A LECTURE ON A COMBAT WITH AN INFECTIVE ATMOSPHERE.

Delivered at the Royal Institution, on Friday, January 19th, 1877.

By JOHN TYNDALL, F.R.S.,
Professor of Natural Philosophy.

A YEAR ago I had the honour of bringing before the members of the Royal Institution some account of an investigation in which an attempt was made to show that the power of atmospheric air to develop life in organic infusions—infusions, for instance, extracted from meat or vegetables—and its power to scatter light went hand in hand. I then endeavoured to show you that atmospheric air, when left to itself, exercised a power of self-purification; that the dust and floating matter that we ordinarily see in it disappeared when the air was left perfectly tranquil; and that, when the air had thus purified itself, the power of scattering light and the power of generating life disappeared together. For the sake of reminding you of this matter, we will now cause a beam of the lamp to pass through the air. You see the track of the beam vividly in the air. You know that the visibility of the track is not due to the air itself. If the floating matter were removed from the air, you would not be able to track the beam through the room at all. You see the track in consequence of the floating dust suspended in the air. If the air be enclosed in a place free from agitation the dust subsides, and then, as I endeavoured to show you a year ago, the air possesses no power of generating life in organic infusions. The nature of the argument is this. You see the dust as plainly as if it were placed upon your hand and you could feel it with your fingers. You found that the dust, when it sowed itself in organic infusions, produced a definite crop in those infusions; and you are equally justified in inferring that the crop thus produced is due to the germs in the dust, as a gardener would be in believing that a certain crop is produced from the seeds which he sows. I say that the inference that his crop is the product of the seeds that he sows is not more certain, than the inference that those crops produced in the organic infusions are due to the seeds contained in them.

You know the method that we resorted to for the purpose of enabling us to get rid of this dust. The object was to allow the air to purify itself, and it was done in this way. I have here the first chamber that was used in these experiments. You see at the bottom a series of test-tubes entering the chamber; they are air-tight, and they open into it. There are windows at the sides, and here is a pipette through which the liquids can be introduced. Behind we have a door which opens upon its hinges. Now, imagine this perfectly closed; imagine it abandoned entirely to itself, left perfectly quiet. In a few days, the floating dust of the air contained in the chamber entirely disappears; it has removed itself by its own subsidence; and, then when you send a beam of light such as we have here through these windows, you see no track of the beam within the chamber. When the air is in this condition, you pour through this pipette infusions of beef, mutton, or vegetables into these tubes, and allow them to be acted upon by the air. Last year, between fifty and sixty of these chambers were constructed, and the invariable result was that these infusions never putrefied, never showed any change, were perfectly sweet months after they were placed there, as long as the air had this floating matter removed. You had nothing to do but to open the back door and allow the dust-laden air to enter the chamber to cause these infusions to fall into a state of putrefaction, and swarm with microscopic life, in three days after opening the door. I have a smaller chamber here—for we use chambers of different sizes—and it will enable you to understand our exact process. (See Fig. 1.) You see here the stand on which the chamber rests. There are two bent tubes that communicate with the outer atmosphere, for I wish to have a free communication between the air outside and the air within. You see the pipette through which the tube is filled. When the infusion is poured in, you place it in an oil-bath contained in a copper vessel, such as we have here, in which you boil it for five minutes. Now, that boiling for five minutes was found capa-

ble of sterilising every germ contained in the infusions placed in these chambers. This year our experiments began by a continuation of those that we made last year. In order to enable you to judge of the severity of the results obtained last year, I have here five cases belonging to the experiments then made. You will see that the infusions are vastly concentrated because of their slow evaporation. The quantity of liquid is reduced to one-fifth of its primitive volume, but this

Fig. 1.

one-fifth is as clear as rock-crystal; whereas, the tubes exposed to the ordinary air outside fell long ago into utter putrefaction. They became turbid and covered with scum, and when you examine these infusions to ascertain the cause of that turbidity, you find it to be produced by swarms of small active organisms.

This year our inquiries began in the month of September. But we will pass over these inquiries for the moment and go to those of October. On October 29th, two members of the Royal Institution collected a quantity of fungi in Heathfield Park, Sussex. These were brought to London on the 30th. They were placed for three hours in warm water, and, whatever juices they possessed, were thus extracted from them. They were placed in chambers and digested separately. There were three kinds of fungi; we will call them red, yellow, and black. Now, I confess that, thinking I had secured a perfect freedom from any invasion of those contaminating organisms that produce putrefaction, I expected that we should find that these infusions of fungus would maintain themselves perfectly clear. To my surprise, in three days the whole of them broke down; they became turbid, and covered by a peculiar fatty, deeply indented, corrugated scum. Well, that was a result not expected, but I pursued the matter further. I got another supply of fungi. Even in this first experiment, I had adopted care at least as great as that which I adopted last year, and which led to a perfect immunity from the invasion of putrefaction. With the fresh supply of fungi, I operated with still more scrupulous care. The infusions were placed as before in three chambers. In one of these, the infusion remained perfectly pellucid; there was no trace of any organism to be seen. In each of the other chambers one of the three tubes gave way. Each chamber contained three tubes; so, that out of nine tubes containing an infusion of fungus, seven proved to be intact, entirely uninvaded. Therefore, whatever argument or presumption was raised by the first chamber in regard to the idea that life was spontaneously generated in it, was entirely destroyed by the deportment of the other chambers. Seven out of the nine remaining intact, was sufficient to show that it was some defect in the experiment that caused the first chambers to give way so utterly. I continued the experiments, and, inasmuch as fungi disappeared on the approach of winter, other substances were chosen. I took cucumber and beetroot, having special theoretical reasons for doing so, and prepared infusions of them with the

aid of my excellent assistant Mr. Cotterell. We placed these in our chambers as before, boiled them for five minutes, and abandoned them to what I supposed to be the moteless air within. Again, to my surprise, an infusion of beetroot in one chamber, and an infusion of cucumber in another, broke down. All the tubes became turbid and covered with this peculiar fatty scum. Other chambers were then tried. I had begun to suspect that we were operating in a contaminated atmosphere; that my infusions were in the midst of a pestilence which it was hardly possible to avoid. The consequence was, that I withdrew the preparation of the infusions from the laboratory downstairs, and I went to one of the highest rooms in the Royal Institution, had the infusions prepared there, and introduced into the cases, which were afterwards boiled in the laboratory below. There were a great number of these cases. The substances chosen were cucumber, beetroot, turnip, and parsnip. Great care was taken to have the infusions properly prepared, and to have them rendered as clear as possible. To give you an idea of the care taken, I may mention that the infusions of turnip and beetroot were passed through twenty-four layers of filtering paper, and were thereby rendered clear; that the infusion of cucumber was passed through one hundred and twenty layers of filtering paper, and thereby rendered clear; and that the infusion of parsnip was passed through three hundred layers of filtering paper, and it was still opalescent. The suspended particles were so small that the filtered paper had no power whatever to arrest them, and the finest microscope ever made would have proved powerless to exhibit the individual particles that produced this opalescence. Notwithstanding all this care, the chambers containing these infusions in three days became filled with bacterial life. They were turbid, covered with scum, and showed all evidences of putrefaction. This was on November 20th. On November 25th, we went upstairs and prepared another chamber, or a series of chambers. When the tubes containing the infusions were placed in the oil-bath, the liquids within the tubes opening into the case of course boiled, steam was discharged into the case, the air of the case being thereby rendered warm. It was found that on the cessation of the ebullition, although the pipette was immediately plugged with cotton-wool, and the bent tubes also plugged with cotton-wool, still, in consequence of the contraction of the air within, there was a considerable indraught. Last year, we found invariably that the interposition of the cotton-wool entirely sifted this entering air so as to arrest any germs or seeds that it might contain. I thought, however, in this case, that the germs might be carried in by the suction when the air of the chamber contracted. In the former case, we operated after having filled the chamber with the infusion and boiled it in the laboratory; in this case, we took the additional precaution of boiling the infusion upstairs, and taking care that it was properly plugged with cotton-wool. But here, again, notwithstanding this augmented care, the infusion utterly gave way, and showed those evidences of life that had distracted me previously. When I say distracted, it is not meant that I was in the least degree daunted or perplexed about it. I knew perfectly well that the matter would be probed by and by. On November 27th, a new chamber was constructed containing cucumber and turnip. Particular care was taken with the stopping of the pipette, and also the bent tubes opening into the atmosphere. In one instance about this time, it was noticed that the infusions in the tubes within the chamber opening into the moteless air, or at least what I supposed to be the moteless air, fell more rapidly into a state of putrefaction, became more rapidly covered with scum, than the tubes exposed in the air outside. When the tubes containing precisely the same infusion were exposed to the air outside they were perfectly clear, while those within were turbid and covered with scum. This brought to my mind an experiment made the previous year with trays placed one above the other. It was found that, when two trays were placed one above the other, although the upper tray had the whole air of the room for its germs to deposit themselves, the under tray was always in advance of the upper in the development of life. The reason was simply this. The air in the under tray was less agitated, and this floating matter had time slowly to sink in the infusions. There was no other solution possible than that, by some means or other, the germs had insinuated themselves into my chamber, and that these germs, sinking slowly through the unagitated air of the chamber, were able to produce the effect within in advance of the effect produced upon the openly exposed tubes without. On November 27th, I had a similar case, and also on November 30th, and on December 1st. The chambers were prepared and filled with all care, and yet the infusions broke down, became turbid, and were covered with scum. I then had a number of tubes filled with infusions, and sealed them hermetically. They were exposed in an oil-bath, and heated for a quarter of an hour to a temperature of 230 deg. Fahr., for I wanted to

see whether these effects were due to any germs of life in the infusions themselves. This superheated cucumber-infusion was introduced into the chamber, and it was found that the superheating of the infusion did not even retard the development of life. In two days, every tube of the chamber was swarming with bacteria. I then passed on to another system of experiment pursued last year, that is, the exposure of the infusions to air calcined by passing a voltaic current through platinum-wire, so as to raise the wire to a state of incandescence. Such arrangements are here. We have underneath this shade two wires, and stretching from wire to wire we have a spiral of platinum. Passing a voltaic current through the spiral, it was found last year that five minutes of incandescence were sufficient entirely to sterilise and destroy all germs contained in this air, and to protect the infusions underneath from all contamination; the time of incandescence was doubled this year. The wire was raised as close to the point of fusion as possible; still, notwithstanding all this additional care, the infusions one and all gave way. I thought that there might be some defect in the construction of the apparatus. Here, you see, is an old broken apparatus containing infusions that have remained perfectly good since last year; but great pains were taken in having the apparatus of the most improved form. Still, notwithstanding all my efforts, the infusions broke down and became swarming with life. My attention was now very keenly arrested, and, on December 1st, I scrutinised more closely than ever I had done previously the entry of the infusions through the pipette-tube into the tubes opening into the chamber, and I noticed, at all events, a danger of minute air-bubbles being carried down along with the descending infusion. That caused me to adopt another mode of experiment; but previously to this, I fell back upon some of the infusions found so easy to sterilise the previous year. I operated upon beef, mutton, pork, and herring infusions, and found that even such infusions, which with the most ordinary care were completely sterilised last year, and are preserved to the present hour intact like the others, all gave way.

How, then, are we to look at these things? Here are results totally different from those that we obtained last year. You may ask me, perhaps, "Why do you not loyally bow to the logic of facts and accept the conclusion to which those experiments apparently so clearly point? Why do you not regard them as a demonstration of the doctrine of spontaneous generation? Is there any other way of accounting for it than by a reference to this doctrine?" You may ask whether I was held back by prejudice from accepting this conclusion, whether I was held back by a love of consistency, or by the fear of being turned into ridicule and sneered at by those whom I ventured to oppose on a former occasion. Ladies and gentlemen, there is a title which I believe, as the generations pass, will, if the owners of the title are true to themselves, become more and more a title of honour—that is, the title of a man of science—and of that title I should be utterly unworthy were I not prepared to trample all influences and motives such as those mentioned under foot, and were I not ready, did I conceive myself to be in error in what was brought before you last year, to avow here frankly and fully in your presence that error. I should be unworthy of the title of a scientific man if my spirit had not been brought into this state of discipline as to be able to make such an avowal. Why, then, do I not accept those results as proving the doctrine of spontaneous generation? The celebrated argument of Hume comes into play here. When I looked into all my antecedent experience and into the experience of other men for whom I have the greatest esteem as investigators, it was more easy for me to believe the error of my manipulation, to believe that I had adopted defective modes of experiment, than to believe that all this antecedent experience was untrue. It was my own work that was thus brought to the bar of judgment, and my conclusion was, that I was far more likely to be in error than that the great amount of evidence already brought to bear upon the subject should be invalid and futile. Hence, instead of jumping to the conclusion that these were cases of spontaneous generation, I simply redoubled my efforts to exclude every possible cause of external contamination. This was done by means of doing away with the pipette altogether and using what we call a separation-funnel. Here you have a chamber with a pipette entering. This pipette-tube has not a bulb or mouth such as you have here; it is simply closed by a tube of India-rubber, and that again is closed by a pinch-cock. Now, here we have an infusion of hay. At present, this stop-cock stops it. I turn it on; it goes down; I turn it off, and this liquid column is now held by atmospheric pressure. This was introduced into the India-rubber tube, the India-rubber tube being first filled with the infusion, so that no bubble of air could get in. When the separation-funnel was placed thus and the cock was turned on, the liquid was introduced into the chamber without an associated air-bubble. Mr. Cotterell will show you the result of this severe experiment. Here is an infusion of cucumber, the most refractory of all infusions that I

have dealt with. It was prepared on December 8th, 1876, so that it is between six and seven weeks old. Two days were sufficient to break down this infusion when contamination attacked it; but, by this more severe experiment, it is enabled to maintain itself as clear as crystal, although it has been there for six or seven weeks. You will see by the light behind that it is, as I have described it, perfectly clear. You will observe that the infusion is diminished by evaporation, but it is as clear as distilled water, and there it remains as the result of this severe experiment.

Let us now ask how it is that these curious results that I have brought before you were possible; how it is that the results of this year differ so much from those obtained previously. The investigation of this point is worthy of your gravest attention. I am now called back to the experiments with which the inquiry this year began. As already stated, it was begun in September, and, leaving out the earlier experiments, I passed on to October 30th. I have now to bring your attention back to the earlier experiments performed in the laboratory. They were suggested by the ingenious investigations of Dr. William Roberts of Manchester, and by the subsequent investigations of a man to whom we are indebted more than to any other for the knowledge we possess of the different species of those small organisms that we call bacteria: I refer to Professor Cohn of Breslau. Let me say that I entertain the very highest opinion of the intelligence and ability with which Dr. Roberts has carried out these experiments; they are in the highest degree creditable to him. This is the experiment to which I refer. Some chopped hay is put into a little can; it is raised to a temperature of 100 deg. to 120 deg.; it is kept for three hours, then poured off and filtered. Last year, we found that hay thus treated was sterilised by five minutes' boiling. I mean that, when it is exposed to the air that has this floating matter removed from it, it never shows any sign of microscopic life. Now, if you examine this natural hay-infusion with litmus paper, you will find that it turns the litmus paper red, showing that it is an acid infusion. Dr. Roberts found that acid infusions could be easily sterilised, and his mode of proceeding will be evident from the figure that I have here drawn. He took a vessel with an open neck at the top (A, Fig. 2) and filled it two-thirds full with the infusion he wanted to operate upon; he then

Fig. 2.

stuffed the neck with cotton-wool and sealed it hermetically with a spirit-lamp above the plug of cotton-wool (B, Fig. 2); he then placed it in a vessel containing cold water, and he gradually raised the water to a state of ebullition and maintained the boiling temperature for any required time. In that way, he avoided all commotion, all evaporation, all ebullition in the infusion. After he had placed the tube in this condition in the water, and subjected it to a boiling temperature for any required time, he took it out and simply filed across the neck and broke it off, as I do with this one

(C, Fig. 2). Here you have the infusion practically exposed to the atmosphere. The plug intervenes to prevent the entrance of dust and still allows an interchange between the air of the bulb and the air outside. When Dr. Roberts took this acid infusion and neutralised it by the addition of caustic potash, he found it to possess the most extraordinary power of resistance to heat; he found that, in some cases, it required more than two hours to reduce this infusion to sterility; he also found that, in a particular case, it actually required no less than three hours' boiling to produce this effect. This was very different indeed from the results that I had obtained last year. I made many experiments with hay-infusion, and in every case we sterilised it by five minutes' boiling. I was led to take up the subject this year through the emphatic manner in which Professor Cohn corroborated the results of Dr. Roberts. I operated sometimes with tubes like those of Dr. Roberts and sometimes with those which I call Cohn's tubes. These are formed by heating a certain portion of a test-tube and drawing it out so as to leave an open funnel above, a bulb below, and a narrow tube between both. These are Cohn's tubes. His method was this. He placed the tubes, as they are placed here, in boiling water, and, when they had been subjected to a boiling temperature for a sufficient time, he simply lifted them out. He found a certain amount of water condensed upon the neck of the bulb; he waited one or two minutes until that evaporated, and then quietly plugged his tube with cotton-wool, and he thought that this was perfect immunity against the entrance of contamination; and Professor Cohn is very emphatic in saying that there is no thought of contamination from without in pursuing this method of experiment. I operated upon a great variety of hay-infusions, and after a time, by pursuing with the most scrupulous exactness the method laid down by Dr. Roberts and Professor Cohn, it was possible for me, by practice, now to corroborate and now to contradict them. It is perfectly useless to bring forward before public assemblies merely opposing assertions, so that I did not really content myself with falling back upon the results I obtained last year, but tried to get some knowledge as to whence the differences arose which showed themselves between me and these distinguished men. Here are tubes of alkalisal hay, some of them subjected to a boiling temperature, not for three hours, but for ten minutes, and they are perfectly brilliant; there is not the slightest evidence of life in them; they have been entirely sterilised by an exposure to a boiling temperature for ten minutes. If I illuminate them, you will find that these infusions are perfectly brilliant; there is no turbidity that gives any sign of the production of animalcular life. These tubes have remained there for three months perfectly intact, uninvaded by those organisms which were invariably found both by Dr. Roberts and by Professor Cohn. Again, we turn to another series of tubes, and find that every one of them has given way. Thus I went on ringing the changes, until, as I have said, it was in my power, by pursuing with undeviating fidelity the mode of experiment laid down by Dr. Roberts and Professor Cohn, to get at one time a contradiction and at another time a corroboration of their results.

And what was the meaning of these irreconcilable contradictions? The meaning was this: when we came to analyse these various infusions, we found that those that were sterilised by a boiling of from five to ten minutes were invariably infusions of hay mown in the year 1876, whereas the others were infusions of hay mown in 1875 or some previous year. The most refractory hay-infusion that I have ever found was in the case of some Colchester hay five years old. Now, what do these experiments point to? The answer may be in part gathered from an observation described in the volume of the *Comptes Rendus* for 1863 by one of the greatest supporters of the so-called doctrine of spontaneous generation. A description is there given of an experiment that was made by the woolstaplers of Elbeuf. They were accustomed to receive fleeces from Brazil, which were very dirty, and had, amongst other things, certain seeds entangled in them. These fleeces were boiled at Elbeuf sometimes for four hours; and the seeds were afterwards sown by some of these expert fellows that had to deal with the fleeces, and were found capable of germination. The thing was taken up by Pouchet. He gathered these seeds, exposed them to the temperature of boiling water for four hours, and then examined them closely; and he found (and I recently made an experiment which showed the same thing to be true with regard to dried and undried peas) that the great majority of the seeds were swollen and disorganised, while the others were scarcely changed; they were so indurated and perhaps altered in the surface as to prevent the liquid from wetting them. At all events, a number of them appeared to be quite unchanged. He separated these two classes of seeds and sowed them side by side in the same kind of earth. The swollen seeds were all destroyed; there was no germination; but in the case of the others there was copious germination. Here, then, you have these seeds

proved to be capable, by virtue of their dryness and induration, of resisting the temperature of boiling water for four hours. There is not the slightest doubt that, if time permitted, I could heap up evidence of this fact, that the wonderful sterility of this old hay is due to the induration and desiccation of the germs associated with it. Here you have three tubes containing cucumber infusion of crystalline clearness; they have been simply subjected to a boiling temperature for ten minutes; they have been completely sterilised, and they are as clear as when the infusions were first introduced into the tubes. On the other hand, here are tubes that have been subjected to a boiling temperature for five hours and a half showing a swarming development of life. What is the reason of this difference? The reason depends entirely upon the method of experiment. When Dr. Roberts filled his bulbs, he simply poured in his infusion, plugged his tube, sealed it, and subjected it to a boiling temperature. Not only did the liquid contain germs, there was a quantity of air above the liquid, and the germs were diffused in the air. Germs thus diffused in the air are very differently circumstanced from germs diffused in a liquid; they can withstand for hours a boiling temperature; whereas that selfsame temperature, brought to bear upon germs immersed in liquid, destroys them in a few minutes. And why do these tubes differ? The reason is to be sought entirely in the method of filling the tubes containing the clear infusions. Here is a diagram (Fig. 3) representing one of Dr. Roberts's

Fig. 3.

bulbs. You see that the top is united to a T-piece with a collar of India-rubber. This comes down and ends in the neck of the bulb. Here is an air-pump, and here is the end of the T-piece surrounded by a tube of India-rubber, and here is a pinchcock to close that tube of India-rubber. If you open the pinchcock and work the air-pump with which this end is connected, it is completely exhausted. You may allow it to be filled with air; you may then open the pinchcock; the air will enter through the cotton-wool, and will fill the bulb. In this way, you get the bulb filled, not with common air, but with filtered air. This process is carried on three or four times, so as to make sure that the common air has been displaced by the filtered air. We will suppose that I detach the tube from the air-pump, and other precautions taken. At present, you see the bulb is empty. Taking an infusion of hay, I put the end of the T-piece into the infusion to be introduced into the bulb. The bulb is dipped into hot water; the air expands, and it is driven out. Simply introducing our bulb into cold water, the air shrinks, and by atmospheric pressure the liquid is driven into the bulb. Again we drive the air out, and, by a few operations of this kind, we find that we can charge our bulb with a very great degree of accuracy. You can see the liquid in the bulb at the present time. In this way we charge a bulb which has had its common air and floating matter removed with our infusion. When it is charged, it is very carefully removed, and great precautions are taken so as to prevent any indraught of air. For instance, it is always

removed from the cold water, so that, when it is lifted up into the air of the laboratory, a slight expansion shall take place, so that the motion of the air shall be from within outwards, instead of from without inwards. In that way we can, by careful manipulation, obtain bulbs devoid of this floating matter. These are the bulbs you now see before you showing this beautifully pellucid infusion.

Were this a biological investigation, and not a physical one, I should feel myself out of my element in dealing with it. I leave the determination of the species of bacteria to others far more competent than I am. I can see these organisms and wonder at them when I see them through the microscope; but I have no ability or knowledge to classify them and divide them into species, genera, etc. But these are purely physical experiments, and it is only by such severe experiments that this question can be freed from the haze and confusion in which it has been hitherto involved. Even the celebrated Professor Cohn—I say it with the greatest regard and respect for him—appears to have no adequate notion of the care necessary to be taken in experiments of this kind. To lift a tube out of the boiling liquid and allow it to remain quietly in the air, the entry of the air taking place from without inwards, and then, after one or two minutes' exposure, to plug it with cotton-wool and say that no contamination can reach it, is in my opinion a great mistake. He could not, but by the merest accident, get an infusion free from contamination by operating in this way. I have here tubes prepared according to his method. Here are some melon-tubes all putrid, all gone into a state of fermentation. I ask you to compare those with some other melon-tubes that I have operated upon in a different way and that are as clear as crystal. The others are all gone, simply through a defect in the mode of manipulation.

The defeats that I at first described to you were due entirely to the contaminated atmosphere in which we worked. It ought to be noted that, in the earlier experiments in this inquiry, the results were always in accordance with those brought before you last year. By degrees, however, masses of hay were introduced into the laboratory—old hay and new hay from various places; and they ended by rendering the atmosphere so virulently infective that everything was contaminated by the germs set afloat. It resembled the case of a surgical ward of a hospital, where gangrene and putrefaction have attained such a predominance that the surgeon has in despair to shut up his ward and abandon it to disinfection. Desiring to free myself from this pestilential atmosphere, I wrote to my friend the President of the Royal Society, Dr. Hooker, and I found that he was able to furnish me with a means of getting away from it. In Kew Gardens, there is a beautiful new laboratory, erected by the munificence of that most intelligent supporter of science Mr. Thomas Phillips Jodrell. He, at his own expense, has had this beautiful laboratory built—being designed, I believe, by Dr. Thielson Dyer. It is one of the neatest things I have ever seen, and it is to me a great gratification that the first experiments made in that laboratory were those to which I have now to refer. I broke away from the contaminated air of the Royal Institution. It is very well for you that I can tell you, that all the germs referred to are perfectly innocuous to human beings, for I have no doubt the air of this room is contaminated with them. A series of chambers were made—not of wood, for I wanted to get rid even of that, but of tin—and I would not allow Mr. Cotterell to carry those chambers into the Royal Institution at all. They were carried from the tinman's where they were made to the laboratory at Kew. There, with the greatest care, the tubes were treated first with carbolic acid and then washed with water, and then with caustic potash to get rid of all traces of carbolic acid, and finally drenched with distilled water. Carbolic acid, as you know, is a deadly foe to these germs. In this way I hoped that every contamination that might be adhering to the tubes would be destroyed, and that, having got clear of an infected atmosphere, we might get the same results as we invariably obtained last year. The temperature was raised to between 80 deg. and 90 deg., and once a little above 90 deg., so that the warmth was all that could be desired for the development of those organisms. It gives me the deepest gratification to find that what was foreseen has occurred, and that this very day these chambers have come back from Kew perfectly intact. They comprise the most refractory substances that I had experimented upon here. It was almost impossible to save a cucumber; I never did succeed in saving a melon infusion from contamination, and from this so-called spontaneous generation. But here, when the air had been allowed to deposit all its moles, and when we were withdrawn from an infected atmosphere, as I have said, the chambers were returned with their infusions as clear as crystal. Mr. Cotterell will show you some of them. You will see that one of these is muddy and turbid, and it has a deposit at the bottom. These are all dead bacteria, and the muddiness is due to swarming bacterial life. Here you have two infusions perfectly clear. Why did

the other tube give way? When we came to examine it, a little pinhole was found at the bottom of the chamber, and through that pinhole the germs got in. Here is a melon infusion; and, in order to show you what would have occurred if the infusions had not been protected from the floating dust of the atmosphere, we have hung beside this case two tubes that have been exposed to the common air and have fallen into a state of utter rottenness. In this way, from the Jodrell Laboratory at Kew, we have had these cases returned with their infusions perfectly intact. Even in our infected atmosphere, when we subject our infusions to experimental conditions sufficiently stringent, we are able entirely to shut out contamination, and to show that spontaneous generation never occurs. When we get clear of our atmosphere altogether, this is a matter of perfect ease and facility; and we find in Kew Gardens that nature runs her normal course.

CASE OF LARGE HYDATID TUMOUR OF THE OMENTUM TREATED SUCCESSFULLY BY A FREE INCISION, WITH ANTISEPTIC PRECAUTIONS.

By THOMAS ANNANDALE, F.R.S.E.,

Surgeon to the Edinburgh Royal Infirmary, and Lecturer on Clinical Surgery.

IN connection with the most instructive cases recently reported in the JOURNAL by Dr. Bradbury, I trust that a brief account of the following case may not be without interest. To Dr. Stone, my late house-surgeon, I am indebted for the notes.

M. F., aged 32, a married woman and a native of Shetland, was admitted into the Edinburgh Royal Infirmary under my care on the 11th of August last. Her history was that, about eight years before her admission and a few weeks after the birth of her first child, she noticed a small hard swelling at the lower part of the abdomen. This swelling was freely movable, gave her no uneasiness, and remained in much the same condition until about two months ago, when, soon after the birth of her fifth child, it began to increase in size and to cause pain. Her general health, too, began to fail, and she commenced to lose flesh.

When admitted, a tumour was found occupying the lower part of the abdomen. The tumour somewhat resembled in shape and size an ox's kidney, and lay across the abdomen a little below the umbilicus and towards the right side. It was freely movable, fluctuated indistinctly, and appeared to be connected with the omentum. No connection with the liver or other abdominal organs could be detected. The digestive system and general health were in a very unsatisfactory state, and both pulse and temperature were above the normal. After a week of general treatment, I requested Dr. Stone to puncture the tumour with the fine needle of an aspirator, and he accordingly drew off in this way a few ounces of a turbid fluid, which, owing to some mistake of the nurse, was thrown away before being examined. The patient expressed herself relieved by the tapping; but, a week after the operation, the tumour again increased in size and gave her more pain than formerly. The aspirator was, therefore, again used, and a small quantity of the same kind of fluid was drawn off; and, when carefully examined microscopically, hooklets of the "echinococcus" were found in it. After this second tapping, the patient became very ill, and the tumour increased rapidly in size. Her temperature was 103 deg. in the evening, and her pulse was high. She suffered also from rigors; and the tumour became more fixed, and was also more tender to the touch.

On September 19th, the tumour was as large as a child's head; and, from the patient's symptoms, it seemed evident that the tumour had suppurated, and that she must soon die if she were not relieved by the removal of the disease. On September 20th, I made a free incision in the mesial line over the tumour; and, the various layers of the abdominal walls having been divided, a large cyst was exposed. The wall of the cyst was thick and dense, and was found to be firmly adherent to the omentum and abdominal organs behind. In front, it was free. A large trocar and cannula were then passed into the cyst, but, owing to the large number of smaller cysts and their density, its contents would not pass through the cannula. Precautions having been taken to prevent any of the contents of the cyst from passing into the peritoneal cavity, which had been opened into by the incision, the cyst-wall was freely incised, when a large quantity of small hydatid cysts, floating in purulent fluid, escaped. The cyst having been in this way thoroughly emptied, the edges of the incision in the cyst-wall were stitched to those of the external wound, and two large drainage-tubes inserted into the cavity of the cyst. The whole operation was performed under the antiseptic

spray, and the usual antiseptic dressing was applied to the wound afterwards. Her progress after the operation was most satisfactory; and her pulse and temperature at once improved, and in a week were normal. The wound was daily dressed with antiseptic precautions; and the cavity of the cyst gradually contracted, leaving a small sinus, which in time also closed, and the patient was discharged cured on December 17th.

THE SUPRARENAL CAPSULE AND LYMPHATIC GROWTHS.

By P. H. PYE-SMITH, M.D., F.R.C.P.,

Assistant-Physician to Guy's Hospital, etc.

IN commenting on the remarkable case of lympho-sarcoma of the thorax, which Dr. Byrom Bramwell published in the JOURNAL of January 6th, he writes as follows: "The condition of the (left) suprarenal capsule was a remarkable feature of the case, and tends to show the close physiological relation which these organs have to the lymphatic glandular system. The absence of pigmentation of the skin proves that all forms of disease of the capsules are not necessarily attended by bronzing."

If Dr. Bramwell will refer to Dr. Wilks's classical paper on Morbus Addisonii in the *Guy's Hospital Reports* for 1862, he will see that the last conclusion was even then fully established, and it has since been abundantly confirmed by the exhaustive analysis of cases of disease of the adrenals, on which Dr. Greenhow founded his well-known Croonian Lectures, which appeared in the JOURNAL during March 1875. It is only the peculiar quasi-tubercular change in both organs which produces the symptoms of Addison's disease. Primary or secondary tumours, whether cancerous or sarcomatous, hæmorrhage, hydatid, amyloid degeneration, miliary tubercle, can no more produce bronzed skin, muscular weakness, feeble pulse, nausea and cerebral symptoms, than cancer, or hydatid, or calculus of one kidney can produce the symptoms of Bright's disease. True carcinoma, in the histological sense, probably never affects the adrenals (or any other organ without an epithelial surface) except as a secondary disease. But among the twenty-three cases in Groups VIII and X of Dr. Greenhow's list (*On Addison's Disease*, 1875, pp. 208, 210) there are several of various forms of sarcoma which in their clinical features were, like Dr. Bramwell's, malignant, and almost always secondary.

Almost all cases of primary intrathoracic growths are only clinically cancerous, and the majority are, like Dr. Bramwell's, lympho-sarcomata. This is the conclusion from Dr. Risdon Bennett's cases in his monograph on *Intrathoracic Growths* (1872), as well as from the numerous cases recorded in the *Pathological Transactions*. At one end, the series of these lympho-sarcomata approaches cancer, but, at the other, they become indistinguishable from the lymph-adenomata of Cornil and Ranvier. These again pass into the multiple glandular hypertrophy of Hodgkin and Wilks, and so by anæmia lymphatica to anæmia splenica, or true leucæmia. Dr. Bramwell does not state what were the microscopical characters of the blood in his case, during life or after death. Probably there was only anæmia without the increase of leucocytes, since even in the most "constitutional" and least "cancerous", i.e., the most multiple and least destructive form of Hodgkin's disease, it is doubtful whether true leucæmia has ever been observed.

A recent writer in another medical journal described a typical case of anæmia lymphatica as something new in this country, and announced the discovery of a similar condition, under the name "adénie", by Trousseau. The late eloquent professor at the Hôtel-Dieu made no such pretension. He says: "D'abord, messieurs, qu'il soit bien entendu que je n'ai rien découvert, que la description de la maladie a été assez bien faite avant moi; en Angleterre par M. Hodgkin (1832); en France, par un de mes élèves, M. Bonfils (1856); et en Suisse, par M. Cossy (1861)". (*Clin. Méd.*, tome iii, p. 569.) He afterwards mentions Dr. Wilks's name, who in the *Guy's Hospital Reports* for 1856, had accurately described the disease under its most appropriate title, "anæmia lymphatica". See also Virchow's comments (*Krankhafte Geschwülste*, Bd. ii, S. 619). I must not trespass on space by further references, but it is worth while recalling the labours of our own pathologists when they are so soon forgotten. In a late discussion on the subject, not only was the anæmia lymphatica of Hodgkin and Wilks confused by some speakers with the allied leucæmia splenica discovered by Virchow, but two other entirely unconnected diseases were brought in as allies—viz., idiopathic anæmia, first and completely described by Addison (*Works*, p. 212), since rediscovered and named "pernicious" by Biermer and others, and the totally distinct disease which is more generally connected with the same physician's name as morbus Addisonii. In a recent work on Medicine, it is stated that in the latter disease the white blood-corpuscles are sometimes increased