel family proteins (p50, p52, c-Rel, RelA/p65 and RelB).¹,² First described³ in 1986 as an enhancer of immunoglobulin-κ, NFκB is now understood to bind to the promoter region of more than 400 genes and play an important role in numerous physiological events, most prominently as a primary regulator of the immune and inflammatory responses.⁴ Several pathways leading to activation of NFκB are currently understood.⁵ Within the canonical pathway, the NFκB heterodimer exists predominantly in the cytoplasm as an inactive complex with the inhibitory IκB proteins. Phosphorylation of the IκB proteins by an IκB kinase (IKK) targets the IκBα protein for polyubiquitination by an E3 ligase and degradation by the proteasome. This permits the NFκB complex to translocate to the nucleus

*Send proofs to: Douglas S. Auld, Ph.D., NIH Chemical Genomics Center, National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, 9800 Medical Center Drive, MSC 3370, Bethesda, MD 20892-3370 USA, Phone: 301-217-5722, email: dauld@mail.nih.gov. *Donald W. Landry, M.D., Ph.D., Director, Division of Clinical Pharmacology & Experimental Therapeutics, Department of Medicine, Columbia University, 630 West 168th St, New York, NY 10032, Phone: 212-305-5838, email: dwl1@columbia.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

and commence gene expression. The exploration of this pathway has given the biomedical community several potential targets for manipulation of NF κ B activity including IKK inhibition, proteasome regulation, and transcriptional inhibitors of NF κ B.^{6,7} Therefore, NF κ B has emerged as a highly studied therapeutic target and small molecule regulation of signaling cascades associated with NF κ B may provide novel approaches to alleviate numerous disease states.^{6,7}

Several high-throughput campaigns in search of novel inhibitors of the NF κ B signal transduction pathway have been undertaken by the Molecular Libraries Initiative (MLI) of the NIH Roadmap for Medical Research.⁸ In one effort, a cell-based assay designed to identify I κ B α stabilization was undertaken by the NIH Chemical Genomics Center in collaboration with the Metabolism Branch of the NCI Center for Cancer Research.⁹ A second effort was initiated by another center of the MLI located at Columbia University, wherein a cell-based assay was designed to identify inhibitors of TNF α -induced translocation of NF κ B. Importantly, both assays were performed utilizing the same compound collection provided to each center by the MLI. Despite the differing assay design, cell type and signal readout a *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamide core scaffold was noted as a primary lead in both screens.

The I κ B α stabilization screen was performed using a dual luciferase reporter system in the cell line OCI-Ly3, an excellent model for primary tumors of the activated B-cell subtype (ABC) of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (Figure 1a; PubChem AID: 445).^{9–11} Abnormally high constitutive NF κ B activity levels have been noted in ABC-DLBCL, as in several types of cancer, due to high levels of IKK β activity leading to elevated expression of NF κ B targeted genes.¹¹ In this setting, IKK and proteasome activity produce high degradation of I κ B α , liberating p50/65 and/or p50/c-Rel heterodimers to translocate to the nucleus. Because lines of ABC-DLBCL and other cancer types are dependent on constitutive NF κ B activity, the NF κ B pathway is a therapeutic target. This is particularly true for those processes governing I κ B α degradation, and the therapeutic potential of small molecules for this purpose has been shown by studies in ABC-DLBCL lines using a specific IKK β inhibitor. Furthermore, the use of an ABC-DLBCL line for a small-molecule screen of I κ B α stabilization provides a context that is especially close to that of the targeted disease, with the potential that inhibitors may be found that affect specific upstream points in IKK activation.

To identify modulators of I κ B α stability in ABC-DLBCL lines, such as small molecules inhibiting IKK or proteasome activity, we measured changes in the level of an exogenous I κ B α -luciferase fusion reporter expressed by an NF κ B-insensitive promoter.^{12,13} The dual luciferase I κ B α stabilization screen in OCI-Ly3 employed here was designed to be suitable for HTS, by using I κ B α fused to a green light-emitting beetle luciferase, with a red light-emitting beetle luciferase expressed in a native form to monitor cell uniformity and nonspecific effects.⁹ Fold-responsiveness was further increased by having both reporters under the control of inducible promoters regulated by doxycycline. Upon doxycycline induction of both luciferase reporters, compounds that increased green luminescence with minimal effects on the red luminescence signal were scored as I κ B α stabilizers.⁹

The translocation-based assay was a high-content screen performed in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) using TNF α to stimulate nuclear translocation of endogenous NF κ B (Figure 1b; PubChem AID: 438). NF κ B is sequestered in the cytoplasm due to its binding to I κ B α , which blocks exposure of a nuclear localization sequence. Activation by cytokines such as TNF α results in proteasome degradation of I κ B α and subsequent translocation of NF κ B from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. In the assay, nuclear translocation of the endogenous p65 RelA subunit of NF κ B, at 30 min post-stimulation, was monitored using fluorescent antibody detection and an automated imaging platform.^{14,15} NF κ B inhibitors in this assay,

such as I κ B α stabilizers, were detected as compounds that interfered with p65 translocation to the nucleus.

There were several common aspects of the two screens in this study. Importantly, both screens were run as cell-based assays utilizing an identical set of small molecules. Additionally, neither assay monitored NF κ B gene transcription, and therefore transcriptional inhibitors, a potentially large source of non-specific positives, were not likely to be detected by these assays. The assays were validated using known inhibitors of the NF κ B pathway (Figure 2). The proteasome inhibitor MG-132 (**1**)¹⁶ served as a positive control for the I κ B α stabilization assay. The translocation assay used BAY 11-7082 (**2**), an agent that inhibits the TNF α -induced phosphorylation of I κ B α .^{17–19} Additionally, a substituted 2-(thiophen-2-yl)quinazoline **3** which acts as an inhibitor of NF κ B and AP1 mediated transcriptional activation²⁰ was used as a positive control in a secondary assay that used TNF α to stimulate NF κ B dependent expression of a β -lactamase reporter (NF κ B-*bla* in Table 1 and Table 2). This compound also served as a negative control for the dual luciferase I κ B α stabilization assay.

In addition to the required deposit of screening results within the PubChem database, the collaborative nature of the MLI allowed members of each research team to recognize *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamide (**4**) and the related C7-locked *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamide **5** as the two common lead structures that were found within both screens (Figure 2). To further confirm that these agents interfered with the NF κ B activation in a genuine manner, a reporter assay obtained from Invitrogen where induction of β -lactamase occurred in an NF κ B-dependent manner was also performed on the active compounds. This data confirmed the ability of these compounds to inhibit the NF κ B pathway, and the compounds were then advanced for further study (Table 1 and Table 2).

A significant number of *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides (both open form and C7-locked form) are commercially available, and the collection was expanded quickly through vendor purchase. Further, the synthesis of analogues of **4** was undertaken through a one-step process involving the addition of unsubstituted or 2-substituted, 6-substituted or 2,6-disubstituted quinolin-8-amines and a variety of sulfonyl chlorides (Scheme 1).²¹ Amino-substituted analogues (**19–22**)¹⁸ were obtained by reduction of the corresponding nitro compounds with stannous chloride in ethanol (Scheme 2). Over 40 novel analogues were prepared to establish preliminary structure-activity relationships.

The synthesis of various analogues of **5** was more detailed and the method has been recently reported.²² While several synthetic strategies had been attempted, the construction of the sultam scaffold via a diazotization-induced cyclization of accessible *N*-8-quinolinyl-2-aminobenzenesulfonamides proved to be the most efficient way of synthesizing this unique type of C7-locked sulfonamide (Scheme 2).

Over 300 analogues of **4** and **5** were analyzed within both screens. Results for selected analogues of **4** are shown in Table 1, while the results for derivatives of **5** are shown in Table 2. In general, there was good agreement in the relative potencies of selected analogues tested in both screening assays, as well as the NF κ B β -lactamase reporter assay (although exceptions do exist). We take this as an indication that the same cellular target is being manipulated.

The results enabled a number of structure-activity relationships to be observed. In general, the presence of electron withdrawing groups upon the sulfonyl phenyl ring produced a favorable potency response for analogues of **4**. Interestingly, the presence of a 2-methyl substituent on the quinoline ring often reduced the potency of the response that is best illustrated by compounds **11** and **16**. Heterocyclic rings in place of the sulfonyl phenyl ring were well tolerated as indicated by potency values associated with thiophene analogues **23–27**.

A more rigid structure was associated with compound **5**, because a bridge between the quinoline carbon 7 and the ortho-position of the sulfonyl phenyl ring locks the core scaffold in a rigid conformation. Two compounds (**30** and **31**) with the best overall potency values were identified here, although the efficacy in the I κ B α stabilization assay was sometimes lower in this series than the unfused series. Substituents at the 9 position (para to the sulfonylamide moiety designated R''' in Table 2) are generally well tolerated as are 6-methoxy and 2-methyl substitutions (analogues **32** and **37–40**, respectively). Unfortunately, despite numerous modifications made to both core structures of **4** and **5**, we were unsuccessful in identifying compounds with potencies equivalent to or better than the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 in these assays.

Several points should be made regarding the behavior of these compounds. First, the concentration-response curves associated with these compounds were generally steep (Hill slope showed an average = 4 in the β -lactamase reporter and I κ B α stabilization assays, data not shown). Shoichet has recently provided an evaluation of such phenomena and provides rationales for why steep curves emerge, pointing to the formation of colloidal aggregation as the most deleterious reason.²³ However, these *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides were evaluated in a recent profile of the MLSCN compound collection for persistent, small molecule colloidal aggregators and were not noted as being 'aggregation-like.'²⁴ Further, the colloidal aggregation phenomenon is most significant in purified protein assays and is likely to be less prevalent in cell-based assays. Additionally, for cell-based assays measuring signal transduction pathways, Hill slopes often differ from unity and values of 1,000 are theoretically possible.²⁵ Also, while the potencies of these compounds were generally similar, the efficacies varied in the I κ B α stabilization assay. Interestingly, some analogues showed efficacies more than three fold higher than that noted for MG-132 while others showed efficacies of less than 50% of the MG-132 control levels (all potency values reported here for the I κ B α stabilization are relative to the observed efficacy of the particular compound). For example, compound **11** showed a low efficacy in both the I κ B α stabilization assay (18%) and the NF κ B β -lactamase assay (30% inhibition) with similar potency, although this compound showed an efficacy of 97% in the NF κ B translocation. Finally, the current series shows lower potencies when serum concentrations are increased to levels between 10% and 20% (data not shown). We attempted to alter the water solubility profile of these compounds to alleviate this issue with no affect.

Selected analogues were submitted to numerous additional assays in an attempt to isolate the target region in the NF κ B pathway. The compounds were inactive in biochemical assays of IKK β using recombinant kinase. Additionally, a full screen for proteasome inhibition (PubChem AID: 526) against the library that included the leads described here did not yield any actives other than MG-132. As well, selected compounds were screened versus a panel of kinases including IKK α , IRAK1, IRAK4, JNK1 α 1, JNK2 α 2, JNK3, MAPK1, MAPK2, PKB α , RIPK2, SAPK2 α , TAK1, and TBK1 without any inhibition. An E3 ligase target was ruled out by treating HUVEC with compound **5** and staining for phosphorylated I κ B α . Treatment of HUVEC with Ro106-9920, a known E3 ligase inhibitor²⁶, but not compound **5**, blocked I κ B α ubiquitination and subsequent proteasome degradation, resulting in detectable staining of I κ B α (data not shown). Thus, the target of this class of small molecule NF κ B pathway inhibitors has not been identified at present.

In summary, we have identified a series of *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides that inhibit NF κ B activation with good potency, and selected derivatives maintained high efficacy levels. Lead compounds **4** and **5** provided templates for optimization where novel analogues were obtained with improved potencies (< 1 μ M). This series provides a starting point for more detailed selectivity and mechanistic studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Jeremy Smith, Adam Yasgar and Paul Shinn for assistance in compound management at the NIH Chemical Genomics Center, and Darryl Leja of the National Human Genome Research Institute for graphical artwork. We thank Ms. Allison Peck for critical reading of this manuscript. This research was supported by the Molecular Libraries Initiative of the National Institutes of Health Roadmap for Medical Research and the Intramural Research Program of the National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health.

References and Notes

- Gilmore TD. *Oncogene* 2006;25:6680. [PubMed: 17072321]
- Perkins ND. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol* 2007;8:49. [PubMed: 17183360]
- Sen R, Baltimore D. *Cell* 1986;47:921. [PubMed: 3096580]
- Aggarwal BB. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 2007;11:109. [PubMed: 17227227]
- Hoffmann A, Natoli G, Ghosh G. *Oncogene* 2006;25:6706. [PubMed: 17072323]
- D'Acquisto FD, Ianaro A. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol* 2006;6:387. [PubMed: 16781194]
- Gilmore TD, Herscovitch M. *Oncogene* 2006;25:6887. [PubMed: 17072334]
- Austin CP, Brady LS, Insel TR, Collins FS. *Science* 2004;306:1138. [PubMed: 15542455]
- Davis RE, Zhang Y-Q, Southall N, Staudt LM, Austin CP, Inglese J, Auld DS. *Assay Drug Dev. Technol* 2007;5:85. [PubMed: 17355202]
- Davis RE, Brown KD, Siebenlist U, Staudt LM. *J. Exp. Med* 2001;184:1861. [PubMed: 11748286]
- Okamoto T, Sanda T, Asamitsu K. *Curr. Pharm. Des* 2007;13:447. [PubMed: 17348842]
- Lam LT, Davis RE, Pierce J, Hepperle M, Xu Y, Hottelet M, Nong Y, Wen D, Adams J, Dang L, Staudt LM. *Clin. Cancer Res* 2005;11:28. [PubMed: 15671525]
- Ngo VN, Davis RE, Lamy L, Yu X, Zhao H, Lenz G, Lam LT, Dave S, Yang L, Powell J, Staudt LM. *Nature* 2006;441:106. [PubMed: 16572121]
- Mayer T, Jagla B, Wyler M, Kelly P, Aulner N, Beard M, Barger G, Toebben U, Smith DH, Branden L, Rothman J. *Methods in Enz* 2006;414:266.
- The NF κ B translocation assay was performed essentially as described.¹⁴ HUVEC grown for fewer than 8 passages were seeded at 3,000 cells/well in 384-well view plates. For the concentration-response curves, one of two alternate protocols was used: compounds were added to a final concentration of 10 μ M in media, 0.33% DMSO and serially diluted by 1:3, or compounds were added to a final concentration of 8 μ M and serially diluted by 1:2. In either case, this produced eight data points. After a 3.5 h incubation with compound, cells were stimulated using 10 μ M TNF α for 30 min. Fixed and permeabilized cells were stained with Hoechst to visualize the nuclei, and with mouse anti-p65 (Invitrogen) followed by a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen). Images were scanned using the In Cell Analyzer 3000 (GE Healthcare) and analyzed using the Nuclear Trafficking module
- Lee DH, Goldberg AL. *J. Biol. Chem* 1996;271:27280. [PubMed: 8910302]
- Kamthong PJ, Wu M-C. *Biochem. J* 2001;356:525. [PubMed: 11368781]
- Izban KF, Ergin M, Qin J-Z, Martinez RL, Pooley RJ, Saeed S, Alkan S. *Hum. Pathol* 2000;31:1482. [PubMed: 11150373]
- Pierce JW, Schoenleber R, Jesmok G, Best J, Moore SA, Collins T, Gerritsen ME. *J. Biol. Chem* 1997;272:21096. [PubMed: 9261113]
- Palanki MSS, Erdman PE, Ren M, Suto M, Bennett BL, Manning A, Ransone L, Spooner C, Desai S, Ow A, Totsuka R, Tsao P, Toriumi W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* 2003;13:4077. [PubMed: 14592511]
- General procedure for analogs of **4**: (Procedure for compound **27**): To a solution of 2-methylquinolin-8-amine (0.16 g, 1.0 mmol) in pyridine (5 mL) was added 5-bromothiophene-2-sulfonyl chloride (0.26 g, 1.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and was precipitated with water. The crude product was filtered and recrystallized from ethanol to afford **27** (0.33 g, 87%) as white crystals. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.35 (br, 1H), 8.00 (d, 1H), 7.82 (d, 1H), 7.55-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.21 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, 1H), 2.69 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.3, 140.7, 138.2, 136.5, 132.9, 132.6, 130.3, 126.6, 123.3, 122.9, 120.3, 115.7, 25.5; ESI-MS (M⁺ +H): 384.0. General procedure for analogs of **4** (amino-substituted analogs): (Procedure for

compound **21**): To a suspension of *N*-(6-methoxyquinolin-8-yl)-4-methyl-2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (0.37 g, 1.0 mmol) in ethanol (5 mL) stannous chloride (0.38 g, 2.0 mmol) was added slowly. The mixture was refluxed for 2h. After removal of ethanol, the residue was treated with 1M sodium hydroxide. The aqueous solution was extracted with methylene chloride. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to give a brown solid which was recrystallized from ethanol to yield **22** (0.29 g, 85 %) as a tan solid. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.40 (br, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, 1H), 7.67 (d, 1H), 7.37-7.26 (m, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.48 (d, 1H), 6.38 (s, 1H), 4.95 (br, 2H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.1, 146.1, 145.7, 145.6, 135.1, 135.0, 134.9, 130.2, 129.3, 122.5, 118.8, 118.1, 118.0, 106.8, 99.6, 55.9, 21.8; ESI-MS (M⁺ +H): 344.1. For general procedure for analogs of **5** see reference 22.

22. Xie Y, Gong G, Liu Y, Deng S, Rinderspacher KA, Branden L, Landry DW. Tet. Lett. submitted
23. Shoichet BK. J. Med. Chem 2006;49:7274. [PubMed: 17149857]
24. Feng BY, Simeonov A, Jadhav A, Babaoglu K, Inglese J, Shoichet BK, Austin CP. J. Med. Chem 2007;50:2385. [PubMed: 17447748]
25. Inglese J, Johnson RL, Simeonov A, Xia M, Zheng W, Austin CP, Auld DS. Nature Chem. Biol 2007;3(8):466–479. [PubMed: 17637779]
26. Swinney DC, Xu Y-Z, Scarafia L, Lee I, Mak A, Gan Q-F, Ramesha C, Mulkins M, Dunn J, So O-Y, Biegel T, Dinh M, Volkel P, Barnett J, Dalrymple S, Lee S, Huber M. J. Biol. Chem 2002;277:23573. [PubMed: 11950839]

Figure 1.

a.) The two-color dual luciferase based assay. IκBα is fused to a luciferase emitting green light (CBG68), while a red light emitting luciferase (CBR) is present in its native state. Both luciferases are regulated by binding of the *tet* repressor to the *tet* operator (TO) binding site (TO), allowing simultaneous induction of the luciferases by the CMV promoter upon addition of doxycycline and test compounds. The CBR protein serves to normalize for nonspecific effects, while the levels of the IκBα-CBG68 protein increase uniquely with inhibition of the NFκB pathway leading to IκBα degradation. b.) The nuclear translocation assay. TNFα stimulation results in nuclear translocation of NFκB, which is detected by immunofluorescence using an anti-p65 antibody and a secondary antibody labeled with an Alexa 647 fluorophore (A647).

Figure 2.

Structures of MG-132 (**1**), BAY 11-7082 (**2**), a quinazoline based inhibitor of AP1 and NFκB mediated transcription (**3**), *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamide; PubChem CID: 161167 (**4**) and C7-locked *N*-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamide **5**; PubChem CID: 659101.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Table 1

SAR surrounding the N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides

Control compound #	<i>I</i> k <i>Ba</i> stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy *	<i>I</i> k <i>Ba</i> stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) ratio **	translocation of NF-κ <i>B</i> IC ₅₀ (μM) ***	NF-κ <i>B</i> bla IC ₅₀ (μM)	Cytotoxicity IC ₅₀ (μM)
1	1.0, 100%	5.2, 100%	ND	0.6	>10
2	NA	NA	3.0	ND	ND
3	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive	Inactive
Analogue #	R	R'	R''		
4	phenyl	H	H	>10	Inactive
6	4-nitrophenyl	H	H	1.5	Inactive
7	2-nitrophenyl	H	H	3.2	Inactive
8	2-nitrophenyl	H	Me	3.8	Inactive
9	3-nitrophenyl	H	Me	1.0	>57
10	4-methyl-2-nitrophenyl	H	H	0.9	>57
11	2-methyl-5-nitrophenyl	H	H	2.1	>57
12	2-nitro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl	H	H	1.6	>57
13	2-nitro-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl	H	Me	3.7	>57
14	4-methyl-2-nitrophenyl	OMe	H	1.1	>57
15	4-methoxy-2-nitrophenyl	H	Me	2.1	>57
16	2-methyl-5-nitrophenyl	H	Me	ND	ND
17	4-methyl-2-nitrophenyl	OMe	Me	>57	Inactive
18	4-methylphenyl	OMe	Me	Inactive	>57
19	2-aminophenyl	OMe	Me	>57	>57
20	2-aminophenyl	H	Me	>10	>57
21	2-amino-4-methylphenyl	OMe	H	13.5	Inactive
22	2-amino-4-methylphenyl	OMe	Me	5.5	>57
23	thiophen-2-yl	OMe	Me	2.0	Inactive
24	5-chlorothiophen-2-yl	H	H	1.0	>57
25	5-bromothiophen-2-yl	H	H	3.6	Inactive
26	5-chlorothiophen-2-yl	H	Me	1.3	>10 uM

Control compound #				<i>I kBa</i> stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy *	<i>I kBa</i> stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) ratio **	<i>translocation</i> of <i>NF-κB</i> *** IC ₅₀ (μM)	<i>NF-κB</i> <i>bla</i> IC ₅₀ (μM)	Cytotoxicity IC ₅₀ (μM)
27	5-bromothiophen-2-yl	H	Me	6.1, 22%	6.4	1.4	5.0	>57

* EC₅₀ values from the *I kB* stabilization assay shown for the green luminescence reporter along with the %efficacy.

** EC₅₀ values from the ratio of the green and red luminescent values for the *I kBa* stabilization. Data are averages from two to three experiments where each experiment consisted of concentration-titration for each compound performed and duplicate and fitting concentration-response curves to the response after the bioassay. NA = not applicable, the compound only showed a strong inhibitory response in the original qHTS (IC₅₀ = 2.5 μM, 95% inhibition) in the non-specific (red luminescence) dataset.

*** IC₅₀ values are derived from curve-fitting to data from a single experiment performed in triplicate. ND = not determined. All compounds showed >90% efficacy in the translocation assay except compounds 15 (78%) and 27 (75%). The cytotoxicity assay was performed in OCI-Ly3 cells using a 4 hr endpoint.

Table 2

SAR surrounding the C7-locked N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzenesulfonamides

Analogue #	R	R'	R''	R'''	IkB stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) and efficacy *	IkB stabilization EC ₅₀ (μM) ratio **	translocation of NF-κB IC ₅₀ (μM) ***	NF-κB bla IC ₅₀ (μM)	Cytotoxicity IC ₅₀ (μM)
5	H	H	H	H	2.2, 120%	2.6	1.0	3.4	Inactive
H	H	H	H	ND	ND	Inactive	ND	ND
28	H	H	H	OH	1.3, 20%	1.4	1.0	ND	Inactive
29	H	H	H	OMe	0.5, 60%	0.8	0.6	1.3	Inactive
30	H	H	H	Me	0.7, 32%	1.0	1.0	0.8	>57
31	H	H	H	CF ₃	1.3, 34%	1.6	1.2	1.2	Inactive
32	H	H	OMe	Me	4.1, 122%	12	1.7	2.0	Inactive
33	H	H	H	F	1.1, 66%	11	1.1	1.8	Inactive
34	H	H	H	Cl	ND	ND	2.5	1.9	Inactive
35	H	OMe	H	H	7.0, 32%	10	ND	ND	ND
36	H	Me	H	H	1.4, 300%	2.3	ND	8.1	Inactive
37	Me	H	OMe	Me	8.0, 120%	11	0.9	1.1	>57
38	Me	H	H	OH	3.7, 200%	3.4	10	6.7	>57
39	Me	H	H	OMe	8.9, 700%	7.2	2.5	3.2	>57
40	Me	H	H	CF ₃			1.2	3.6	Inactive

* EC₅₀ values from the IkB stabilization assay shown for the green luminescence reporter along with the %efficacy.

** EC₅₀ values from the ratio of the green and red luminescent values for the IkB stabilization. Data are averages from two to three experiments where each experiment consisted of concentration-titration for each compound performed and duplicate and fitting concentration-response curves to the response after the bioassay.

*** IC₅₀ values are derived from curve-fitting to data from a single experiment performed in triplicate. ND = not determined. All compounds showed >90% efficacy in the translocation assay. The cytotoxicity assay was performed in OCI-Ly3 cells using a 4 hr endpoint.